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نستم به بهترین حالت
از مهندس خاصاً من
یکی که من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

کائیسی‌ها را جامی، و مدرک مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

تیموری، بلکه از همچنین تحصیلی دهم من مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

تمام کمکی که به من برای پژوهش‌های مدیونی کردند، که از اینکه دارمان فرمانداری استاد راهنمای اولم خانم، مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

نه تنها از اینکه دارمان فرمانداری استاد راهنمای اولم خانم، مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

از کلیه که خمکهـ گذشته، کار اقیانه که از اینکه دارمان فرمانداری استاد راهنمای اولم خانم، مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

کلیه که خمکهـ گذشته، کار اقیانه که از اینکه دارمان فرمانداری استاد راهنمای اولم خانم، مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

در اینکه دارمان فرمانداری استاد راهنمای اولم خانم، مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

کار اقیانه که بودن‌ها و جوامعی که در اینکه دارمان فرمانداری استاد راهنمای اولم خانم، مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

پیشنهاد می‌کنم که دارمان فرمانداری استاد راهنمای اولم خانم، مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

کار اقیانه که بودن‌ها و جوامعی که در اینکه دارمان فرمانداری استاد راهنمای اولم خانم، مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

کار اقیانه که بودن‌ها و جوامعی که در اینکه دارمان فرمانداری استاد راهنمای اولم خانم، مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

کار اقیانه که بودن‌ها و جوامعی که در اینکه دارمان فرمانداری استاد راهنمای اولم خانم، مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.

کار اقیانه که بودن‌ها و جوامعی که در اینکه دارمان فرمانداری استاد راهنمای اولم خانم، مهندس خاصاً من سعی می‌کنم برای همکاری دادگاه سید علیرضا یکی از دانشجویان من را در تحقیق خودشان را راه‌بکیم باشان، بسیار کلیه‌تر.
در زمینه تربیت‌های مبتنی بر غربی‌گرایی، هنر، زبان‌های دوره‌ی دوران‌های تاریخی، تئوری و هنرهای مدرن، موشن یونانی، فیلم‌های ایرانی، ادامه دارند. این هنرهای مبتنی بر فکری‌های غربی رویکردی خاصی در هنرهای جهان‌آوری می‌کنند و در پیامدهای پایداری و مستقلی به‌کار می‌رود.

از این رو، برای استاندارد‌های معاصر، هنرهای مدرن و پیامدهای جهانی، راه‌حل‌های نوآورانه و تکنو‌رئالیستی مطرح می‌شود. این هنرهای نوآورانه و تکنو‌رئالیستی در هنرهای مدرن و پیامدهای جهانی، راه‌حل‌های نوآورانه و تکنو‌رئالیستی مطرح می‌شود. این هنرهای نوآورانه و تکنو‌رئالیستی در هنرهای مدرن و پیامدهای جهانی، راه‌حل‌های نوآورانه و تکنو‌رئالیستی مطرح می‌شود. این هنرهای نوآورانه و تکنو‌رئالیستی در هنرهای مدرن و پیامدهای جهانی، راه‌حل‌های نوآورانه و تکنو‌رئالیستی مطرح می‌شود.
I dedicate this thesis to Dr. Mohammad Kaboli. It is my hope to have been able to repay some of his kindness and concern for my education and research experience, while acknowledging the efforts of those who have been guiding lights in my academic life and those who have changed my perspective on life.
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Chapter 1:

Introduction
1.1. Impacts of climate change on global biodiversity

Climate acts as an active determinant of biodiversity patterns as it imposes limits to species’ geographical distributions at a global scale (Holdridge 1947, Walter 1979). The dramatic changes that the Earth’s climate has undergone throughout history have therefore directly impacted biodiversity composition and distribution. Changes in the Earth’s orbit (Milankovitch cycles) influence the seasonality of incoming solar radiation and the extent and pacing of climatic oscillations, consequently affecting the episodes of Earth’s glacial and interglacial periods which play a major role in the dynamics of species distributions in temperate areas (Bennett 1990). Tectonic and orogenic events, associated with erosion, amplified by climate (see Willett, 1999 for more information on the link between tectonics and climate), are other major components of long-term biogeographic history. During the Cenozoic era (last 65 Mya), numerous climatic fluctuations occurred on Earth lasting with the Quaternary ice ages (2.6 Mya to the present) (Fig 1.1).

These marked fluctuations caused dramatic changes in species distributions. They have been described in detail, particularly through fossil records, for several European and North American species (Bennett, 1997; Coope 1995). Also, consequences of glaciations have been widely studied throughout northern temperate regions (Hewitt 1996, 1999; Avise 2000; Cox et al., 2016). It is been widely accepted that different glacial events during the Quaternary have shaped the current structure and distribution of taxa throughout northern temperate regions (Hewitt 1996, 1999; Avise 2000; Cox et al. 2016). Many studies have highlighted that lineages currently occupying the Old World formerly inhabited glaciated areas. As glaciers advanced, populations were driven toward southern glacial refugia (Ursenbacher et al., 2006; Ding et al., 2011), where they subsequently dispersed into newly available habitats during interglacial periods (Hewitt 1996; Runck & Cook 2005). After the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, 9-23 kyr BP), refugial populations were able to (re-)colonize the surrounding areas, giving rise to the observed extant distribution patterns (Hewitt, 2000).
A common concern amongst scientists is that current climate is changing at a faster rate than previously experienced since direct climatic records exist, as a result of human activities superimposed on the normal global warming that has been ongoing since the LGM (Keely, 2011). In the coming century, rising atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide is predicted to be one of the key drivers of climate change. This is indeed bound to have far-reaching consequences for all forms of life on Earth. In particular, gradual climate change and extreme weather events are predicted to trigger biodiversity losses through regional and global extinctions (Heller and Zavaleta, 2009). Climate change is causing many species to shift their geographical ranges, distributions, and phenologies at faster rates than previously observed; however, these rates are not uniform across species. For this reason, the current short-term warming of global climates that has started in the early 20th century is considered a serious threat to the persistence of numerous taxa (Hughes 2000). Because the current climatic changes
occur over a few decades, its consequences are too quick to permit adaptation and accommodation in many taxa, in particular specialist ones or species with poor dispersal abilities (e.g. Warren et al., 2001; Julliard et al., 2003; Pöyry et al., 2009). As a result, extinction could be accelerated in the next century due to species’ inability to track modifications of their environment imposed by these quick climatic changes (Jewitt et al., 2015; Mantyka-Pringle et al., 2012).

According to the Assessment Report of the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; IPCC 2019), the current rate of the massive exploitation of ecosystem services and biodiversity loss are “unprecedented in human history”. The report also notes that land use has caused an 11-14% drop in global biodiversity. Not only is human land use more pervasive than ever, it is set against a background of a warming climate. This report estimates that globally the average land and sea surface temperature has increased by 0.6±0.2 °C since the mid-19th century. This global loss of biodiversity directly linked to human activities leads some scientists to consider that we might be in the course of the sixth mass extinction (Barnosky et al., 2011).

The multiple components of climate change are anticipated to affect all levels of biodiversity, from organism to biome levels (Parmesan 2006; Ballard et al., 2012; Botkin et al. 2007; Meyers & Bull 2002). Under the influence of climate change, other major threats to biodiversity including habitat fragmentation, overexploitation, pollution, increased levels of diseases, and invasive alien species will be further aggravated (Jewitt et al., 2015; Mantyka-Pringle et al., 2012, MEA, 2005). Furthermore, contrary to human-caused environmental changes, measuring the direct impacts of climatic changes on biodiversity is a challenging task because the processes involved are slow, irreversible but global, often indirect and non-stationary in both space and time.

Organisms may respond to climate change in a variety of ways depending on their physiological sensitivity to temperature, phenological requirements, indirect imprint on climate on resources and habitats, and dispersal ability (e.g. Hughes, 2000, Deutsch et al., 2008; Sinervo et al., 2010; Rowland et al., 2011). They may shift their ranges, adapt to changes, exhibit phenotypic plasticity, or become extinct. Species’ ecophysiological, behavioural and demographic responses result in modifications in geographic distributions correlated with long-term (biogeographic scale) and short-term (immediate responses over a few generations) climatic variations (Shaver & Jonasson, 1999; Niu et al., 2010). To respond to climate change, organisms may shift their distributions in search of conditions corresponding to their climatic niches along three axes: time (regulating biological cycle events, such as diurnal rhythms and
phenology, in order to cope with climate-induced alterations), space (moving towards available suitable climates or relocating towards suitable climates through microhabitat adjustments), and self (adjusting life history physiological traits so as to adapt to climatic changes) (Bellard et al., 2014).(Box 1.1)

**Box 1.1 Three directions of responses to climate change**  
*Source: Bellard et al., (2012)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Space</strong> (e.g. range)</th>
<th><strong>Time</strong> (e.g. phenology)</th>
<th><strong>Self</strong> (e.g. physiology)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historical set of parameters</td>
<td>Adapted set of parameters</td>
<td>New values for two parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New values for each parameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure show the three directions of responses to climate change through phenotypic plasticity or evolutionary responses:

To adapt to climate change through a **shift in space**, species move to find suitable conditions, commonly through dispersal or shifting to suitable habitats at the local or micro-habitat levels, such as latitudinal and altitudinal range shifts. Spatial shifts have been documented in countless species, particularly insects, birds and marine invertebrates which show high dispersal abilities.

For **shifts in time**, phenological shifts, including changes in seasonal timing of recurring events such as migration, breeding, flowering and fruiting, have been among the most common outcomes of species adapting to recent climate change. For instance, such temporal shifts have been documented in plankton (Villarino *et al.* 2015), benthos (Richards 2012), fish (Neidetcher *et al.* 2014), and plants (Parmesan 2006). In reptiles, earlier parturition or breeding time in the aspis viper (Lourdais *et al.*, 2004), common lizard (Le Galliard *et al.*, 2010), sleepy lizard (*Bull and Burzacott* 2002), and spotted snow skink (*Cadby et al.*, 2010) have been reported.

To adapt through **shifts in self**, species cope with climatic changes by adapting themselves within their local range through physiological or behavioral alterations, rather than moving along spatial or temporal axes. These shifts have been observed in many ectotherms, especially because their reproduction, growth, sex determination, and locomotion are temperature-dependent (Tewksbury *et al.* 2008).
It could be said that the fate of species or populations unable to adapt along one or all of these axes could ultimately be extinction, either locally or globally. However, species face a multitude of possible responses to choose among; and hence, during the Quaternary, relatively few taxa became extinct as a result of climate change (Botkin et al., 2007). This could moderate pessimistic predictions concerning the global impact on biodiversity of the current global warming. Generally, across taxa and regions, the consequences of climate change on ecological patterns and processes remain unclear and hard to predict due to these multiple levels of complexity associated with incomplete knowledge of many biodiversity components.

1.2. The challenges of biodiversity knowledge shortfalls

Insufficient knowledge of biodiversity, in particular gaps in geographic and taxonomic data, are serious impediments to establishment of protected areas, compilation of red lists, planning and implementation of restoration strategies, as well as selection of conservation priorities (Clark and May 2002, Nemésio et al., 2013, Schmidt-Lebuhn et al., 2013). That could lead to wrongly defined protected areas and/or red lists (Possingham et al., 2002, Boakes et al., 2010, Le Saout et al., 2013). Consequently, in order to facilitate decision-making in conservation planning, we must try to identify and minimize species’ geographic and taxonomic data deficiencies (Pardo et al., 2013, Sousa-Baena et al., 2014).

Biodiversity data are faced with major knowledge shortfalls, among which several deal with the most important extrinsic characteristics of species (Hortal et al., 2012). The first one is the lack of knowledge on the basic units of study in ecology and evolution, mainly due to incomplete inventories of species and evolutionary significant units (Linnean shortfall). The second one involves their geographical distribution, population dynamics and evolutionary relationships (Wallacean, and Darwinian shortfalls, respectively), whereas the third shortfall concerns species’ ecological functioning in terms of niche interactions (Hutchinsonian shortfall) (Hortal et al., 2015; Ladle & Whittaker 2011).

All these knowledge deficiencies are intertwined to a certain extent, in terms of taxonomic, spatial or temporal data coverage. For instance, the Wallacean and Linnean shortfalls may influence all the other gaps in knowledge, as lack of data on unknown species inevitably compromises the description of other aspects of biodiversity. Such deficiencies with respect to extrinsic characteristics commonly exacerbate knowledge shortfalls of ecological functioning (Hortal et al., 2015). Thus, it is essential to acquaint ourselves with these concepts before making conservation decisions.
1.2.1 **The Wallacean shortfalls: unknown species’ distributions**

The Wallacean shortfall refers to the knowledge gaps in species’ geographical distributions (Lomolino 2004) and arises from insufficiencies in geographic information of species distributions, as well as biased sampling due to spatial, temporal and even political variations in surveying efforts (Hortal *et al*., 2008, Boakes *et al*., 2010). For example, only 2% of the total area of Amazonia has been well surveyed (Schulman *et al*., 2007). The conservationists are forced to rely on this limited available data for conservation planning. Our knowledge of the geographical distributions of most taxa is still relatively limited (Lomolino, 2004). The Wallacean shortfall may have multiple implications for conservation of biodiversity, such as incorrect evaluation of threats, uncertainties in conservation planning and prioritization, biased knowledge on diversity patterns, and reduced efficiency of species distribution models.

Filling the Wallacean shortfall is especially crucial for clarifying broad-scale ecological patterns and predicting their changes under climate-change scenarios (Hortal *et al*., 2015). In addition, it can also have significant impacts on estimates of conservation threats. Range size is frequently used in conservation planning, small-ranged species being given higher priority. For instance, many conservation prioritization criteria of the IUCN consider an area of less than 50,000 km² as range restriction/local endemism (Whittaker *et al*., 2005), which may also include several species that are not under threat. This shows that due to the Wallacean shortfall, conservation prioritization for a large number of species or regions are faced with uncertainties (Riddle *et al*., 2011).

Therefore, high sampling efforts assisted with statistical modeling are needed to face the paucity of available data. Various short-term and long-term approaches have been proposed to deal with this shortfall, such as increasing sampling efforts, improving data reliability, obtaining information regarding species range limits, using large-scale databases, employing modeling approaches, developing maps of ignorance for mapping species distributions, determining short-term effects of population dynamics on the structure of species distributions, and evaluating the role of species’ dispersal limitations in their current patterns (Hortal *et al*., 2015).

1.2.2 **The Darwinian shortfalls: unknown evolutionary patterns**

The Darwinian shortfall concerns the knowledge gap in the evolutionary tree of life and the evolution of traits, species and lineages (Diniz-Filho *et al*., 2013). With the emergence of ever-
expanding phylogeny-inference methods and robust computer-based analyses of molecular
data in the mid-20th century (Felsenstein 1985, Hall 2011), phylogenetic comparative methods
have been extensively used to disentangle patterns of biodiversity and species’ trait evolution
(Nee & May 1997, Sechrest et al., 2002, Mace et al., 2003). However, according to Diniz-Filho
et al., (2013), we are faced with three major difficulties in ecological comparative analyses.
First, the phylogenetic relationships of a considerable number of taxa have not yet been
resolved (Bininda-Emonds et al., 2007; Pyron & Wiens 2011; Jetz et al., 2012). Second, errors
in branch length estimates and calibration of phylogenies in conjunction with limited fossil
records may result in misleading inferences in placement of fossils and branching times
(Dornburg et al., 2011; Lukoschek et al., 2012; Slater & Harmon 2013; Losos et al., 2011;
Sansom et al. 2015). Third, given our limited knowledge of species’ trait evolution,
uncertainties remain prominent regarding the relationships between ecological traits and
patterns of biodiversity (Freckleton et al., 2002; Cadotte et al., 2013).

Therefore, the Darwinian shortfall could undermine our understanding of species’ responses
to climate change. Consequently, a number of strategies have been proposed including
development of more realistic evolutionary models, using simulations of evolutionary
processes, constructing super- and mega-trees, combining molecular and fossil data for better
calibration and high-quality branch lengths (Diniz-Filho et al., 2013; Hortal et al., 2015).

1.2.3 The Linnean shortfall: unknown species’ taxonomy

The Linnean shortfall deals with the inconsistency between the actual number of species
and the number of described species (Lomolino 2004). This shortfall is particularly serious for
taxa with smaller size, distribution range, and niche width (Riddle et al., 2011). In addition, the
magnitude of this shortfall is still undetermined because the number of species is continuously
changing as a result of taxonomic revisions, new descriptions, and unconfirmed synonyms
(Chapman 2009; May 2010; Baselga 2010). Also, numerous species concepts exist preventing
a consensus definition to emerge (Dayrat 2005). Two groups of unknown species are typically
influenced by this shortfall: those in need of sampling, and those that have been sampled but
not formally described yet. Species in the first group are mostly found in large poorly studied
regions (e.g. southwest Amazonia; Bush & Lovejoy 2007), less surveyed ecosystems (e.g.
deep-sea and the upper canopies of rainforests; Rex & Etter 2010; Ellwood & Foster 2004).
Species in the second group are in need of expert validation and allocation of funding such as
undocumented taxa in the Catalogue of Life (Hopkins & Freckleton 2002).
As it concerns the basic units of ecological and evolutionary studies, the Linnean shortfall indeed affects all other shortfalls in biodiversity. In the presence of this shortfall, phylogenies are faced with the problem of the missing taxa, which largely affects the final topology of phylogenetic trees, accuracy of estimated extinction rates, and ultimately our knowledge on species’ diversity and evolution (Nee et al., 1994; FitzJohn et al., 2009). Thus, it is crucial to elucidate species’ taxonomy and improve taxonomical delimitation for extinct and extant taxa to deal with the Linnean shortfall (Hortal et al., 2015).

1.2.4 The Hutchinsonian shortfall: unknown about the responses and tolerances of species to abiotic conditions

The Hutchinsonian shortfall, named after George Evelyn Hutchinson (1903–1991), concerns the lack of knowledge regarding species’ ecological functioning and tolerances in terms of abiotic changes and niche interactions, such as responses to changes in climate, habitat, water, soil, etc. (Hutchinson 1978). A multivariate assessment of species’ environmental preferences is a major requirement to assess or forecast species’ responses to current global changes, which are inherently multifaceted, but also to understand the processes underlying patterns of variation in species abundance and occurrence (Brown 1984; Gaston 2003; Slatyer et al., 2013). Estimation of species tolerances could be achieved through the use of geographical occurrence data based on field surveys, and analyzed by correlative and envelope niche models (Peterson et al., 2011).

The Hutchinsonian shortfall may have significant consequences such as inability to forecast species’ responses to global change, difficulty to explain the processes underlying species distribution dynamics, inability to predict the spread of invasive species, and lower efficacy in translocation of threatened species. Short-term and long-term strategies are proposed to deal with this shortfall, with mixed results and ecological coherence. They include use of bioclimatic envelopes as a substitute for niche, assessment of intraspecific differences in species’ limits of tolerance, and building dynamic models that take into account the non-stationarity of species’ environmental preferences.
1.3. Evaluating conservation and dealing with the knowledge shortfalls

Biodiversity conservation is essential to secure the long-term persistence of the Earth’s biota, for which we ought to plan for future changes in patterns and processes of biodiversity, mostly through constructing scenarios and taking appropriate measures. On the other hand, ecology is evolving rapidly and increasingly changing into a more open, accountable, interdisciplinary, collaborative and data-intensive science. Discovering, integrating and analyzing massive amounts of heterogeneous data are central to ecology as researchers address complex questions at scales from the gene to the biosphere.

Biogeography aims to analyze the distribution and diversity of life forms in space and times at all scales (Ladle & Whittaker 2011). Conservation biogeography, a combination of biogeography and conservation biology, is concerned with the application of biogeographical concepts and principles related to the distributional dynamics of organisms in biodiversity conservation (Whittaker et al., 2005). As an applied and interdisciplinary science, conservation biogeography involves conservation of taxa, design and restoration of hotspots and reserves, testing uncertainty and sensitivity to assumptions through sensitivity analyses, and elucidating the geographic patterns of species through the application of biogeographical theories and analyses (Richardson and Whittaker, 2010).

As taxa are not distributed evenly across the Earth, conservation biogeographers need a good knowledge on the geographical distribution of species (Lomolino et al., 2010). In conservation studies, species’ range size and population size are indicators of dispersal ability, evolutionary history, physiological tolerances among species, and extinction risks, and thus serve to rank taxa of conservation concern (Thompson et al., 1999; Thuiller et al., 2004; Pompe et al., 2008). Consequently, conservation biogeography contributes to conservation through integrating the historical and geographical dimensions of biodiversity in order to overcome inadequacies in distributional and taxonomic data (the Wallacean and Linnean shortfalls), promoting effective and science-based decision-making in conservation policies. Some of the most prominent areas of conservation biogeography are listed in Table 1.
### Table 1.1 Prominent areas of research in conservation biogeography (Richardson & Whittaker 2010)

| **The biogeography of degradation** (habitat fragmentation, homogenization, urbanization and other human-induced impacts) |
| **Processes** (colonization, climate as a fundamental determinant of distribution, dispersal, disturbance, extinction, persistence, range expansion, resilience, speciation) |
| **Inventory, mapping and data issues** (atlas data, breeding bird surveys, citizen science, detectability/discovery probabilities, herbaria and other collections, sampling intensity and biases) |
| **Species distribution modelling** (bioclimatic modelling, habitat-suitability analysis, model performance, niche-based models, presence-only data vs. presence-abundance data, dispersal kernel analysis) |
| **Characterizing biotas** (conservation status, diversity indices and patterns, ecoregions, endemism, rarity, range size, species-area relationships, threatened species, identification of alternative baselines from long-term ecological data) |
| **Conservation planning** (complementarity, congruence, conservation units, ecosystem services, gap analysis, global conservation assessments, irreplaceability, reserve networks, surrogates) |
| **Methods** (molecular methods, palaeoecology, remote sensing, scenario development) |
| **Related fields** (global change biology, invasion ecology, bioinformatics, molecular phylogenetics, network analysis, re-introduction ecology, risk analysis, behavioural ecology, population viability analysis) |
| **Overarching themes**: niche (fundamental vs. realized), novel climates/ecosystems, scale issues, uncertainty, Linnean shortfall, Wallacean shortfall) |

#### 1.3.1 The fundamental taxonomic units of conservation biogeography

Species have been extensively recognized as basic units in studies of ecology, conservation biology as well as biogeography (Brown, 1995; Blackburn & Gaston, 1998). However, biogeographers have recently leaned toward using geographically distinct evolutionary lineages as fundamental units of analysis in conservation biology.

Integrating the Darwinian, Wallacean and Linnean shortfalls requires a recognition that species are evolutionarily heterogeneous throughout their distributions, meaning that we cannot consider a species as a relevant conservation unit, but rather we need to deal with intraspecific sources of heterogeneity. Within a species, all populations do not have the same realized niche. They are constrained by dispersal, biotic interactions, or historical legacies. This implies that modeling historical pathways below the species level may contribute to a better understanding of intraspecific heterogeneities in realized niches and, in turn, might shed some light on ecological processes leading to responses to environmental variation. In this context, phylogeography has proven to be a powerful tool allowing the identification of genetic lineages previously not identified.

Phylogeography is an interdisciplinary field studying the historical and contemporary processes and principles that govern the geographic distribution of genealogical lineages, including at the intraspecific level (Graves et al., 1984; Avise et al., 1987; Avise 1998). Phylogeography is between microevolutionary (population genetics, demography, ethology)
and macroevolutionary (historical biogeography, systematics, paleoecology) fields (Avise 2000). Comparative phylogeography is another tool for providing clearer understanding of the role of historical events and demographic processes in shaping the genetic patterns of different taxa. This approach examines whether phylogeographic patterns of taxa in a given area are influenced by similar historical and evolutionary processes. Comparative phylogeography draws broader inferences regarding the evolutionary, climatic, and geological processes involved in shaping species’ biogeographical patterns (Moritz and Faith 1998; Bermingham and Moritz 1998; Avise 2000; Feldman and Spicer 2006; Rowe et al., 2006).

Phylogeography also serves as a useful tool for inferring historical scenarios to account for the spatial and temporal arrangement of organisms and their features. Notably, vicariance and dispersal (box1.2) are two alternative events invoked to account for the origins of spatially disjunct taxa (Ronquist, 1997). According to the Vicariance model, environmental events (such as uplifting of mountains or presence of glaciers) cause fragmentation and separation between populations. In the Dispersal model the current geographical area occupied by a taxonomic community is explained by migration between two or more independent ancestral areas. A combination of vicariance and dispersal events can occur in the course of evolution, depending of the dispersal capabilities of the taxa considered and the presence of barriers to dispersal (Avise, 2000; Zink et al., 2002). Thus, pylogeographical analyses lead to propose evolutionary scenarios in space and time in order to explain the genetic pattern observed (Avise, 2000).
Phylogeography is providing a good source of preliminary hypotheses concerning the geographic range of newly described lineages, which could be used for conservation prioritization and maintaining viable populations of those lineages. Consequently, the concept of evolutionarily significant units (ESUs) was defined (Waples, 1995) to identify conservation units below the species level. For instance, according to Moritz et al (1994), ESUs represent historically isolate, reciprocally monophyletic clades revealed through phylogeographic analyses of mitochondrial DNA that show significant allelic frequency divergence at nuclear loci. Defining an ESU is crucial for conservation prioritization as it describes a unique group of organisms (subspecies, species, geographic race, stock, or population) that should be conserved separately (Fraser; Bernatchez 2001; Crandall et al., 2000).

In addition, the growing concern toward conservation of genetic diversity calls for accurately defining ESUs based on evolutionary histories of relevant taxa (Deans et al., 2012; Casacci et al., 2014), as species-based units cannot always prove applicable to all conservation strategies. Thus, phylogenetic and phylogeographic inferences can be applied to conservation planning below the species level (Fraser & Bernatchez 2001; Behrooz et al., 2018).
1.3.2 Species distributions: Improving models, simulations and forecasts

Species distribution has been the basis of research in different fields, such as ecology and conservation biology (Scott et al., 2002). Knowledge of the geographical distribution of a species is fundamental in that it reflects its history, current environmental constraints and potential future. The geographical distribution of any given species is primarily constrained by a complex combination of its realized niche (Box 1.3), limitation to dispersal, and demography, with some level of stochasticity. These constraints are often summarized through climatic proxies under the assumption that climate is an integrative driver of most dimensions of environmental variations (Sexton et al., 2009). However, this approach is limited in that non-climatic factors, such as, typically, tectonic and topographic constraints, also play a central role in setting distribution limits (Ladle & Whittaker 2011).

**Box 1.3. The BAM diagram** proposed by (Soberón & Peterson 2005).

| Species’ geographical distributions are to a large extent shaped by their environmental requirements. The term “niche” was first introduced by Grinnell (1917) as the envelop of abiotic habitats determining where a species can be found. Later, the concept of environmental niche was formalized by Hutchinson (1957) who defined it as a hypervolume occupied by the species which described the environmental variables determining the species distribution, raising a major distinction between the realized and the fundamental niches. The fundamental niche is determined by the physiological tolerances of a species along environmental gradients emerged through evolutionary processes, and is measured by experimenting the organism’s ability to grow in a laboratory under varying levels of abiotic stress (e.g. Oliver et al., 2000). The realized niche is the subsample of this hypervolume in which the species actually exhibit positive growth rates, once all the biotic stress factors and interactions are accounted for. (Soberón & Peterson 2005, fig below), (Callaway et al., 2002). |
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Generally, a preliminary step towards biodiversity conservation is an attempt to determine how present conditions, either natural or human-caused, determine patterns of species distributions and abundances. So far, systematic conservation planning has mainly concentrated on threats such as habitat loss, habitat fragmentation, overexploitation and spread
of invasive species. Under the assumption of static distribution patterns for species, conservation practice often deals with such threats by prioritizing conservation measures across different locations. Of greater concern, however, is the current climate change which causes shifts in species ranges whereas the geographical boundary of protected areas remains unchanged (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment 2005; Lovejoy 2006; Pressey et al., 2007).

A wide variety of quantitative models are now widely applied in ecology for describing past, present or future distribution patterns. They have been instrumental in developing new insights into classical questions of species’ coexistence, community assembly, population dynamics, biogeography, and many more. Methods for the study of patterns of species distributions and abundances are still being actively developed, and practical work with predictive models requires ecologists to learn a new suite of tools known as ecoinformatics (Michener & Jones, 2012), which encompasses modeling strategies themselves, but also data processing, validation tools and generation of forecasts associated with their uncertainty.

Prior to building a model, it is vital that the objectives are well defined as the purpose of a model critically affects model development. For instance, for broad-scale assessment of regional species distributions, pre-existing occurrence data and statistical approaches relying only on positive presence data are typically used. On the other hand, for modeling species distribution on a finer scale, purposefully-derived occurrence data and statistical approaches relying on positive and negative occurrence data obtained from a targeted field survey are needed (e.g., Lee and Peterson 2003).

In recent years, significant advances have been made in the statistical tools and techniques used to generate species distribution models (SDMs) (Guisan & Zimmermann, 2000; Guisan & Thuiller, 2005; Elith & Leathwick, 2009). SDM, as also known as environmental or ecological niche modelling (ENM), habitat modelling, predictive habitat distribution modelling, and range mapping (Elith et al., 2009) uses various statistical or mechanistic models to predict the distribution of a species across the geographic space and time using environmental data (Box1.4). Conceptually, statistical SDMs aim to picture a species’ realized niche through a correlative relationship between a spatial pattern of occurrence and relevant biological gradients, allowing secondarily a projection in the geographical space (Kearney and Porter, 2009).
In predictive ecology, the simplest and most prominent approach to creating distribution models is through correlative species distribution models (cSDMs), which aim to predict species’ range shifts under climate change using two types of data: biological data (species’ occurrence points), and environmental data (characterizing the space where the species occur). These models make no causal assumption about the role of climate on species distributions but rely on the high correlation usually observed between climatic variables and species range limits (e.g. Guisan and Thuiller, 2005; Morin et al., 2007). Unfortunately, cSDMs suffer from a number of drawbacks and limitations (e.g. spatial autocorrelation issues, lack of relevant predictors, uncertainty in the role of climate, unmodelled non-climatic processes, and the assumption that correlations between climate and distributions are stationary in space and time) (Austin et al., 2006; Fourcade et al., 2014; Journé et al., 2019). SDMs generally rest upon the assumption that climate is a dominant factor in shaping species distribution boundaries (Sexton et al., 2009), particularly for species susceptible to climate-driven range shifts. Nevertheless, these models should be approached with caution, given that other non-climatic drivers, in combination with climate, influence species distributions (Guo, 2003). The predictive ability of SDMs can be assessed using the following approaches: (i) comparison of actual range shifts with predicted range shifts between past and present distributions based on observed climate change, (ii) comparison of observed and predicted species ranges in invasive regions, (iii) null models. Validation is generally based on their goodness of fit, evaluated as their ability to predict the current distribution of a species given several possible resampling strategies (Bahn & McGill, 2013). High fit is usually considered a justification to use an SDM to forecast the distribution of a species in the future, assuming that the correlation between environmental parameters and species occurrences remains unchanged over time – which however remains untested most of the time, often leading to high levels of bias and uncertainty (Fourcade et al., 2014; Journé et al., 2019).

SDMs have proved their utility as a predictive tool in biogeography, evolutionary biology and conservation biology. These models can be used as a preliminary way to fill the existent shortfalls in taxonomic and distributional data (Wallacean and Hutchinsonian shortfalls), to orient efficiently subsequent sampling effort (Hortal et al., 2015). Another popular use of SDM lies in forecasting the impacts of the current climate change on the short-term dynamics of
species distributions. Nevertheless, this practice has been overused without empirical validation and critical thinking on the methods and results, leading to the emergence of a massive amount of non-robust forecasts in the 20 past years (Heikkinen et al., 2012). The validity of SDM as a useful forecasting tool for conservation biologists lies in a subtle trade-off between biological realism and parsimonious calibration, which has to be considered on a taxa-per-taxa basis, acknowledging regional particularisms and the acceptability of model assumptions (Roberts et al., 2017).

### 1.4. A major shortfall in Western Palearctic knowledge: Iran and the Iranian reptiles

A multitude of studies have documented and forecasted the effects of climate change on biodiversity at multiple spatial and temporal scales (Parmesan, 2006; Bellard et al., 2014). Notwithstanding this abundant literature, knowledge gaps still remain because of data limitations on the geographic distribution and description of many species, especially outside the western Palearctic and Nearctic biomes (“the Wallacean and Linnean shortfalls”, Lomolino, 2004; Hortal et al., 2015). A direct consequence is the difficulty of forecasting climate change effects for some of the earth’s major biodiversity hotspots, such as the biogeographic transition regions found in the mountains of the Middle-East and Central Asia. This gap needs to be resolved as these regions host a wide range of species at high conservation stake due to scarcity, endemism or cultural values (Dudley 2008). Moreover, these transition zones are useful for validating certain biogeographical hypotheses and models. Understanding the evolutionary processes of speciation as well as the ecological processes affecting species’ geographical distribution is a necessity in dealing with global change (Mouquet et al., 2015). Under the influence of evolutionary and contemporary processes, species segregated by biogeographic transition zones generally develop similar patterns of distribution.

Iran largely contributes to the diversity of fauna and flora in the Middle East as it is located at the meeting point of several biogeographic regions (Palearctic, Oriental and Afrotropical, see Fig 1.2 a). It is at the convergence of three distinct faunal and floral regions of the Palearctic, Indo-Malayan, and Afrotropical realms (Firouz, 2005). Owing to its geographical location in the far south-east of the Western Palearctic and the impressive diversity of its habitats, Iran is an important reservoir of endemism that has not yet been sufficiently studied (Anderson 1999, Noroozi and al., 2018). The Middle East in general and Iran in particular have been largely neglected in past phylogeographical studies (Ahmadzadeh et al., 2013). Northern and western parts of Iran belong to two biodiversity hotspots, the Irano-Anatolian and the
Caucasus (Mittermeier et al., 2005. Fig 1.2 b), which are home to a great number of endemic species (Gholamifard and Rastegar-Pouyani 2012). When different habitat types intersect, transitional zones with intermediate physical and biological characteristics form (Brown and Gibson 1983; Williams 1996) may harbor species from each of the adjacent habitats. In addition to hosting species from the neighboring regions, transitional zones may develop unique conditions to support the evolution of their own communities of endemic species, and thus, compared to the surrounding habitats, they may have greater species richness (Gelderblom and Bronner 1995; Gottfried et al., 1998; Hamann et al., 1999).

Figure 1.2: a: location of Iran at the meeting point of three biogeographic regions Palearctic, Oriental and Afrotropica. b: the Irano-Anatolian and the Caucasus biodiversity hotspots.
1.4.1 Our understanding of reptiles in the face of shortfalls

Our knowledge of reptiles is strongly influenced by several shortfalls due to their overall scarcity, high diversity in poorly surveyed areas, and difficulties in sampling through systematic designs (Mullin & Seigel 2011). New reptile species are constantly being discovered and more than 10% of reptile species have not yet been identified (IUCN, 2009). For example, 54 new snake species were described globally between February 2012 and February 2013. Such advancements owe much to modern molecular techniques that revolutionized the techniques used for description of biological diversity (Bickford et al., 2007).

According to Ficetola et al. (2013), reptile richness in remote regions, such as parts of Central Asia and the Iranian Plateau, is likely to be higher than previously assumed. Given its varied climate and geography, Iran hosts a rich herpetofaunal diversity; it is known to be the center of origin for multiple species such as *Natrix tessellata* (see Guicking et al., 2009) and *Montivipera raddei* complex (Behrooz et al., 2018). With 80 species of endemic Tetrapods, among which 66 are reptiles, Iran is specifically notable for its richness of endemics (Eskandarzadeh et al., 2018). The number of endemic species of reptiles and amphibians in Iran is much greater than that of birds and mammals, and thus gaining molecular insights into the phylogeny and phylogeography of herpetofauna remains a top priority. Over recent years, several molecular and phylogeographic studies have led to the description of new species in Iran (Nazarov & Rajabizadeh 2007; Rastegar-Pouyani et al., 2011; Ahmadzadeh et al., 2011; Fathinia et al., 2011; Rajabizadeh et al., 2011).

Despite its high species diversity and number of endemic species, Iran has been struggling with data deficiencies, particularly concerning reptiles. A large number of reptile species still lack comprehensive data, and unidentified species still remain to be discovered. The number of reptile species reported in Iran is increasing rapidly, with 31 newly described species between 2000 and 2014 (see Safaei-Mahroo, 2015 for review). Nevertheless, reptile distributional data in Iran are scarce and mainly based on opportunistic data and sporadic field surveys. Despite the limited available literature such as the Atlas of Reptiles of Iran (Mozaffari et al., 2016) and the Checklist of Herpetofauna of Iran (Safaei-mahroo et al., 2015), there is still a substantial need to carry out extensive research in regions where unique and endemic biodiversity is at risk in order to clarify species’ ecology or conservation status. For example, previous phylogeographic studies by Guicking et al. (2009) on the dice snake and Jablonski et al. (2018) on the smooth snake covered the species’ distribution range in the Palearctic, but failed to include adequate samples for the Iranian part of their ranges.
Efforts to conserve and restore reptile communities are now increasing across the world. But in less developed countries such as Iran species occurrence, population and distribution data are often missing. Iran hosts about 232 reptile and 22 amphibian species distributed in 100 genera, 31 families, five orders and three suborders (Anderson 1999, Rastegar-Pouryani et al., 2008, Ahmadzadeh et al., 2013, Kamali 2013, Uetz and Hošek 2014, Rastegar-Pouryani et al., 2015). In the latter half of the 20th century, natural ecosystems in Iran had to deal with major environmental damage (for a review, see Firouz, 2005). Nevertheless, due to the shortage of biodiversity data (including snake diversity), serious conservation problems remain unsolved.

1.4.2 Conserving reptiles facing climate change

Amphibians and reptiles are considered as one of the most vulnerable taxonomic groups in regards to climate change (Gibbons et al., 2000; Araújo et al., 2006; Wake, 2007). Numerous studies have examined the potential changes in species’ distribution in response to warming temperatures among different taxonomic groups (Walther et al., 2002; Root et al., 2003; Parmesan 2006). However, the limited research currently available on reptilian responses to climate change is predominantly restricted to the evaluation of elevational changes, mostly at local or regional scales (Pounds et al., 1999; Raxworthy et al., 2008).

The study of indigenous herpetofauna in the field is vital for the conservation and our understanding of reptile ecology in a changing landscape. The ecology and biology of poikilothermic species are indeed mainly driven by temperature (Huey & Kingsolver 1989; Navas et al., 2013). Also, translocation success rates for amphibians and reptiles are generally lower than those for mammals and birds (Platenberg and Griffiths, 1999; Dodd and Seigel, 1991; Griffith et al., 1989). These animals are therefore particularly vulnerable to changes in climatic conditions (Sinervo et al., 2010). As a result of the direct and indirect effects of climate change, marked declines of reptile and amphibian populations, and in some cases extinctions (Whitfield et al., 2007; Reading et al., 2010; Sinervo et al., 2010), have been reported worldwide (Gibbons et al., 2000; Blaustein et al., 2001; Pounds et al., 2006; Bosch et al., 2007; Wake, 2007).

As sex determination and incubation success in most reptile species are temperature-dependent (Janzen, 1994; Mitchell & Janzen, 2010), climate change could directly modify population structures through ecophysiological processes, leading to reduced hatching success, phenological shifts in the breeding season, and possibly local extinctions (see also Clusella-Trullas & Chown, 2011; Hawkes et al., 2009; López-Luna et al., 2015). Examples of
temperature-dependent sex determination have been documented in reptiles, (e.g. Janzen, 1994). For instance, global warming has been suggested to be a major threat to loggerhead turtles (Caretta caretta) of North America. If these turtles fail to change their nesting sites or oviposition season, climate change may result in feminization of clutches under the scenario of 2 °C temperature increase, or lead to lethal incubation temperatures above 3 °C increase in temperatures, (Shoop & Kenney, 1992; Heppell et al., 2003; Hawkes et al., 2007).

Among vertebrates, arid-dwelling diurnal reptiles are known to be highly vulnerable to climate change, given their ectothermic physiology, unique environmental requirements for metabolism and reproduction, higher possibility of exposure to drought conditions, and relatively sedentary lifestyle (Root and Schneider, 2002; Hannah et al., 2005; Barrows et al., 2010). It has been predicted that by 2080, some 20% of species of lizards may suffer partial or entire loss of their thermal niche within their current range (Sinervo et al., 2010; for a controversial discussion, see Clusella-Trullas & Chown, 2011).

Rather than adapting to new climatic conditions, species may tend to change their geographic distributions because climate change is occurring too rapidly to allow for genetic adaptations to develop (Bradshaw & Holzapfel 2006). Consequently, survival of species will depend largely on their ability to change their distributions (Foden et al., 2008), and geographic barriers or low dispersal ability will put species at a higher risk of extinction (Massot et al., 2008). If reptiles cannot change their geographic distributions, they will be forced to contract their range or be doomed to extinction, whereas if they can disperse, they will avoid extinction and may even expand their distribution range (Thomas et al., 2004; Araújo et al., 2006). Araújo et al (2006) did a study on the potential impacts of global warming on European reptiles and found that, although initial range expansion was possible, populations across the continent would be at risk if proper habitat is not available.

Endemic species are of particular ecological and cultural significance on national and global levels. Thus, areas with high concentrations of endemic populations take major priority for conservation and management and are worthy of scientific investigation (Dudley 2008). Yet, lack of species distribution data hinders the proposal of practical conservation measures. Thus, in-depth research must be conducted on species’ niches to identify potential areas for their future distributions.

A study by Yousefi et al. (2019) in Iran pointed to the fact that among different taxa (mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fish, insects, plants), reptiles were found to be most vulnerable and prone to loss of their distribution ranges under the effect of climate change. Of the 14 reptile species in their study, 11 are likely to be negatively threatened by climate change,
whereas three others, possibly the more thermophilic species, would not be affected. Also, According to Dastorani and Poormohammadi (2016), the highest increase in temperature will occur in north-west of Iran by the year 2099. Thus, comprehensive research must be done in priority on species and habitats in this part of the country, which hosts a great deal of endemic species likely to suffer considerable restriction of their climatic niche with climate change.

Evaluating the effectiveness of protected areas in current and future climate conditions is also a principal task of conservation biologists. As protected areas are designed based on current distribution of species, their efficacy will diminish as species distributions will change in response to climatic changes. When designing new protected areas or expanding current protected areas, covering a broader range of altitudinal gradients (Malakoutikhah et al., 2018) would enable species to track their suitable habitats poleward (higher latitudes) or upward (higher altitudes) within the limits of the protected areas (Halpin, 1997). In this aim, new protected areas can be designed based on outputs of niche modeling studies that allow to predict the impact of climate change on the distribution of indicator species (Hannah, 2008).
1.5 Outline and aims of this thesis

The main purposes of this thesis are to study the influence of evolutionary history and climatic changes on the structure and distribution of several Iranian snakes as well as to understand how evolutionary processes can be incorporated into conservation planning to yield more effective protected areas and enhance our ability to protect biodiversity efficiently under changing climatic conditions. As species models, I choose several snake species from Iranian mountains for which sampling deficiencies and lack of data have limited the researches.

The present thesis consists of five chapters as follows:

Chapter 1: General context concerning knowledge gaps related to biodiversity with emphasis on reptiles, in the western Palearctic in general and in Iran in particular, their implications for conservation, as well as the effects of climate change and the geological processes acting on biodiversity evolution.

Chapter 2: Presentation of Iran as a transition zone, of two important biodiversity hotspots in Iran and their remarkable biodiversity values, as well as the role that these areas play in snake evolution during geological periods and Quaternary climatic oscillations.

Chapter 3: Interpretation of the main results of a phylogenetic and phylogeographic analyses of three species belonging to the genera *Natrix*, *Hemorrhois*, and *Gloydius*, and analysis of the role played by geological, geographical and Quaternary climatic processes in divergence and diversification of the species studied.

Chapter 4: Evaluation of the contribution of Species Distribution Models to prediction of distribution dynamics in undersampled countries and taxa, utilization of these models to provide a first approximation of the current distributions and future suitable areas for seven undersampled snake species in the context of climate change, and finally, providing better understanding and directions towards safeguarding future patterns of snake diversity in Iran through filling information gaps.

Chapter 5: This chapter attempts to synthesize the main results of this thesis in integrating the key findings, discussing the limitations, and highlighting avenues for future research, notably with respect to conservation issues.

In summary, the main objectives of this thesis are:

1. Assessing the relative influences of evolutionary history and current ecological processes on the spatial distribution and composition of snake assemblages in Iranian mountains
2. Trying to uncover the genetic structure for three snake species (*Natrix tessellata, Hemorrhois raviergeri* and *Gloydius caucasicus*) and propose an evolutionary scenario explaining the current patterns observed.

3. Reassessing the taxonomic status of these species

4. Assessing the role of climate change on the isolation of populations within the guilds of mountainous Snakes in the Alborz and Zagros Mountains

5. Defining Evolutionarily Significant Units (ESU) for developing the network of protected areas in Iran, revealing unique haplotypes and isolated lineages

6. Assessing the role of the current network of protected areas in Iran to include future climatic envelopes for snake species under global warming scenarios


Chapter 2:
Presentation of Iran and effects of historical events on Iranian biodiversity
Résumé :

Dans ce chapitre je présente un aperçu général de l’Iran incluant l’évolution de la tectonique de la plaque iranienne, la géographie physique du plateau iranien, l’impact des changements climatiques du Quaternaire, la diversité des serpents iraniens selon les unités écologiques ainsi que la sélection des espèces qui seront étudiées.

Le plateau iranien présente un paysage accidenté de haute altitude qui est situé entre les plaques eurasienne et arabique. La collision entre ces deux plaques est estimée s’être déroulée de -65 Ma à -5-10 Ma. A la même époque, la mer Téthys s’est asséchée, phénomène qui a été suivi par l’érection de la plaque iranienne, et notamment les montagnes du centre de l’Iran. De plus, le retrait de la mer entre l’océan Indopacifique et la mer Méditerranée s’est achevé il y environ 5 Ma, ce qui coïncide avec l’élévation du Zagros et de la plaque iranienne et l’émergence de l’Alborz. On estime que de nombreux épisodes migratoires se sont produits durant cette période, parallèlement aux fluctuations climatiques, changements de précipitation et variations d’aridité. Ces bouleversements tectoniques ont entrainé la création de nouveaux habitats (niches) dans les régions montagneuses d’Iran. Durant l’orogénèse Pyrénéenne, le plateau iranien a été compressé, ce qui a entrainé la création d’un bassin intérieur entouré de massifs. Il en a résulté la formation de grands lacs qui ont perduré jusqu’au Quaternaire et qui ont constitué des barrières à la dispersion des espèces. Les fluctuations du Quaternaire se sont traduites par l’alternance de périodes sèches et humides. Durant les périodes froides et humides, la réduction de l’évaporation et l’augmentation des précipitations ont entraîné une élévation du niveau de l’eau tandis que durant les périodes interglaciaires sèches, les régions de basse altitude sont devenues arides ou réduites à des habitats saisonniers. Sous l’influence de ces épisodes, les montagnes majeures de l’Iran se sont formées et se sont finalement individualisées.

La remarquable biodiversité de l’Iran est largement expliquée par sa position géographique située à la jonction entre trois régions biogéographiques : le Paléarctique et les domaines Afrotropical et Oriental. Par conséquent, l’Iran est caractérisé par une grande richesse de serpents comparativement aux pays voisins. Les taxons occupant les zones arides du Paléarctique austral sont reconnus comme des espèces Saharo-Sindiennes tandis que celles occupant les régions montagneuses de la partie anatolienne de l’Asie occidentale en Turquie et Iran, jusqu’à l’Afghanistan et le Pakistan font partie des espèces Irano-turaniennes. Au total, 83 espèces de serpents appartenant à 35 genres et sept familles sont connues en Iran. Cette diversité semble
principalement liée à la diversité des climats et des végétations ainsi qu’à la diversité des écorégions terrestres d’Iran. La répartition d’environ 67% (55 espèces) des serpents iraniens se trouve dans le Paléarctique, parmi lesquels 12% (10 espèces) sont des espèces endémiques d’Iran. Excepté pour les espèces d’origine Paléarctique, le reste des serpents iraniens appartient à d’autres domaines biogéographiques (Afrotropical et Indomalais).


Peu d’étude concernant les relations phylogénétiques, les patrons phylogéographiques et la modélisation de leur répartition ont été menées sur les serpents des montagnes d’Iran. C’est pourquoi je me suis intéressée à ces diverses questions, notamment en ce qui concerne trois espèces, *Natrix tessellata*, *Hemorrhois ravergieri* et *Gloydius halys* (chapitre 3), dont la systématique est ambiguë et pour lesquelles les études précédentes n’incluaient pas ou peu d’échantillons iraniens. De plus, l’étude détaillée d’espèces sous-étudiées peut permettre d’approfondir nos connaissances concernant la façon dont la diversité génétique des serpents a été façonnée par le climat et les événements géologiques. Etant donné le rôle important joué par ces événements dans la répartition des serpents et par l’importance des montagnes iraniennes dans la diversité et l’endémisme en Iran, on suspecte que les effets des fluctuations climatiques actuelles auront des conséquences importantes sur la diversité des reptiles d’Iran. Pour cette raison, j’ai sélectionné un total de sept espèces en tant que groupe de serpents iraniens représentant la guilda des habitats de montagnes pour modéliser leur distribution actuelle et future (chapitre 4). J’ai identifié cinq espèces de Colubridae incluant *Platyceps najadum*, *Natrix tessellata*, *Malpolon insignitus*, *Hemorrhois ravergieri*, et *Eirenis punctatolineatus*, et deux espèces de vipères de la famille des Viperidae, incluant *Macrovipera lebetina* et le complexe d’espèce *Montivipera raddei* (*M. latifi*, *M. kuhrangica*, *M. raddei*).
2.1. Tectonic evolution of the Iranian plate

Iran is part of an elevated, rugged land that is geomorphologically referred to as the Iranian Plateau that encompasses Iran, Afghanistan, as well as parts of Iraq and Pakistan and that is situated between the Eurasian Plate to the north and the Arabian Plate to the south. The continental compression between these plates gave rise to a massive orogenic system that triggered the formation of several mountain chains in the Iranian Plateau including the Alborz, Zagros, and Kopet Dagh Mountains (Fig. 2.2). Allen and Armstrong (2008) postulated that following the desiccation of the Tethys Ocean in early Miocene (ca. 19 Mya), the plates collided. This collision provided mechanisms for global cooling and reduction of atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} levels. Varying phases have been presented for the timing of the Arabia-Eurasia collision, ranging between 65 Mya (Berberian and Berberian, 1981; Berberian and King, 1981), 35 Mya (Allen and Armstrong, 2008), 35 to 20 Mya (Agard et al., 2005; Mouthereau et al., 2007a), and 10 or 5 Mya (James and Wynd, 1965).

Following the shrinkage of the Tethys, the African and the Eurasian (Anatolian, Arabian and Iranian plates) plates collided during early Miocene (Okay et al., 2010; Harzhauser et al., 2007, Amer and Kumazawa, 2005, Rogl, 1999). This led to the formation of the Iranian and Turkish plateaus, and consequently the rise of the Gomphotherium land bridge (Fig. 2.1). Figure 2.1 shows a Paleogeographical perspective on the formation of the Paratethys and the Mediterranean Sea during the Oligocene and Miocene, adapted from Roger (1999).

The sea retreat between the Indo-Pacific Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea ended approximately 5 Mya, which also coincided with the Zagros/Iranian Plateau uplift and the exhumation in north central Alborz (Axen et al., 2001). During this period, the collision of tectonic plates, which lasted until late Miocene (5 Mya), formed the Alps (Allen et al., 2004). It has been suggested that a multitude of migration episodes had frequently occurred during these periods, which coincided with climatic fluctuations, changes in precipitation and aridity patterns of the Earth and different rates of crustal thickening and uplift of tectonic plates. Such tectonic rearrangements created new habitats (niches) and mountains including the uplift of the Zagros and Alborz Mountains (McQuarrie et al. 2003, Homke et al. 2004, Fakhari et al. 2007, Guest et al. 2007, Ritz et al. 2006, Shabanian et al. 2009, Djamali et al. 2012). By middle Pleistocene, the continued uplift of the alpine belt, more extensive monsoonal patterns, and stronger glacial-interglacial variations further aridified central and southwestern Asia.
During the Miocene, several mountains were formed in Iran and levels of marine open waters declined. At the same time, the Tethys Ocean desiccated and Asia aridified; which, together with the uplift of the Alborz and Zagros mountains and tectonic rearrangements of the Iranian Plateau during late Oligocene to late Miocene (25–12 Mya), created new habitats and possibilities for Asian species radiation (Hellwig 2004, Barres et al. 2013). Higher elevations of the Iranian Plateau exhibit higher endemism rates (Noroozi et al. 2011). The high mountain endemism in Iran may be the result of the mountain uplift in the Alborz and Zagros, the orographic isolation and the absence of larger ice-shields during the glacial periods (Farhani et al., 2018). Also, it is evident that a marine gateway connecting the Mediterranean Sea and the Indo-Pacific Ocean remained open until early Miocene in Central Iran (Taleghani, 2013).

During the Pyrenean orogeny (55 to 25 Mya), the Iranian Plateau was compressed, creating a lower interior basin surrounded by a complex of massifs. As a result, central Iran was submerged by large lakes which served as a barrier to species dispersal and persisted until the Quaternary period (Taleghani, 2013). The effects of Quaternary climatic fluctuations are reflected in dry and wet cycles. During cold and wet Quaternary periods, reduced evaporation and increased precipitation caused water levels to rise, whereas during dry and inter-glacial periods, low-altitude regions became arid or were confined to seasonal habitats (Taleghani, 2013).
Figure 2.1 depicts a paleogeographical perspective on the formation of the Paratethys and the Mediterranean during the Oligocene and Miocene, adapted from Roger (1999). A: Northward movement of the Indian subcontinent led to the disappearance of the Tethys Ocean. B: Formation of the Paratethys Sea. Plate tectonics along the Alpine belt and movement of India into Asia resulted in the formation of the Paratethys Sea and the southern portion of Mediterranean orogeny. C: Obstruction of open seaways isolated the Paratethys for the first time. D: Waterways were reopened in upper Oligocene, connecting the Paratethys to open waters through the Peri-Alpine seaway. E: Closure of the Slovanian channel between the Mediterranean and central Paratethys. F: Africa and the Arabian plate rotated, and eventually collided with Eurasia, closing marine corridors between the Pacific and Indian oceans. Gomphotherium landbridge connected Eurasia and Arabia for the first time. G: The Alpine Foredeep and the Transylvanian Basin emerged from water while a small portion of the Paratethys maintained its connection with the Mediterranean Sea. H:
Indo-Pacific recurrence. A temporary channel opened to the Indian Ocean. The middle Miocene transgression refilled the Mediterranean and Paratethys. I: The Paratethys salinity. Due to the Serravallian regression and tectonic activity, the Mediterranean was finally severed from the Indian Ocean. The eastern portion of the Paratethys became an isolated low-salinity basin which contained fauna endemic to the Karaganian Sea. J: The last flooding event in the Paratethys. K: As the open seaway closed, the Paratethys ceased to be a marine environment. L: The Pannonian Lake formed and the Paratethys was eventually isolated.

2.2. Physical geography of Iranian Plateau

According to Fisher (1968) and Taleghani (2013), the Iranian Plateau formed in late Eocene, middle-upper Miocene and late Pliocene under the influence of different phases of the Alpine folding, and eventually gained independence during the last Quaternary Alpine orogenic phase. Table 2.1 summarizes the geological sequences of Iran and the role of the Alpine orogeny in its formation.

The Alpine Orogenic system extends from south of Europe toward Turkey and the Zagros Mountains in Iran to reach the Hindu-Kush Himalayan belt. The Alpine orogeny separates the Saharan-Arabian zone from the arid portions of the northern hemisphere and the Turanian-Central Asian region. From a geographical perspective, Iran is comparable to a bowl with a lower interior basin surrounded by a complex of massifs. The Zagros Mountains, the most significant massif of Iran, stretches from northwestern to southeastern Iran, covering the western portion of the country. The Zagros system is comprised of northern, central (the highest) and southern sections. Toward the east, the Central Iranian Mountains are located relatively parallel to the Zagros system in a northwest-southeast orientation. Toward the west of the Zagros range, lowlands of the western foothills of the Zagros Mountains (Iran-Iraq border) are found (Fig2.2).

A series of narrower but steeper chains extend from north of Zagros to the east and form the Talysh-Azerbaijan, Alborz and Kopet Dagh Mountains, collectively known as the Northern Iranian Mountains. The Talysh-Azerbaijan Mountains, located along the southern border of Azerbaijan, are separated from the Alborz Mountains by the gorge of the Sefid-Rud River. The Alborz mountain chain, the oldest massif of Iran, extends from the west to the east and is comprised of three main parts (eastern, central and western Alborz). The Kopet Dagh Mountains form a relatively elongated system of parallel ridges in northeast of Iran.

Since the disappearance of the Tethys Ocean, the arid and high Central Plateau of Iran dominates the formerly submerged interior (average elevation 900 m). The closed basins of the
plateau are entirely surrounded by mountains and ridges. The dry-up of the Tethys Ocean during Quaternary climatic fluctuations left behind two vast deserts in this region: the salt-encrusted Kavir Desert in the north center and the gravel-and-sand Lut Desert in the southeast of Iran (Fig. 2.2), intermixed with salt lakes and salt marshes in their lower parts.

The major lowland areas of Iran are the Caspian plain in the north and the Khuzestan plain in the southwest. The Caspian plain is a narrow but long plain with a subtropical climate located between the Caspian Sea, the Alborz Mountains and the Talysh-Azerbaijan Mountains. The Khuzestan plain is a triangular prolongation of the Mesopotamian plain and stretches from the Persian Gulf to the Western Zagros foothills (Fig 2.2).
Table 2.1. Major tectonic phases of Iran during geological periods. Although the Iranian plate has been present throughout these periods, the current relief structure of Iran is mainly the product of the Alpine orogeny (Taleghani 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Year (Mya)</th>
<th>Orogenic period</th>
<th>Orogenic phase</th>
<th>Orogenic events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Holocene</td>
<td>10 Kya</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alpine</td>
<td>- Completion of the relief structure of Iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pleistocene</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cenozoic</td>
<td>Pliocene</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Initiation of Zagros and Kopet Dagh folding – formation of the relief structure of Iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Uplift of central, eastern and Alborz Mountains – formation of interior basins of Iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oligocene</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eocene</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paleocene</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oceanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesozoic</td>
<td>Cretaceous</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>Alpine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jurassic</td>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triassic</td>
<td>225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleozoic</td>
<td>Permian</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>Hercynian</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aridification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carboniferous</td>
<td>345</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Devonian</td>
<td>395</td>
<td></td>
<td>Caledonian</td>
<td>Aridification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Silurian</td>
<td>430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ordovician</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cambrian</td>
<td>570</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precambrian</td>
<td>Infracambrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Huronian</td>
<td>- Formation of Iran’s basement rock platform – dissection of Iran’s basement rock platform – development of Iran’s morphotectonic units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proterozoic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Katangan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Archeozoic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carolian</td>
<td>- Metamorphosis of the oldest rocks of Iran</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. Impact of Quaternary climate change

The current geological era, the Quaternary, is characterized by glaciation events (for more information visit http://quaternary.stratigraphy.org). Most of North Africa and the Middle East underwent interchanging dry and wet periods during glacial and interglacial periods (Schuster et al., 2006). The last glacial period, known as the Riss-Würm glaciation, reached its peak 18,000–21,000 years before present (known as the Last Glacial Maximum, LGM), during which the Sahara and the Middle East experienced severe dry conditions, more arid than the regions’ current climate (Ehlers and Gibbard, 2004). However, 9000-5000 before present, during the Holocene Climatic Optimum (HGO), the regions’ climate become more humid, surpassing the current conditions.
(Kaufman et al., 2004). Such climatic oscillations during the Quaternary played a major role in species’ distribution. Thus, glacial cycles produced successive contractions (in refugial areas) and expansions (through recolonization routes) of populations that left a genetic structure and signature characteristic of the past demography of taxa (Avise 2000, Hewitt 2000). In the Palearctic, the three major southern Mediterranean peninsulas (Iberia, Italy, and Balkans) were long thought to have served as major refugia for European flora and fauna during Pleistocene glaciations (Hewitt, 2000). During interglacial periods, more favorable environmental conditions allowed the expansion populations from their refugia into new territories, originating areas of secondary contact zones (e.g. Hewitt, 2011). It is also known that this general pattern mostly characterizes temperate-adapted taxa whereas cold-adapted taxa generally show the opposite pattern as they are confined in refugia during interglacials.

These effects have been well studied for European and North American biota and several LGM refugia have been reconstructed based on different methodological approaches and for various taxonomic groups (e.g., Avise 2000; Stewart et al. 2010). Unfortunately, in Iran, few studies have focused on species’ distribution in response to climatic fluctuations and their potential impact on Iran’s endemic biota is still poorly known. Our understanding of the timing, frequency, dimensions and direction of past Quaternary climatic changes in western Asia, particularly Iran, is still in its infancy and little is known about the responses of organisms to Quaternary climatic oscillations and the climatic and tectonic events of this period.

Nevertheless, the semi-arid to sub-humid climatic conditions in many parts of Iran serve as suitable field laboratories for investigating past changes in Quaternary climates. Glaciers, loess sediments, lake deposits, landscape evolution, soil formation, tree rings and other paleoclimate proxies provide essential insights that allow us to draw inferences about past Quaternary climates. Recently, new stratigraphic evidence has been derived from loess deposits in north of Iran and lake sediments in west of Iran that act as ideal archives of past climates. The Central Plateau of Iran was once covered by a huge lake, which was dried during Quaternary climatic fluctuations. Several other paleoclimate proxies, such as cave formations, tree ring thickness in forests of the Alborz and Zagros Mountains, and Central Plateau are yet to be studied in detail (Kehl 2009). Investigation of past climatic events in the Iranian Plateau using phylogeographic and molecular studies could provide a fundamental basis for understanding patterns of species distribution (see chapter 3).
2.4. Diversity of Iranian snakes in ecological units

The remarkable biodiversity of Iran is largely explained by its geographic location at the contact zone of three biogeographic realms, hosting species from Palearctic, Afrotropical and Oriental origins. Consequently, Iran is characterized by high richness of snake species when compared to neighboring countries. The distribution of about 67 percent (55 species) of Iranian snakes is confined to the Palearctic. Of this, 12 percent (10 species) are restricted to Iran as endemic species. Except for species of Palearctic origin, the remaining Iranian snakes hail from other biogeographic regions, including Afrotropical and Indomalayan. Taxa inhabiting the arid parts of southern Palearctic are recognized as Saharo-Sindian species, while those occupying the mountainous regions from the Anatolian part of west Asia in Turkey through Iran, reaching the southern Hindu Kush Mountains known as the Sulaiman mountain range in Afghanistan and Pakistan are known as Irano-Turanian (Anderson, 1999). In total, 83 snake species belonging to 35 genera and seven families have been reported in Iran. This pattern of biodiversity seems mainly related to the climate (Appendix 2.1) and vegetation (Appendix 2.2) variability and the diversity of terrestrial ecoregions in Iran.

Following Rajabizadeh (2017), the diversity of Iranian snakes was classified into eight ecological units (Fig. 2.3).

- **Alborz Mountains**

  The first unit includes the Alborz Mountains (C in Fig.2.3a) with 36 snake species and the northern slopes adjoining the Caspian Sea (B) with 21 snake species. These mountains are divided into three sections: western Alborz, central Alborz, and eastern Alborz. The elevation of Alborz varies from 26 m below sea level at the Caspian Sea to 5671 m above sea level at Mt. Damavand, Iran’s highest peak, on the northern slopes of the Alborz. While the southern slopes of the Alborz Mountains are usually semiarid or arid, with irregular and low precipitation, the northern slopes of the range are usually humid (B), especially in the western parts of Central Alborz. In the southern slopes or the Alborz Range forest steppe ecoregion, the higher elevations are arid with few trees whereas the northern slopes, the Caspian Hyrcanian mixed forests ecoregion is lush and forested. The natural vegetation of this region grows in distinct zones: the Hyrcanian forests on the lowest levels, beech forests in the middle zone, and oak forests in higher regions. The highest annual precipitation is received by the Caspian lowlands (B). The main rainy period in Iran is from
October to May, except for south-west of the Caspian region which receives high precipitation year-round (>1,000 mm/annum, maximum 1,800 mm) (Appendix 2.1). In the Caspian lowlands, the precipitation rates of the northern ranges of the Alborz and the northwestern part of the Zagros Mountains are often between 1000 - 1200 mm. The northern slopes of the Alborz Mountains are characterized by high amounts of precipitation (between 500 and 1500 mm per year).

- **Zagros Mountains**

  The second unit includes the Zagros Mountains (F in Fig. 2.3a), which is divided into three sections of northern Zagros, central Zagros, and central Zagros. With 46 species, this unit hosts the highest number and diversity of snake species. The Zagros has several high peaks, among which Dena (4409 m) is the highest. The elevation of the Zagros ranges from 2,000 m to over 4,000 m at its peaks. The climate of the Zagros Mountains differs from the neighboring regions, receiving much higher precipitation (between 500 and 1500 mm per year) than the lowlands to the west and east of the Zagros. At elevations higher than 1500 m, much of the precipitation occurs in the form of snow during late autumn, winter and early spring (Noroozi et al., 2011). Of the 10 endemic snake species, nine occur in the Zagros Mountains and one in the Alborz. This is possibly because Zagros and Alborz have acted as vital Quaternary refugia in the past and are therefore notable for their rich biodiversity and high endemicity (Ahmadzadeh et al., 2013; Ahmadi et al., 2019; Behrooz et al., 2018; Asadi et al., 2019).

- **Central mountains of Iran**

  Eastern Zagros is connected to central mountains of Iran. The interior basin or Iran's central plateau is a triangular, highly elevated and arid plain (mean elevation is above 900 meter) that separates the Alborz and Zagros mountain chains. Central Iranian mountains (E and D1 in Fig. 2.3a) are located in this part of Iran and host 34 snake species. Much of the present surface of this region was once occupied by large lakes. During the Quaternary, water levels fluctuated considerably. As a result, today the northern and the eastern central plateau are covered by Iran's central Kavir (salt land) and Lut desert. Only the lowest parts of the basins are occupied by residual salt lakes, or marshes, and the rest became dry.
- **Kopet Dagh Mountains**

The fourth unit comprises of Kopet Dagh Mountains (D3 in Fig. 2.3a) in northeastern Iran. The Kopet Dagh Mountains were formed during the Alpine-Himalayan orogeny in a northwest-southeast direction and is geologically similar to the Zagros. It contains 29 snake species and several studies, revealed that the species inhabiting the Kopet Dagh often exhibit morphological and molecular differences such as it has been shown for *Natric tessellata* (Rajabizadeh et al, 2011). The Kopet Dagh has a mean annual precipitation of 450 mm and is covered by sparse forests.

- **Azerbaijan Mountains**

The fifth unit, the Azerbaijan Mountains (A in Fig. 2.3a) in northwestern Iran contains 22 snake species. This unit is located at the meeting point of the Alborz and Zagros in northwest of the Iranian Plateau. The elevation of the mountains situated at the center of this unit ranges from approximately 1000 – 2500 meters. Mean annual precipitation varies from 200-800 mm/yr. According to Rögl (1999), following the retreat of the Paratethys, this region likely served as the first corridor connecting the Iranian Plateau to Anatolian fault zone (Fig 2.1 K).

- **Zabol and Persian Gulf plains**

Units of Zabol plain (H in Fig. 2.3a) and Persian Gulf plain (G) contained 16 and 43 snake species, respectively. These units are characterized by different ecosystems and extremely low elevations compared to mountainous units and their faunal inhabitants are often nocturnal as an adaptation to the extreme heat.
Figure 2.3 shows the map (a) of Iranian ecological units (adapted from Rajabizadeh 2017) and the diagram (b) of snake diversity in the ecological units.
2.5. Behavioral patterns of mountains snakes

In terms of daily behavioral patterns, snakes are divided into diurnal and nocturnal species. Snakes in warm and arid areas are often nocturnal while montane species such as *Eirenis* sp. and *Montivipera* sp. species are often diurnal, yet exceptions such as nocturnal montane *Macrovipera* sp. also exist. The daily behavior of snakes can change according to habitat conditions; for example, *Macrovipera lebetina* is only observed during the day in cold mountains. Similarly, diurnal snakes, such as those in *Hemorrhois* sp. and *Platyceps* sp., exhibit nocturnal activity during the hotter months of the year. Other species display intermediate behavior and are active around dusk or dawn, likely as a response to habitat conditions and ambient temperatures. The daily activity of diurnal snakes often begins with basking to increase body temperature, making this time the most suited for observing snakes. In hot weather, these snakes seek shelter from the midday sun under rocks and shrubs. The peak activity of diurnal snakes often occurs before noon and in the evening. Nocturnal snakes are mostly found in warmer regions such as Iran’s central plateau (D1), the eastern mountains and the southern regions close to the Persian Gulf (G1-G2), the Oman Sea (G3). These snakes begin their activity at sunset and are usually active until midnight. In these regions, snakes are active year-round, but in northern latitudes with distinct seasons, seasonal patterns of activity are seen. Unfortunately, information about the nocturnal snakes of Iran is insufficient and the available information is mostly focused on diurnal species.

In the mountainous area where we conducted our study, the seasonal changes in snakes’ behavioral patterns are more noticeable. In spring, snakes are able to remain active for several hours because of the mild temperatures. As the weather warms in summer, snakes spend the majority of their day resting on the ground in shaded locations. With the decrease in temperature during autumn, snakes once again become more active and replenish their energy reserves to survive harsh winter conditions and move to mountainous areas with rocky outcrops. This movement to higher elevations is referred to as altitudinal migration and is seen in montane species, particularly those in genus *Montivipera* sp. During winter, snakes hibernate, ceasing to feed or drink, accompanied by reduction of metabolism and lowered respiration and heart rate. As the weather warms during late winter and early spring, snakes leave their shelters and move to lower elevations and plains, disperse in their habitats, and begin their mating activity.
2.6. Selection of studied snakes

The study of snakes in Iran has reached a new phase since 2000 and researchers have devoted much attention to this particular field due to the increasing number of new records of new species. The number of reptile species reported in Iran is increasing rapidly, with 31 newly described species between 2000 and 2014 (see Safaei-Mahroo et al., 2015 for review), which motivated herpetologists to conduct more comprehensive studies with a stronger molecular, morphological and taxonomic techniques. Yet, several taxa still lack in-depth assessments. Nevertheless, Rajabizadeh (2018) gave an accurate description of the status and identification of Iranian snakes in his book, which was a major contribution to the relevant research field. A comprehensive review of available research on Iranian snakes highlights the paucity of data on the taxonomy of Iranian snakes, particularly among morphologically variable species, such as species of the genera *Gloydius*, *Hemorrhois*, *Platyceps* and *Macrovipera* (Wagner et al., 2016; Schätti et al., 2001; Schätti et al., 1991; Schätti and Agasian, 1985). In contrast, some other genera are composed of morphologically similar but highly isolated populations of snakes in Iran, such as small-sized members of the genera *Lytorhynchus*, and *Eirenis* (Schmidtler and Eiselt, 1991; Schmidtler and Lanza, 1990; Eiselt, 1971). Furthermore, snake species with wide distribution ranges are also subjected to taxonomic uncertainties, such as species of the genera *Hemorrhois*, *Natrix* and *Dolichophis* (Gruschwitz et al., 1999).

Consequently, I became interested in studying the phylogeny and phylogeography of a number of Iranian snake species with taxonomic ambiguities such as *Natrix tessellata*, *Hemorrhois ravergieri* and *Gloydius halys* (chapter 3) for which previous studies had failed to include Iranian samples. In addition, insights into understudied species of Palearctic origin could deepen our understanding of the role Iranian mountains may have played in shaping the genetic diversity of species during the Quaternary and older periods. Such knowledge greatly contributes to our future assessment of Iran’s biodiversity as well as illumination of cryptic herpetofaunal biodiversity in the region. Given the important role of climatic and geological events in shaping the distribution of snake species and the significance of these mountains to biodiversity and endemicity, we suspect the effect of climatic fluctuations will be substantial on the reptilian diversity of Iran. For this reason, I selected a total of seven snake species as a group of Iranian snakes as guild species for the mountainous habitats. I identified five species as focal species of the Colubridae family, including *Platyceps najadum*, *Natrix tessellata*, *Malpolon insignitus*, *Hemorrhois ravergieri*, and
Eirenis punctatolineatus, as well as two viper species of the Viperidae family, including Macrovipera lebetina and the Montivipera raddei species complex (M. latifi, M. kuhrangica, M. raddei) (chapter 4).
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Chapitre 3 :
Phylogénie et phylogéographie comparative de trois serpents Iraniens
3.1. Introduction

La conservation de la faune de squamates en Iran doit faire face à plusieurs défis importants comme le manque de connaissance concernant le nombre d’espèces réellement présentes en Iran, les menaces pesant sur chaque espèce, la distribution des populations, leur taille et tendances démographiques ainsi que leur statut de conservation. De 2015 à 2019 le nombre d’espèces en Iran est passé de 79 à 83 et ce nombre progresse comme le montre la découverte que j’ai faite durant mes sessions de terrain d’une nouvelle localité pour *Eirenis thospitis* (la couleuvre naine du Lac de Van) à la limite Turquie-Iran (Asadi *et al*., en révision). De l’avis des herpétologistes, il est clair que le nombre de nouvelles espèces, de nouveaux signalements, de nouvelles études moléculaires en Iran est en constante augmentation. Notamment la délimitation des espèces basée sur l’utilisation des techniques moléculaires a grandement contribué à la découverte de nouveaux taxons (Bickford *et al*., 2006). Cependant, les études récentes indiquent que 12% des espèces de serpents pourraient être menacées d’extinction à l’échelle mondiale alors même que le risque réel est vraisemblablement plus important pour les serpents en raison du manque de connaissances, des difficultés d’échantillonnage et de leur morphologie très conservée (Böhm *et al*., 2013). Il en résulte que de nombreuses espèces pourraient disparaitre avant même d’avoir pu être identifiées.

La formation des chaînes de montagne du Zagros et de l’Alborz constitue des événements géologiques qui ont grandement influencé la biodiversité des serpents dans les montagnes de l’ouest et du nord de l’Iran. Le soulèvement de ces 2 chaînes de montagne ainsi que les oscillations climatiques du Pléistocène sont les facteurs les plus importants expliquant l’isolement des serpents dans les montagnes de l’ouest et du nord Iran. C’est pourquoi la reconstruction de l’histoire évolutive des serpents dans le Zagros et l’Alborz dans le contexte général du Paléarctique reste à faire sur la base de données moléculaires afin d’avoir une idée plus précise de la structuration des espèces. La même chose est vraie au niveau des sous-espèces en ce qui concerne l’analyse des fluctuations durant la période du Quaternaire par modélisation de leurs niches écologiques en liaison avec la variabilité génétique des populations actuelles (Ahmadzadeh *et al*., 2013).

Dans ce chapitre, je vais aborder la phylogénie, la phylogéographie comparative et la révision taxonomique de trois espèces de serpents, *Natrix tessellata*, *Hemorrhois ravergieri* et *Gloydius caucasicus* sur leur aire de distribution globale. Au-delà de la mise en évidence de la structure génétique sur la base de séquences du Cytochrome b (Cyt b) et de la sous-unité 4 de la NADH déshydrogénase (ND4), l’objectif est aussi d’avoir une meilleure compréhension de l’impact des événements géologiques et des cycles glaciaires du Quaternaire sur la biodiversité.
des points chauds en Iran. De plus, l’étude d’espèces généralement sous-étudiées comme les serpents du Paléarctique pourrait renforcer notre compréhension du rôle que les montagnes iraniennes ont pu jouer en façonnant la diversité génétique des espèces au cours des temps géologiques. De plus, ces espèces occupent une grande variété d’habitats entre 30m et 3000m d’altitude en Iran et elles représentent donc un gradient altitudinal intéressant à étudier. Les objectifs majeurs de ce chapitre (voir aussi le chapitre 5-Discussion générale) sont les suivants:

1- Délimiter géographiquement les lignées évolutives mises en évidence pour les 3 espèces en Iran
2- Améliorer la connaissance des espèces sur la base de modèles de différentiation phylogéographique afin de reconstruire leur évolution dans le contexte du Paléarctique
3- Evaluer le statut taxonomique des espèces sur la base de méthodes phylogénétiques statistiques
4- Mettre en évidence les patrons historiques de différentiation afin de définir des ESUs (Evolutionarily Significant Units) sur la base de la structure génétique et la distribution des lignées iraniennes afin de proposer des recommandations primordiales dans le cadre d’une conservation efficace

3.1.1. Les taxons étudiés

- Gloydius caucasicus (Nikolsky, 1916):
(Shakoori et al. 2015), il reste toutefois un groupe d’espèces énigmatique. La difficulté provient de la description récente d’une espèce génétiquement et morphologiquement distincte (Gloydius rickmersi ; Wagner et al., 2016), négligeant le fait que la diversité globale à l’intérieur du complexe est très vraisemblablement sous-estimée. De plus, Wagner et al. (2016) ont proposé d’élèver le statut du crotale du Caucase de sous-espèce à celui d’espèce, ce qui a ensuite été accepté par Shi et al. (2016, 2017). En outre Shi et al. (2016) ont aussi proposé d’élèver les deux sous-espèces G. h. cognatus and G. h. stejnegeri au rang d’espèce, ce qui a par la suite été réaffirmé par Shi et al. (2017).

Figure 3.1.1. Carte de la distribution globale du complexe d’espèces G. halys. (Sindaco et al., 2013)

- *Natrix tessellata* (Laurenti, 1768):

Dans la famille des Colubridae, la sous-famille Natricinae comprend notamment le genre *Natrix* composé de quatre espèces : *N. natrix* (Linnaeus, 1758), *N. maura* (Linnaeus, 1758), *N. tessellata* (Laurenti, 1768) et *N. astreptophora* (Seoane, 1884). La répartition de la couleuvre tesselée, *Natrix tessellata*, s’étend de l’Allemagne à la Suisse jusqu’aux Balkans, le Proche-Orient, la Russie, l’Ukraine et l’Asie centrale jusqu’au nord-ouest de la Chine (Fig. 3.1.2) (Bannikov et al., 1977; Gruschwitz et al., 1999; Arnold and Ovenden, 2002; ). Le genre *Natrix* est vraisemblablement originaire d’Asie australée et toutes les études phylogénétiques et phylogéographiques (Guicking et al., 2006, 2009; Barata et al., 2008; Guicking and Joger, 2011; Rastegar-pouyani, 2018) ont montré que *N. tessellata* inclut une lignée iranienne qui
représente la lignée la plus basale du groupe ce qui suggère que l’Iran ou des régions proches constituerait l’origine géographique de l’espèce actuelle. Cette espèce est un taxon bien adapté pour aborder des questions de phylogéographie en Eurasie en raison de sa vaste distribution dans le Paléartique au sein de laquelle l’Iran occupe une position centrale. *N. tessellata* est distribué dans tout l’Iran à l’exception de la zone aride du sud-est (Guicking et al., 2009). Cependant, étant donné la grande variété d’habitats et de niches occupée par l’espèce ainsi que la variation morphologique considérable à travers l’Iran, la probabilité de l’existence de lignées cryptiques est élevée en Iran.

Figure 3.1.2. Carte de la distribution globale de *Natrix tessellata*. (Sindaco et al., 2013)

- *Hemorrhois ravergieri* (MÉNÉTRIES, 1832).

Les couleuvres du genre *Hemorrhois* appartiennent à la famille des Colubridae et incluent quatre espèces, à savoir *Hemorrhois ravergieri* (MÉNÉTRIES, 1832), *H. nummifer* (REUSS, 1834) *H. hippocrepis* (LINNAEUS, 1758) and *H. algirus* (JAN, 1863). Ces espèces occupent différents habitats et montrent des aires de distribution relativement limitées en Asie, sud de l’Europe et Afrique du Nord (Schweiger, 1991; Wallach et al., 2014) (Fig. 3.1.3). Néanmoins, deux espèces, *H. ravergieri* et *H. nummifer*, se rencontrent en Iran où elles occupent une large aire de répartition. Ces deux espèces montrent une variation considérable de la couleur du corps entre les différentes populations iraniennes, particulièrement chez les spécimens des montagnes Zagros et Alborz. Les ambiguïtés phylogénétiques persistent aussi car, à l’exception
de différence dans le nombre des écailles dorsales (Ghazi Khanlou and Kami, 2013), les études morphométriques ne permettent pas de distinguer biosystématiquement ces deux espèces. Jusqu’à maintenant aucune étude phylogénétique ou phylogéographique n’a été conduite sur *H. ravergieri* et par conséquent notre étude est restreinte à l’Iran, pays pour lequel nous avons pu collecté des échantillons.

![Figure 3.1.3. Carte de la distribution globale d’*Hemorrhois ravergieri*. (Sindaco et al., 2013)](image)

### 3.1.2. **Echantillonnage des trois espèces**

J’ai moi-même collecté les échantillons analysés ici en particulier grâce à l’appui logistique apporté par le Department of Environmental Science (Université de Téhéran) dirigé par le professeur Mohammad Kaboli.

Trois missions de terrain ont été effectuées: du 9 mai au 20 août 2016 dans les montagnes du nord de l’Iran (Azerbaïdjan et Zagros), du 30 mars au 16 septembre 2017 dans le centre et le sud du Zagros et du 1 avril au 21 juillet 2018 (mission soutenue par une bourse de terrain EPHE) pour compléter l’échantillonnage des deux missions précédentes. Un total de 151 spécimens pour les trois espèces ont été échantillonnés (Figure 3.1.4 et Table 3.1.1).
Table 3.1.1. Nombre total d’individus échantillonnés

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nombre d’individus</th>
<th>Taxon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td><em>Gloydius halys</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td><em>Natrix tessellata</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td><em>Hemorrhois sp.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3.1.4. Localités échantillonnées dans l’Alborz et le Zagros.

Les sites d’échantillonnage ont été sélectionnés sur la base du livre « Serpents d’Iran » (Latifi, 2000), de l’avis d’experts de chacune des régions et des conseils donnés par les gardes des parcs ou de la population locale. Après localisation d’un habitat favorable, la recherche des serpents s’est effectuée du lever au coucher du soleil à l’exception des heures les plus chaudes de la journée pendant lesquelles les serpents de montagne ont peu d’activité. Les serpents sont attrapés à l’aide d’un crochet à serpents et le port de gants de pompier est indispensable pour pouvoir les manipuler sur le terrain. Après capture, chaque serpent a été placé dans un sac et transporté dans un endroit sûr afin de les photographier, mesurer et prélever un échantillon de tissus (Figure 3.1.5) Chaque prélèvement, constitué de trois fragments du bord extérieur des écailles ventrales, a été immédiatement fixé et
conservé dans un tube d’éthanol à 96% incluant aussi les informations sur l’espèce, la localisation de l’échantillon et l’heure d’échantillonnage.

Les serpents étaient ensuite immédiatement relachés à leur point de capture. Toutes les méthodes de prélèvement ont été effectuées conformément aux directives et réglementations en vigueur et les échantillonnages ont été réalisés avec l’autorisation du Département de l’Environnement iranien (DOE, permits No. 60345/94). Cet échantillonnage de terrain a été complété avec 144 échantillons (morceau de peau ou bout de queue) provenant des collections du Département de l’Environnement ou bien de collections privées (voir liste complète des échantillons en Appendix 3.1)

Figure 3.1.5. Le crochet à serpent, les gants et outils nécessaires pour réaliser l’échantillonnage sur le terrain.

3.1.3. Amplification par PCR et séquençage des gènes étudiés

L’ADN génomique a été isolé à partir des échantillons en utilisant des protocoles conformément à leur type biologique. L’ADN présent dans les échantillons de tissus recoltés est emprisonné dans un maillage épais de kératine dont il faut se débarrasser pour ne garder que la molécule en double hélice qui nous intéresse. C’est pourquoi, dans le souci d’extraire un maximum d’ADN total sans contaminer les individus entre eux, un protocole d’extraction en colonne individuelle a été choisi préférentiellement à d’autres protocoles d’extraction (chelex et/ou par plaque) dans la mesure du possible. Le kit DNeasy® Tissue QIAGEN a ainsi été utilisé selon les recommandations du fabricant. Le produit d’extraction a été contrôlé sur la photo prise d’un gel d’agarose pour vérifier si l’extraction a bien marché ou pas.

L’ADN extrait des tissus est présent en trop faible quantité pour être étudié directement. La technique de Réaction de Polymérisation en Chaîne (PCR : acronym en syntaxe anglo-saxonne) découverte par Kary Mullis en 1985 nous permet d’amplifier certaines portions –
choisis au préalable – de l’ADN. J’ai ainsi travaillé sur les deux types d’ADN que l’on trouve dans une cellule animale : des séquences d’ADN mitochondrial (ADNmt) et d’ADN nucléaire (ADNnc). Deux fragments d’ADN du génome mitochondrial incluant 1125 paires de bases (pb) du cyt b et 678 pb de la ND4 ont été amplifiés. De plus, trois fragments de gènes nucléaires ont été séquencés : le proto-oncogène c-mos (567 pb) pour *G. caucasicus*, le récepteur1 de la MelanoCortine (MC1R, 524 pb) et la Tropomyosine (Trop, 452 pb) pour *N. tessellata* et *H. ravergerieri*. Tous les gènes ont été amplifiés par PCR et séquencés en utilisant les amorces définies dans notre étude ou dans des études précédentes (voir Table 3.1.2. et Appendix 3.2).

Table 3.1.2. Liste des amorces utilisées pour l’amplification et le séquençage des gènes étudiés.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Primer</th>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ND4</td>
<td>CACCTATGACTACAAAGCTCATGTAGAAGC</td>
<td>ND4</td>
<td>ARÉVALO <em>et al.</em> (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H12763</td>
<td>TTCTATCACTGGATTTGCACCA</td>
<td>ND4</td>
<td>ARÉVALO <em>et al.</em>, 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glo-ND-F</td>
<td>3’ TCT GCC TAG CCA ACA CAA CCT ATG 5’</td>
<td>ND4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glo-ND-R</td>
<td>5’ GGT TGG TTA GTA GTC ATC ATC AGG 3’</td>
<td>ND4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hem-ND-F</td>
<td>3’ TCA GCA CTT TTC TGC YTA GCC 5’</td>
<td>ND4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hem-ND-R</td>
<td>5’ GGG CAC CAG TGT AAT AGT GAT G 3’</td>
<td>ND4</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L14910</td>
<td>GACCTGTGATMTGAAAAACCAYCGTGTGT</td>
<td>cyt b</td>
<td>Burbrink <em>et al.</em>, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H16064</td>
<td>CTTTGGTTTACAAGACAATGCTTTTA</td>
<td>cyt b</td>
<td>Burbrink <em>et al.</em>, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glo-CB-F</td>
<td>3’ CTT CAC TTC ATC CTT CCA TTC G 5’</td>
<td>cyt b</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLO-CB-R</td>
<td>5’ CTG AGT TTG TGG CCA GGG GG 3’</td>
<td>cyt b</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hem-CB-F</td>
<td>3’ GGA CAA ATA TCA TCA TG T 5’ (RGCAGC)</td>
<td>cyt b</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hem-CB-R</td>
<td>5’ GGG TGT CTT TTC TGT GAG AGT G 3’</td>
<td>cyt b</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC1R-PF</td>
<td>GGCNGCCATYGTCAAGAACCAGGAACC</td>
<td>Melanocortin receptor</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC1R-PR</td>
<td>CTCCGRAAGGCTAAATNATTGGGTCCAC</td>
<td>Melanocortin receptor</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TROPVF</td>
<td>TCTGGCCTGTGCTTTAAGGGAGT</td>
<td>Tropomyosin</td>
<td>Barlow <em>et al.</em>, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TROPVR2</td>
<td>CTCTCACCCCTACGGGGTCGGG</td>
<td>Tropomyosin</td>
<td>Barlow <em>et al.</em>, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S77</td>
<td>CAT GGA CTG GGA TCA TTT ATG</td>
<td>c-mos</td>
<td>Lawson <em>et al.</em>, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S78</td>
<td>CCT TGG GTG TGA TTT TCT CAC CT</td>
<td>c-mos</td>
<td>Lawson <em>et al.</em>, 2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Evolutionary history and postglacial colonization of an Asian pit viper (*Gloydius halys caucasicus*) into Transcaucasia revealed by phylogenetic and phylogeographic analyses

Résumé en français:

Il est largement accepté que les cycles glaciaires du Pléistocène ont façonné la structure et la distribution actuelles des taxons et ce dans toutes les régions tempérées nordiques. De nombreuses études ont montré que les lignées actuellement présentes dans l’ancien monde occupent des régions qui étaient autrefois recouvertes par la calotte glaciaire. Au fur et à mesure de l’avancée des glaciers, les populations ont été repoussées vers des zones de refuges dans les régions du sud, à partir desquelles les populations se sont dispersées quand de nouveaux habitats sont devenus disponibles durant les phases interglaciaires. Si les effets des oscillations climatiques du Quaternaire sur la faune et la flore sont bien connus dans l’ouest du Paléarctique, les études phylogéographiques sont beaucoup plus rares dans le Moyen-Orient et notamment en Iran. De plus, l’étude d’espèces généralement sous-étudiées comme les serpents pourrait renforcer notre compréhension du rôle joué par les montagnes iraniennes et les variations climatiques sur la structure et la distribution actuelles des serpents.

Le crotale du Caucase, *Gloydius caucasicus*, est présent en Azerbaidjan ainsi que dans les régions au nord-est et nord-ouest de l’Iran. Il fait partie du complexe d’espèce *G. halys/G. intermedius* qui représente un groupe de crotales étroitement apparentés de la sous-famille des Crotalinae (Viperidae) incluant neuf espèces ou sous-espèces. Son aire de distribution est assez vaste dans le Paléarctique qui va de l’Azerbaidjan et l’Iran jusqu’à la Chine. Bien que ce complexe ait fait l’objet de nombreuses études phylogénétiques, morphologiques, écologiques et de croisements en captivité, il reste toutefois un groupe d’espèces énigmatique.

Dans cette étude, je présente les relations phylogénétiques et phylogeographiques ainsi que la biogéographie historique du crotale du Caucase, *G. h. caucasicus*, sur la base de séquences de deux gènes mitochondriaux (*cyt b et ND4*) et d’un gène nucléaire (*c-mos*). Les objectifs ont été de (i) mettre en évidence les différentes lignées évolutives pour ce crotale et de délimiter leur distribution spatiale du nord-est au nord-ouest de l’Iran et de l’Azerbaidjan, (ii) comparer plusieurs scenarios biogéographiques (un ou plusieurs refuges ainsi que des flux géniques unico- ou bidirectionnels) en utilisant des simulations de coalescence basées sur la diversification des lignées observées, (iii) évaluer son statut taxonomique au sein du complexe d’espèce *G.
halys/G. intermedius sur la base de méthodes phylogénétiques statistiques; (iv) révéler les patrons de dispersion historiques vers la Transcaucasie et définir des ESUs sur la base de nos résultats. Etant donné la structure génétique et la répartition des lignées iraniennes, nous proposons plusieurs recommandations pour une conservation efficace de toutes les lignées phylogénétiques significatives observées pour le crotale du Caucase en Iran.

Notre étude a identifié quatre lignées en Iran pour G. h. caucasicus qui sont distribuées le long des pentes de l’Alborz (du nord-est au nord-ouest) et le sud de l’Azerbaïdjan (montagnes du Talysh). La diversification de G. caucasicus par rapport aux autres espèces du complexe s’est produite au cours du Pliocène supérieur (1.89 Ma, 95% HPD: 1.20–2.70 Ma), tandis que la divergence entre les populations de G. caucasicus dans le nord de l’Iran s’est faite en trois phases au cours du Pléistocène, ce qui révèle les effets des oscillations climatiques sur l’isolement des populations. Durant le Pléistocène inférieur (2.5–1.84 Ma), le climat était plus froid et plus sec comparativement au Miocène moyen (16.4–11.2 Ma). Nos résultats mettent en évidence une expansion des populations suivie par leur isolement dans quatre zones refuges au cours des oscillations paléoclimatiques du Quaternaire, ce que confirment les datations moléculaires et les analyses de biogéographie historique. Les analyses de simulations basées sur la coalescence confirmant l’arrivée de l’espèce à partir du nord-est de l’Iran jusqu’au bord ouest de l’Alborz, puis vers la Transcaucasie via 2 directions par les pentes nord et sud de l’Alborz.

Les distances génétiques entre les différents taxons du complexe G. halys/G. intermedius sont comprises entre 1.0 et 5.4 %, ce qui est conforme aux résultats obtenus par Shi et al. (2006). Ces mêmes auteurs ont estimé la distance génétique entre les trois taxons G. stejnegeri, G. cognatus, et G. h. halys entre 2.7 et 5.0%. Cependant, la distance génétique moyenne entre les quatre clades iraniens de G. h. caucasicus et les autres espèces varient entre 3.7% et 5.6%, ce qui est même supérieur à la distance observée par Shi et al. (2006) entre G. cognatus et G. stejnegeri (2.7–4.84%). De plus, la distance génétique entre G. changdaoensis, G. intermedius, G. shedaoensis, G. cognatus, G. stejnegeri, et G. caraganus varie de 1.0% à 5.4%, alors que la distance génétique moyenne entre les quatre clades iraniens est comprise entre 4.2% et 5.2%. Ces résultats sont aussi visibles sur le réseau d’haplotypes. Par conséquent, nos analyses indiquent clairement que G. h. caucasicus devrait être élevé au rang d’espèce et donc être nommé G. caucasicus (Nikolsky, 1916).

Parmi les nombreuses définitions attachées au concept d’ESUs, nous avons adopté celle centrée sur les petites populations de Fraser and Bernatchez (2001) selon laquelle des lignées à répartition restreinte et flux génique limité doivent être considérées comme des ESUs à
conserver. Par conséquent, nous proposons que les quatre lignées isolées identifiées pour *G. caucasicus* dans le nord de l’Iran soient considérées comme des ESUs. Ces quatre lignées incluent les ESUs (i) de Kopeh-Dagh qui comprend les montagnes du Hezar Masjид et les provinces de Khorasan et Golestan, (ii) du Parc National Lar (centre de l’Alborz) qui inclut la région de Lar ainsi que la province de Mazandaran, (iii) du Centre Alborz qui englobe les pentes nord et ouest des montagnes de l’Alborz dans les provinces ouest-Mazandaran et est-Gilan, et (iv) de la région dans l’ouest de l’Alborz-Azerbaïdjan qui comprend les provinces ouest-Gilan et Ardebil.

*G. caucasicus* n’est pas sur la liste des espèces protégées du Département Iranien de l’Environnement. Le manque d’information et la connaissance limitée des serpents venimeux a instillé une peur profonde de ces animaux chez les populations locales et même chez les gardes des parcs, peur qui les conduit généralement à tuer l’animal à leur première rencontre. Par conséquent, nous adressons plusieurs recommandations au Département Iranien de l’Environnement: (i) établir et déclarer de nouvelles aires protégées qui prendraient en compte les quatre lignées identifiées dans notre étude au sein de l’aire de distribution du crotale du Caucase, (ii) établir des zones sûres dans les aires protégées actuelles qui comprendraient les habitats favorables à cette espèce, (iii) empêcher ou réduire la chasse légale et la collecte de venin dans les populations de crotale du Caucase jusqu’à ce que les populations se soient rétablies, (iv) mettre cette espèce sur la liste des espèces protégées par les lois du Département Iranien de l’Environnement et réduire le commerce international illégal en l’intégrant dans les appendices de la CITES.
Evolutionary history and postglacial colonization of an Asian pit viper (*Gloydius halys caucasicus*) into Transcaucasia revealed by phylogenetic and phylogeographic analyses

Atefeh Asadi1, Claudine Montgelard1,5, Masoud Nazarizadeh2, Akram Moghaddasi2, Faezeh Fatemizadeh2, Evgeniy Simonov3, Haji Gholi Kami4 & Mohammad Kaboli2

It has been generally acknowledged that glacial climates at the time of the Pleistocene altered the patterns of species distributions, prompting latitudinal and altitudinal distribution shifts in several species, including poikilothermic species commonly known for their thermal sensitivity. However, the historical phylogeographic patterns of such species have remained largely unknown. Here, we present the historical biogeographic, phylogenetic, and phylogeographic relationships of the Caucasian pit viper, *G. h. caucasicus*, based on two mtDNA (cyt b and ND4) and one nDNA (c-mos) genes. This pit viper represents the westernmost member of the Crotalinae subfamily in the Palearctic and occurs in a variety of habitats, from 30 m to 3,000 m above sea level. In Iran, it is distributed on the northern and southern slopes of the Alborz Mountains, rendering it a target for phylogenetic and phylogeographic studies of a terrestrial poikilothermic animal. Our study identified four Iranian lineages of *G. h. caucasicus* along the northeastern to northwestern slopes of the Alborz Mountains and southern Azerbaijan (Talysh Mountains). Diversification of the Iranian lineages highlights population expansion and subsequent isolation into four plausible refugial areas during the Quaternary paleo-climatic oscillations, confirmed by our molecular dating and historical biogeographic analyses. The results of coalescence-based simulations support the incursion of the species from northeastern Iran to the western end of the Alborz, and then toward Transcaucasia via two directions: northern and southern slopes of the Alborz Mountains. Furthermore, our results clearly implied that *G. h. caucasicus* should be elevated to species rank and further referred to as *G. caucasicus* (Nikolsky, 1916).

It has been widely accepted that different glacial events during the Pleistocene have shaped the current phylogeographical structure and distribution of taxa throughout northern temperate regions1–4. Many studies have highlighted that lineages currently occupying the Old World had formerly inhabited areas which were later covered by ice sheets during glaciation events. As glaciers advanced, populations were driven toward southern glacial refugia5,6, where they subsequently dispersed into newly available habitats during interglacial periods5,6.
Iran is a mountainous country with more than half of its mainland covered by mountains. The Alborz encompasses a series of mountain ranges extending from northwestern to northeastern Iran. The formation of the Alborz Mountains was first initiated during the Paleocene and the rugged landscape of the Alborz took shape during the early Cenozoic. This uplift was the outcome of an expansive movement throughout Iran as well as the Caucasian Mountains. In addition, the Hycanian forests along the northern slopes of the Alborz Mountains and southern coasts of the Caspian Sea rank among the most important forest remnants in western Eurasia and are characterized by having one of the most ecologically valuable biodiversity hotspots in the Middle East.

Recent research indicates that continental glaciers in Iran during the Pleistocene, as well as the current climate change in northern Iran, could have, respectively, led to latitudinal and altitudinal distribution shifts in a number of species, particularly poikilothermic animals, which are noted for their sensitivity to changes in temperature. The Caucasian pit viper Gloydius halys caucasicus (Nikolsky, 1916) is distributed throughout southeastern Azerbaijan, southern Turkmenistan (Kopet Dagh Mountains), from northeastern to northwestern Iran, and northwestern Afghanistan, and is relatively common across the Alborz Mountains. This viper is a member of the G. halys/G. intermedium species complex, which represents a group of closely related vipers of the Crotalinae subfamily (Viperidae), including a total of nine taxa: G. halys halys, G. h. caucasicus, G. caragumus, G. cognatus, G. stejnegeri, G. rickmersi, G. shedaensis, G. changdaensis, and G. intermedium. With a widespread range in the Palearctic, they inhabit a spectrum of various biotopes distributed across an extensive territory from Azerbaijan and Iran through several countries of Central Asia to eastern Siberia, Mongolia, and China. Although this complex has been the focus of numerous phylogenetic, morphological, ecological, and captive-breeding studies, it remains an enigmatic species group. The intricacy arises out of a recent discovery of a morphologically and genetically distinct species, evincing the fact that the diversity within this complex is most likely underestimated. Additionally, Wagner et al. proposed the elevation of the Caucasian pit viper from subspecies to species rank. This was later accepted by Shi et al. (2016, 2017). Moreover, Shi et al. argued for elevation of two other subspecies G. h. cognatus and G. h. stejnegeri, to the full species rank, which was later reaffirmed by Shi et al.

The Caucasian pit viper occupies a diverse range of habitat types, from 30 m to about 3,000 m above sea level, within northern and southern slopes of the Alborz Mountains, thus serving as an ideal example to evaluate phylogenetic and phylogeographic patterns of a terrestrial poikilothermic species in northern Iran. Even though this species has been under intensive exploitation for venom milking by the Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute since 1924, the details of its evolutionary history and population structure have remained poorly understood to this day.

Developments in molecular taxonomy and barcoding techniques allow rapid detection of cryptic diversity. It has been demonstrated that combining molecular, morphological, ecological, and biological data is a crucial key to detecting cryptic species, especially in less well-known areas. Moreover, the growing concern toward conservation of genetic diversity calls for accurately defining evolutionary significant units (ESUs) based on evolutionary histories of relevant taxa (see for a review of ESU definitions), as species-based units cannot always prove applicable to all conservation strategies. Thus, phylogenetic and phylogeographic inferences are applied to conservation planning below the species level.

In this study, we sought to better understand the phylogeny, phylogeography, and taxonomic reassessment of G. h. caucasicus across its entire distribution range in Iran and Azerbaijan, using partial mtDNA sequences of the Cytochrome b (cyt b) and NADH dehydrogenase subunit 4 (ND4) genes, as well as the nuclear proto oncocene c-mos. (i) delineated the entire genetically defined evolutionary lineages of this viper and their spatial distribution from northeastern to northwestern Iran and southern Azerbaijan. We (ii) performed coalescent simulations to compare several historical biogeographical hypotheses (single refugium or multiple refugia, along with one-way or two-way gene flows) that involve lineage diversification within this viper. Then, we (iii) used statistical phylogenetic methods to evaluate the taxonomic status of this subspecies within the G. halys/G. intermedium species complex. Finally, (iv) we used our analyses to uncover patterns of historical migration of the species to Transcaucasia and to define ESUs of this viper. Given the genetic structure and distribution of the Iranian lineages, we proposed some recommendations for effective conservation of all phylogenetically significant lineages of the Caucasian pit viper in Iran.

Results
Phylogenetic reconstruction. In total, 1618 aligned positions including cyt b and ND4 were analyzed with 1352 invariable, 36 singleton, and 250 parsimony-informative sites, along with a total of 48 haplotype sites, 24 of which belonged to G. h. caucasicus. However, the highly conserved nuclear c-mos fragment with a sequence length of 567 bp showed only 563 invariable, three singleton, one parsimony-informative sites, and a total of three haplotype sites. No insertions, deletions, or stop codons were detected. Furthermore, base composition was estimated T = 26.7%, C = 32.2%, A = 30.0%, and G = 11.1% for mtDNA genes, and T = 29.4%, C = 19.2%, A = 29.8%, and G = 21.5% for nDNA gene. Based on HKA tests, the levels of DNa polymorphism in our mtDNA dataset conformed to expectations of neutral evolution. HKA tests were non-significant for the ND4 (N = 84 ingroup sequences, χ² = 0.015, P = 0.901) and the cyt b (N = 88 ingroup sequences, χ² = 0.001, P = 0.973) datasets. Moreover, The PHI test revealed no statistically significant proof for nuclear recombination P = 0.207.

PartitionFinder found three subset partitions for the three genes; (i) ND4-pos1/cyt b-pos2/c-mos-pos1, (ii) ND4-pos2/cyt b-pos3/c-mos-pos2, and (iii) ND4-pos3/cyt b-pos1/c-mos-pos3 with the best-fitting models of nucleotide substitution TRN + G, HKY + G, and HKY + I, respectively. This partitioning strategy was used for both the Bayesian Inference (BI) and Maximum Likelihood (ML) analyses. The resulting phylogenetic trees obtained by both methods were congruent in the branching pattern (Fig. 1). The basal divergence of G. changdaensis from the remaining species of the complex was well supported (BI posterior probability = 1 and ML bootstrap value = 100). In addition, east Asian (G. h. halys, G. cognatus, G. stejnegeri, G. intermedium, and
G. shedaoensis and west Asian (G. h. caucasicus, G. caraganus, and G. rickmersi) vipers of the complex formed well-differentiated clades supported by high values of posterior probability (0.99) and bootstrap support (96.6). All lineages of G. h. caucasicus constitute a monophyletic group that is positioned as a sister clade to G. rickmersi and G. caraganus with high support (1.0 posterior probability and 100 bootstrap support). Moreover, the Kopet Dagh-Eastern Alborz (KD-EA) lineage formed a strongly well-supported clade with the other three Caucasian clades. However, the Western Alborz-Azerbaijan (WA-Az) lineage formed a separate clade with moderate bootstrap (72.3) and posterior probability (0.69) support, whereas the Lar National Park-Central Alborz (LarNP-CA) lineage and the Central Alborz (CA) separated from one another with high posterior probability (1) and bootstrap (100) support.

Furthermore, all samples of G. h. halys formed a well-supported clade, which, together with the remaining taxa (G. cognatus, G. stejnegeri, G. intermedius, and G. shedaoensis) emerged as a moderately supported clade (posterior probability of 0.75 and bootstrap support of 72.4). In all, 12 clades were identified for the G. halys/G. intermedius complex, consisting of eight well-supported (KD-EA, LarNP-CA, and WA-Az lineages of G. h. caucasicus, along with G. intermedius, G. shedaoensis, G. rickmersi, G. caraganus and G. changadaoensis) and four moderately-supported (CA lineage of G. h. caucasicus, G. h. halys, G. cognatus, and G. stejnegeri) clades (Fig. 1).

Figure 1. Bayesian 50% majority-rule consensus tree reconstructed from concatenated mtDNA (cyt b + ND4) + nDNA (c-mos) dataset (in congruence with ML tree in terms of the branching pattern and the positions of the clades), using six outgroups (G. brevicaudus, G. blomhoffi, G. tsushimaensis, G. ussuriensis, D. acutus, and T. sichuanensis). Nodal support presented at nodes indicate Bayesian posterior probability (left) by MrBayes, and ML bootstrap (right) using IQ-TREE.
According to our second scenario, we did not obtain high Bayesian posterior probabilities for the four Iranian clades of *G. h. caucasicus* (0.93–95%) in our BPP analysis, under the three models with small and large ancestral sizes, as well as deep and shallow divergences. Moreover, the genetic distances among the Iranian lineages including the two clades of Central Alborz (LarNP-CA and CA), the eastern clade (KD-EA), and the western clade (WE-Az) vary from 2.4–2.9% (the green cells in Table S3); however, the distance drops to 0.15% between the two clades of Central Alborz (LarNP-CA and CA), in concordance with node support values of BI and ML gene trees.

**Haplotype network.** Haplotypes plotted with TCS v1.21 on our mtDNA matrix (with 39 samples of *G. h. caucasicus* and 31 samples of the other taxa of *G. halys/G. intermedium* complex) revealed a significant divergence within the complex, in which *G. h. caucasicus* was distant from *G. cognatus* (49 mutational steps), *G. stejnegeri* (52 mutational steps), *G. h. halys* (67 mutational steps), *G. caragana* (84 mutational steps), *G. changdaoensis* (82 mutational steps), *G. intermedium* (65 mutational steps) and *G. shedaoensis* (71 mutational steps) (Fig. 2). Within *G. h. caucasicus*, in accordance with the phylogenetic tree, we found four significant clusters from northeastern Iran to western Alborz-Azerbaijan. These four clusters were separated from each other by 18–40 mutational steps. Most central haplotypes in LarNP-CA, CA, and WA-Az clusters were shared by 2–5 individuals, while within the KD-EA cluster, haplotypes mostly corresponded to single individuals. The WA-Az cluster included six closely related haplotypes comprising the most western known locality for the complex in the western Palearctic.

Maximum and minimum nucleotide diversity was attributed to CA and LarNP-CA lineages, respectively. Additionally, haplotype diversity ranged from 0.667 to 0.978 in WA-Az and KD-EA lineages, respectively (Table 1).

**Spatial genetic structure of *G. h. caucasicus* lineages in northern Iran and Azerbaijan.** Using the concatenated mtDNA + nDNA dataset, the BAPS results divided the samples into the four clusters concordant with the phylogenetic tree and haplotype network; (i) KD-EA including Hezar Masjid Mountains, Khorasan, and Golestan provinces, (ii) LarNP-CA comprising eastern and central parts of Mazandaran province as well as Lar National Park, (iii) CA containing western Mazandaran and eastern Gilan provinces, and (iv) WA-Az including western Gilan and Ardabil provinces, as well as the southern mountains of Azerbaijan. Additionally, AMOVA on our concatenated mtDNA dataset proved a high rate of variation (89.38%) among the four clusters, and the fixation index (FST) confirmed a significant genetic structure among the clusters (Table S1).

**Divergence time.** Our molecular clock dating based on concatenated mtDNA + nDNA dataset revealed that *G. h. caucasicus* separated from its sister clade (*G. caragana* and *G. rickmersi*) in the early Pleistocene (1.89 Myr, 95% HPD: 1.20–2.70 Myr; node A, Fig. 3), while divergence within *G. h. caucasicus* commenced in the mid-Pleistocene (1.25 Myr, 95% HPD: 0.73–1.83 Myr), for which three main diversifications can be defined. The first period of diversification corresponds to the separation of the KD-EA from northeastern Iran and the other lineages (node B, Fig. 3) in the Calabrian stage. The second split between CA and WA-Az lineages (node C, Fig. 3) occurred at 1.09 Myr (95% HPD: 0.64–1.64 Myr) during the same stage as node B. The last divergence occurred at the center of Alborz where two lineages (CA and LarNP-CA) diverged from each other at 0.68 Myr (95% HPD: 0.34–0.89 Myr, node D in Fig. 3) during the mid-Pleistocene (Ionian stage).

**Historical biogeography at the Palearctic scale.** Based on the Akaike information criterion, the historical biogeography analysis using the concatenated mtDNA + nDNA dataset revealed that the DIVALIKE + J model holds the strongest support compared to other analyses performed in BioGeoBEARS (Table S2) and dispersal, extinction, and cladogenesis parameters were estimated at 0.000431, 0.022, and 1.00E-12, respectively. We have found some allopatric speciation and founder-event speciation in evolution of this complex in different time slices (Fig. 4). Furthermore, among the four different scenarios tested, the second one (Scenario03 in Table S2) is characterized by the highest AICc value, under the DIVALIKE + J model (Fig. 4). The best hypothesis suggests that the ancestor of the complex was widespread through regions A and C at 2.30 (2.30–3.23) Mya, which went extinct at 2.61 (1.72–3.69) Mya from region A. Regions D and E were colonized from region G by the ancestor of *G. stejnegeri* and *G. cognatus* at 2.30 (1.52–3.22) Mya and 2.15 (1.44–3.06) Mya, respectively. In addition, regions B and F were separately colonized from regions D and E by the ancestors of *G. h. caucasicus* and *G. h. halys* at 1.89 (1.20–2.70) Mya and 1.83 (1.08–2.68) Mya.

**Coalescent analyses and simulations of *G. h. caucasicus*.** Both ABC coalescence simulations (direct and the logistic approaches) using the concatenated mtDNA + nDNA dataset led to the conclusion that the Scenario03 is the best supported scenario (PP = 0.844) compared to the other hypotheses (PP = 0.458 and 0.411). Furthermore, model choice validation using PODS indicates that adequate power exists for selecting the true hypothesis among competing hypotheses with an acceptable Type I error rate (35%) and low Type II error rate (16%). These results hint at the incursion of the species from northeastern Iran to the western end of the Alborz and then toward the southern mountains of Azerbaijan (Talysh Mountains) through two directions, via northern and southern slopes of the Alborz Mountains. Furthermore, our results may suggest that the observed regional phylogeographic pattern may likely reflect colonization into four allopatric refugia during the Pleistocene glaciation episodes.

**Species Distribution Modelling.** Our SDM based on the Biomod framework showed high average values of discrimination capacity (AUC = 0.93–0.97) and classification accuracy (TSS = 0.89–0.92) for the four modelling methods. The final ensemble model illustrates that the most suitable landscape for *G. h. caucasicus* is the southern and northern slopes of the Alborz Mountains (Fig. 5). The variables of proximity to forest cover, roughness, maximum temperature of the warmest month, annual precipitation, and temperature seasonality had the highest average contribution over the ten replications and four models, indicating that the suitable habitat for the species in northern Iran is characterized by both landscape-based (i.e. woody alpine habitats) and climatic variables.
We assessed the amount of protection granted to *G. h. caucasicus* in northern Iran by overlaying the Biomod suitability map with areas occupied by the lineages and the newest map of protected areas network of Iran, including national parks, protected areas, and wildlife refuges (Fig. 5). We estimated the effectiveness of the current protected area network to be 29.7% for KD-EA, 39.1% for LarNP-CA, 57.6% for CA, and 24.8% for WA-Az.

**Discussion**

**Reassessment of the taxonomic status of the Caucasian pit viper (*G. h. caucasicus***). Recently, a number of taxa formerly viewed as subspecies of *G. halys* have been raised to full species rank based on genetic evidence. Among these are *G. h. caraganus*, submitted for reconsideration as a species (*G. caraganus*) (Eichwald, 1831) by Wagner *et al.*17 and two other subspecies (*G. h. cognatus* and *G. h. stejnegeri*), elevated to full species rank by Shi *et al.*18,19. Simonov *et al.*10 proposed the elevation of all currently recognized subspecies, *G. halys* to species...
rank (including *G. h. caucasicus*), while emphasizing that "*G. saxatilis* and *G. intermedius* are synonymous*20*, and that *G. lijanlili* could be synonymised with *G. changdaoensis* due to the absence of genetic differentiation in their mitochondrial genes.

Genetic distances among taxa of the *G. halys/G. intermedius* complex (clade F in Shi *et al.*19) indicate that the distances vary from 1.0–5.4% (Fig. S1 and the blue cells in Table S3), which conforms to the results of Shi *et al.*19. They also estimated the genetic distance among *G. stejnegeri*, *G. cognatus*, and *G. h. halys* to range from 2.7–5.0%19. However, the average genetic distance between the four Iranian clades of *G. h. caucasicus* and the other species varies from 3.7–5.6% (Fig. S1 and the grey cells in Table S3), which is even greater than the distance observed by Shi *et al.*19 between *G. cognatus* and *G. stejnegeri* (2.7–4.84%). In addition, the genetic distance between *G. changdaoensis*, *G. intermedius*, *G. shedaoensis*, *G. cognatus*, *G. stejnegeri*, and *G. caraganus* varies from 1.0%–5.4% (the blue cells in Table S3), while the average genetic distance among the four Iranian clades of *G. h. caucasicus* and the other taxa in the complex varies from 4.2–5.2% (the grey cells in Table S3). This is also noticeable in the haplotype network (Fig. 2). The results suggest that *G. h. caucasicus* could be considered as a distinct species. This is reconfiﬁred by our Bayesian multi-species delimitation approach (under different scenarios), which strongly supported each of the nine previously delimited taxa in the complex with maximum Bayesian posterior probability (P = 1).

However, the pairwise genetic distance among the Iranian lineages of *G. h. caucasicus* (clades KD-EA, WE-Az, LarNP-CA, and CA) drops to 1.5–2.9% (Fig. S1 and the green cells in Table S3), as Bayesian posterior probabilities of our second scenario dropped to 0.93%–95% under all different priors.

Furthermore, Khani *et al.*25 separated three populations of *G. caucasicus* in the Alborz Mountains based on seven metric and 21 meristic traits. Their study showed that populations of eastern Alborz, Lar National Park and western Alborz, corresponding respectively to KD-EA, LarNP-CA, and WA-Az in our study, are signiﬁcantly different with respect to morphological traits. This means that they failed to differentiate between LarNP-CA and CA, apparently due to the lack of samples from Central Alborz (including western Mazandaran and eastern Gilan provinces).

However, the results of a study conducted by Malek-Mohammadi *et al.*25 based on a 775 bp D-Loop dataset did not find any significant distinction among populations of *G. caucasicus* in the Alborz Mountains25. Another study on the phylogenetic relationships of *G. caucasicus* in Iran using 629 bp of the cyt b gene from 16 individuals in north-east of Iran (Khorasan province) and Central Alborz (Lar National Park and Gachsar area) concludes that the species belongs to the *G. halys/G. intermedius* complex, within which controversial phylogenetic relationships still remain26.

They also suggest that all samples of *G. caucasicus* in northern Iran belong to a single population. However, the distinction between the *G. caucasicus* clade and other closely related clades (*G. intermedius*, "*G. saxatilis*", and *G. shedaoensis*) have low support (Pp = 0.36 and bootstrap values = 59%26). The genetic distance between *G. caucasicus* and the species previously mentioned was much lower in comparison to our findings (0.6%–0.9% versus 4.2%–5.2%). This discrepancy may result from taxonomic misidentiﬁcation for the sequences that Rastegar-Pouyani *et al.*36 obtained from GenBank. As a result of the high taxonomic uncertainty within this group, along with difficulties with morphological identiﬁcation of the taxa, many GenBank entries for this complex have erroneous taxonomic names. This mislabeling of species names may result in incorrect estimation of genetic distances between taxa.

Thus, in the light of molecular and morphological evidences, we argue that *G. h. caucasicus* in northern Iran should be elevated to species rank and further referred to as *G. caucasicus* (Nikolsky, 1916). We therefore refer to *G. caucasicus* as such and use this labeling throughout subsequent text.

### Allopatric divergence and dispersal during the Pleistocene oscillations.

**Diversification of the Gloydius genus.** In view of our biogeographical results, the DIVALIKE + I model was regarded as the most probable pattern of dispersal, vicariance, and extinction for the *Gloydius* genus in the Palearctic (Table S2, ScenarioHB 2). We found no evidence of ancestral range switching throughout the distribution range of the complex. Accordingly, this model appeared as the best biogeographical model of ancestral expansion for the complex46–48. During the Pleistocene, historical expansion of *Gloydius* populations was in alignment with dispersal patterns of other species occupying similar geographical distribution ranges. They all dispersed in a similar manner from the eastern Palearctic toward central regions and lower latitudes, a movement which led to the establishment of new populations in a discrete refugium46,47. Moreover, the absence or minor levels of gene flow among eastern (*G. h. halys*, *G. stejnegeri*, and *G. cognatus*) and central (*G. caucasicus*, *G. rickmersi*, and *G. caraganus*) Palearctic species around 1.0–2.8 Mya was proposed as the best biogeographical scenario based on AIC and AICc weight (Table S2), which could be indicative of vicariance due to geographical isolation of populations in multiple and isolated refugia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lineages</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>pi</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>G. h. caucasicus</em></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.02049</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kopet Dagh-Eastern Alborz (KD-EA)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Alborz (CA)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00247</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LarNP-Central Alborz (LarNP-CA)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.00186</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Alborz-Azerbaijan (WA-Az)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00194</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Number of haplotypes (p), nucleotide diversity (pi), haplotype diversity (h), and number of polymorphic sites (H) of the Caucasian pit viper lineages.
During the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), glaciers advanced upon lands toward lower latitudes and covered more than 30% of the earth's surface, creating a major impact on the overall climate of the planet, including Iran’s. Some geomorphological evidence suggests that Iran has substantially and profoundly altered during the Quaternary period. According to Ehlers, the climate of Iran has experienced a severe reduction in temperature, and consequently, a moderate increase in precipitation in montane habitats during the early Würm (approximately 100 kya). Kehl notes that the Quaternary climate in northern and western Iran remained dry and cold during glacial periods, while it was warm and wet during interglacial periods. The effects of glacial periods on mountains of Iran (including Azerbaijan, Kurdistan, Alam-Kouh, and Damavand) and adjacent

Figure 3. Chronogram of the *G. halys/G. intermedius* complex based on the concatenated mtDNA + nDNA dataset and a total of 114 sequences (89 Gloydius and 25 outgroups). Divergence times were estimated using a Lognormal clock and a Birth-Death Process model. The black chronogram inset on the top left corner displays dating of the entire dataset and the red star denotes the starting point of the evolutionary relationships shown by the phylogeny on the right. The pink circles represent nodes with posterior probability PP > 90%.
glacial mountains (Shirkouh, Zardkouh, Kerman mountains, Southern Alborz, and Northern Khorasan), and the presence of Loess soils in central Iran provide evidence for a past cold climate. Major glacial centers were located in Alam-kouh, Sabalan, borders of Iran-Turkey and Iran-Iraq, Zardukh, and possibly the Dinar Mountain in central Zagros. It has been estimated that during the LGM, temperature fluctuations in the Alborz and Zagros mountains, as well as central Iran ranged from five to eight degrees Celsius colder than present.

Figure 4. Ancestral range estimation for the *G. halyS/G. intermedius* complex using BioGeoBEARS under DIvalike + J model on the concatenated mtDNA + nDNA dataset. Colours are correspondent to the seven regions (explained in section 2.4.2). Yellow star indicates ML estimation of the center of origin of all taxa (latitude 43.308 and longitude 96.388, estimated by PhyloMapper 1b1) in the complex, the orange circle shows *G. shedaoensis* locality in Shedao Island, China, and black and red arrows present a hypothetical direction of dispersal supported by BioGeoBEAR results. Numbers refer to divergence times among taxa in million years (see Fig. 3). Polygons show approximate range of distribution of each species/subspecies. The red circle in Afghanistan refers to *G. h. boehmei* (Nilson, 1983), which is not included in our study.

Figure 5. Habitat suitability map of *G. h. caucasicus* populations in northern Iran. Coloured polygons represent areas occupied by each lineage. Crosshatch polygons show the network of protected areas of Iran and its overlap with the suitability map. Boundaries of lineages were detected by BAPS.
Diversification of Gloydius caucasicus. The BEAST analyses revealed that the divergence of *G. caucasicus* from other species of the complex occurred in the lower Pleistocene (1.89 Myr, 95% HPD: 1.20–2.70 Myr; Fig. 3), while the divergence among populations of *G. caucasicus* in northern Iran comprised three phases of divergence during the Pleistocene, which highlights the effects of climate oscillations on isolation of populations. During the early Pleistocene (2.5–1.84 Myr), Earth’s climate was cooler and drier compared to the mid-Pliocene. As a result of the reduction in Earth’s temperature, suitable habitats were confined to more southerly latitudes (40–50 degrees of latitude) and lower elevations. Given that *G. rickmersi* and *G. caragana* are sister clades to *G. caucasicus* (Fig. 1), it could be inferred that as a consequence of the cooling of high latitudes, one could expect gene flow to be driven toward more southerly latitudes such as Afghanistan and northeastern Iran (Fig. 4).

The first divergence due to vicariance in populations of *G. caucasicus* in northern Iran is estimated to have occurred around 1.25 Myr (95% HPD: 0.73–1.83 Myr). At that time, populations of central and western Alborz diverged from populations of the north-east. Results of ABC analyses suggested a bi-directional gene flow from northeastern Iran to northwestern and southern Azerbaijan through northern and southern slopes of the Alborz. Strikingly similar to the intraspecific divergence of *Montivipera raddei* species occurring 1.88 Myr in the Alborz, north-western and Zagros Mountains. Meanwhile, the second divergence occurred between clades of central Alborz and western Alborz (1.09; 95% HPD: 0.64–1.64 Myr). Most likely, following the first glacial maximum in the lower Pleistocene (1.84 Myr), a warm interglacial period contributed to the expansion of populations toward central Alborz via the northern slopes, and toward western Alborz and mountains of southern Azerbaijan via the southern slopes of the Alborz. This could be regarded as the existence of multiple potential refugia for *G. caucasicus* populations along the Alborz, conforming to the lineages identified in these areas. The last divergence within the species occurred between populations of central Alborz approximately 0.68 Myr (95% HPD: 0.34–0.89 Myr), prior to the Günz glacial period (0.62–0.67 Myr).

It could be concluded that populations of *G. caucasicus* in northern Iran have undergone multiple expansions and contractions during glacial and interglacial periods. Nevertheless, no shared haplotypes were observed between the lineages. This can be explained upon the assumption that the gene flow from northeastern to central and western Alborz was unidirectional, meaning that no genes were transferred back to ancestral populations. It could be presumed that the warming of the earth from the early Holocene to the present might have contributed to population fragmentation in mountains and led to a breakdown of gene flow between the different regions.

Finally, our results could underline the existence of multiple glacial refugia in the Alborz during climatic oscillations of Pleistocene. This complies with the results of previous studies, which suggested the Hyrcanian forests as an isolated refugium during Quaternary oscillations. Isolation of organisms eventually gave rise to vicariance in a middle-sized geographical area in the central Palearctic. Such multiple glacial refugia contributed to the interruption or reduction of gene flow, and consequently increased genetic drift, resulting in formation of endemic haplotypes and new subspecies, which, following the last glacial period when the climate became more favorable, expanded toward suitable habitats and shaped the current patterns of distribution.

Conservation Units and Management Propositions. Conservationists have long been in a quandary regarding the continuing controversy over the definition of conservation units at the species or subspecies level, stressing the need for its reconsideration. Evolutionary significant units (ESUs) generally refer to taxa that merit independent conservation management because they have evolved separately. Among the many definitions assigned to the concept of ESUs, we chose the one adopted by Fraser and Bernatchez, as its overall focus remains on isolated populations. They state that lineages with particularly restricted patterns and levels of intraspecific gene flow are to be considered as ESUs for conservation. Therefore, we proposed the four isolated lineages of *G. caucasicus* identified in northern Iran as four ESUs including (i) KD–EA ESU comprising the Hezar Masjid Mountains, Khorasan, and Golestan provinces, (ii) LarNP–CA ESU including Lar area as well as Mazandaran province, (iii) CA ESU including northern and western slopes of the Alborz Mountains in western Mazandaran and eastern Gilan provinces, and (iv) WA–Az ESU comprising western Gilan and Ardebil provinces.

The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) has classified *G. monticola* (Likiang Pit Viper) from China as Data Deficient (DD), “*G. saxatilis*” (Rock Mamushi) from China, Korea, and Russia as Least Concern (LC), and *G. shedaoensis* (Shedao Island Pit Viper) from China as Vulnerable (VU). However, the conservation statuses of the other species in the two complex groups of *G. blomhoffii* and *G. halys*/*G. intermedius* (including *G. caucasicus*) have received little consideration. Although our results confirmed that about 37.8% of suitable *G. caucasicus* habitats are located within the network of protected areas in northern Iran, this species is currently threatened by various factors such as agricultural development, overgrazing of livestock, destruction of rangelands, hunting and killing by local people and/or tourists, mortality due to vehicle collisions on roads, restricted movement of individuals among population patches, and large-scale hunting and capturing for vaccine and serum production (about 1000 capturing licenses are certified annually). Unfortunately, hunting/capturing of this species is mostly done when snakes emerge from hibernation and have not yet had the chance to reproduce. In general, populations of this species have experienced dramatic declines over the past decades, to the extent that snake catchers complain that it is now hardly possible to locate well-populated sites for this species.

However, *G. caucasicus* is not listed as a protected species according to the Department of Environment of Iran, accompanying many other reptiles that have been largely neglected from the list of protected species. Moreover, the lack of awareness and limited knowledge regarding venomous snakes has instilled deep fear in local people and even park rangers to such an extent that they would habitually kill a snake upon their very first encounter. Therefore, we recommend that the Department of Environment of Iran should (i) establish and declare new protected areas throughout the distribution range of the Caucasian pit viper, according to the four lineages identified in this study, (ii) establish safe zones in current protected areas that cover suitable habitats of the Caucasian pit viper; (iii) prevent or reduce legal hunting and venom collection from populations of the Caucasian pit viper until
populations have recovered, (iv) list the species as protected under laws of the Department of Environment of Iran, and reduce its international illegal trade by the addition of the species to the CITES appendices.

Material and Methods

Sampling, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. We obtained sequence information for 41 individuals of the Caucasian pit viper, representing 15 regions from northeastern to northwestern Iran and Azerbaijan (Fig. 6, Table S4). Tissue samples contained three clips from the outer edge of ventral scales for each specimen, except two museum samples from Azerbaijan, for which muscle tissue was used. Captured snakes were released into their capture location immediately. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. This study was licensed by the Iranian Department of Environment under permits No. 94/6049 and 96/3631.

Total genomic DNA was extracted from tissue samples using a Qiagen DNeasy Tissue kit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) or by phenol/chloroform protocol. We amplified two fragments of the mitochondrial genome including 1125 base pairs (bp) of the cyt b and 678 bp of the ND4. Furthermore, we partially sequenced one nuclear proto oncogene c-mos (567 bp), which evolves at a slower rate than mtDNA. All genes were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (see Table S5 for PCR protocols) and sequenced using primers designed by previous studies (L14910/H1606474 and ND4/Leu 75 for the two mtDNA genes, and S77 and S78 for c-mos). PCR products were sent to Eurofins Genomics (Ebersberg, Germany) or SYNTOL Company (Moscow, Russia) for sequencing on an ABI 3730 automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

Sequence alignment and data analyses. Sequences of samples were examined using SeqScape version 2.6 (Applied Biosystems). We also obtained 56 sequences (comprising 13 species) from GenBank (Table S4). All sequences were aligned using ClustalW implemented in MEGA v.6. Protein coding sequences were converted into amino acid residues to check for stop codons as a result of pseudogene generation. We used DnaSP version 5.0 to calculate mitochondrial diversity indices including haplotype and nucleotide diversities, number of haplotypes, and polymorphic sites. Nucleotide composition and genetic distances were analyzed using the uncorrected genetic distance including 1000 bootstraps in MEGA v.6.

Phylogenetic relationship and Taxonomic assessment. Species tree estimation. We concatenated the datasets of mtDNA (cyt b and ND4) + nDNA (c-mos) including 2370 bp for 53 samples of G. h. caucasicus, nine samples of G. h. halys, seven samples of G. cognatus, five samples of G. stejnegeri, two samples of G. intermedius, three samples of G. caraganus, two samples of G. richmersi, six samples of G. shedaoensis, and two samples of G. changdaoensis. We used PartitionFinder 1.1.1 to identify the best partitioning schemes and model of sequence evolution for each partition using the “greedy” algorithm and the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). Bayesian Inference (BI) and Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic analyses were carried out using the selected scheme. For the BI analysis, we used MrBayes 3.1.2 and the analysis was run using one cold and three heated chains (MC3) for 40 million generations, sampling every 1000th generation and discarding the first 25% of the trees as burn-in. Convergence was examined using Trace v1.5 and checked with the convergence diagnostic parameters performed in MrBayes. A ML phylogenetic analysis was carried out using the selected model in IQ-TREE version 1.6.2 and 1000 non-parametric bootstrap replicates.
Bayesian Analysis of Population Structure software (BAPS v.6.0)87,88. We allowed K (number of clusters) to vary along with the four lineages for v1.583, using value of 2LnBF9. Each analysis was performed using two independent runs of 40 million generations with the following clock models (Strict, Exponential relaxed, and Lognormal relaxed) was tested by Bayes factor analysis in Tracer ++ generations was run twice from different starting seeds (i.e. variance among populations relative to the total variance).

Spatial analyses of genetic variability. We analyzed population structure and determined the amount of mixture between population clusters on our concatenated mtDNA + nDNA dataset (including 2370 bp) using the Bayesian Analysis of Population Structure software (BAPS v.6.0)87,88. We allowed K (number of clusters) to vary from 1–9 in order to calculate the best value for K. Then, an AMOVA was executed through Arlequin version 3.186 with populations grouped into the best number of K identified for population clusters. Also, we used F-statistics with 10000 permutations to estimate the proportion of genetic variability among different fixation indices, FST (i.e. variance among populations relative to the total variance).

Barcoding gap analysis. We used the concept of the ‘barcoding gap’ to determine the threshold of species level in the G. halys/G. intermedius complex using the mtDNA dataset. We calculated genetic distances among the taxa of the complex (clade F in Shi et al.15) along with the four lineages for G. caucasicus in northern Iran, using an evenly-matched length of sequences from our mtDNA dataset. Pairwise inter- and intraspecific genetic distances were calculated using uncorrected p-distances by MEGA v.698. Then, we plotted frequency distribution histograms of pairwise inter- and intraspecific distances.

Bayesian species delimitation. We adopted a Bayesian multi-locus species delimitation approach implemented in BPP 3.190–92 to verify the speciation patterns within the complex based on our concatenated mtDNA + nDNA dataset (gene-partitioned), with the ML and BI topologies from this study serving as the guide tree. We tested two different scenarios: (i) considering the nine major clades corresponding to the nine taxa of the complex obtained by the BI and ML analyses, and (2) including 12 putative clades (the four Iranian clades of G. caucasicus and the remaining species of the complex).

This method estimates population size (θ) and divergence time (τ) parameters and then applies a reverse-jump MCMC (rjMCMC) algorithm to calculate posterior probabilities for species delimitation. BPP assumes that there is neither recombination within a locus nor gene flow between species92. It also assumes neutral clock-like evolution and employs the JC69 mutation model; therefore, it could only be used for closely related species with sequence divergences not much higher than 10%93. We evaluated the neutrality of the two mtDNA genes by Hudson-Kreitman-Aguadé tests (HKA)94 in DnaSP v 5.1081. Moreover, we assessed our nDNA recombination through the pairwise homology index (PHI) test95 in Splitstree496.

A Dirichlet distribution was employed with α = 2 to compensate for variation in mutation rates among loci. A gamma prior (G) was applied to specify the population size parameter (θ) and root age (τ0) of the species tree. As BPP is sensitive to the prior values97, we made three replicate runs under three different combinations of gamma-distributed priors for θ and root age (τ0)98–101: (i) assuming relatively large ancestral population sizes and deep divergences, θ ~ G(1,10) and τ0 ~ G(1,10); (ii) assuming relatively small ancestral population sizes and shallow divergences among species, θ ~ G(2,2000) and τ0 ~ G(2,2000); and (iii) a conservative combination of priors that could fit models with fewer species θ ~ G(1,10) and τ0 ~ G(2,2000). Each analysis of 106 rjMCMC generations was run twice from different starting seeds (+1 and −1) with a burn-in period of 105 using algorithm 1 (α = 2 and m = 1). For all speciation events, we conservatively regarded speciation probability values > 0.95 as strong support.

Historical biogeography analysis. Molecular dating and divergence time. We estimated divergence times using BEAST 1.8.0102 on our concatenated mtDNA + nDNA dataset with three calibration points including (i) divergence of three populations of the genus Porthidium in South America, some 3.5 Mya103, using a normal distribution model (mean = 3.5 Mya, SD = 0.51 Myr, and 95% CI = 2.5–4.5 Myr), (ii) divergence between Crotalus and Sistrurus before 9 Mya104, using a lognormal prior model with a zero offset of 9 Mya (mean = 1 Mya and SD = 1 Myr)105, and finally (iii) divergence of the Eurasian vipers clade (genera Macro viperia, Montivipera, and Vipera) about 20 Mya suggested by fossil data106.107, using a lognormal prior model with a zero offset of 17 Myr (mean = 1 Mya, SD = 1 Myr, and 95% CI = 17–36 Myr)105.

We also included some sequences of four species of Montivipera, two species of Macro vipera, two species of Porthidium, and seven outgroups (G. brevicaudus, G. ussuriensis, G. blomhoffi, G. strauchi, G. rubromaculatus, G. i. tsushimaensis, and G. i. hupanensis) in our dataset as calibration points (see Table S4). PartitionFinder 1.1.149 was used to select the best data partition and evolutionary models in our molecular dating. We also adopted the Birth-Death Process model because it is a proper model when sequences from different species are included in a dataset108. The fitness of three molecular clock models (Strict, Exponential relaxed, and Lognormal relaxed) was tested by Bayes factor analysis in Tracer v1.583, using value of 2LnBF109. Each analysis was performed using two independent runs of 40 million generations, sampled every 1000 generations, with the first 25% discarded as burn-in. Tracer was used to evaluate acceptable levels of MCMC chain mixing, the stationary likelihoods and appropriate lengths of burn-in (25%), as well as to estimate effective sample sizes for all parameters.
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We used an Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) approach in the program DIYABC 2.1.0 to obtain

We also used uniform priors with a lower and an upper bound for population size of 10 to 7 × 10^5, and diver-
gence times of 2.46 × 10^5 for t1, 4.30 × 10^5 for t2, 4.86 × 10^5 for t3, and 6.86 × 10^5 for Tt generations in the past.

Furthermore, we assumed a generation time of 3 years, the age of sexual maturity for

Historical biogeography reconstruction. Using the concatenated mtDNA + nDNA dataset, we inferred the ances-

tral range and colonization history of the complex through the Palaearctic using the R package BioGeoBEARS under three models of biogeographical range expansion (i) the Dispersal-Extinction-Cladogenesis (DEC), (ii) Dispersal-Vicariance (DIVALIKE), and (iii) Bayesian inference (BAYAREALIKE). We also applied the jump dispersal parameter J to these models, and likelihood values of all six models (DEC, DEC + I, DIVA-like, DIVA-like + J, BAYAREA-like, BAYAREA-like + J) were compared with the Akaike Information Criteria (AICc).

We defined seven regions based on knowledge of species distribution, namely A: G. chungdaoensis and G. inter-

medium, B: G. caraganus, C: G. rickmersi, D: G. h. caucasicus, E: G. h. halys, F: G. shedoaensis, and G: G. cognatus and G. stejnegeri (Fig. 4). We used BEAST 1.8.2 to construct an ultrametric tree, then pruned all outgroups using Mesquite v.3.04. We chose four time slices (0–0.30, 0.30–1.20, 1.20–2.50 and 2.50–3.45 Mya), corresponding to divergence times within the complex. First, we ran the S0 scenario in which dispersal between regions was not penalized. Then, we tested four alternative scenarios (ScenarioHB 0–3), where we tested colonization of the complex lineages from other adjacent regions (Fig. 4). We also estimated the geographic location of the ancestors of the G. halys/G. intermedius complex, employing a statistical method implemented in Phylomapper 1b1, optimized by 10,000 replications.

Evolutionary hypothesis testing. We applied coalescent simulations to test three alternative hypotheses (Scenarios 1–3) regarding the demographic history of G. h. caucasicus, using an Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) approach on our concatenated mtDNA + nDNA dataset. In the first scenario tested, (i) we considered fragmentation of a single ancestral source population, which supposes that the four lineages could have diverged from a single ancestral refugium, approximately, at most, up to the Last Glacial Maximum, consistent with Weichselian (in Scandinavia and northern Europe), Würm (in Alps), and Wisconsin (in North America) glaciations, and then colonized different climatic and environmental niches throughout the Alborz Mountains (Fig. 7a).

In the two other scenarios, we supposed multiple glacial refugia (or long-term geographical isolation among regions), which predicts that the four lineages may have developed under the effects of diversifi-
cation from multiple refugia in northeastern Iran and two demographic history scenarios were tested to demonstrate whether (ii) the gene flow from northeastern Iran to western Alborz and Azerbaijan has experienced a one-way flow through the northern slopes, or (iii) a two-way gene flow through the northern and southern slopes of the Alborz Mountains. In a one-way gene flow, we considered an incursion from KD-EA to LarNP-CA, then to CA, and proceeding to WA-Az (Fig. 7b), whereas in a two-way gene flow, we tested a diversification from KD-WA to LarNP-CA, then to CA through the northern slopes of the Alborz Mountains, along with another diversification from KD-EA to WA-Az through the southern slopes of the Alborz Mountains (Fig. 7c).

We used an Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) approach in the program DIYABC 2.1.0 to obtain the relative probabilities for the competing hypotheses. In this approach, summary statistics of our molecular data were calculated and compared to the dataset simulated earlier based on the modelled scenarios. Then, Euclidean distances between our simulated dataset and the observed dataset were calculated by a local linear regression. Finally, we only kept 10 subsets of the closest 2% of our simulated data to the observed data in order to compute posterior distributions, which enabled us to prioritize our modelled scenarios based on approximate marginal likelihoods and find the best-fit model. We used summary statistics including number of haplotypes as well as segregating sites, mean pairwise differences, mean between-sample pairwise differences, number of private segregating sites, and FST values.

We also used uniform priors with a lower and an upper bound for population size of 10 to 7 × 10^5, and diver-
gence times of 2.46 × 10^5 for t1, 4.30 × 10^5 for t2, 4.86 × 10^5 for t3, and 6.86 × 10^5 for Tt generations in the past. Moreover, all populations could include a discrete size-change event. We estimated the posterior probability of each hypothesis using both the direct approach (on the 500 closest datasets) as well as the logistic approach (on the 1% closest to the observed data). Then, to calculate posterior distributions of parameters,
we used a linear regression on 1% of the accepted closest simulated data merely based on the most likely hypothesis. To evaluate the strength and accuracy of our ABC model selection, we simulated 1000 test datasets (pseudo-observed datasets) under each of the competing hypotheses and calculated the probability of type I and type II errors, assuming the defined priors in the historical model.

We determined the effective population sizes (Ne) using θ-values estimated by the ML and the coalescent-theory approach in MIGRATE 3.2.[116]. We ran the analysis with 10 short chains of 200,000 steps, followed by three long chains of two million steps, sampling every 20 steps following a burn-in of 10,000 steps. Then, we calculated Ne using the equation for maternally inherited mtDNA (θ = Ne μ). We considered μ = 3.9 × 10⁻⁸, based on the mean rate of sequence evolution of approximately 0.01306 substitutions per Myr, using BEAST 1.8.[102].

**Species Distribution Modelling.** We first compiled data of the species occurrence (84 points) with 10 climatic, land cover, and physiographic variables to build a species distribution model (SDM) for the species. For climatic variables, we used the WorldClim dataset,[117] a set of 19 climatic variables with ~1 km resolution. Due to the high correlation between the climatic variables, we first calculated pairwise correlation coefficients among the variables and then screened them to low correlated (r < 0.75) variables. Accordingly, we obtained Bio1 (annual mean temperature), Bio4 (temperature seasonality), Bio5 (maximum temperature for the warmest month), Bio12 (annual precipitation) and Bio13 (maximum precipitation for the wettest month). Land cover variables including distance to forest patches, distance to herbaceous cover with shrubs and sparse trees, and distance to herbaceous cover, were generated in ArcMap 10.3 based on cover types of Globcover v. 2.1.[118]. Based on the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) elevation model (http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org), we also used altitude and topographic roughness (i.e., standard deviation of altitude for all raster cells within a 5 × 5 km moving window) as the most important variables depicting physiographic heterogeneity.

To generate a habitat suitability map, we then conducted four SDM algorithms, including generalized linear models (GLM), generalized boosting models (GBM), maximum entropy (MaxEnt), and random forest (RF), and combined them into a final ensemble model using BioMod 2.[119]. To reduce bias caused by randomly selected occurrence points for model construction, we replicated the modelling based on a 10-fold cross-validation approach, using a different subset of 25% of the occurrence records to test each model. Model performance was evaluated based on the area under the curve (AUC) of a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) plot and the true skill statistic (TSS). The final ensemble model was obtained by weighted averaging the individual models proportional to their AUC scores. Finally, we used the boundaries of populations, estimated from BAPS, to separate the boundary of the continuous suitability map of the lineages from northeastern to northwestern Iran.
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3.2. Phylogéographie et histoire évolution de *Natrix tessellata* et *Hemorrhois ravergiei*

Ce chapitre a fait l’objet du sujet de stage de Marie Raynaud, étudiante en Master1 Darwin (Université de Montpellier) qui a été encadrée par Atefeh ASADI et Claudine MONTGELARD :


L’objectif de cette étude est de déterminer quels sont les événements géologiques ou biogéographiques qui permettent d’expliquer la structure phylogéographique observée pour les deux espèces. La question est de savoir si la diversité observée peut être expliquée par les fluctuations environnementales (cycles glaciaires) du Quaternaire ou par des événements plus anciens. Il s’agira aussi d’évaluer quel a été le rôle de l’Iran dans la différenciation des taxons. La couleuvre tessellée (*Natrix tessellata*) et la couleuvre de Ravergier (*Hemorrhois ravergieri*) occupant une distribution géographique similaire en Iran, on s’attend à ce que des facteurs historiques communs expliquent leur structuration (Taberlet *et al.*, 1998). Ainsi, de manière à identifier les périodes de divergence entre les lignées génétiques des deux espèces, la phylogénie des deux taxons a été reconstruite et les temps de divergence entre les différents clades ont été datés. Puis les tendances démographiques des populations ont été évaluées. Enfin, différents scénarios biogéographiques ont été testés afin de déterminer les événements de vicariance et/ou de dispersion qui ont modelé la structure génétique observée au sein des deux espèces.

3.2.1. Méthodes analytiques

- Données de séquences :

Les séquences ont été examinées et concaténées à l’aide de SeqScape version 2.6 (Applied Biosystems). Les séquences des gènes protéiques (cytb, ND4, Trop) ont été traduites en acides aminés afin de vérifier la présence de codons stop qui résulterait du séquençage d’un pseudogène. DnaSP version 5.0 (Librado & Rozas, 2009) a été utilisé pour calculer divers indices de diversité génétique incluant les diversités haplotypique et nucléotidique, le nombre
d’haplotypes et de sites polymorphes. La composition nucléotidique et les distances génétiques ont été calculées en utilisant la distance génétique non corrigée calculée à l’aide de MEGA v.6.

Pour *N. tessellata* les séquences de cytochrome b disponibles dans GenBank ont été ajoutées afin d’élargir l’aire de distribution étudiée (Tableau 3.3.1). Les séquences des espèces sœurs de *N. tessellata* (*N. matrix, N. maura, N. astreptophora*) et de *H. ravergieri* (*H. nummifer, H. algirus, H. hippocrepis*) ont été ajoutées à chacun des jeux de données.

Pour l’analyse phylogéographique, les espèces *Naja kaouthia, Micrurus fulvius* et *Mehelya capensis* ont été choisies comme groupes externes (Lawson *et al.* 2005) pour enraciner l’arbre de chacun des taxons.

La composition des différents jeux de données est donnée dans le Tableau 3.3.1. Les séquences ont été alignées avec l’option MUSCLE du logiciel Seaview (Gouy *et al.*, 2009) et éditées avec Bioedit 7.0.5.3 (Hall, 1999).
Tableau 3.3.1: Jeux de données utilisés pour *Natrix tessellata* et *Hemorrhoid ravergieri*. Les séquences utilisées proviennent d’individus échantillonnés (ind. ech.) en Iran et de GenBank (voir numéro d’accession).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Espèces</th>
<th>Cytochrome b</th>
<th>ND4</th>
<th>Nombre d’individus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix tessellata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix tessellata</em></td>
<td>40 ind. ech.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>147 ind. GenBank*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix natrix</em></td>
<td>(HF680176)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. n. corsa</em></td>
<td>(HE584624 / HE584628)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. n. cetti</em></td>
<td>(HE584620)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. n. lanzai</em></td>
<td>(AY487733 / HE584632)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. n. helvetica</em></td>
<td>4 (GenBank**)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix maura Espagne</em></td>
<td>(AY866530)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix maura Maroc</em></td>
<td>(AF420077)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix astreptophora</em></td>
<td>(LN994825)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhoid ravergieri</em></td>
<td>(AY486920)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhoid nummifer</em></td>
<td>(AY376742)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhoid hippocrepis</em></td>
<td>(AY486916)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhoid algirus</em></td>
<td>(AY486911)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hemorrhoid ravergieri</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhoid ravergieri</em></td>
<td>73 ind. ech.</td>
<td>80 ind. ech.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(AY486920)</td>
<td>(AY487050)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhoid nummifer</em></td>
<td>8 ind. ech.</td>
<td>8 ind. ech.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(AY376742)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhoid hippocrepis</em></td>
<td>(AY486916)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(AY487045)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhoid algirus</em></td>
<td>(AY486911)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(AY487037)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix tessellata</em></td>
<td>2 ind. ech. (Iran)</td>
<td>2 ind. ech. (Iran)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix natrix</em></td>
<td>(HF680176)</td>
<td>(HF679880)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. n. corsa</em></td>
<td>(HE584624 / HE584628)</td>
<td>(HE584623 / HE584627)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* : voir numéros d’accession des séquences dans Guicking *et al.* 2009

** : voir numéros d’accession des séquences dans Guicking *et al.* 2006
- **Reconstruction phylogénétique**

Pour *Natrix tessellata*, étant donné que les séquences de GenBank ne comprenaient que le cyt *b*, les analyses phylogénétiques ont été effectuées sur trois jeux de données : (i) toutes les séquences de cyt *b* (187 individus pour 1086 pb); (ii) la concaténation de gène mitochondrial (1080 pb cyt *b*+ 672 pb ND4)) pour 50 échantillons; (iii) la concaténation des gènes mitochondriaux (1080 pb cyt *b* + 672 pb ND4) et nucléaires (524 pb MC1r + 452 pb Trop) pour 12 échantillons, principalement d’Iran. Pour *Hemorrhois ravergieri*, les analyses phylogénétiques ont été effectuées sur deux jeux de données (i) la concaténation des gènes mitochondriaux (1095 pb cyt *b*+ 672 pb ND4) pour 98 échantillons (ii) la concaténation des gènes mitochondriaux (10950 pb cyt *b* + 672 pb ND4) et nucléaires (524 pb MC1r + 452 pb Trop) pour 17 échantillons.

Le meilleur schéma de partitionnement et le meilleur modèle d’évolution des séquences pour chaque partition ont été déterminés à l’aide de PartitionFinder 1.1.1(Lanfear *et al.*, 2012) en utilisant l’algorithme “greedy” et le Critère d’Information Bayésien (BIC). Le schéma sélectionné a ensuite été utilisé pour effectuer les analyses phylogénétiques par inférence bayésienne (BI) et maximum de vraisemblance (ML). Les analyses BI ont été effectuées avec MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist, & Huelsenbeck 2003) en utilisant trois chaînes chaudes et une froide (MC3) pendant 40 millions de générations, échantillonnées toutes les 1000 générations et en éliminant les 25% premiers arbres correspondant à l’allumage (burn-in). La convergence des différents paramètres de MrBayes a été vérifiée à l’aide de Tracer v1.5 (Rambaut *et al.*, 2018). L’analyse phylogénétique ML a été réalisée avec IQ-TREE version 1.6.2 (Nguyen *et al.*, 2014; Chernomor *et al.*, 2016) en utilisant le modèle de partition sélectionné et 1000 réplications de bootstrap ont été effectuées pour tester la robustesse des nœuds.

- **Réseau d’haplotypes** :

Afin d’éviter les biais de reconstruction engendrer par des séquences de longueurs inégales entre les échantillons, nous avons utilisé des jeux de données de longueurs égales. Pour *N. Tessellata* nous avons utilisé deux jeux de données : un de 1758 pb pour 47 individus pour les deux gènes mitochondriaux et un de 1086 pb pour le gène cyt *b* pour 175 individus en incluant toutes les séquences disponibles dans Genbank. Pour *H. ravergieri*, 1719 pb du cyt *b* ont été étudiées pour 63 individus. Afin de visualiser les relations génétiques entre haplotypes, un réseau a été construit avec le logiciel TCS (Clement *et al.*, 2000) implémenté dans PopART (http://popart.otago.ac.nz).
- Estimation des temps de divergence:

Les temps de divergence ont été estimés avec le logiciel BEAST 1.10.4 (Suchard *et al*., 2018) qui est un programme d’analyse Bayésienne (Glossaire) par chaînes de Markov avec technique de Monte Carlo (MCMC, Glossaire) de séquences moléculaires. Le modèle GTR (General Time Reversible, Tavaré, 1986, Glossaire) + Gamma (G, Glossaire) et une partition selon les 3 positions du codon ont été utilisés. Trois horloges moléculaires ont été testées : stricte, relâchée à taux d’évolution non corrélé avec une distribution exponentielle ou lognormale, en utilisant dans un premier temps un processus « Birth-Death » (BDP) qui autorise la spéciation et l’extinction (Heath, 2015). La meilleure horloge d’après l’AIC calculé avec 10 bootstraps (Glossaire) dans TRACER 1.6 (Rambaud *et al*., 2014) a ensuite été utilisée avec un processus « Yule » (YP) qui n’autorise que la spéciation (Heath, 2015). Le meilleur des deux processus, d’après l’AIC, a été retenu pour la suite des analyses. Pour calibrer la phylogénie, 3 points de calibration ont été utilisés : (i) la réouverture du détroit de Gibraltar marquant la fin de la crise Messinienne pour dater la divergence entre les lignées de *N. maura* d’Afrique du Nord et d’Europe il y a entre 5.3 et 5.96 Ma (Fritz *et al*., 2012), modélisée avec une distribution normale adaptée aux datations basées sur des évènements biogéographiques (Ho, 2007), avec une moyenne de 5,63 Ma et un écart type de 0,3 Ma ; (ii) le premier contact entre les plaques Africaine et Arabe et la plaque Européenne qui correspond à la divergence du genre *Hemorrhois* entre les sous-groupes de l’Est (*H. ravergieri* et *H. nummifer*) et ceux de l’Ouest (*H. algirus* et *H. hippocrepis*), daté il y a entre 16 et 18 Ma (Nagy *et al*., 2004 ; Tamar *et al*. 2006), modélisé avec une distribution normale avec une moyenne de 18 Ma et un écart-type de 2 Ma ; et (iii) la divergence entre les lignées de *N. natrix* Corso-Sarde et leur apparentées les plus proches du continent européen datée entre 3.6 et 5.3 Ma (Fritz *et al*., 2012), modélisée avec une distribution lognormale adaptée aux datations basées sur des fossiles (Ho, 2007), avec un « offset » de 3 Ma, une moyenne et un écart type de 1 Ma. Le prior sur l’âge à la racine a été modifié en utilisant une distribution normale, une moyenne de 50 Ma et un écart type de 10 Ma, correspondant à la divergence entre la famille des Colubroidea et les taxons les plus proches (*Acrochordus* et *Xenodermatidae*) (Head *et al*., 2016).

Les calculs ont été effectués avec 50 millions de générations échantillonnées toutes les 1000 générations et les analyses ont été répétées deux fois pour *H. ravergieri* et trois fois pour *N. tessellata*. La convergence des paramètres a été vérifiée avec TRACER 1.6, une taille efficace des échantillons (ESS, Drummond *et al*., 2007) supérieure à 200 étant requise pour chacun des paramètres. Les arbres ont ensuite été combinés avec LOGCOMBINER 1.10.4 (Suchard *et al*.,
2018) en appliquant un burn-in (Glossaire) de 10%, puis l’arbre consensus a été construit avec TREEANNOTATOR 1.10.4 (Suchard et al., 2018).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glossaire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Analyse Bayésienne** : méthode probabiliste basée sur le calcul des probabilitéspostérieures (calculées *a posteriori*, «posterior») des arbres phylogénétiques à partir de probabilités définies *a priori* (« priors ») (Li 1996 ; Mau et al. 1996 ; Rannala et Yang 1996). Le calcul de ces probabilités postérieures, définies comme étant la probabilité d’une hypothèse H sachant les données X (Delsuc et Douzery 2004), nécessite l’utilisation de méthodes numériques appelées chaînes de Markov avec technique de Monte Carlo (MCMC, Larget et Simon 1999 ; Mau et Newton 1997 ; Yang et Rannala 1997).
| **Approximate Bayesian Computation** (ABC) : les méthodes ABC permettent d’estimer les valeurs et les distributions «posterior» des différents paramètres des scénarios biogéographiques testés, les valeurs de ces paramètres étant au préalable tirées dans une distribution « prior ». Ces priors permettent de générer un ensemble de données simulées. Les postérieurs sont ensuite estimés à partir des données simulées qui sont les plus proches observées en utilisant des statistiques résumées calculées pour chaque paramètre (Cornuet et al. 2008).
| **BAYAREALIKE** : le modèle BAYAREALIKE est une version de vraisemblance du modèle BAYAREA (« Historical Biogeography for Discrete Areas ») qui infère l’histoire biogéographique pour des aires discrètes (Landis et al. 2013).
| **Bootstrap** : méthode statistique qui permet d’inférer la variabilité d’une distribution inconnue à partir de laquelle les données sont tirées d’un ré-échantillonnage avec remise à partir des données. Ce ré-échantillonnage permet de construire une série de données fictives (Felsenstein 1985).
| **Burn-in** : le burn-in ou étape d’allumage correspond aux premières générations des MCMC qui n’ont pas atteint la stationnarity et qui sont éliminées des analyses (Delsuc et Douzery 2004).
| **DEC (Dispersal-Extinction-Cladogenesis)** : le modèle DEC est un modèle d’inférence biogéographique où en l’absence d’une divergence entre lignées la distribution d’une espèce évolue soit par dispersion entre les aires (expansion) soit par extinction locale dans une aire (Ree et Smith 2008).
| **Dispersion** : La dispersion correspond à « tout mouvement d’individu ou de propagule qui ont des conséquences potentielles sur les flux de gènes dans l’espace » (Ronze 2007).
| **DIVALIKE** : le modèle DIVALIKE est une version de vraisemblance du modèle DIVA (« Dispersal-Vicariance ») basé sur la parimonie, où les aires de distribution des espèces sont scindées en composantes vicariantes (Ronquist 1997).
| **Gamma** : la loi Gamma est une loi statistique qui permet de modéliser l’hétérogénéité des taux de substitution entre les sites (les sites n’évoluant pas tous à la même vitesse). Elle permet de décrire une large gamme de distribution en changeant la valeur d’un paramètre α qui représente la forme de la distribution et renseigne sur le niveau d’hétérogénéité (α < 1 : forte hétérogénéité ; α > : faible hétérogénéité) (Delsuc et Douzery 2004).
| **GTR** : modèle d’évolution des séquences selon lequel les fréquences des bases sont inégales et les taux de substitutions sont différents pour les 6 types de substitution (AC, AG, AT, CG, CT, GT) (Tavaré 1986).
| **MCMC (Markov Chain Monte Carlo)** : les chaînes de Markov avec technique de Monte Carlo sont des méthodes numériques permettent d’estimer les probabilités postérieures (des arbres phylogénétiques). Ces chaînes explorent l’espace multidimensionnel des paramètres en effectuant une marche aléatoire. Elles échantillonnent les valeurs de ces paramètres de façon périodique de manière à estimer une distribution de probabilité. Les différents états par lesquels passent les MCMC sont souvent appelés « générations ». Plus le nombre de générations effectuées est important, meilleure est l’approximation de la distribution des probabilités postérieures, car sinon les chaînes ne convergeront pas (Delsuc et Douzery 2004, Lewis 2001).
| **Probabilité postérieure** : elle représente la probabilité qu’un clade/scénario donné soit vrai sachant le modèle d’évolution, les probabilités a priori et les données considérées (Huelsenbeck et Ronquist 2001).
| **TCS** : la méthode TCS est une méthode de construction de réseaux haplotypiques permettant d’inférer la génétique des populations. Ce réseau est construit par une approche agglomérative où les groupes sont progressivement combinés avec un ou plusieurs bords connectés (Clement et al. 2002).
| **Vicariance** : approche de biogéographie historique basée sur le fait que deux taxons apparentés, occupant des aires de distribution qui sont séparées l’une de l’autre, sont arrivés dans ces aires avant l’apparition de barrière (montagnes, océans, rivières … ) qui les séparent à présent et qu’ils ne peuvent pas franchir (Cox et al. 2016).
- **Evaluation des tendances démographiques :**

L’histoire démographique des populations a été évaluée en utilisant la méthode des Bayesian Skyline Plots (BSPs) dans BEAST 1.10.4 et TRACER 1.5 (Rambaut et Drummond, 2007) pour chacune des populations identifiées de plus de 10 individus, en appliquant un modèle GTR + G (Glossaire). Une horloge moléculaire stricte a été appliquée en utilisant le taux d’évolution calculé dans les analyses de datation moléculaires précédentes. Pour chaque population, une MCMC (Glossaire) de 50 millions de générations a été effectuée, échantillonnée toutes les 1000 générations avec un burn-in de 10%.

- **Analyses biogéographiques :**

Plusieurs scénarios évolutifs ont été testés avec le logiciel DIYABC (Cornuet *et al*., 2014) qui est basé sur les approches de type « Approximate Bayesian Computation » (ABC, Beaumont *et al*., 2002 ; Glossaire). Pour les deux espèces, un scénario de vicariance (Glossaire) totale (hypothèse nulle), un scénario de vicariances successives, et un ou plusieurs scénarios combinant de la dispersion (Glossaire) et de la vicariance ont été testés. Ces scénarios sont codés de manière séquentielle en remontant dans le temps et ne comprennent que 3 types d’événements : le temps de divergence, les tailles efficaces et le nombre de populations (Cornuet *et al*., 2008, 2015). Différents priors des paramètres historiques, e.g. les tailles efficaces des populations (Ne) et les temps de divergence (t), ont dans un premier temps été testés à l’aide d’analyses en composante principale (PCA) réalisées dans l’espace des statistiques résumées (10 indices de diversité génétiques), afin de vérifier que la combinaison des scénarios et des priors produisait un ensemble de données simulées suffisamment proches des données observées (Cornuet *et al*., 2015). Le taux de mutation a été estimé en supposant une distribution normale comprise entre $10^{-8}$ et $10^{-6}$ (Kindler *et al*., 2018). Pour chaque jeu de données, le meilleur modèle d’évolution des séquences (sans partition) a été déterminé en utilisant l’AIC calculé avec ModelGenerator 8.4 (Keane *et al*., 2006). Une fois la meilleure combinaison de priors déterminée, une analyse de 1 millions de simulations par scénario a été lancée, à partir desquelles les différentes statistiques résumées ont été calculées, e.g. nombre d’haplotype, nombre de sites polymorphes, moyenne et variance des différences par paire, D de Tajima, nombre moyen et variance du nucléotide le plus rare pour les sites polymorphes. Les probabilités postérieures (PP, Glossaire) des différents scénarios ont été calculées par une régression logistique basée sur 1% des données simulées les plus proches des données observées.
Les aires de distribution ancestrales ont été reconstruites avec le package BioGeoBears (« BioGeography with Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis in R Scripts », Matzke, 2013) dans RStudio 3.6.0 pour chacune des espèces afin d’identifier la distribution des populations ancestrales la plus probable à chaque noeud de la phylogénie. L’arbre de datation a été élagué avec le logiciel Mesquite 3.6 (Maddison et Maddison, 2018) de manière à ne conserver plus qu’un spécimen pour chaque lignée identifiée. L’aire actuelle de chacune de ces lignées a été codée en différentes zones géographiques. Les aires ancestralées ont été reconstruites en utilisant 6 modèles : i) DEC (« Dispersal-Exctinction-Cladogenesis », Ree et Smith, 2008 ; Glossaire) ; ii) DIVALIKE (« Dispersal-Vicariance », (Ronquist, 1997 ; Glossaire), iii) BAYAREALIKE (« Historical Biogeography for Discrete Areas », (Landis et al., 2013 ; Glossaire) ; et iv) v) vi) une version + J (« founder-event speciation ») de ces trois modèles où J correspond à un évènement rare de dispersion longue-distance (Matzke, 2013, 2016). Le meilleur modèle a été sélectionné d’après l’AIC. L’aire ancestrale à chaque nœud a été déterminée comme étant l’aire la plus probable.
3.2.2. Résultats

- Reconstruction phylogénétique et phylogéographique

Pour *N. tessellata* and *H. ravergieri* les séquences par gène ont été alignées sans ambiguïté et aucun codon stop ou substitution inhabituelle d’acide aminé n’a été détecté pour les gènes codant pour une protéine, ce qui suggère notamment une origine mitochondriale pour les séquences obtenues pour le cyt b et ND4. Pour les deux espèces, les résultats obtenus avec PartitionFinder ont donné trois partitions pour la combinaison des deux gènes mitochondriaux et quatre partitions pour la combinaison des gènes mitochondriaux et nucléaires (voir Appendix 3.3.). Cette stratégie de partitionnement a été utilisée à la fois pour l’inférence Bayésienne (BI) et le maximum de vraisemblance (ML).

Pour *N. tessellata*, les arbres phylogénétiques basés sur le cyt b, sur les deux gènes mitochondriaux (voir Appendix 3.4) ou sur la concaténation des quatre gènes (ADNmt et ADNnc ; Fig 3.3.1) confirme la monophylie de *N. tessellata* par rapport aux autres espèces de *Natrix* et montre une forte structuration phylogéographique en 9 clades distincts bien supportés (PP > 0.98) à l’exception du nœud Jordanie-Grece. Ces clades coïncident très fortement avec leur distribution géographique : Jordanie, Grèce, Europe, Crète, Turquie, Kazakhstan, Ouzbékistan, Caucase (et pentes nord de l’Alborz), et Zagros (et pentes sud de l’Alborz, Fig 3.3.1).

Ces différents clades sont retrouvés dans le réseau haplotypique (Fig. 3.3.1) réalisé avec les séquences de 1086 pb du cyt b pour 175 individus de *N. tessellata*, les séquences devant être de la même longueur. Ils ne partagent aucun haplotype. Les groupes les plus proches, Caucase et Ouzbékistan, diffèrent entre eux de 13 pb, et les plus éloignés, Zagros et Ouzbékistan, de 207 pb.
Figure 3.3.1. Arbre phylogénétique et réseau haplotypique obtenus pour *N. tessellata*. La carte montre la localisation des individus échantillonnés (notre étude et séquences de GenBank) dans le Paléarctique Occidental. Les couleurs correspondent aux différents clades identifiés dans l’arbre phylogénétique basé sur quatre gènes (1086 pb cyt b + 672 pb ND4 +524 pb MC1R +452 bp tropomyosin) pour 187 individus de l’espèce *N. tessellata*. La probabilité postérieure obtenue par inférence Bayésienne et la valeur de bootstrap obtenue en maximum de vraisemblance sont indiquées pour chaque nœud de l’arbre.
Pour *Hemorrhis*, l’arbre phylogénétique obtenu montre que *H. ravergieri* est monophylétique, notamment par rapport à *H. nummifer* son groupe frère. *H. ravergieri* est divisé en 6 groupes bien supportés (PP > 0.9) (Fig. 3.3.2), à l’exception d’un nœud (Kopeh-Dagh), qui sont également géographiquement structurés : Turquie, Zagros, Est Zagros, Azerbaïdjan – Alborz, Ouest Alborz et Kopet-Dagh (Fig. 3.3.2).

Ces 6 groupes se retrouvent également dans le réseau haplotypique (Fig 3.3.2) réalisé avec des séquences de 1719 pb de 63 individus de *H. ravergieri*. Ces groupements sont séparés par un minimum de 22 pb pour les plus proches (Azerbaïdjan – Alborz et Alborz Ouest) jusqu’à un maximum de 183 pb pour les plus éloignés (Kopet-Dagh et Turquie).

Figure 3.3.2 Arbre phylogénétique et réseau haplotypique obtenus pour *H. ravergieri*. La carte montre la localisation des individus échantillonnés en Iran dans cette étude. Les couleurs correspondent aux différents clades identifiés dans la phylogénie. Arbre phylogénétique basé sur quatre gènes, soit 2804 bp (1095 bp cyt b + 672 bp ND4 + 524 bp MC1r + 452 bp Tropomyosin) pour tous individus de l’espèce *H. ravergieri*. La probabilité postérieure obtenue par inférence Bayésienne et la valeur de bootstrap obtenue en maximum de vraisemblance sont indiquées pour chaque nœud de l’arbre.
- **Analyses de la diversité génétique**

Une analyse de polymorphisme a été réalisée avec DnaSP 6.0 sur la couleuvre tessellée et les 9 clades observés, ainsi que sur la couleuvre de Ravergier et les 6 groupes identifiés (Tableau 3.3.2). Les distances génétiques au sein et entre les groupes ont été calculées avec MEGA 6 (Tableaux 3.3.3 et 3.3.4). *N. tessellata* présente un total de 115 haplotypes sur les 187 individus analysés. La diversité haplotypique est globalement très élevée (Hd > 0.88) tandis que la diversité nucléotidique est très faible (0.002 < π < 0.015). Les distances génétiques inter-clades varient de 0.021 (« Kazakhstan – Caucase ») à 0.089 (« Grèce – Caucase »). Les distances intra-clades sont minimes, la plus élevée étant celle de la « Turquie » qui semble être plus diversifiée (dintra = 0.016). *H. ravergieri* se compose de 27 haplotypes sur les 81 individus analysés. La diversité haplotypique est globalement élevée (Hd > 0.71) alors que la diversité nucléotidique est très faible (0.0022 < π < 0.0098). Les distances génétiques intra-groupes sont également faibles (dintra < 0.007) sauf pour la Turquie (dintra = 0.25), et les distances inter-groupes sont comprises entre 0.018 (« Ouest Alborz - Azerbaïdjan/Alborz ») et 0.178 (« Turquie – Zagros »). Ces groupes sont assez proches comparé à la distance de 0.604 qui sépare *H. ravergieri* et son espèce sœur *H. nummifer* (Appendix 3.5), autrefois considérées comme une même espèce (Arnold et Ovenden, 2010).
Tableau 3.3.2 Indices de diversité génétique calculés avec DnaSP pour *N. tessellata* (187 séquences du cytochrome b, soit 1086pb) et les 9 clades identifiés, et pour *H. ravergieri* (81 individus séquencés pour le cytochrome b et ND4, soit 1767 pb) et des 6 clades identifiés. Nombre d’individus (n), nombre d’haplotypes (h), diversité haplotypique (Hd) et écart type, diversité nucléotidique (π), nombre moyen de nucléotides différents (k), nombre de sites polymorphes (S).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>Hd</th>
<th>π</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Natrix tessellata</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1 +/- 0.003</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crète</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 +/- 0.096</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucase</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.88 +/- 0.036</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouzbékistan</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 +/- 0.096</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 +/- 0.034</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turquie</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.98 +/- 0.025</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grèce</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.99 +/- 0.023</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordanie</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 +/- 0.27</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zagros</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.89 +/- 0.035</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hemorrhois ravegieri</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kopet Dag</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71 +/- 0.079</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouest Alborz</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.85 +/- 0.052</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaïdjan/Alborz</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 +/- 0.18</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tableau 3.3.3 Distances génétiques entre les 9 sous-groupes identifiés de *Natrix tessellata* (hors diagonale) et au sein de chaque sous-groupe (diagonale), calculées dans MEGA 6.0 avec un modèle Kimura-2-paramètres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Zagros</th>
<th>Caucase</th>
<th>Grèce</th>
<th>Jordanie</th>
<th>Turquie</th>
<th>Kazakhstan</th>
<th>Ouzbékistan</th>
<th>Crète</th>
<th>Europe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Zagros</strong></td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucase</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grèce</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordanie</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turquie</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouzbékistan</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crète</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Tableau 3.3.4.** Distances génétiques entre les 6 sous-groupes identifiés de *H. ravergieri* (hors diagonale) et au sein de chaque sous-groupe (diagonale), calculées dans MEGA 6.0 avec un modèle Kimura-2-paramètres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Kopet Dag</th>
<th>Ouest Alborz</th>
<th>Azerbaïdjan/Alborz</th>
<th>Est Zagros</th>
<th>Zagros</th>
<th>Turquie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kopet Dag</td>
<td><strong>0.005</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouest Alborz</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td><strong>0.007</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaïdjan/Alborz</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td><strong>0.006</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est Zagros</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td><strong>0.003</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zagros</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td><strong>0.250</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turquie</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td><strong>0.002</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Datations moléculaires**

Les temps de divergence entre les différents clades ont été estimés pour *N. tessellata* et *H. ravergieri* avec BEAST 1.10.4 en utilisant 3 points de calibration (Figures. 3.3.3 et 3.3.4). La meilleure des trois horloges moléculaires testées était l’horloge relâchée à taux non-corrélé avec une distribution exponentielle et un processus « Birth-Death » pour *N. tessellata* (AIC = 19 357.08) et *H. ravergieri* (AIC = 25 729.95) (Tableau 3.3.5). Les temps de divergence, l’intervalle de confiance à 95% (95% HPD) et les probabilités postérieures (PP) de chaque noeud sont reportés dans le Tableau 3.3.6.

Parmi les 9 clades identifiés au sein de l’espèce *N. tessellata*, le groupe « Zagros » occupe la position la plus basale. Il a divergé de l’ancêtre commun des 8 autres groupes durant le Miocène supérieur. Le groupe « Jordanie » et « Grèce » sont les seconds à avoir divergé entre 8.15 et -7.21 Ma. Il y a 5.83 Ma, les groupes frères « Europe » et « Crète » très fortement apparentés, se seraient séparés du clade comprenant les groupes « Turquie », « Kazakhstan », « Ouzbékistan » et « Caucase » (Fig. 3.3.3).
Tableau 3.3.5 : Comparaison des AIC des 4 modèles testés pour les séquences du cyt b de *N. tessellata* et pour la combinaison des séquences du cyt b et de ND4 pour *H. ravergieri*, géré avec une répétition de 10 bootstraps dans TRACER 6.0. La vraisemblance (LnL), la valeur d’AIC (AICM) et l’écart-type associé (SSE) sont renseignés pour chacun des modèles Strict Clock Birth Death Process (SC BDP), Uncorrelated Relaxed Clock Lognormal Birth Death Process (URC Lognormal BDP), Uncorrelated Relaxed Clock Exponential Birth Death Process (URC Exponential BDP), Uncorrelated Relaxed Clock Exponential Yule Process (URC Exponential YP). Le meilleur modèle est représenté en gras.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>Natrix tessellata</em></th>
<th></th>
<th><em>Hemorrhois ravergieri</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LnL</td>
<td>AICM</td>
<td>SSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC - BDP</td>
<td>-9537.57</td>
<td>19360.67</td>
<td>+/- 0.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URC_Log - BDP</td>
<td>-9508.58</td>
<td>19416.76</td>
<td>+/- 1.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URC_Exp - BDP</td>
<td><strong>-9482.04</strong></td>
<td>19357.08</td>
<td>+/- <strong>0.829</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCR_Exp - YP</td>
<td>-9506.15</td>
<td>19491.02</td>
<td>+/- 1.115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tableau 3.3.6 : Récapitulatif des âges de divergence des différents clades observés chez *N. tessellata* et *H. ravergieri*, de l’intervalle de confiance à 95% (95% HPD) et de la probabilité postérieure (PP) associée à chaque nœud. Les lettres correspondent aux nœuds indiqués dans les Fig. 3.3.3 pour *N. tessellata* et 3.3.4 pour *H. ravergieri*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>Natrix tessellata</em></th>
<th></th>
<th><em>Hemorrhois ravergieri</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nœuds</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[95% HPD]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[95% HPD]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>-11.34 [5.49-18.22]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>-0.95 [0.23-1.99]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-8.15 [3.89-12.97]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>-7.21 [3.5-11.4]</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>-5.83 [2.81-9.3]</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>-2.71 [0.99-4.75]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>-3.75 [1.74-6.05]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>-2.36 [0.97-4.06]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-2.48 [1.08-4.15]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>-1.70 [0.72-2.88]</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.3.3: Résultats obtenus pour *N. tessellata*: Chronogramme complet obtenu avec BEAST basé sur les séquences du cyt b en utilisant une horloge relâchée à taux non corrélé avec une distribution exponentielle et un processus « Birth-Death ». Trois points de calibration ont été utilisés, identifiés par une étoile. L’arbre a été enraciné avec trois groupes externes: *Naja kaouthia*, *Micrurus fulvius* et *Mehelya capensis* (non montrés ici). Chronogramme pour 187 individus de l’espèce *N. tessellata* et datation des âges de divergence des différents clades observés. Les âges des principaux nœuds sont indiqués sur la figure.

Chez *H. ravergieri*, c’est le groupe « Turquie » qui occupe la position la plus basale de l’arbre, il aurait divergé il y a 6.06 Ma. Le groupe « Zagros » s’est ensuite séparé des 4 autres clades qui ont divergés successivement à partir de 2.7 Ma en commençant par le groupe « Est Zagros », puis « Kopet-Dagh » dont le nœud est faiblement supporté (PP = 0.29). Les taxons « Ouest Alborz » et « Azerbaïdjan – Alborz » forment deux groupes frères qui se seraient séparés durant le Pléistocène (Fig. 3.3.4).

**Figure 3.3.4**: Résultats obtenus pour *H. ravergieri* : Chronogramme complet obtenu avec BEAST basé sur la combinaison des deux gènes mitochondriaux (cyt b et ND4) en utilisant une horloge relâchée à taux non corrélé avec une distribution exponentielle et un processus « Birth-Death ». Trois points de calibration ont été utilisés, identifiés par une étoile. L’arbre a été enraciné avec trois groupes externes: *Naja kaouthia*, *Micrurus fulvius* et *Mehelya capensis* (non montrés ici). Chronogramme pour 83 individus de l’espèce *H. ravergieri* et datation des âges de divergence des différents clades observés. Les âges des principaux nœuds sont indiqués sur la figure.
- **Tendances démographiques**

L’histoire démographique des clades de plus de 10 individus de *N. tessellata* (6 clades) et *H. ravergieri* (3 clades) ainsi que des espèces dans leur globalité a été évaluée par la méthode des BSPs (Bayesian Skyline Plots) obtenus avec BEAST 1.10.4 et TRACER 1.5. Une horloge moléculaire stricte a été utilisée avec les taux d’évolution déterminés dans BEAST 1.10.4 obtenus avec l’horloge relâchée : $6.924E^{-3} \pm 1.56E^{-3}$ pour *N. tessellata* et $6.877E^{-3} \pm 1.07E^{-3}$ pour *H. ravergieri*.

Chez la couleuvre tessellée (Fig. 3.3.5), les différentes lignées ont connu des changements démographiques concomitants : à l’exception du « Zagros » dont la population semble être restée stable, les autres ont connu une expansion à partir de 0.25 Ma. Cette expansion a été plus récente pour le « Kazakhstan » et le « Caucase » (environ 0.15 Ma). Globalement, *N. tessellata* aurait subi un déclin avant de connaître une forte expansion il y a environ 0.25 Ma.
Figure 3.3.5 : Reconstruction des tendances démographiques de *N. tessellata* (globale) et pour les 6 groupes de plus de 10 individus. Graphes représentant la taille efficace des populations (Ne) en fonction du temps (en Ma), obtenus avec la méthode des Bayesian Skyline Plots (BSPs) réalisés avec BEAST et TRACER. Une horloge moléculaire stricte a été utilisée avec un taux de 6.924E-3 ± 1.56E-3 (voir texte). La ligne centrale représente la valeur médiane pour le log10 de la taille de la population et les lignes bleues au-dessus et en-dessous représentent l’intervalle de confiance à 95%.
L’espèce *H. ravergieri* (Fig.3.3.6) aurait également décliné il y a environ 0.4 Ma avant de croître. Les lignées « Zagros » et « Kopet-Dagh » ont connu une expansion de leurs populations à la même période vers 50 000 ans, tandis que le groupe « Ouest Alborz » est resté très stable.

**Figure 3.3.6** : Reconstruction des tendances démographiques de *H. ravergieri* (globale) et pour les 3 groupes de plus de 10 individus. Graphes représentant la taille efficace des populations (Ne) en fonction du temps (en Ma), obtenus avec la méthode des Bayesian Skyline Plots (BSPs) réalisés avec BEAST et TRACER. Une horloge moléculaire stricte a été utilisée avec un taux de $6.877 \pm 1.07 \times 10^{-3}$ (voir texte). La ligne centrale représente la valeur médiane pour le log10 de la taille de la population et les lignes bleues au-dessus et en-dessous représentent l’intervalle de confiance à 95%.

- **Analyses biogéographiques**

Différents scénarios évolutifs ont été testés avec DIYABC 2.1.0 afin de déterminer si la divergence des lignées identifiées s’est produite par dispersion ou vicariance. Tandis que les événements de vicariance ne prennent en compte que les temps de divergence, les événements de dispersion s’accompagnent d’un goulot d’étranglement et donc d’une réduction de la taille de la population suivie d’une expansion conduisant à estimer deux tailles de populations. Pour *N. tessellata* et *H. ravergieri*, le meilleur modèle d’évolution des séquences estimé par
ModelGenerator était le modèle Tamura Nei I + G avec un pourcentage de site invariant de 0.36 et 0.35 et une valeur α de 0.35 et 0.14 respectivement.

Pour *N. tessellata*, 3 scénarios ont été testés (Fig. 3.3.7: a) un scénario de vicariance globale où les 9 populations ont divergé en même temps, b) un scénario où les différentes populations ont divergé par des événements de vicariance successifs, c) un scénario où une population diverge de la population ancestrale du « Zagros » par dispersion, puis les lignées « Grèce » et Jordanie » ont divergé également par dispersion avant que les autres lignées ne divergent par vicariance. D’après la PCA (Appendix 3.6: a), les priors qui ont fournis des données simulées les plus proches des données observées étaient : Ne avec une distribution normale comprise entre 10 et 30000, une moyenne de 15000, un écart type de 3000, et t avec une distribution uniforme comprise entre 10 et 5 000 000 de générations. D’après la régression logistique, le meilleur scénario était le scénario de vicariance totale (PP = 0.95), bien loin devant les scénarios de vicariences successives et de dispersion (PP = 0.046 (2) et 0.054 (3)), indiquant que la vicariance est l’événement principal qui a favorisé la fragmentation des populations.

![Graphique des scénarios testés](image)

**Figure 3.3.7** : Représentation graphique des différents scénarios testés avec le logiciel DIYABC pour *N. tessellata* (a-c), basés sur le cyt b. N correspond à la taille efficace des populations, t aux temps de divergence entre les populations en nombre de générations (ce temps n’est pas à l’échelle), et db correspond au temps relatif à la dispersion qui s’accompagne d’une réduction des tailles de population (Nb) indiquant un goulot d’étranglement. Noms des différentes populations: Caucase (CA), Ouzbékistan (OU), Kazakhstan (KA), Turquie (TU), Europe (EU), Crète (CR), Grèce (GR), Jordanie (JO), Zagros (ZA). La probabilité et l’intervalle de confiance sont indiqués sous chaque scénario.
Pour la couleuvre de Ravergier, 4 scénarios ont été testés (Fig. 3.3.8) : d) un scénario où les 6 lignées ont divergé en même temps ; e) un scénario où les populations « Turquie » et « Zagros » ont divergé en premier par vicariance, puis les 4 autres populations ont divergé lors d’un second évènement de vicariance ; f) un scénario où une population a divergé de la population ancestrale « Turquie » par dispersion, suivi d’un second évènement de dispersion depuis la population « Zagros » et d’un évènement de vicariance séparant les 4 autres populations et g) un scénario où deux populations ont divergé en même temps par dispersion puis les groupes « Kopet- Dagh », « Ouest Alborz », « Azerbaidjan-Alborz » et « Est Zagros » ont divergé par vicariance. Les meilleurs priors déterminés à l’aide de la PCA (Appendix 3.6 : b) étaient Ne avec une distribution normale comprise entre 10 et 30000, une moyenne de 15000 et un écart type de 5000, et t avec une distribution uniforme comprise entre 10 et 2 000 000 de générations. Le scénario le plus probable est le scénario 2 (PP = 0.30), mais il est très proche des 3 autres scénarios (PP = 0.26 (1), 0.23 (3) et 0.21 (4)). Il semble donc que des évènements de dispersion et de vicariance soient intervenus dans l’histoire biogéographique des lignées de H. ravergieri.
Figure 3.3.8 : Représentation graphique des différents scénarios testés avec le logiciel DIYABC pour *H. ravergieri* (d-g), basés sur la combinaison du cyt b et de ND4. N correspond à la taille efficace des populations, t aux temps de divergence entre les populations en nombre de générations (ce temps n’est pas à l’échelle), et db correspond au temps relatif à la dispersion qui s’accompagne d’une réduction des tailles de population (Nb) indiquant un goulot d’étranglement. Noms des différentes populations : Kopet-Dat (KD), Ouest Alborz (AO), Azerbaidjan – Alborz (AIAz), Est Zagros (ZE), Zagros (ZA), Turquie (TU). La probabilité et l’intervalle de confiance sont indiqués sous chaque scénario.

La reconstruction des aires ancestrales des différentes lignées a été réalisée avec le package BioGeoBears. Six zones géographiques ont été déterminées pour la couleuvre tessellée (Fig. 3.3.9 encadré) et assignées au représentant des différentes lignées selon leur distribution. Le meilleur modèle était DIVALIKE + J (AIC = 54.47) mais n’était pas significativement différent de DEC + J (AIC = 55.01) (Appendix 3.7). D’après ces deux modèles, l’ancêtre commun des 9 lignées occupaient probablement les zones ZA, AL et ME, avant que l’une des lignées filles ne disperse vers PO, la lignée « Zagros » restant confinée en ZA et AL. Un évènement de vicariance a séparé la « Jordanie » des autres populations. Puis des évènements de dispersion successifs ont conduit les populations à coloniser TU et OA, et à retourner vers AL (Fig. 3.3.9).
Figure 3.3.9 : Reconstruction des aires ancestrales de *N. tessellata* à chaque nœud de la phylogénie en utilisant le modèle DIVALIKE +J dans le package R BioGeoBears. Les aires géographiques définies sont schématisées dans l’encadré : Zagros (ZA), Alborz (AL), Turquie (TU), Méditerranée (ME), Paléarctique Occidental (PO) et Ouest Asie (OA). Les camemberts à chaque nœud représentent la probabilité d’occurrence dans chaque région, deux lettres indiquent la probabilité la plus élevée de l’aire régionale ancestrale. V indique les événements de vicariance et les flèches représentent les évènements de dispersion.

Pour *H. ravergieri*, 4 zones géographiques ont été définies (Fig. 3.3.10 encadré). Le meilleur modèle était DEC + J (AIC = 31.02), non significativement différent de DIVALIKE + J (AIC = 31.36) (Appendix 3.7). Pour les deux modèles, l’ancêtre commun des 6 populations occupait la TU, après s’être séparé de son ancêtre commun avec *H. nummifer* qui était distribué dans les zones ZA, AL et TU. Puis un évènement de dispersion a permis la colonisation de KD, à partir d’où les populations « Azerbaïdjan – Alborz » et « Ouest Alborz » sont retournées en AL et au ZA (Fig.3.3.10).
Figure 3.3.10 : Reconstruction des aires ancestrales de *H. ravergieri* à chaque nœud de la phylogénie en utilisant le modèle DEC +J dans le package R BioGeoBears. Les aires géographiques définies sont schématisées dans l’encadré : Zagros (ZA), Alborz (AL), Turquie (TU) et Kopet-Dagh (KD). Les camemberts à chaque nœud représentent la probabilité d’occurrence dans chaque région, deux lettres indiquent la probabilité la plus élevée de l’aire régionale ancestrale. *V* indique les événements de vicariance et les flèches représentent les événements de dispersion.
3.2.3. Discussion

- Histoire évolution de Natrix tessellata

L’échantillonnage étudié pour la couleuvre tessellée (spécimens collectés en Iran et séquences d’études précédentes) correspond à la quasi-totalité de son aire de distribution. L’espèce apparait scindée en 9 clades distincts bien supportés, confirmant les résultats de Joger et al. (2007) et Guicking et al. (2009) basés également sur le cyt b ainsi que sur des marqueurs nucléaires. Les groupes ayant divergé les premiers e.g. « Zagros », « Grèce » et « Jordanie », sont très distants des autres lignées (dinter > 0.078), une valeur équivalente à la distance observée entre les espèces N. natrix et N. astreptophora (dinter = 0.073, Appendix 3.5).

La divergence des différentes lignées a débuté il y a 11.34 Ma et s’est poursuivie essentiellement au cours du Miocène et du Pliocène, bien avant les oscillations climatiques du Pléistocène. Seuls les groupes les plus apparentés « Europe » et « Crête », et « Kazakhstan », « Ouzbékistan » et « Caucase » se sont séparés durant le Pléistocène (entre 2.7 - 2.48 Ma). La forte structuration géographique semble cohérente avec une fragmentation des populations par vicariance comme suggéré par le meilleur scénario obtenu avec DIYABC selon lequel les 9 populations se sont fragmentées à partir d’un ancêtre commun. Cependant, les résultats obtenus avec BioGeoBears indiquent que les deux modèles DIVALIKE +J et DEC +J ne sont pas significativement différents, suggérant que des évènements de dispersion ont également dû participer à l’histoire évolution de N. tessellata. Sur cette base le scénario suivant a pu être postulé (Fig. 3.3.11) :

(1) Le clade « Zagros » se trouve en position la plus basale de l’arbre, indiquant que les populations ancestrales de l’espèce vivaient en Iran, comme l’ont aussi suggéré Guicking et al. (2009) qui n’ont utilisé que 2 échantillons iraniens. La fermeture du passage de la mer entre l’Eurasie et l’Afrique il y a 12 Ma (Steininger et Rögl 1984) ainsi que l’aridification du climat vers 10-9 Ma entrainant l’ouverture des milieux en Méditerranée (van Dam 2006), ont permis aux individus de se disperser vers les côtes Est de la Méditerranée, notamment en Jordanie, puis en Grèce.

(2) La colonisation de la Turquie a pu se produire environ au moment de la crise Messinienne (6-5 Ma), quand la Méditerranée était asséchée (Hsü et al. 1977 ; Krijgsman et al. 1999 ; Steininger et Rögl 1984).

(3) Depuis la Turquie, certains individus ont colonisé l’Europe il y a environ 5.8 Ma. La chaine des Balkans, véritable barrière à la dispersion (Hewitt 1996), a sans doute empêché les échanges de gènes entre les clades « Europe » et « Grèce ». A la fin du Pliocène, des individus
ont dispersé vers la Crète, peut-être par voie marine, *N. tessellata* supportant l’eau salée (Guicking *et al.* 2009), se séparant ainsi de la lignée européenne.

(4) A partir de la Turquie, une seconde colonisation a eu lieu vers l’Asie de l’Ouest il y a 3.75 Ma, au moment du pic d’aridité favorisant le développement d’environnements steppiques (van Dam 2006). La colonisation semble avoir eu lieu par le Nord de la mer Caspienne, conduisant à la divergence successive des lignées « Kazakhstan » et« Ouzbékistan » par vicariance.

(5) La colonisation de l’Alborz a été effectuée à partir de la lignée « Ouzbékistan » jusqu’aux rives occidentales de la mer Caspienne. Selon ce scénario, la formation de l’Alborz au cours du Pléistocène (Behrooz 2017) a empêché tout flux de gènes entre les lignées « Zagros » au Sud de l’Alborz et « Caucase » au Nord, expliquant la présence de deux clades d’âges et d’origines différentes.

Il semble que la plupart des phases de divergence et de diversification se soient déroulées durant des périodes interglaciaires : il y a 4 Ma (van Dam, 2006; Zagwijn, 1985) quand la lignée « Turquie » a divergé (Fig. 3.3.3, nœud E), il y a 2.4 Ma (Krantz, 1991) pour la divergence du clade « Kazakhstan » et la diversification de la lignée « Turquie » (Fig. 3.3.3, nœuds F et G) qui ont eu lieu juste avant le début d’une glaciation, il y a environ 1 Ma juste avant la glaciation du Günz (Randi, 2007) quand les lignées « Caucase » et « Zagros » ont commencé à se diversifier (Fig. 3.3.3, nœuds B et G1). D’une manière générale, la plupart des lignées semblent avoir connues une expansion de leur populations (Fig. 3.3.5) plus ou moins concomitante à partir de 0.25 Ma au début de la glaciation du Riss (Randi, 2007). Les individus se sont ainsi contractés dans les refuges durant les périodes interglaciaires et ont recolonisé les milieux durant les glaciations où le climat était plus sec (Horowitz 1989). Des zones refuges ont été identifiées dans le Caucase (Hewitt, 1996,1999), auxquels nos données permettent de rajouter la Turquie, les montagnes du Zagros et de l’Alborz.
Figure 3.3.11: Reconstruction de l’histoire évolutive de *N. tessellata* basée sur les séquences du cyt *b*. Les régions en couleur correspondent aux zones géographiques définies dans BioGeoBears. Les flèches noires indiquent les routes éventuelles de colonisation et les lignes pointillées schématisent les événements de vicariance inférés à partir des modèles DIVALIKE +J (a) et DEC +J (b) dans BioGeoBears. Les étoiles indiquent la localisation actuelle des différentes lignées dont les couleurs correspondent à celles de la Fig. 3.3.3. Les nombres font référence aux temps de divergence entre les différents groupes en Ma et les chiffres romains aux différentes phases de divergence identifiées (voir texte).

- **Histoire évolutive d’*Hemorrhois ravergieri***

Seule la partie iranienne de la distribution de la couleuvre de Ravergier a été analysée dans cette étude mais contrairement à *N. tessellata*, aucune étude phylogéographique n’a encore été publiée. En Iran, l’espèce est divisée en 6 clades bien supportés. Le groupe « Zagros » est le plus distant des autres lignées (*d*inter > 0.15). Il est plus distant que les espèces *H. nummifer, H. hippocrepis* et *H. algirus* entre elles (0.12 < *d*inter < 0.14).

Les événements de divergence au sein de *H. ravergieri* ont eu lieu entre 6.06 - 1.66 Ma, soit au cours du Pliocène et du Pléistocène. Les différents scénarios testés avec DIYABC et BioGeoBears indiquent que vicariance et dispersion doivent être prises en compte pour expliquer la structuration des différents groupes observés pour *H. ravergieri* en Iran. Un scénario en 4 phases peut être avancé (Fig. 3.3.12):

1) La colonisation de l’Iran semble provenir de la Turquie il y a 6.06 Ma à partir d’où les organismes ont dispersé vers le Zagros, quand le climat était plus aride à l’Est de l’Europe (van Dam 2006).
(2) Un événement de vicariance a scindé la population du Zagros entre les deux versants de la montagne.


(4) l’Azerbaïdjan serait la dernière région à avoir été colonisée à partir de l’Alborz.

La plupart des événements de divergence ont eu lieu durant des périodes interglaciaires chaudes: il y a 4.4 Ma (Krantz, 1991) quand le clade « Zagros » a divergé par vicariance (Fig. 3.3.4 nœud B), ou quand le groupe « Kopet-Dag » s’est isolé il y a 2.3 Ma (Fig. 3.3.4 nœud D) juste à la fin d’une glaciation (Horowitz, 1989 ; Zagwijn, 1985). Plus généralement, les lignées « Zagros » et « Kopet-Dag », ainsi que l’espèce dans sa globalité, ont connu une phase d’expansion à partir de 0.05 Ma au début de la glaciation du Würm (Randi, 2007), après un goulot d’étranglement, comme le suggère les fortes valeurs de Hd et les faibles valeurs de $\pi$ (Guicking et al., 2009; Nebel et al., 2015). *H. ravergieri* étant une espèce adaptée au milieu ouvert, elle aurait donc connu des phases de contraction durant les périodes interglaciaires et des phases d’expansion durant les périodes glaciaires. La forte structuration géographique observée pour *H. ravergieri* et l’absence d’haplotype en commun entre les groupes laissent supposer l’existence de plusieurs zones refuges, notamment dans les montagnes du Zagros, de l’Alborz et de Kopet Dag.
Figure 3.3.12: Reconstruction de l’histoire évolutive de *H. ravergieri* basée sur la combinaison des deux gènes mitochondriaux. Les régions en couleur correspondent aux zones géographiques définies dans BioGeoBears. Les flèches noires indiquent les routes éventuelles de colonisation et les lignes pointillées schématisent les événements de vicariance inférés à partir des modèles DIVALIKE +J (a) et DEC +J (b) dans BioGeoBears. Les étoiles indiquent la localisation actuelle des différentes lignées dont les couleurs correspondent à celles de la Fig. 3.3.4. Les nombres font référence aux temps de divergence entre les différents groupes en Ma et les chiffres romains aux différentes phases de divergence identifiées (voir texte).
3.3. Conclusion

- Histoire biogéographique commune chez les serpents de montagnes

Il est tout d’abord à noter que pour les deux taxons étudiés, deux clades géographiquement distincts et d’âge différents sont observés en Iran. Dans les deux cas, le clade le plus ancien (daté de 11.3 et 6.06 Ma) se trouve dans le Zagros alors que l’Alborz a été colonisé plus tardivement.

Bien que le début de la diversification de *H. ravergieri* soit plus récent que pour *N. tessellata*, la corrélation entre les principales phases de divergence des lignées et les transitions climatiques du Plio-Pléistocène suggèrent que les deux espèces ont été influencées par les mêmes facteurs environnementaux. En effet, en tant qu’espèces adaptées aux environnements ouverts et secs et au climat montagnard, elles ont été confinées dans les refuges au sommet des montagnes, où les milieux ouverts y étaient restreints, durant les phases interglaciaires plus chaudes et humides (Horowitz 1989 ; Khel 2009), et ont connu des phases d’expansion durant les périodes glaciaires caractérisées par un climat plus froid et sec, notamment en Iran (Horowitz, 1989; Khel, 2009).
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Chapter 4:
Bioclimatic models forecast 50-years decrease in climatic suitability for Iranian mountain snakes
Résumé en français:

Durant les deux dernières décennies, les tentatives de documenter et prédire les effets des changements climatiques actuels sur la biodiversité se sont multipliées de manière spectaculaire. Pourtant, des déficits de connaissance sur les distributions géographiques persistent dans des régions peu accessibles ou pour des espèces difficiles à échantillonner (le “biais wallacéen”). Pour ces régions et ces espèces, les modèles de distribution jouent un double rôle : orienter l’acquisition de données afin d’améliorer les connaissances sur les aires géographiques des espèces concernées et leurs déterminants (notamment aux marges), et élaborer des hypothèses testables quant à leur dynamique au regard des scénarios climatiques à court terme (quelques décennies). En l’absence de données protocolées permettant de calibrer des modèles de distributions mécanistes, la seule solution pour produire de telles prédictions est de se reposer sur des corrélations entre les distributions observées des espèces et des variables pertinentes d’un point de vue biologique. Cette approche par modèles corrélatifs de distributions (dans le présent texte “SDM”) est très utilisée, ne serait-ce que parce qu’elle est souvent la seule possible au regard des données disponibles. Les SDM restent cependant des outils limités à utiliser avec précaution, en particulier lorsque l’écologie des taxa étudiés est mal connue ou les données déficientes. Premièrement, ces modèles, basés sur des méthodes de régression ou de classification, ne créent aucune relation causale entre les prédicteurs et la distribution de l’espèce. En particulier, les prédicteurs climatiques, qui sont les plus fréquemment utilisés, posent problème pour de nombreux taxa dont la réponse au climat est indirecte, à large échelle spatiale et sur un pas de temps long – donc en déconnexion avec les prédictions de court terme généralement attendues de ces modèles. La forte autocorrélation spatiale qui caractérise les gradients spatiaux écologiques tend de plus à créer des corrélations fortuites entre les distributions d’espèces et toute variable structurée spatialement. Enfin, les SDM climatiques font des hypothèses forte sur la stationarité temporelle de la niche, la qualité du climat comme proxy de facteurs non climatiques, l’exhaustivité d’échantillonnage des gradients écologiques et la nature des données d’absence (ou de leur déficit). Malgré ces limites fortes qui doivent être prises en compte à chaque étape de la construction et de l’interprétation d’un SDM, ces modèles ont un intérêt pragmatique de par la facilité de leur construction qui en fait un outil prédictif utile à titre préliminaire. Je les utilise ici pour établir une première prédiction comparative des zones climatiquement favorables à sept espèces de serpents sous plusieurs scénarii climatiques à 50 et 70 ans vers le futur.

Cette étude incorpore deux hotspots de biodiversité d’intérêt continental : la zone Irano-Anatolienne et le Caucase. La région étudiée est de plus structurée par cinq aires d’endémisme
majeures, associées aux cinq grandes chaînes de montagne qui traversent l’Iran: l’Alborz, le Zagros, le Kopet Dagh, la chaîne d’Azerbaidjan, et le massif iranien central. En l’absence d’échantillonnage systématique de type atlas, les données disponibles pour prédire la distribution des sept espèces étudiées dans cette région consistent en des occurrences récoltées de manière opportuniste, sans protocole ni indication d’absences.

Notre approche méthodologique est structurée en quatre étapes :

Modéliser les distributions d’espèces dans les conditions climatiques actuelles à partir de modèles de processus ponctuels (interface MaxEnt) incorporant sept descripteurs climatiques issus de WorldClim et choisis pour leur pertinence au regard des éléments connus de la biologie des espèces ;

Projeter les enveloppes climatiques ainsi obtenues sur un scénario climatique à 2070 (moyenne de 2060-2080) ans basés sur des modèles de circulation générale (GCM) et une sélection de voies de concentration représentatives (RCP), afin d’obtenir une prédiction spatialisée des zones climatiquement favorables aux espèces tout en maîtrisant l’incertitude liée aux prédicteurs ;

Quantifier le changement altitudinal prédit de la zone climatique favorable pour chaque espèce afin d’identifier les limites topographiques aux possibilités de mouvement des aires de distributions ;

Estimer le recouvrement de ces zones climatiquement favorables par le réseau actuel des espaces protégés iraniens.

Les SDM nous conduisent à prédire des restrictions des zones climatiquement favorables de 42 à 91 % par rapport aux aires actuelles pour tous les scénarii de changements climatiques testés (52-72% sous le scénario de changement climatique le plus faible, 50-91% pour le scénario de changement le plus fort). Les gammes altitudinales favorables devraient remonter pour cinq espèces et descendre pour deux espèces. Enfin, nos modèles nous conduisent à prédire une réduction de la couverture des aires de nos sept espèces par le réseau d’espaces protégés allant de 26 à 60% en fonction des scénarios.

Ces résultats sont à prendre comme des prédictions très préliminaires affectées par des données de distributions faibles auxquelles se surajoutent les limites déjà discutée ci-dessus. Ils constituent cependant la seule prédiction disponible de l’impact du changement climatique en cours sur les espèces étudiées, tenant compte des connaissances parcelaires sur cette région et ces espèces. Tenant compte de cette limite, nous proposons les conclusions préliminaires suivantes. Premièrement, la plupart des espèces étudiées devraient connaître des réductions
drastiques de leur espace climatiquement favorable, tant en aire qu’en altitude, dans les prochaines décades. Ces résultats rendent nécessaire l’acquisition de données de distribution plus précises et plus systématiques, incluant des données d’absence et de démographie, afin d’affiner les relations espèces-climat. Une acquisition de données sur les habitats, l’utilisation des sols par l’homme, et des scénarios sur leur devenir dans les 40 à 80 prochaines années est aussi une étape nécessaire en vue de projections plus réalistes. Ces données et ces projections sont pour l’heure inexistantes et limitent donc la capacité à incorporer les dimensions non-climatiques de la niche des serpents dans les SDM. Une autre perspective centrale sera d’étudier la capacité des serpents à suivre les changements climatiques par dispersion et / ou à s’accomoder de ces changements sans modification de leur aire – c’est à dire, d’étudier à la fois leur résistance, leur résilience et leur plasticité face aux changements. Cet aspect est d’autant plus majeur que plusieurs espèces étudiées ici sont restreintes aux montagnes iraniennes : en l’absence de tels processus, on peut craindre la perte d’une biodiversité unique à relativement court terme.

Nos modèles révèlent par ailleurs que le réseau actuel d’aires protégées est peu adéquat pour garantir le futur des espèces étudiées, sous l’hypothèse que le climat est un facteur majeur de leurs distributions. Il sera nécessaire de croiser cette prédiction avec d’autres études portant sur d’autres taxat afin de définir l’ampleur des modifications nécessaires pour adapter le réseau d’aires protégées iranien aux changements attendus, tout en tenant compte des interférences avec des facteurs indépendants du climat (utilisation des sols par l’homme, facteurs socio-économiques, topographie, habitat …).

En conclusion, il nous paraît avant toute chose nécessaire de remédier au déficit généralisé de données sur les distributions des reptiles iraniens et sur leur écologie. En ce sens, nos résultats sont clairement préliminaires et pointent vers la nécessité d’échantillonnages protocolés et systématiques spécifiquement dédiés à la modélisation de niche, en incluant des dimensions non-climatiques. Un gros travail de scénarisation de l’utilisation des sols sera, à cette fin, nécessaire. Nous espérons que cette étude permettra de stimuler de tels programmes de terrain, et attraira l’attention sur les menaces posées par le changement climatique sur des espèces pour lesquelles l’Iran a une responsabilité particulière, ainsi que, de manière plus générale, sur toutes les espèces affectées par le biais Wallacéen.
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4.1. Introduction:

A multitude of studies have documented and forecasted the effects of climate change on biodiversity at multiple spatial and temporal scales (Parmesan, 2006; Bellard et al., 2014). Notwithstanding this abundant literature, knowledge gaps still remain because of data limitations on the geographic distribution of many species, especially outside the western Palearctic and Nearctic biomes (“the Wallacean shortfall”, Lomolino, 2004; Hortal et al., 2015). A direct result is the lack of forecast on climate change effects for some of the earth’s major biodiversity hotspots, such as the biogeographic transition regions found in the mountains of the Middle-East and Central Asia. This gap needs to be solved as these regions host a wide range of species at high conservation risk due to scarcity, endemism or cultural values (Ramanamanjato et al., 2002). Filling the Wallacean shortfall is just as crucial to clarifying broad-scale ecological patterns and predicting their changes under climate-change scenarios (Hortal et al., 2015), justifying high sampling efforts assisted with statistical modeling to face the paucity of available data. In these regions, species’ presence data are rarely derived from purposive surveys and mostly rely on random observations, opportunistic and scattered sightings, museum repositories or databases of occurrence records. In addition, uneven survey efforts in less accessible areas (Varela et al., 2014) or areas with limited naturalistic value result in highly biased datasets (Dennis and Thomas, 2000), which is commonly known as survey bias or sampling bias.
Global ecological patterns are shaped by climate along elevational and latitudinal gradients (Humboldt, 1807; Merriam, 1894; Francis and Currie, 2003; Willig et al., 2003). As a result, climate data range amongst the most commonly used sources for predicting species distributions. Nevertheless, distributions are mediated by a complex interplay between a combination of climate and non-climate factors (e.g. biotic interactions, dispersal, micro-habitat availability, human land use) (Sexton et al., 2009; Journé et al., 2019). Therefore, species’ distributional dynamics may not be directly under climatic determinism, rendering causal associations highly uncertain. In turn, this mismatch between the climatic niche of a species and its geographical realization increases uncertainty on distribution forecasts based on bioclimatic models (Sofaer et al., 2018). For instance, climate-only models may be more relevant to ectothermic than endothermic species, even though impacts of non-climate factors such as habitat or prey availability may blur their signal or be misinterpreted as climatic effects in both (see more Parmesan, 2007). As a result, the hypothesis that climate change is a major cause of declines in vertebrates such as reptiles and amphibians remains difficult to test without making a number of assumptions on covariations between climatic and non-climatic factors (Coreau et al., 2009).

Predictions of future species distributions using climate change scenarios may be performed through correlative and mechanistic species distribution models (Jarnevich et al., 2015). Mechanistic approaches represent species responses (e.g. phenology, growth, reproduction and survival) to climatic changes explicitly through diffusion equations. Obtaining the data needed to calibrate such models is difficult, which makes them unrealistic for poorly known species and regions with scarce data and minimal understanding of ecological processes (Journé et al., 2019). Alternatively, correlative species distribution models (cSDMs) have been extensively used for a vast array of taxa and at different geographic scales (Thomas et al., 2004; Pereira et al., 2010; Thuiller et al., 2011). These models make no causal assumption about the role of climate on species distributions but rely on the high correlation usually observed between climatic variables and species range limits (e.g. Guisan and Thuiller, 2005; Morin et al., 2007). These models suffer from a number of drawbacks and limitations (e.g. spatial autocorrelation issues, lack of relevant predictors, uncertainty in the role of climate, unmodelled non-climatic processes, and the assumption that correlations between climate and distributions are stationary in space and time) (Austin et al., 2006; Fourcade et al., 2014; Journé et al., 2019). Nevertheless, because of the complexity of species distribution drivers and limited availability of data directly representing these processes, cSDMs often remain the only solution to predict distribution
patterns in undersampled countries and taxa where the paucity of environmental and
distribution data renders more complex models intractable.

In many cases, building cSDMs carefully and accepting the resulting uncertainty is therefore
a critical preliminary step, or even the only way to forecast the future of undersampled species
and regions over the next 50 to 100 years of climate change. To achieve biologically reasonable
predictions in the absence of causal predictors, climatic variables must be selected based on
hypotheses on relevant ecophysiological processes, and the scale of studies must suit the
organisms and processes of interest (Austin et al., 2010). Assessing the biological importance
of climatic variables and understanding their impact on species range limits is however a
challenge, especially for poorly surveyed species (Coreau et al., 2009). In addition, for most
data-deficient species or areas, presence-only data are the only resource available to depict
species distributions, which imposes the use of point-process models such as MaxEnt (Renner
et al., 2015; Phillips et al., 2006; Elith et al., 2006). These models offer an imperfect, yet
pragmatic way to predict the fate of species facing climate change even though the lack of
absence data requires strong hypotheses on areas without records, which may be due either to
lack of presence or lack of sampling. In such situations, expert knowledge is therefore essential
to verify the critical assumption of point process models that the environmental (and in
particular, climatic) envelope of targeted species is sampled representatively.

Marked declines of reptile and amphibian populations have been reported worldwide and
attributed to direct and indirect effects of current climate change (Gibbons et al., 2000;
Blaustein et al., 2001; Pounds et al., 2006; Bosch et al., 2007). It has been predicted that by
2080, some 20% of species of lizards may suffer partial or entire loss of their current range
(Sinervo et al., 2010, for controversial discussion, see Clusella-Trullas and Chown, 2011).
Since sex determination and incubation success in most reptile species are temperature-
dependent (Janzen, 1994; Godfrey et al., 1999, Mitchell and Janzen, 2010; Neuwald and
Valenzuela, 2011), climate change could directly modify population structures through
ecophysiological processes, possibly leading to local extinction (but see Clusella-Trullas and
Chown, 2011). Knowledge on reptile distributions remains however sparse due to their overall
scarcity, high diversity in poorly surveyed areas and difficulties in sampling through systematic
designs (Böhm et al., 2013). In spite of this drastic limitation in data availability, conservation
threats imply an urgent need for studies addressing the distributional impacts of climate change
on reptiles, focusing on range-restricted species or on those that lie at climatic or biogeographic
margins, where endemism rates are high.
Species in high endemism areas are particularly expected to be more vulnerable to climate change and global warming because of range restriction, dispersal limitations and high level of specialization (Médail and Quézel, 1999; Myers et al., 2000; Mittermeier et al., 2005). Iran is specifically notable for its richness of endemics (Eskandarzadeh et al., 2018), with 10 of 83 Iranian snakes being strictly restricted and endemic to Iran. This remarkable herpetofaunal diversity is largely explained by Iran’s geographic location, at the meeting point of several biogeographic regions (Palearctic, Oriental and Afrotropical), and topography which triggers high climatic and ecological variability over relatively short spatial gradients. Iran is located at the intersection of three climatic zones: the temperate humid/semi-humid Caspian, the Mediterranean, and the arid West Asian zones (Malek-Hosseini and Zamani, 2017). The Iranian Plateau comprises parts of two globally important hotspots, the Irano-Anatolian and the Caucasus (Mittermeier et al., 2005) (Fig 4.1.1). In addition, Iran encompasses five major areas of endemism (Zagros, Alborz, Azerbaijan, Kopet Dagh and Central mountains of Iran) (Noroozi et al., 2018). Among the endemic Iranian snakes, nine are distributed in the Zagros Mountains, and one, Montivipera latifi, inhabits the Alborz Mountains (Rajabizadeh, 2017). To date, 83 snake species have been identified in Iran, of which 46 and 36 occur in the Zagros and Alborz Mountains, respectively and about 12 species are found in both.

Figure 4.1.1. Global biodiversity hotspots: the Irano-Anatolian and the Caucasus biodiversity hotspots.
The number of reptile species reported in Iran is increasing rapidly, with 31 newly described species between 2000 and 2014 (see Safaei-Mahroo et al., 2015 for review). Nonetheless, reptile distributional data in Iran are scarce and mainly based on opportunistic records and sporadic field surveys, rendering unfeasible the presence-absence based cSDM usually employed to forecast climate change effects on better known taxa or regions. As a result, only a handful of studies have been conducted on how some reptile species in Iran respond to climatic changes, and suggest distributional shifts (Hosseinian Yousefkhani et al., 2013; Oraie et al., 2014; Yousefi et al., 2015; Kafash et al., 2016; Hosseinian Yousefkhani et al., 2016; Hosseinian Yousefkhani et al., 2017; Rounaghi and Hosseinian Yousefkhani, 2018; Hosseinian Yousefkhani, 2019). Because of the cardinal importance of these mountains to biodiversity and endemicity and because we suspect the effect of climatic fluctuations will be substantial on the reptilian diversity of Iran, it remains essential to build cautious cSDM based on this rare and fragmented information as an initial hypothesis on species’ status. Preliminary forecasts of future changes in climatically suitable areas, stemming from these models, may orient dedicated data collection and conservation measures.

As a contribution to this aim, we performed one of the first multi-species comparative forecasts of changes in climatically suitable areas for Iranian reptiles, relying on the best available data. For the first time in Iran, the present research investigated the distribution of a guild of mountain Iranian snakes. The specific questions asked in this study are: (i) Which climatic variables best explain Iranian snakes’ distributions? (ii) What changes in climatically suitable areas are expected for these species with regard to the climate scenarios and distribution data available, and (iii) Will the current network of Iranian protected areas still cover these forecasted suitable areas?

4.2. Material and methods:

Our methodological approach in this study is structured into four steps: (i) model species distributions under present-day conditions with MaxEnt and estimate species’ current climatic envelopes; (ii) forecast the geographic projection of these climate envelopes under climate change scenarios based on general circulation models (GCMs) and a selection of representative concentration pathways (RCPs); (iii) quantify species’ projected altitudinal shifts by comparing their present distributions and modeled forecasts, and (iv) evaluate the effectiveness of the current Iranian network of protected areas.
4.2.1. Study area

Iran is situated at the crossroads of three major biogeographical regions (Irano-Turanian, Saharo-Sindian and Euro-Siberian) (Djamali et al., 2011), which correspond to three macro-bioclimates (Mediterranean, tropical and temperate) in Iran (Rivas-Martínez, 1997). Our study area encompassed parts of two biodiversity hotspots; the Irano-Anatolian and the Caucasus. Within the Irano-Anatolian hotspot, which is home to a great number of endemic species (Gholamifard and Rastegar-Pouyani 2012), five areas of endemism have been identified (Noroozi et al., 2018). These areas correlate with the five major ranges of Iran that were included in the study area: Alborz in the north, Zagros in the west and south-west, Kopet Dagh in the north-east, Azerbaijan in the northwest, and Central Iran mountains (Fig. 4.2.1.1). In Iran, the Irano-Anatolian hotspot covers the Zagros, Azerbaijan, south-facing slopes of the Alborz, as well as parts of the Kopet Dagh and Central Iran mountains. Its continental climate causes marked variability in annual precipitation (100 to 1500 mm/annum) (Asouti and Kabukcu, 2014). At high elevations of the Zagros (2,000 to over 4,000 m), much of the precipitation occurs in the form of snow in late autumn, winter and early spring (Noroozi et al., 2008). Mean annual temperature of the Zagros varies from 11°C in northwestern Zagros to 25°C in southwestern Zagros. The Caucasus hotspot in Iran comprises the Alborz Mountains, especially the northern slopes, where elevation varies from 26 m below sea level at the Caspian Sea to 5671 m above sea level at Mt. Damavand, Iran’s highest peak. The highest annual precipitation in Iran is received by the Caspian lowlands (>1,000 mm/annum, maximum 1,800 mm/annum) and high peaks of the Alborz (1400 mm/annum) (Ehlers 1980). The Alborz has a mean annual temperature between 15 and 18°C, increasing from west to east.
4.2.1. The study area along the Alborz (AL), Zagros (Z), mountains of Central Iran (EZ), Azerbaijan (AZ) and Kopet Dagh Mountains (KD), and location of reptile records used in the study. Background source: ESRI (available online at: http://www.esri.com/).

4.2.2. Data sets

Among the species distributed in the two studied regions, we selected a total of 7 species with sufficient data on their occurrences to expect a meaningful representation of climate-distribution correlations (threshold set arbitrarily at 30 records based on prior experience). We identified five species in the Colubridae family: Platyceps najadum (n=52 records), Natrix tessellata (n=59 records), Malpolon insignitus (n=44 records), Hemorrhois ravergieri (n=55 records), and Eirenis punctatolineatus (n=34 records). We also added two Viperidae: Macrovipera lebetia (n= 74 records) and Montivipera raddei species complex (n= 80 records). The seven species selected for the present study are described briefly in Appendix 4.2. No presence/absence atlas is available for Iranian snakes. We thus had to rely on opportunistic presence-only data obtained during fieldwork sessions from 2015 to 2019 (278 records), complemented by previous field studies conducted by other Iranian herpetologists mostly over the last decade (120 records). We combined records of a given species when separated by less than 500 m to reduce spurious autocorrelation effects and risk of double-counting. We also
discarded occurrence records outside the known limits of species ranges in order to remove misidentified records.

4.2.3. Bioclimatic data

We downloaded a total of 19 raster-based climatic variables representative of the 1960 – 1990 period from the WorldClim database (Hijmans et al., 2005: http://www.worldclim.org/) with a spatial resolution of about 1 km² to estimate current species’ climatic envelopes. Among these, we selected seven uncorrelated climatic variables for which we had a biological expectation (see Clusella-Trullas et al., 2011; Salas et al., 2017) regarding their role on snake species’ distributions (Table 4.2.3.1). When our biological hypotheses led us to select two correlated variables, we retained the one with the most likely direct effect on snake distributions. In so doing, we ensured that our distribution models had a biological justification and were not affected by collinearity among variables (Journé et al., 2019). As a cautionary principle, we also calculated variance inflation factors (VIF; Naimi, 2014) to test for the impact of multi-collinearity on our distribution models. VIF values remained below the conventional value of 10 (max = 3.28 for Bio15 and min = 1.67 for Bio2), ensuring that we could use the seven selected variables for inference of species distribution.

4.2.4. Climatic scenarios

Based on previous studies evaluating the performances of climatic models (see e.g. Taylor et al., 2012; Salas et al., 2017), our selected dataset consisted of three of the climate projections from global climate models (GCMs) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC5). The three GCMs included Community Climate System Model version 4 (CCSM4; Gent et al., 2011), Max Planck Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM; Block and Mauritsen, 2013), and Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate version 5 (MIROC5; Watanabe et al., 2010), which are the most recent GCM climate projections used in the Fifth Assessment IPCC report.

For future scenarios, we employed two contrasting datasets of assumptions about future atmospheric concentration pathways of greenhouse gases and other atmospheric components, provided at 30-seconds (of a longitude/latitude degree) spatial resolution (about 900 m at the equator) and downscaled by WorldClim (Hijmans et al., 2005). Raster data for the two RCPs were obtained for all GCMs and for the year 2070 (average of 2060 to 2080). Eventually, RCP 2.6 was selected as it strictly offers the lowest projected greenhouse gas emissions (Roeckner
et al., 2010; Arora et al., 2011), whereas RCP 8.5 scenario postulates dramatic increases in the projected concentration and emission of greenhouse gases (Vuuren et al., 2011), followed by consequent warming of global surfaces (Knutti and Sedláček, 2013).

Table 4.2.1. List of seven bioclimatic variables used to develop bioclimatic-envelope models, their average, maximum, minimum, and standard deviations in Iran. The abbreviation Temp. stands for temperature. Variable names, acronyms and descriptions are provided by the WorldClim database (Hijmans et al., 2005).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Temporal Scale</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bio2</td>
<td>Mean Diurnal Temperature range (Mean of monthly (max temp - min temp) *10 (°C))</td>
<td>Variation</td>
<td>138.5</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>21.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio4</td>
<td>Temperature Seasonality (standard deviation *100)</td>
<td>Variation</td>
<td>8364.14</td>
<td>11317</td>
<td>3878</td>
<td>1192.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio8</td>
<td>Mean Temperature of the Wettest Quarter *10 (°C)</td>
<td>Quarter</td>
<td>115.42</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>-130</td>
<td>58.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio10</td>
<td>Mean Temperature of the Warmest Quarter *10 (°C)</td>
<td>Quarter</td>
<td>284.01</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio15</td>
<td>Precipitation Seasonality (Coefficient of Variation) (mm)</td>
<td>Variation</td>
<td>82.26</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio16</td>
<td>Precipitation of Wettest Quarter(mm)</td>
<td>Quarter</td>
<td>108.13</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>78.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio18</td>
<td>Precipitation of Warmest Quarter(mm)</td>
<td>Quarter</td>
<td>12.71</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.5. Species distribution modeling

We correlated snake species’ distributions with the seven bioclimatic variables of Table 4.2.3.1 using maximum entropy modeling within the Maxent software version 3.4.1 (Phillips et al., 2006; Phillips and Dudík, 2008), performing one separate model for each species. MaxEnt models a continuous density surface of presence points as a function of climatic variables and is identical to a Poisson point process model (Renner et al., 2015), making it
appropriate to analyze presence-only data (Wisz et al., 2008). Nevertheless, MaxEnt comes with a number of drawbacks, including sensitivity to sampling bias and spatial autocorrelation (Fourcade et al., 2014), high predictive performance irrespective of the existence of any causal relationship between climate and distributions (Journé et al., 2019) and strong hypotheses on absence areas. Furthermore, as in most distribution models, MaxEnt assumes space and time-stationary relationships between distributions and climate. Acknowledging these drawbacks, MaxEnt appeared as the most reasonable choice considering our extremely sparse data and the paucity of covariates available for the study area.

We fit our models with the seven biologically relevant climatic variables listed in Table 4.2.3.1, allowing for all the covariate structures implemented within MaxEnt (linear, quadratic, product, threshold and hinge) as the default settings of the software. We ran MaxEnt using 10,000 randomly located background points and we allowed a maximum of 1000 iterations for parameter estimation algorithm as all models were fitted with less than 300 presence points (Meynard et al., 2019). We assessed model fit with the Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve (AUC) and the True Skill Statistic (TSS; Allouche et al., 2006). The AUC value is the probability that a distribution model would score a randomly chosen presence point higher than a randomly chosen absence point, with values > 0.9 and < 0.7 representing high and low accuracy, respectively, and 0.5 indicating randomness (Fielding and Bell, 1997). The TSS is also a commonly used evaluation criterion of model fit that, contrary to the common kappa statistics (Cohen, 1960; Allouche et al., 2006), is independent of species prevalence. The Jackknife of Regularized Training Gain was used for each variable to identify which variables contribute the most individually in the model.

4.2.6. Projection to future conditions

We projected species’ current climatic-envelope models onto potential future climatic conditions based on the two RCPs and as per simulations under the three GCMs downscaled for the year 2070. Then, we averaged the predicted values from the three GCM models for the two RCPs using the Raster Calculator tool in ArcGIS v10.2 for downstream analyses to reduce model-associated uncertainties (Knutti et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2018). After that, we combined each RCP result from the three GCMs. Finally, we had a set of projection maps for the year 2070 for each RCP and for each species.

Lastly, we compared present-day and future ensemble maps to evaluate stability, gains, and losses in suitable areas given species’ climatic-envelopes for the year 2070 using the Spatial
Analyst tools in ArcGIS. Furthermore, we examined the hypothesis of altitudinal shift of species by measuring changes in average altitude of each climatic niche projection both in present and future conditions (combined three GCMs for the two RCPs), using the Zonal Statistics function implemented in ArcGIS V 10.2. In the end, we overlaid projected ranges with the latest map of the Iranian network of protected areas, including national parks, protected areas, and wildlife refuges (DoE, 2019).

4.3. Results:

4.3.1. Species modeling performance

Model fit varied across species and models (Table 4.3.1.1 and Appendix 4.1). AUC values indicated strong discriminative ability, with all values ≥ 0.90, a classical result with spatially structured variables which indicates nothing about predictive performance but reflects high correlation between the spatial patterns of climatic variables and snake distributions. Average AUC scores were highest for the present-day model, followed by MIROC5, CCSM4, and MPI-ESM, in both RCPs. Average AUC scores were highest for the Montivipera raddei species complex (0.98) and lowest for Macrovipera lebetina and Natrix tessellata (0.92). Average TSS scores were slightly lower than AUC scores, but with higher performance for the MIROC5 model, followed by MPI-ESM, present-day, and CCSM4, in both RCPs (Table 4.3.1.1 and Appendix 4.1).

Table 4.3.1.1 Average AUC and TSS scores for the seven snake species under the present-day model and the three GCMs (MIROC5, CCSM4, and MPI-ESM) in both RCPs (2.6 and 8.5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>AUC Average</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>TSS Average</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present-day</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC5_RCP 2.6</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC5_RCP 8.5</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCSM4_RCP 2.6</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCSM4_RCP 8.5</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI-ESM_RCP 2.6</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI-ESM_RCP 8.5</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montivipera spp.</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpolon insignitus</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eirenis punctatulialeatus</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhois raverghieri</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platyceps najadum</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natrix tessellata</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrovipera lebetina</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.2. Variable importance

Response curves of bioclimatic variables (Fig. 4.3.2.1) predicted that the seven species occur under rather similar conditions of dry and semi-dry climates with fairly high temperatures in the warmest quarter (Bio10) and little rainfall in the wettest quarter (Bio16). As shown in Figure 4.3.2.1, an overlap in climatic space was observed among the species, except for the Montivipera raddei species complex which presented a small overlap in response to temperature variables compared to other species. This species complex comprises three sky-island taxa that occupy highlands of the Alborz (M. latifi) and Zagros (M. raddei and M. kuhrangica) mountains, at elevations ranging from 1500 to 3100 m. Response curves of the precipitation-related variables (Bio16 and Bio18) for Natrix tessellata were consistent with the preference of this aquatic snake for high precipitation rates (Fig. 4.3.2.1, dark blue curve).
Figure 4.3.2.1. Marginal predicted response curves for the seven variables considered for each species.
The Jackknife shows the training gain of each variable if the model was run in isolation, and compares it to the training gain with all the variables. This is useful to identify which variables individually contribute most to the model’s predictions. The results of present-day bioclimatic-envelope model developments for the seven species indicated that Bio10 (mean temperature of warmest quarter), Bio16 (precipitation of wettest quarter), and Bio18 (precipitation of warmest quarter) made the strongest contributions (Fig. 4.3.2.2), whereas Bio2, Bio4, Bio8 and Bio15 had a more limited impact. Bio10 was the most important variable in modeling of species distribution bioclimatic envelopes, with a contribution ranging from 21% (E. M. lebetina) to 74.5% (Montivipera raddei species complex), E. punctatolineatus being an exception with 6.7% Bio10 contribution. However, for M. lebetina and E. punctatolineatus, Bio16 and Bio18 made the greatest contribution to their climatic envelope modeling.

Figure 4.3.2.2. Contribution of the bioclimatic variables for modeling of present-day bioclimatic-envelopes for the seven species using Jackknife test implemented in MaxEnt model that show the variables bio10, Bio16 and Bio18 have approximately most contribution for modeling. Abbreviations: Bio2, Mean Diurnal Temperature range; Bio4, Temperature Seasonality; Bio 8, Mean Temperature of the Wettest Quarter; Bio10, Mean Temperature of the Warmest Quarter; Bio15, Precipitation Seasonality; Bio16, precipitation of wettest quarter; Bio18, Precipitation of Warmest Quarter.
4.3.3. Future projections

Forecasts of future climatically suitable areas suggested range restrictions under all climate change scenarios (Fig. 4.3.3.1). Based on projections for the year 2070, the total area of present suitable environmental conditions should decrease for *E. punctatolineatus* (49.59% and 50.75% loss of present suitable climate envelope for RCPs 2.6 and 8.5, respectively), *H. ravergieri* (47.89% and 86.37% loss of present suitable climate envelope for RCPs 2.6 and 8.5, respectively), *N. tessellata* (39.62% and 67.47% loss of present suitable climate envelope for RCPs 2.6 and 8.5, respectively), for *P. najadum* (47.25% and 81.59% loss of present suitable climate envelope for RCPs 2.6 and 8.5, respectively), and the *Montivipera raddei* species complex (72.46% and 91.20% loss of present suitable climate envelope for RCPs 2.6 and 8.5, respectively), with most of the current species occurrences and suitable climatic conditions projected to become unsuitable (Tables 4.3.3.1 and 4.3.3.2). The models further suggest that in addition to the loss of suitable climatic conditions, *M. lebetina*, *M. insignitus* and *N. tessellata* may subsequently move toward northwestern and southwestern Iran in search of favorable climates (Fig. 4.3.3.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Present-day</th>
<th>RCP 2.6</th>
<th>RCP 8.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Eirenis punctatolineatus</em></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Macrovipera lebetina</em></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhois ravergieri</em></td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Montivipera spp.</em></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Malpolon insignitus</em></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Platyceps najadum</em></td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix tessellata</em></td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.3.3.2 Percentage of stable, loss and gain areas under present-day and future (2070) climate change conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Stable RCP2.6</th>
<th>Loss RCP2.6</th>
<th>Gain RCP2.6</th>
<th>Stable RCP8.5</th>
<th>Loss RCP8.5</th>
<th>Gain RCP8.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Eirenis</em> punctatolineatus</td>
<td>50.41</td>
<td>49.59</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>49.25</td>
<td>50.75</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Macrovipera</em> lebetina</td>
<td>57.28</td>
<td>42.72</td>
<td>11.95</td>
<td>32.53</td>
<td>67.47</td>
<td>16.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhois</em> ravergieri</td>
<td>52.11</td>
<td>47.89</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>13.63</td>
<td>86.37</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Montivipera</em> spp.</td>
<td>27.54</td>
<td>72.46</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>91.20</td>
<td>6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Malpolon</em> insignitus</td>
<td>39.69</td>
<td>60.31</td>
<td>30.01</td>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>79.70</td>
<td>38.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Platyceps</em> najadum</td>
<td>52.75</td>
<td>47.25</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>18.41</td>
<td>81.59</td>
<td>11.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix tessellata</em></td>
<td>60.38</td>
<td>39.62</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>32.53</td>
<td>67.47</td>
<td>17.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.3.1. Comparison of projected output maps modeled for the seven species and future (for the year 2070) models of suitable bioclimatic conditions based on bioclimatic data from General Circulation Models projected according to: RCP 2.6 and RCP 8.5. All present-day models ran successfully as all occurrence points were located on the suitable bioclimatic conditions (see Table 4.2.3.1 and Appendix 4.1). Maps show areas with high suitability for both present-day and future models (stable = green), new suitable conditions are projected for the future (gain=yellow), present conditions may be converted to unsuitable areas in the future (loss=red), and areas where conditions are unsuitable in the future (none=gray).

4.3.4. Species upward altitudinal shift

We investigated upward or downward shifts of climatically suitable areas by comparing the changes in average altitude of each bioclimatic-envelope model (present-day and averages of the three GCMs for the two RCPs). Our results suggested that the suitable altitudinal range of five species (Eirenis punctatolineatus, Hemorrhois ravergieri, Platyceps najadum, Natrix tessellata, and the Montivipera raddei species complex) would most likely exhibit an upward shift to track suitable climatic niches, whereas Macrovipera lebetina and Malpolon insignitus are expected to experience a downward altitudinal range shift in the future (Fig. 4.3.5.1 and
Table 4.3.5.1. This finding is in concordance with the results of our models of projected losses and gains in suitable bioclimatic envelopes for the former and latter groups of species, respectively, for the year 2070 (Fig. 4.3.3.1).

Table 4.3.5.1. Forecasting each species average altitudinal range shift (meter) using bioclimatic-envelope modeling for present-day and future (2070) conditions for the two RCPs (2.6 and 8.5), averaged for the three GCMs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>RCP 2.6</th>
<th>RCP8.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Montivipera spp.</em></td>
<td>2234.8</td>
<td>2485.5</td>
<td>3654.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix tessellata</em></td>
<td>1420.2</td>
<td>1623.7</td>
<td>1868.841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eirenis punctatolineatus</em></td>
<td>1678.5</td>
<td>1807.1</td>
<td>1758.939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhois ravergieri</em></td>
<td>1748.7</td>
<td>1991.1</td>
<td>2206.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Platyceps najadum</em></td>
<td>1483.9</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>1991.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Macroovipera lebetina</em></td>
<td>1612.2</td>
<td>1527.4</td>
<td>1596.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Malpolon insignitus</em></td>
<td>1238.2</td>
<td>1560.8</td>
<td>1202.578</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.5. Overlaying current and future bioclimatic-envelopes with protected areas in Iran

The amount of overlap between the latest map of the Iranian network of protected areas (consisting of national parks, protected areas, and wildlife refuges) and climate forecasts for the seven species showed that under the current anthropogenic climate warming, the overlap of the current protected area network could decrease by 26% (min=11% and max=50%) and 60% (min=46% and max=88%) for RPC 2.6 and RPC 8.5, respectively, by 2070 (Table 4.3.6.1). This reduction could be particularly severe for sky-island taxa, such as the Montivipera raddei species complex, which inhabit high altitudes of the Alborz and Zagros mountains and are likely to lose a considerable amount of their protection coverage within the Iranian network of protected areas.
columns indicate the loss of protection coverage for each species caused by the conversion of present suitable climate to unsuitable future climate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>RPC 2.6 (2070)</th>
<th>RPC 8.5 (2070)</th>
<th>1 - (RPC 2.6/Current)</th>
<th>1 - (RPC 8.5/Current)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eirenis punctatolineatus</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrovipera lebetina</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhois ravergieri</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montivipera spp.</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpolon insignitus</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platyceps najadum</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natrix tessellata</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.26</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.60</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.50</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.88</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.11</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.46</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4. Discussion

The results of the present study revealed that shifts in climatically suitable areas are expected for seven snakes of Iranian mountains over the next 50 years. Our models forecasted losses of 42 to 91% of suitable climatic conditions for the reptile species studied, rising serious cause for concern regarding their long-term persistence. Under the low scenario with slight projected changes (RCP 2.6), 42 to 72%, and under the high scenario (RCP 8.5), 50 to 91% of climatically suitable conditions will be lost. Climatic conditions could become particularly direr for sky-island species (especially the *Montivipera raddei* species complex), which are dependent on rocky plains and cool alpine conditions with high precipitation (Behrooz *et al.*, 2015; Behrooz *et al.*, 2018). Thus, they become restrained to islands at high elevations (Ahmadi *et al.*, 2019; Yousefi *et al.*, 2015), possibly leading to gene flow reductions among foothill populations.
(Rajabizadeh, 2013). In contrast, for *Macrovipera lebetina*, *Malpolon insigintus*, and *Natrix tesellatta*, low (RCP 2.6) and high (RCP 8.5) climatic changes might produce different outcomes. Changes in current temperature and precipitation regimes under the low climate change scenario could expand the species’ suitable conditions by 11 to 38%. These results suggest that such range expansions will also imply shifts of suitable climates to lower elevations except under the high climate change scenario. Although our results confirmed that about 0.17 of the suitable area for all mountainous snakes studied are located within the network of protected areas in Iran, modeling the predicted overlap between the species’ suitable conditions and the network of protected areas revealed that the effectiveness of the current network could decrease by 26% and 60% for RPC 2.6 and RPC 8.5, respectively.

It bears mentioning that reptiles are rare compared to other vertebrates and inhabit remote and inaccessible areas, which makes observing them in their natural habitats and collecting accurate data on their presence a challenging task. Our data were mainly obtained opportunistically from personal observations and museum occurrence records, and are therefore exposed to sampling bias in geographic space (see Fourcade *et al.*, 2014 for consequences on cSDM). In addition, selection of the geographic extent of model calibration and forecasts must be made in connection with the purpose of the research and the species’ ecology. Moreover, the modeled distribution should be interpreted with caution to determine whether it represents the species’ actual occupied range (i.e. a projection of the realized niche), or an in-between area. Our modeling and prediction results showed that current distributions of the species studied herein were in line with the current distribution and ecology of species reported in recent studies (Rajabizadeh, 2017), suggesting that our results were biologically coherent.

Although changes in non-climatic variables may influence the future distribution of suitable conditions of a species, we did not incorporate those changes into our models due to: (i) the uncertainty in the accuracy and precision of such data in Iran, and (ii) impossibility of using such data in the present model and its projection onto the future. For example, the most recent land use map of Iran is related to the year 2010, prepared by the Forests and Rangelands Management Organization. Despite the tremendously rapid land use changes in Iran caused by anthropogenic activities, drought and global warming, the land use map of Iran has not yet been revised, and previous layers are incomplete or affected by high uncertainty. In addition, the assumption of time stationarity for this sort of data from the present to the future (2070) and the use of such data in the present model and its projection onto the future seems unreasonable.
Although Dynamic Vegetation Models (DVMs) have been proposed as a means of predicting vegetation shifts in response to climate change and shifts in related biogeochemical and hydrological cycles (Sitch et al., 2008), use of these models is faced with certain shortcomings too, such as limitations associated with Plant Functional Types (PFTs).

Finally, we believe that in our mountainous study area, most changes in land use and vegetation cover will be largely driven by climatic changes, making climate a suitable proxy for non-climatic processes (Thuiller et al., 2004; Ahmadi et al., 2019). We therefore tried to mitigate the lack of causal predictors by carefully selecting climatic variables from biological criteria and assessing uncertainties in forecasts through application of multiple climatic models and scenarios simultaneously (as proposed by Journé et al 2019). In addition, marginal curves of climatic effects and the resulting forecasts matched expectations based on the biological and ecological features of the selected species. This is consistent with the findings of previous studies (Behrooz et al., 2015; Behrooz et al., 2018; Ahmadi et al., 2019; Yousefi et al., 2015), which suggests our results are reasonable given the current state of knowledge and accepting the strong levels of uncertainty associated with lack of data.

Our findings indicated that variables of Mean Temperature of the Warmest Quarter, Precipitation of Wettest Quarter, Precipitation of Warmest Quarter, Mean Temperature of the Wettest Quarter and Mean Diurnal Temperature Range respectively had the greatest contribution to climate model projections. Clusella-Trullas et al., (2011) highlighted that climatic variables have had a major part in the evolution of physiological thermal sensitivity of ectotherms, with precipitation of the driest month being the most influential variable in determining ectotherms’ preferred body temperature. Their results are in line with ours, as precipitation of the warmest quarter (Bio18) was the second bioclimatic contributor among the variables and was of great significance for all the species studied. Hence, it could be argued that these species rely on precipitation levels of the driest/warmest months to achieve their strongest performance in physiological processes. Additionally, their results suggested that diurnal temperature range was the most important variable in determining the critical thermal maximum. This finding concurs with the results obtained in our study as diurnal temperature range was a significant contributor to model projections, with the greatest importance for Eirenis punctatolineatus, Hemorrhois ravergieri, Macrovipera lebetina, Malpolon insignitus and Platyceps najadum, respectively.

In addition, among the precipitation variables in our study, precipitation of wettest quarter was among the most important and also the fifth variable with the greatest predictive contribution (6%) in Penman et al’s (2010) study. In another study by Popescu et al., (2013),
the most important variables in predicting range shifts for amphibian and reptile species using SDMs were annual precipitation (Bio12, 0.20%), mean annual temperature (Bio1, 0.19%), and mean temperature of warmest quarter (Bio10, 0.18%). Here, we excluded annual trend variables as we assumed seasonal variations in Iranian reptile species’ responses, because Iran’s climate exhibits four distinct seasons. Such seasonal variability is particularly apparent within our study area which is mountainous for the most part, thus sparking different responses among snakes under the climatic conditions of each season. For example, parturition of montane snakes is usually in late summer, mating occurs in early spring, and hibernation starts from mid-fall to late winter as the weather becomes colder and precipitation occurs in the form of rain or snow (Rajabizade 2017; Latifi 2000). For this reason, seasonal variables were the main focus of attention in our study, among which Bio10 made the greatest predictive contribution to the models. Salas et al. (2017) underlined the significance of annual precipitation in distribution modeling of the Sacramento Mountain Salamander (*Aneides hardii*). Even though our species are mountain dwellers and thus influenced by elevation, we excluded elevation from our model as it is highly correlated with precipitation and temperature.

In interpreting our results, it may be argued that suitable climatic conditions will likely be lost, restricting species to specific elevational gradients. This could strongly threaten mountain-dwelling species, which currently inhabit high elevations of the Alborz and Zagros mountain ranges (see also Williams et al., 2003; Pounds et al., 2006). These mountains, located in the Caucasus and the Irano-Anatolian hotspots, have acted as vital Quaternary refugia in the past and are therefore notable for their rich biodiversity and high-endemicity (Ahmadzadeh et al., 2013; Ahmadi et al., 2019; Behrooz et al., 2018; Asadi et al., 2019). Such elevational limitations could be followed by far-reaching consequences.

According to our modeling results, the next decades of climate warming could drive species such as *Montivipera* to higher elevations where they may become isolated on high-elevation islands (Ahmadi et al., 2019; Yousefi et al., 2015), separated from one another by middle elevations and foothills (Rajabizadeh, 2013). Meanwhile, some species (e.g. *Malpolon*, *Macrovipera*, and *Natrix*) may experience an expansion of suitable areas under climate change scenarios because these species are thermophilous and could consequently benefit from a warming climate (Popescu et al., 2013). Furthermore, the mean elevation of suitable areas for *Malpolon* and *Macrovipera* species will decrease by approximately 32 to 84 meters, which can potentially and actively trigger intense competition with other predators inhabiting these elevations, modifying interaction networks (Bellard et al., 2012). Given the large size and high
predatory ability of these species, competitors may undergo severe pressure which may in turn modify their distributions, habitat use and demography.

Our study confirmed that about 0.17 of the suitable area for all the mountainous snakes studied are located within the network of protected areas in Iran. Modeling the predicted overlap between the species’ suitable areas and the network of protected areas revealed that the effectiveness of the current network could decrease by 26% and 60% for RPC 2.6 and RPC 8.5, respectively. Although a number of goals such as provision of ecosystem services and ecotourism have been stated for protected areas (Durán et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2017), their actual function, as envisioned by their managers, lies in the conservation of taxonomic diversity, with a strong focus on threatened species (Brooks et al., 2004; González-Maya et al., 2015; Hoffmann et al., 2018). However, several studies have revealed that protected area networks currently mismatch, or may come to mismatch in the near future, the distribution of species targeted by conservation measures (Rodrigues et al., 2004). Protected areas cover 10.12% of Iran and include only 10% of the country’s biodiversity hotspots (Kolahi et al., 2012; Farashi and Shariati, 2017). For an Iranian endemic species (Hierophis andreanus), Chefaoui et al., (2018) found that only 27% of occurrence points are recorded within protected areas. In the same vein, Venter et al., (2014) expressed that only 15% of hotspots of species richness are located within protected areas globally. Similarly, low numbers were reported for the Iberian Peninsula by Pascual et al. (2011).

None of the species studied here has been assessed from a conservation perspective and none has been included in the IUCN Red List categories. Also, among the species used for modeling analyses, none has been targeted by conservation or management programs by the Iranian Department of Environment, except Montivipera latifìi in Central Alborz that was listed as threatened in 2000. Assessment of the efficacy of protected areas under present and future climatic conditions is an ultimate responsibility that rests with conservation biologists. Because protected areas stand on current distributions of species, their conservation efficiency may dwindle in the future as species shift their distributions under the impact of short-term or long-term variations in climate or the effect of other detrimental factors on species and their surrounding environment. Such conservation gaps attest to the need for spatial data, especially on finer scales (Fishpool and Evans, 2001). Utilization of environmental variables and occurrence points of the species can help predict suitable conditions for species and guide conservation efforts (Ferrier et al., 2002). Using comprehensive data about the distribution of
species leads to better selection of protected areas (Brooks et al., 2004). For this reason, we hope the present research will improve our understanding of the effects of climate change on taxa and serve to assist conservationists in ensuring the long-term viability of Iranian reptiles.

4.5. Conclusion

In this study, we addressed the difficult issue of providing the first forecasts of the fate of several undersampled taxa in an undersampled region. Although the results are associated with high uncertainty and well-identified methodological limitations, they reveal several major outcomes that should be taken seriously in the process of snake conservation facing climate change. First, most studied species are likely to experience drastic reductions in their climatically suitable space, both in area and altitude, within a few decades. This result should stimulate further studies to improve knowledge of these species’ ecological envelope beyond climate, and therefore forecast their distributional and demographic responses to incoming climate changes. Critical to their conservation, future studies will have to assess whether snake species will be able to track changing climate and / or accommodate these changes by modifying other aspects of their ecological niche. This is even more pressing since several species studied here are restricted to Iranian mountains: their extinction would thus result in a irreplaceable loss of biodiversity. Second, our models revealed that the current protected areas network is largely inadequate to warrant the future of the studied species, under the reasonable assumption that climate is actually a major driver of their distributions. Crossing this assessment with similar studies on other taxa will reveal the extent of change required to adapt protected areas to expected climatic changes, bearing in mind that non-climatic impacts may also interfere. We stress that in the generalized lack of data on Iranian reptiles, these results are preliminary and essentially point towards the need of further targeted sampling, dedicated to niche modeling beyond climate, improvement of knowledge on species’ distributions including absence areas, and refining of the locations of range limits. In that respect, we hope that our study will catalyze awareness of the threats posed both by climate change and knowledge deficiency on Iranian herpetofauna, and more generally on all taxa and regions affected by the Wallacean bias.
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Chapter 5 :
General Discussion
5.1. Overview:

This chapter represents an attempt to synthesize the main results of this thesis in integrating the key findings, discussing limitations, and highlighting avenues for future research. Biodiversity patterns are shaped by various factors such as climatic changes, geological drivers and anthropological pressures (Matthews et al., 2001). This study provides not only a better understanding of the evolutionary history of several little-known snake species in Iran, but also fulfills some important information of the biodiversity key region where the three biogeographical realms overlap (Palearctic, Afrotropical and Oriental). Nevertheless, the real challenge we face today in ensuring long-term conservation of biodiversity is the fundamental gaps in knowledge and lack of data regarding biodiversity and the methods for identifying and filling such gaps in order to promote effective conservation. In this general context, the present thesis is an attempt to fill these gaps in Iran, an area of great knowledge deficiencies, particularly with respect to poikilotherm organisms such as snakes. Thus, evolution of Iranian snakes was addressed using two complementary approaches, namely phylogeography allowing to reveal the spatial genetic structure and species distribution modelling (SDM) allowing to identify limiting factors of present and future distribution of taxa.

In chapter 1, I presented the general context concerning knowledge gaps regarding biodiversity with emphasis on reptiles and their implications for conservation as well as the effects of climate change and geological processes on biodiversity evolution. Also, I suggested measures to obtain a better knowledge of biodiversity, especially for less conspicuous organisms such as reptiles. Such deficiencies are particularly evident for the Middle East and Iran as biogeographic transition zones.

In chapter 2, I introduced two important biodiversity hotspots in Iran and their remarkable biodiversity values. Although our understanding of the evolutionary history of numerous taxa in the Western Palearctic has grown considerably, a comparable knowledge in the Middle East remains largely rudimentary, including the role played by Iran in the structure and diversification of taxa. Indeed, the Middle East in general and Iran in particular have been largely neglected in past phylogeographical studies (Ahmadzadeh et al., 2013).

A key step towards overcoming biodiversity knowledge gaps is to identify current data deficiencies concerning the structure and distribution of taxa. Therefore, results of phylogenetic and phylogeographic studies can be used to determine genetic gaps and identify critical clades (Hortal et al., 2015). This issue was discussed in chapter 3, which provided us with a better understanding of impact of data deficiencies on the evolution of three snake species (Gloydius caucasicus, Hemorrhois ravergieri and Natrix tessellata). Notably, I presented some
evolutionary hypotheses explaining the diversification of these taxa and offered a deep insight as to how evolutionary processes could be integrated with conservation practices.

Furthermore, I discussed how SDMs can contribute to predicting distribution dynamics in undersampled countries and taxa. In many cases, applying SDMs and accepting the resulting uncertainty is a useful preliminary step to improve the spatial sampling of species or populations. Distribution models also provide a preliminary indication of future suitable areas for species of conservation interest under future climate changes, which may help evaluating and adapting protected areas networks. For this reason, in chapter 4, I used species distribution models to provide a first approximation of current distributions and future suitable areas for seven undersampled snake species, based on a climatic hypothesis.

Overall, this thesis provides better understanding and directions towards safeguarding future patterns of snake diversity in Iran by filling information gaps. Finally, in order to address the objectives, I explored the results of this study as follows:

1. Identify the evolutionary processes determining current distribution and genetic patterns of the species studied
2. Elucidate the species’ taxonomy to deal with the Linnean shortfall
3. Answer the Wallacean shortfall under a climatic hypothesis to orient data acquisition and conservation effort in response to global changes
4. Incorporate these findings in conservation to propose effective conservation measures

5.2. Role and consequences of geological events and Quaternary glacial cycles in the diversification of Iranian snakes

Our phylogeographic analyses revealed that cladogenesis and dispersal events of *G. caucasicus*, *H. ravergieri*, and *N. tessellata* in Iran took place from late Miocene 11 Mya to mid-Pleistocene 0.63 Mya. Thus, it is noteworthy that dispersal and divergence of populations/clades of each species were likely influenced not only by alternating range contractions and expansions during the Pleistocene period but also by more ancient geological events (Fig 5.1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Stage/Age</th>
<th>Subepoch</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Events</th>
<th>N. tessellata</th>
<th>H. ravergieri</th>
<th>G. caucasicus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pleistocene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tarantian</td>
<td>Upper &amp; Late</td>
<td>0.0117</td>
<td>0.129 Last Glacial Period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chibanian</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>C1-C2-C3</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Calabrian</td>
<td>Lower &amp; Early</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>1.80 Multiple extinction and colonization during the Quaternary climate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gelasian</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.58 D2-C-B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Piacenzian</td>
<td>Upper &amp; Late</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>3.600 A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pliocene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zanclean</td>
<td>Lower &amp; Early</td>
<td>3.600</td>
<td>5.333 correspond with Miocene–Pliocene mountain formations</td>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neogene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Messinian</td>
<td>Upper &amp; Late</td>
<td>5.333</td>
<td>7.246 connected to the final collision of the India and Afghanistan block, beginning in the Pliocene</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tortonian</td>
<td>Upper &amp; Late</td>
<td>7.246</td>
<td>11.63 Rise of the Zagros Mountains corresponding to the collision of the Arabia–Eurasia plates and opening of a marine seaway along the Bitlis and Eastern Anatolian Fault zones</td>
<td></td>
<td>F-E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.1. The table indicates the divergence of clades observed for three snake species from Miocene to Pleistocene as well as the geological and climatic events that were possibly involved in their divergence. The map shows the ecological zones for snakes in Iran according to Rajabizadeh, 2017 and was regenerated based on the physiographic units of Iran following Fisher (1968).
During the period considered (from Miocene to Holocene), several major geological phenomena and climate fluctuations can be identified as having significantly affected the evolution and distribution of snake species in Iran. These events could be classified into four main phases (Table 5.1):

- Uplift of the Zagros Mountains coinciding with the collision of the Arabian–Eurasian plates and the opening of a marine connection along the Eastern Anatolian and Bitlis Fault zones during middle-upper Miocene (7-11 Mya),
- Collision between the Afghan block and the Indian plate in upper Miocene (7-5 Mya),
- Miocene–Pliocene mountain formations in early Pliocene (5-3 Mya),
- Climatic fluctuations reflected in periodic dry and wet cycles during late Pliocene to middle Pleistocene (3.6 to 0.8 Mya).

From the three studied species, *G. caucasicus* has evolved the youngest lineages in Iran, from the Kopet Dagh (D3 in Fig. 5.1) Mountains toward western Alborz(C1), and Azerbaijan mountains (A). The Iranian *Gloydius* diverged from its sister taxa (*G. rickmersi* and *G. caraganus*) about 1.89 million years ago and reached Iran via the Kopet Dagh Mountains in the northeast (Asadi et al., 2019). The four well-supported lineages of *G. caucasicus* in the northern part of Iran hypothesized the existence of at least four refugia along the Kopet Dagh and Alborz Mountain, in line with previous researches (Tralau, 1963; Leestmans, 2005; Akhani et al., 2010; Nazarizadeh et al., 2016). which introduced the Hyrcanian forests as suitable glacial refugia during the Quaternary period for multiple taxa.

Nearly the same pattern is observed for the youngest clade of *Natrix tessellata* (Alborz and Kopet Dagh) that diverged from its sister clades (Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan; see figure 3.3.3) also at 1.7 Mya during the Lower Pleistocene and that similarly dispersed from the northeast toward the Alborz and subsequently the Caucasus region. The nine clades observed for *N. tessellata* diversified between -11.3 and -1.7 Mya that is during the Upper Miocene and Beginning Pleistocene. Among these clades, the ancestral lineage observed in the Zagros Mountains defines Iran as the center of origin of the species, a finding in agreement with those of other studies such as Guicking et al. (2009). These clades mainly developed under the influence of geographical events such as the formation of the land bridge between Eurasia and Africa at about 12-19 Mya (Steininger and Rögl, 1984) and an extensive drought around 9-10 Mya. These fundamental events created open habitats in the Mediterranean region (van Dam, 2006) thus facilitating gene flow from the Zagros Mountains to the eastern shores of the Mediterranean Sea, initially to Jordan and later to Greece. Furthermore, desiccation of the
Mediterranean Sea during the Messinian crisis 5-6 Mya (Hsü et al., 1977; Krijgsman et al., 1999; Steininger and Rögl, 1984) supported gene flow from Greece to Turkey, but the Balkan Mountains acted as a strong barrier, preventing further expansion from Greece into Europe (Hewitt, 1996). However, a single expansion event from Turkey to Europe was made possible 5.8 Mya. The final geographic-climatic event that impacted the distribution of this species before the Pleistocene climatic fluctuations probably occurred 3.75 Mya during peak drought conditions, which created steppe habitats (van Dam, 2006) in the Caucasus and north of the Caspian Sea. As a result, colonization of the species took place from Turkey toward plains north of the Caspian Sea in Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan.

_Hemorrhois ravergieri_ and _H. nummifer_, commonly occur in the Palearctic and both species are present in Iran that represents the most western part of their distribution range that extend until Afghanistan and Pakistan. Studies (Nagy et al., 2004) have shown that these two species probably dispersed toward Asia following the collision of the Eurasian and Arabian plates that occurred 16–18 Mya, as a result of which the first land bridge between Africa and Eurasia emerged. Dispersal of the first Hemorrhois populations via this bridge and subsequent speciation across new habitats of northern Africa led to the divergence of these species from their sister taxa, _H. algirus_ and _H. hippocrepis_.

Our dating analyses revealed that the cladogenetic events among _H. ravergieri_ are dated between 6.06 and 1.66 Mya, and thus were influenced by the Pleistocene climatic oscillations but also by geological events too. During this period (Pliocene and Pleistocene), this open-adapted species may have dispersed to new open habitats and formed new lineages. Our results showed that colonization of this species from Turkey toward the Zagros Mountains took place during the Pliocene (6.06 Mya), when the climate was drier in Eastern Europe (van Dam, 2006). It seems that the Zagros Mountains were colonized by bi-directional route, i.e. the species dispersed toward southern Zagros through eastern and western mid-elevation and piedmonts of the chains, probably because the central Zagros acted as high elevation barrier to gene flow.

Dispersal from eastern Zagros (F in Fig. 5.1) to the Kopet Dagh (D3) Mountains in northeastern Iran occurred in the early Pleistocene (2.7 Mya), followed by divergence of the Alborz (C) lineage from the Kopet Dagh (2.31 Mya), and eventually dispersal of this species to northwestern Iran (Azerbaijan, A) (1.66 Mya). Thus, all events occurred during the Pleistocene climatic fluctuations. Unfortunately, I failed to collect specimens of this species from outside Iran to investigate the species’ phylogeny beyond the borders of the country, and as a result, I can only draw the divergence of Iranian lineages.
Two hypotheses could be proposed to explain the species dispersal toward the Kopet Dagh. Considering that Rajabizadeh (2018) reported records of H. ravergieri from eastern (D2 in fig. 5.1) and southeastern Iran, it could be suggested that the eastern Zagros clade initially distributed through southeast of Iran and then spread toward mountains of eastern Iran and finally the Kopet Dagh. As I did not include samples from eastern Iran in this study, this hypothesis must be viewed as preliminary. The second hypothesis postulates that the eastern Zagros clade dispersed from mountains of the central plateau toward the Kopet Dagh. However, the central Iranian plateau, which at the time was covered with Qom Lake, acted as a barrier inhibiting the species dispersal from eastern Zagros toward the Kopet Dagh and western Iran.

It could be argued that glacial-interglacial periods likely impacted water levels in lakes and rivers of the Iranian Plateau (Taleghani, 2013), which could serve as a route facilitating the dispersal of species in interglacial periods. Furthermore, previous studies on Iranian snakes include Pseudocerastes species (Fathinia et al., 2018), Macrovipera sp. (Moradi et al., 2014), Eirenis persicus (Rajabizadeh et al., 2016), Xerotyphlops vermicularis (Kornilios, 2017) and Montipera spp. (Behrooz et al., 2018). These studies indicate that all Iranian Montivipera species (M. raddei, M. latifi and M. kuhrangica) as well as the three species studied here in, exhibit relatively young patterns of diversification and all evolved during Early Pleistocene (Behrooz et al., 2018) whereas other species underwent older diversification events. For instance, the Eastern from western Iranian lineages of Eirenis persicus and western Iranian lineages of Pseudocerastes fieldi diverged during the mid-Miocene (Serravallian) about 13.8 Mya (Rajabizadeh et al., 2016; Fathinia et al., 2018) that is following the emergence of a marine connection between the Arabian and Anatolian plates (Rögl, 1999). Regarding Macrovipera lebetina studied by Moradi et al. (2014) and Xerotyphlops vermicularis studied by Kornilios (2017), the diversification events lasted up to the Late Pliocene about 2.58 Mya as a result of Miocene–Pliocene mountain formations as well as multiple extinction and colonization events during the Quaternary. During this period (mid-Miocene – upper-Pliocene), major geological and geographical events in Iran led to the diversification of various species. Noteworthy, the Arabian Peninsula began to rotate counterclockwise from late Miocene and early Pliocene 5 Mya, resulting in the formation of the Red Sea and the Gulf of Aden (Girdler, 1984). This event together with the collision between Arabia and Eurasia triggered the formation of mountains in northern and southern parts of the Iranian Plateau. This orogenic period led to the uplift of the Zagros Mountains and the disappearance of savannah-like habitats in north-west of Iran (Campbell et al., 1980; Ataabadi et al., 2011), whereas the central Alborz continued to rise (Axen et al., 2001).
Collectively, the results obtained here for the three taxa and other studies presented for snakes suggest that geological events (especially the uplift of the Zagros Mountains) and Quaternary climatic fluctuations have markedly influenced the phylogeographic structure and diversification of populations/lineages of Iranian snakes. Notably, the northern and western mountains of Iran, including the Alborz and Zagros mountains, acted as land bridges that facilitated the dispersal of herpetofauna between central Asia, the Mediterranean basin, and northern Africa. By contrast, the younger mountain chain of Zagros in western Iran began to form 19 Mya following the disappearance of the Tethys Ocean and was completed 12 Mya (Rögl, 1999). The uplift of the Zagros Mountain, resulting from the collision of the Arabia–Eurasia plates, connected Eurasia and Africa, unveiling a dispersal route to new habitats for Eurasian and African herpetofauna.

Nevertheless, geological events were not the sole factors responsible for species’ diversification and speciation, as the effects of Quaternary climatic oscillations on northern temperate regions have been previously pointed out in many studies (Avise and Walker, 1998). Several studies have shown that Pleistocene glaciations in Iran (Rajaei et al., 2013; Behrooz et al., 2018) have played a major role in latitudinal shifts in species distributions, especially ectothermic animals that are highly sensitive to temperature fluctuations (Dynesius et al., 2000). During the early Würm period (~100 Kya), the climate of Iran was characterized by dramatic declines in temperature, followed by modest increases in precipitation in mountains (Ehlers, 1980). Under the influence of Quaternary glaciations, cold and dry climates dominated the north and west of Iran, whereas during the interglacials, the climate was warmer and wetter in these regions (Lanfear et al., 2012). During the LGM (Last Glacial Maximum at about 0.02 Mya), temperatures were 5-8 °C cooler than current conditions (Lanfear et al., 2012). The effect of Quaternary glaciations can be seen in mountains of Alborz, Zagros, Kopet Dagh and Azerbaijan (Lanfear et al., 2012; Moghim, 2010; Wright et al., 1962; Bobek, 1937; Dubey et al., 2006).

It is clearly evident from our study that the effects of Quaternary glaciations have not influenced major clades. However, the divergence of the subclades observed in each clade may have been under the influence of such events. This is noticeable in the number and variability of haplotypes in each clade, that might represent the trace of different glacial refugia in these periods. Nonetheless, a more comprehensive sampling within each clade and subclade is needed to further explore the effects of historical processes and identify past refugia more precisely.

Therefore, it can be expected that the warm-humid climate of Pleistocene periods provided suitable opportunities for population expansion and propagation to suitable habitats, whereas
the cold periods forced them to seek refugia where they remained in isolated populations for thousands and million years, with no possibility of gene flow. This is clearly illustrated by species such as *Montivipera raddei* complex and *Gloydius caucasicus*, as they tend to be specialists and occur in more isolated populations compared to *Natrix tessellata* and *Hemorrhois ravergieri* species.

The species studied herein showed wide Palearctic ranges and were mostly overlooked by previous related research on Iranian species. Studying wide-ranging snake species is faced with major challenges associated with their sampling difficulties, cryptic nature, conservative morphology, and financial constraints. Further phylogeographic insights and taxonomic knowledge about the evolutionary history of wide-ranging snakes are therefore needed to better understand their biology and ensure their conservation. Collecting specimens from south-eastern Iran as well as adjacent countries would offer more support for one or more of the scenarios regarding the divergence of Iranian lineages.

5.3. *Taxonomic Implications*

This section aims to investigate the taxonomy of the three studied snakes in Iran to deal with the Linnean shortfall, which largely affects all others shortfalls in biodiversity. It is well known that describing any aspect of biodiversity is impossible in the absence of data on unknown species (Hortal *et al.*, 2015). Inadequacies in taxonomic and distributional data of biodiversity call for a global attempt to deal with the Wallacean and Linnean shortfalls hence, the current biodiversity crisis requires conservationists to obtain information about the taxonomy and geographical distribution of species (Richardson & Whittaker, 2010; Hortal *et al.*, 2015). Here, to examine the taxonomy of the species, I used uncorrected genetic distances, as discussed below.

- *Gloydius caucasicus*

This viper is a member of the *G. halys/G. intermedius* species complex, including *G. halys halys*, *G. h. caucasicus*, *G. caraganus*, *G. cognatus*, *G. stejnegeri*, *G. rickmersi*, *G. shedaoensis*, *G. changdaoensis*, and *G. intermedius* (Orlov *et al.*, 2014; Shi *et al.*, 2016; Wagner *et al.*, 2016; Shi *et al.*, 2017). This species complex constitutes a group of closely related vipers of the Crotalinae subfamily (Viperidae) with a widespread range in the Palearctic.

On the basis of cyt b and ND4 mitochondrial and c-mos nuclear markers, I used phylogenetic analyses to elucidate the taxonomic status of *G. h. caucasicus* as a member of this complex in its Iranian and Azerbijani distribution range. Previous molecular studies have already
proposed to elevate several subspecies of *G. halys* to species rank, e.g. *G. h. caraganus* (Wagner et al. 2016), *G. h. cognatus* and *G. h. stejnegeri* (Shi et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2016).

In Shi et al.’s studies (Shi et al., 2016, 2017), the genetic distance between taxa of the *G. halys/G. intermedius* complex ranged from 1.0 to 5.4%, and the distance among *G. stejnegeri*, *G. cognatus*, and *G. h. halys* varied between 2.7 and 5.0%. In our study, however, an even greater average genetic distance was observed between the Iranian *G. h. caucasicus* clades and the other species (3.7–5.6%) compared to the distance between *G. cognatus* and *G. stejnegeri* (2.7–4.84%) reported in Shi et al., 2016. Moreover, in their study, the distance between *G. intermedius*, *G. cognatus*, *G. caraganus*, *G. changdaoensis*, *G. stejnegeri*, and *G. shedaoensis* ranged from 1.0% to 5.4%; whereas, in our study, the average genetic distance between the four Iranian *G. h. caucasicus* clades and the other taxa of the complex was estimated to be between 4.2 and 5.2%. The haplotype network and the Bayesian species delimitation approach using multi-locus data (see Asadi et al., 2019) also supported the recognition of *G. h. caucasicus* as a separate species. Nevertheless, the genetic distance between the Iranian *G. h. caucasicus* clades C2 (Central Alborz), LarNP (Lar National Park in Central Alborz), C1-A (Western Alborz and Azarbaijan), and D3-C3 (Kopet-Dagh and Eastern Alborz) decreased to 1.5–2.9%.

In addition, Khani et al. (2017) recognized three distinct *G.h.caucasicus* populations in the Alborz Mountains using morphometric and meristic characters, consistent with our Kopet-Dagh, Lar National Park (Central Alborz), Western Alborz and Azerbaijan clades. However, they lacked samples from Central Alborz and thus found no significant distinction between Central alborz and LarNP-CA. On the other hand, in the study by Malek-Mohammadi et al., (2017) based on control region marker, no significant differences were found among *G.h.caucasicus* populations in the Alborz Mountains. Also, a phylogenetic study conducted by Rastegar-Pouyani et al., (2018) using cytochrome *b* sequences obtained for 16 individuals from NE Iran and Central Alborz suggested that *G. h. caucasicus* belonged to the *G. halys/G. intermedius* complex with unresolved phylogenetic relationships. As a result, on the basis of the morphological and molecular evidences, I opined that *G. h. caucasicus* of northern Iran should be raised to full species level as *G. caucasicus* (Nikolsky, 1916).

- *Natrix tessellata*

*Natrix tessellata* has a wide distribution range, from central Europe to China (see Mebert 2011a, Ananjeva et al., 2004, Szczerbak 2003) among which at least nine clades are evidenced. Rastegar-Pouyani et al., (2017) proposed the southern-western Iranian clade (F3 Zagros -fig 5.1) as a sister clade to the other clades of this species. Based on pairwise genetic distances, this
clade in their study is shown to be genetically distinct from the clades of Central Asia, Europe and Jordan (7.9%, 11% and 5.2%, respectively). Thus, Rastegar-Pouyani et al., 2017 proposed that *Natrix tessellata* populations in south and west of Iran are separate from other populations and may be considerate as a candidate species level. Moreover, Rastegar-Pouyani et al., (2017) showed that northeastern populations of *Natrix tessellata* in Iran (Kopeh-Dagh) formed a cluster with Central Asian samples (Uzbekistan and Kazakhstan) and therefore do not fall into a separate clade. Also, morphological studies conducted by Rajabizadeh (2011) revealed that populations of southern Zagros exhibited significant differences from the other Iranian populations. They related such differences to their dietary variations and geographical isolation from other populations.

Nevertheless, our results for *Natrix tessellata* showed that inter-clade genetic distances vary from 2.1% (between Kazakhstan – Caucasus lineages) to 8.9% (between Greece – Caucasus lineages). The intra-clade distances are minimal, the highest value being observed for the Turkey lineage (1.6%). Although our results showed a higher divergence for the Turkey lineage compared to that of Iran, it is noteworthy that a comprehensive sampling of this species, especially in North East Iran, needs to be done. In the present study, I did not scrutinize the taxonomic status of *Natrix tessellata* and the possible discovery of species/subspecies in Iran because I maintained that this question should be addressed using integrative taxonomy, that is based on an adequate number of specimens from the whole species range and including also ecological and morphological approaches. Therefore, a taxonomic decision regarding the populations of *Natrix tessellata* in the Alborz and Zagros mountains in Iran must be delayed until other specimens from the species are surveyed. Although the southern and western populations of dice snake can potentially be considered as a new species, further evidence, particularly from ecological studies, is needed to ascertain the taxonomy of the species.

- *Hemorrhois ravergieri*

  Spotted wipe snakes belong to the Colubridae family (genus *Hemorrhois*) and include four species, namely *Hemorrhois ravergieri* (MÉNÉTRIES, 1832), *H. nummifer* (REUSS, 1834) *H. hippocrepis* (LINNAEUS, 1758) and *H. algirus* (JAN, 1863). The species of this genus have limited distributional ranges across different habitats of Asia, southern Europe and northern Africa (Schweiger, 1991; Wallach et al., 2014) (Fig. 3.1.3).

  Phylogenetic studies (Nagy et al., 2004) show that the genus *Hemorrhois* diverged into two groups 16 Mya: (i) *H. ravergieri* and *H. nummifer*, primarily distributed in the Palearctic, and (ii) *H. algirus* and *H. hippocrepis*, which inhabit northern Africa and adjacent regions of
southern Europe. Results of our phylogenetic analyses validated the separation between *H. ravergieri* and *H. nummifer* which are separated by a genetic distance of 6.04%. Our results showed that the intra-lineage genetic distances among *H. ravergieri* were small (<0.007) except for Turkey (0.25), and the inter-lineage distances were between 1.8% (between West Alborz – Azerbaijan / Alborz lineages) and 1.78% (between Turkey – Zagros lineages). These groups are very close compared to the genetic distance separating *H. ravergieri* from its sister species *H. nummifer*, which were formerly considered conspecific (Arnold and Ovenden, 2010).

However, morphological identification of both species in the field is a challenging task. Consequently, I recommended a comprehensive sampling from the entire distribution area of the two species associated with comparative morphological studies between molecular clades. On the other hand, possible discovery of species/subspecies for these species in their Iranian distribution area is not far-fetched, particularly because they have adapted to different habitats (Rajabizadeh 2018). For this reason, further sampling of Iranian (especially in eastern parts of the Zagros, the Alborz, northwestern, southern, southeastern and eastern Iran) and Turkish populations is essential.

In the present study, I did not assess the morphological characteristics of *H. nummifer* populations. However, the species exhibits a wide range of color variety and pattern variations in Iran. Rajabizadeh (2018) observed that *H. ravergieri* specimens of western Iran possessed greyish color patterns with pale stripes and blotches or even uniform black coloration, a distinction which could be an indication of a different species. I also collected uniform black specimens from western Iran. I also sampled *H. nummifer* specimens with black heads and grey bodies in eastern Iran as well as two specimens with yellow and black color patterns completely different from other *H. nummifer* specimens. Yet, these morphologically unique specimens did not demonstrate significantly different genetic distances from other samples. Therefore, integrative studies using molecular, morphological, and ecological data with higher precision and on a larger scale are needed.

5.3. Answer the Wallacean shortfall under a climatic hypothesis to orient data acquisition and conservation effort in response to global changes

Environmental and climatic changes force many species to expand, shrink, shift, or lose parts of their geographic ranges (Angert *et al.*., 2011; MacLean & Beissinger 2017). Phylogeographical studies reveal that during previous climatic changes, over the long-time species may have adapted to new conditions, shifted their distribution ranges, evolved into new
species, or become extinct. However, it seems as though a large number of species have responded through shifting their ranges to track suitable conditions.

By looking back at past events, conservation planners seek processes that may explain the pace and extent of changes in species’ distributions in response to environmental and climatic variations. The predictability of species’ distributions may stem from a better understanding of the relation between present-day and past changes in species’ distributions (Ladle and Whittaker, 2011). Similarly, the identification of high speciation / extinction areas and the location of past climate refugia may inform biodiversity conservation strategies (Ladle and Whittaker, 2011). The past, however, is not always the best predictor of the future, particularly because the current climate change is occurring at a much faster pace than before. Furthermore, past biodiversity data are scant at a biogeographic timescale, especially in areas where present-day data are also incomplete, such as in Iran: forecasts of species’ fate against current climate changes therefore have to rely on suboptimum techniques that are not process-explicit, such as correlative species distribution models (cSDM).

Ecophysiology reveals how warming climates may affect snakes, for example higher food intake in snakes results in faster growth, larger maturity sizes, and increased fecundity (Ford and Seigel, 1994; Beaupre, 1996; Lindell, 1997; Luiselli et al., 1997). Global warming is also likely to change digestion times, and the length and number of days snakes can spend foraging, hence affecting their food intake. Moreover, snakes are capable of more easily adapting to warming climates owing to their thermoregulatory behavior (Mullin & Seigel, 2011) but, under severe warming conditions, range shifts and local extinctions will be inevitable (e.g., Currie, 2001; Kling et al., 2003). Models predicting range shifts for snakes assume that the projected shifts will not exceed the species’ dispersal ability, which is far from being reasonable in many species. If changes occur gradually, species may indeed shift in accordance with forecasts through a combination of small-scale individual movements and demographic responses. This, however, may not always be possible if changes occur rapidly or if other constraints interfere. For instance, mountain-dwelling species with small elevational ranges may only be able to move to higher elevations if the mountains are high enough and in the absence of physical barriers (Greene, 1994).

The robustness of species-climate relationships and subsequent forecasts minimally require sufficient and reliable distribution data. SDMs offer an imperfect, yet pragmatic way to predict distribution patterns when dynamic data on species’ ranges or demography are not available for mechanistic modeling. This comes however with a number of conceptual and methodological limitations which we develop further below.
Using bioclimatic envelope models and relying on the best available data, we provided the first multi-species comparative forecast of the fate of several undersampled snake species in an undersampled region. Our modeling results suggested that shifts in climatically suitable areas are expected for seven snakes in Iranian mountains over the next 50 years. Despite the fact that our results are subject to a degree of uncertainty and well-identified methodological limitations, they reveal several major outcomes for conservation of snakes facing climate change. First, most studied species are likely to experience drastic reductions in their climatically suitable space, both in area and altitude. Critical to their conservation, future studies will have to assess whether snake species will be able to track suitable conditions and/or accommodate to changes by modifying other aspects of their ecological niche. This is even more essential as several species studied here are restricted to Iranian mountains, their extinction would thus result in an irreplaceable loss of biodiversity. Second, our models revealed that the current protected areas network is largely inadequate to warrant the future of the studied species.

Limitations and uncertainties involved with construction of SDMs stem from different sources which may affect model predictions at different steps of the building process. These limitations arise from (i) quality, quantity, and representativeness of the data, (ii) assumptions underlying the model itself and (iii) the inherent uncertainty associated with any forecasting process (see for example Wisz et al., 2008; Araújo and Peterson, 2012; Garcia-Callejas and Araujo, 2015; Warren et al., 2018):

- **Species data:**

  Data accessibility is one of the most major issues that preclude adequate modeling of species distributions, especially in undersampled areas like Iran and for rare and range restricted species (Lomolino, 2004). The effort required to gather an extensive atlas-like presence-absence data set is completely unrealistic in Iran, such that all knowledge on reptiles in the region relies on presence-only data gathered opportunistically (Farashi and Shariati, 2017). Furthermore, reptiles are rare and cryptic animals that are notoriously hard to sample, hampering efforts to accumulate spatial distribution data at a fine spatial grain. Thus, most reptile species suffer from low sample sizes and sparse data with regard to distribution range, geographical location, population size, and precise information on presence points. This first issue is technically tractable by using presence-only SDMs such as point process models, as done in Chapter 4 in which we use MaxEnt to relate opportunistic records of seven snake species to several climatic variables.
Reptiles are, however, strict habitat specialists relying on complex combinations of macro- and micro-habitat variables not incorporated in our models due to data unavailability. Because reptiles are too rare and hard to detect within most standardized sampling protocols, data are typically accumulated over the long term through opportunistic sampling impaired by high heterogeneity in observers’ effort (Böhm et al., 2013; De Solan et al., 2019). As a result, and compared to more detectable vertebrates (mammals and birds), knowledge on reptiles’ distributions and environmental requirements remains affected by high uncertainty (Dennis and Thomas, 2000; Varela et al., 2014). Three major consequences of these knowledge limitations may affect the results presented in chapter 4:

- The major assumption of MaxEnt that the climatic envelopes of modelled species are sampled representatively may not be realized, leading to incorrect estimates of model parameters. Although the current naturalist knowledge suggests that the curves obtained in my results are compatible with species’ biology, there is hardly any other way to overcome this issue than performing further sampling, including in putative absence areas.

- In relation with the previous point, the survey area (here, Iran) is assumed to encompass the climatic limits of the species considered, even though it does not encompass the full geographic range of some species. Failing to match this assumption would lead to truncated responses to climatic variations and/or misspecification of species’ response curves to climate, especially at their margins.

- The results obtained in chapter 4 cannot be transferred to other regions since local adaptation and changing interactions with non-climatic factors may trigger regional variations in species’ climatic envelopes (Case and Taper, 2000). This is a relatively minor issue for our study which is dedicated to Iran, but might be a problem for wider-scope studies.

- Environmental data:

Another limitation that needs to be noted relates to the predictors chosen for SDM construction. Reptiles are, as any taxa, affected by a wide range of climatic and non-climatic parameters which interact to construct the realized niche and distributional limits (Sexton et al., 2009; Peterson et al., 2011; Araújo and Peterson, 2012; Araújo et al., 2013). Our choice of considering climatic variables only implies the assumption that climate is an adequate proxy for the most major limitations of reptiles’ distributions at the considered spatial scale, which,
given the lack of knowledge on these species, remains uncertain. Over-reliance on climate only to forecast species distribution is especially problematic in case of spurious correlations between climatic and non-climatic variables due to sampling or temporary associations without causal background, and/or when climate is a minor or indirect factor limiting species’ distributions. Both cases may result in incorrect parametrization and inadequate forecasts, even in models exhibiting high fit (Boucher-Lalonde and Currie, 2016; Fourcade et al., 2018; Journé et al., 2019). In practice, this choice was dictated by the lack of non-climatic data to perform forecasts, but it is also supported by strong biological arguments (e.g., Bucklin et al., 2015). First, ecological patterns are strongly shaped by climate along elevational gradients, making climate the main structuring factor of habitats and anthropogenic land use in mountains (Humboldt, 1807; Merriam, 1894; Francis and Currie, 2003; Willig et al., 2003). We cannot ignore however the complex interplay between a combination of climate and non-climate factors which determines actual species distributions and may even overcome the effects of climate.

Furthermore, as we were aware of the lack of robustness in the model, we attempted to minimize its impact and yield better results through averaging the GCMs (averaged the predicted values from the three GCM models) for projection of present-day climates onto future conditions in our study. In this way, areas where projections of different models coincide will be selected in order to draw more conservative inferences about the future climatic conditions.

- Model structure and assumptions:

Predictions of future species distributions using climate change scenarios may be performed through correlative and mechanistic SDMs (Jarnevich et al., 2015). Mechanistic approaches represent species responses to climatic changes explicitly through diffusion equations; however, obtaining the data needed to calibrate these models is complex, calling to targeted studies that are inaccessible to poorly known species and regions with scarce data on ecological processes (Journé et al., 2019). The correlative SDMs used in chapter 4 have been extensively used as a workaround, to the cost of several assumptions that need to be cautiously verified (Thomas et al., 2004; Pereira et al., 2010; Thuiller et al., 2011).

First, these models make no causal assumption about the role of climate on species distributions, but rely on the high correlation usually observed between climatic variables and species range limits (e.g. Guisan and Thuiller, 2005; Morin et al., 2007). A second issue stems in the non-dynamic structure of correlative SDMs as implemented in MaxEnt or similar frameworks, which basically rely on stationary Generalized Linear Models (GLM) regressing
presence probability against spatial covariates. Their stationarity assumption implies that the modelled parameters do not vary in time, which is inadequate as soon as species’ ranges are not in equilibrium with climate (Gauzer et al., 2019). Disequilibrium dynamics may arise under colonisation dynamics, following local extinctions unrelated to climate, or simply because non-climatic factors that vary in time (e.g. vegetation structure or human land use) have a primary role in setting range limits. Non-stationarity may also arise in space (i.e. climate effects vary from a location to another) due to interactions with other variables or because of local adaptation (Gavin and Hu, 2006). Failing to account for non-stationarity is unlikely to affect model fit strongly, but, if sufficiently strong, may lead to inadequate forecasts especially across long time lags or if the calibration datasets are not representative enough of long-term conditions (Roberts et al., 2017; Fourcade et al., 2018). Modeling such dynamic relationships however requires large amounts of data with regular temporal and spatial coverage, which are clearly not available in the Iranian context. The models and forecasts presented in chapter 4 therefore need to be viewed as conservative estimates valid over a short time lag, under the assumption that the observed relationships will not vary in the future.

A third issue lies in spatial autocorrelation, an inherent pattern of all distributional data and more generally to any gradient. Recent simulations and empirical studies have shown that spatial structures in both species’ distributions and predictors, irrespective of any causal association, can trigger high model fit and especially inflate AUC values (Fourcade et al., 2014; Journé et al., 2019). Spatial artifacts may have consequences on both the shape and magnitude of model parameters, and thus lead to improper model structures. In particular, the altitudinal structuration of climatic variables paired with a warming scenario forces forecasts of species distributions towards higher altitudes, even if reptiles’ actual responses are more complex. Our only valid argument to dismiss the possibility that our results are entirely driven by spatial autocorrelation is that the shapes of observed response curves are conform to biological expectations, but we cannot rule out the possibility that confidence intervals are underestimated (Dormann et al., 2017).

Although species’ apparent responses to current and past climate may not be stationary, they remain the only information we have to predict future responses of species to climate variations. In chapter 3, I discussed the major role of Quaternary climatic fluctuations in shaping the distribution patterns of the species studied herein, and showed that the role of climate oscillations cannot be disregarded. Furthermore, the crucial role played by Zagros and Alborz Mountains, as parts of two global biodiversity hotspots (Irano-Anatolian and Caucasus), in maintaining biodiversity and endemicity and providing suitable climate for snake species
throughout the years must be taken seriously (Ahmadi et al., 2019; Behrooz et al., 2018; Asadi et al., 2019). Indeed, the ongoing global warming may compromise the suitability of these areas to many species. The major question in conservation terms is whether or not these regions will remain climatically suitable for snake species facing climate change in the next 100 years, a short timelag in comparison to the processes investigated in chapter 3. Under climate change, suitable climatic conditions will possibly be lost, restricting species to specific elevational gradients. This could strongly threaten mountain-dwelling species, which currently inhabit high elevations of the Alborz and Zagros mountain ranges (see also Williams et al., 2003; Pounds et al., 2006).

Generally, it is likely that higher temperatures decrease both the costs and benefits of thermoregulation for temperate-zone snakes, which is consistent with our results. Species such as Montivipera are predicted to experience unfavorable climatic conditions where increased temperatures will drive them to higher altitudes, whereas for Macrovipera and Malpolon species, no major changes are expected. Although the studied species are not protected (except for Montivipera latifii), they could serve as a guild associated with mountainous habitats for conservation planning. Because 0.17 of the climatic suitable area for the studied species are located within the network of protected areas in Iran, our projected suitable climatic envelopes (by 2070) for the seven species should warn that, under the current anthropogenic climate warming, the effectiveness of the current protected area network could decrease considerably. Crossing this assessment with similar studies on other taxa will reveal the extent of change required to adapt protected areas to expected climatic changes, bearing in mind that non-climatic impacts and anthropogenic development (e.g., urbanization, road networks, and agriculture) may also interfere.

In the generalized lack of data on Iranian reptiles, these results are preliminary and essentially point towards the need for further targeted sampling dedicated to niche modeling beyond climate, improvement of knowledge on species’ distributions (including absence areas), and refining the locations of range limits. In that respect, I hope that this study will catalyze awareness of the threats posed both by climate change and knowledge deficiency on Iranian herpetofauna, and more generally on all taxa and regions affected by the “Wallacean bias”.
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5.4. Implications for conservation

Establishing protected areas is one of the main tools for mitigating the continuing global biodiversity crisis (Rodrigues et al., 2004; Le Saout et al., 2013). These protected areas should be ecologically representative, effectively managed and connected to ensure long-term persistence of biodiversity. However, without guidance on how important, representative, and effective area-based conservation measures are, efforts to achieve target may fail (Watson et al., 2014). The conservation science community, therefore, needs to provide guidance, definitions, methodologies, and metrics. In Iran criteria for conservation have always revolved around species with higher perceived value such as large or rare species and the deficiencies of the present management system are so severe that little is known about the ecological and socio-economic resources of parks and protected areas (Makhdoum., 2008).

Due to negative public perceptions and widespread fear in Iran, snakes have always been viewed unfavorably even for the environmental guides. Yet, failure to protect these species can lead to adverse consequences for all forms of life in an ecosystem. For example, continued declines in snake populations are likely to increase their prey populations (several of which are commonly construed as pests, Mullin & Seigel 2011).

It should be noted, however, that limited financial resources are allocated to protection in Iran, making comprehensive protection of all habitats and populations practically impossible. As a result, defining evolutionary units relying on genetic and environmental data could be seen as fitting methods to protect groups or guilds of species, particularly in regions such as the Alborz and Zagros Mountains which are global hotspots of biodiversity with high levels of endemism.

However, it is worth noting that hotspot locations may differ depending on how the hotspot is defined. Various biodiversity metrics, including species richness, weighted endemism, phylogenetic diversity, and biogeographically weighted evolutionary distinctiveness, have been used to portray species diversity, define hotspots and select priority areas for conservation (Huang et al., 2016; Pardo et al., 2017). Given the robust phylogeographical structure of the species in our study, it appears that conservation prioritization could be established based on their geographical locations and identification of Evolutionarily significant units (ESUs).

Among the many definitions assigned to the concept of ESUs, I chose the one adopted by Fraser and Bernatchez (2001), as its overall focus remains on isolated populations with unique haplotypes which are not shared with other groups. They state that lineages with particularly restricted patterns and levels of intraspecific gene flow are to be considered as ESUs for conservation. However, the taxonomic status (species or subspecies level) does not help in this
objective mainly because of conflicting definitions (Fraser and Bernatchez, 2001). As an operational term, the concept of evolutionarily significant unit has been introduced to describe unique groups of organisms, below the species level, that should be managed separately because of their originality (Ryder 1986; Moritz 1994).

According to this definition, *N. tessellata*, *H. ravergieri*, and *G. caucasicus* can be classified as ESUs and therefore warrant conservation. Furthermore, ESUs can also be defined below the species level between populations within each genetic unit. Then, each ESU represents a particular geographical location, a fact that could be used in determining areas where these taxa act as focal species in order to establish conservation strategies for a multitude of species. Therefore, I proposed that all distinctive lineage of *N. tessellata*, *H. ravergieri* and *G. caucasicus* showing unique haplotype combination as ESUs for conservation. Table 5.1 shows the ESUs selected for these taxa on the basis of the number of haplotypes per clade in the different ecological units recognized by Rajabizadeh (2017) for Iranian snakes (Figure 5.1).

ESUs determined in Table 5.1, are defined according to each clade (phylogenetic lineage), number of individuals sampled, and number of detected haplotypes. The table indicates that zones A and C1 in northwestern Iran have high conservation value due to the presence of three phylogenetic lineages for the three studied species. Additionally, in these zones, the ratio of detected haplotypes to the number of studied individuals is high. Given the small number of samples in this region, any conclusions should be made with caution and a more extensive sampling effort is needed. However, our investigation points to regions in Turkey or mountains in Azerbaijan (A in Fig. 5.1) as the likely origin of *Hemorrhois ravergieri*. The species has probably entered Iran through these regions. According to Rögl (1999) (chapter 2 Fig 2.1 K), this region has been a transitional zone between Iran and Anatolia and is hence highly important for conservation. At the same time, Kopet Dagh Mountains (D3) have a high number of detected haplotypes relative to the total number of samples. This observation aligns with our findings, showing that *Gloydius caucasicus* has entered the Kopet Dagh Mountains from eastern and northeastern Iran, followed by dispersion through northern and southern slopes of the Alborz mountains (C2 and C3), eventually reaching western Alborz (C1) and Azerbaijan Mountains. Furthermore, this observation reveals Iran’s northern belt as the center of speciation for this species and draws attention to its importance for conservation (see Asadi *et al.* 2019). Overall, we found four ESUs for effective conservation in the Zagros and central mountains of Iran. Our phylogeographic and phylogenetic investigations determined a region in southern Zagros (F3) as the origin of *Natrix tessellata* in Iran. These results are presented in the table below. As
shown in the table, ecological zones F1-E and F2-F3 contain a large portion of haplotypes, which indicates their high significance for conservation.

Table 5.1. Determination of ESUs for each species based on the number of haplotypes per ecological units in Iran (see Fig. 5.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecological Unit</th>
<th>Number of individuals/Number of Haplotypes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G.caucasicus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A (Azerbaijan)</td>
<td>39/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 (Western Alborz)</td>
<td>13/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 (Central Alborz)</td>
<td>4/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lar national Park (Central Alborz)</td>
<td>11/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3 (Eastern Alborz)</td>
<td>11/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 (Kopet Dagh)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 (Central Zagros)</td>
<td>18/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 (North Zagros)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3 (South Zagros)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (Central Mountains)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESUs</td>
<td>4 Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. caucasicus</td>
<td>H. raverieri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39/24</td>
<td>78/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. tessellata</td>
<td>43/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A (Azerbaijan)</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 (Western Alborz)</td>
<td>23/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 (Central Alborz)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lar national Park (Central Alborz)</td>
<td>20/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3 (Eastern Alborz)</td>
<td>14/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 (Kopet Dagh)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 (Central Zagros)</td>
<td>36/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 (North Zagros)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3 (South Zagros)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (Central Mountains)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESU</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Units</td>
<td>5 Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although our results indicated that about 37.8% of the suitable area for G. caucasicus and 0.17 of the suitable area for all other mountainous snakes studied are located within the current network of protected areas in Iran, modeling the predicted overlap between the future species’ suitable habitats and the network of protected areas revealed that the effectiveness of the current network could decrease by 26% and 60% for RCP 2.6 and RPC 8.5, respectively.

Currently, the 253 protected areas in Iran’s protected areas network cover roughly 10.12% of the country. Even if it is optimistically assumed that these areas are adequate for protecting these species, our models show a drastic reduction of climatically suitable habitats for the species by 2070, which will put them on the verge of local extinction. As Iran’s Department of Environment aims to designate 17% of the country as protected areas, we hope that by introducing ESUs in this study and based on results from other similar research, areas with high genetic diversity will be selected in the course of expanding Iran’s network of protected areas.
Only under these conditions can we hope that protecting these species and other sympatric species might ensure their long-term persistence.

Assessment of the efficacy of protected areas under present and future climatic conditions is an ultimate responsibility that rests with conservation biologists. As protected areas stand on current distributions of species, their conservation effectiveness may dwindle in the future under the impact of climate change or other detrimental effects (mostly from anthropogenic pressures) on species and their surrounding environment. Snakes are currently threatened by various factors such as agricultural development, overgrazing of livestock, destruction of rangelands, hunting and killing by local people and/or tourists, mortality due to vehicle collisions on roads, restricted movement of individuals among population patches, and large-scale hunting and capturing for *Gloydius caucasicus* for is vaccine and serum production (about 1000 capturing licenses are certified annually; Rastegar-Pouyani et al 2018). Unfortunately, hunting/capturing of this species is mostly done when snakes emerge from hibernation and have not yet had the chance to reproduce. In general, populations of this species have experienced dramatic declines over the past decades, to the extent that snake catchers complain that it is now hardly possible to locate well-populated sites for this species.

Therefore, several recommendations can be put forward to the Department of Environment of Iran that include (i) establish and declare new protected areas throughout the distribution range of the Caucasian pit viper, according to the four ESUs identified in this study, (ii) establish safe zones in current protected areas that cover suitable habitats of the Caucasian pit viper; (iii) prevent or reduce legal hunting and venom collection from populations of the Caucasian pit viper until populations have recovered.

The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) has classified *Gloydus monticola* (Liang Pit Viper) from China as Data Deficient (DD), “*G. saxatilis*” (Rock Mamushi) from China, Korea, and Russia as Least Concern (LC), and *G. shedaoensis* (Shedao Island Pit Viper) from China as Vulnerable (VU). However, the conservation statuses of the other species in the two complex groups of *G. blomhoffi* and *G. halys/G. intermedius* (including *G. caucasicus*) have received little consideration. None of the species studied here has been assessed from a conservation perspective and none has been included in the IUCN Red List categories. Also, among the species used for modeling analyses, none has been targeted by conservation or management programs, except *Montivipera latifi* in Central Alborz that was listed as threatened in 2000. Although the populations of *G. caucasicus* have dramatically declined in Iran over the past decades, the Caucasian viper is not listed as a protected species according to the Department of Environment of Iran, accompanying many other reptiles that
have been largely neglected from the list of protected species. Therefore, I propose that the Department of Environment of Iran should list the species as protected under laws of the Department of Environment of Iran, and reduce its international illegal trade by the addition of the species to the CITES appendices and in the IUCN red list.
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Chapter 6:
CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES
The present research is one of the first comparative studies on the evolutionary history of reptiles inhabiting mountainous regions of Iran. To better understand the role of Iran as a transition zone in the evolution and diversification of taxa, we investigated the phylogeny and phylogeography of three snake species from northern, northeastern, and western mountains of Iran with different origins, including Asian (Gloydius caucasicus), western Palearctic (Natrix tessellata), and Afrotropical (Hemorrhois ravergieri), and explored the putative role of future climate change in modifying their current distributions. It is worth mentioning that the analyses sought to use the most accurate and the best available data on these snakes, which is especially challenging in this under-surveyed region. Yet, for species such as Hemorrhois ravergieri whose data is restricted to Iran, inclusion of additional data from outside the boundaries of Iran may generate more complete results about the evolution of the species.

The main result of the phylogeographical study is that all these species are genetically highly structured, even at the Iranian scale (five clades were evidenced for Hemorrhois ravergieri). This diversification spread over several millions of years (from late Miocene to mid Pleistocene) under the influence of major geological events that occurred during this period. We also showed, in line with previous studies, the impact of Quaternary refugia in mountainous regions. The results indicated that the glacial and interglacial periods of the Iranian Plateau had a dramatic impact on colonization of species. This further validates the existence of Quaternary refugia for fauna of these regions (especially within the Iranian parts of the Irano-Anatolian and the Caucasus hotspots).

Although resolving the taxonomic complexities of species was not the main objective of this study, efforts were made to clarify the existing taxonomic complications using the available data. Still, it is clear that collection of such data in a country like Iran with vast unsampled mountainous regions and an increasingly high number of newly described species in recent years will be a challenging task. Thus, to succeed in this path, it is recommended that additional data of this kind be added to future studies.

In addition, due to the constraint imposed by data paucity and sampling constraints, model-based studies under iterative frameworks will be needed to investigate the potential future impacts of anthropogenic changes, especially in areas or with species facing the most serious knowledge shortfalls. Despite the uncertainties and well-identified methodological limitations of the modeling
results in this study, they do reveal several major outcomes that should be taken seriously in the process of refining knowledge for snake conservation facing climate change.

Most of the species studied are expected to be confronted by unsuitable climatic conditions in the future, forcing them to shift their range (often to higher altitudes) in search of their suitable climatic niche. This is however without consideration of other growing threats such as habitat fragmentation, landscape modification, and population overexploitation in establishment of new protected areas for effective conservation of ESUs. These supplementary issues are unlikely to counter the decreasing efficiency of the current protected area network, but should rather increase threat levels and survey complexity.

These conclusions should therefore stimulate future studies to use data beyond climate to improve our knowledge of the species’ ecological envelopes and predict their distribution and demographic responses to different factors. Therefore, this study developed an approach to introduce new areas to be designated as part of the Iranian network of protected areas using an integrated set of molecular and modeling data for future changes in species climatically suitable conditions.

Following my work on the evolutionary history of reptiles inhabiting mountainous regions of Iran different perspectives can be put forward:

1. Successful outcomes have been obtained based on the molecular markers that have been studied. Nevertheless, improving genetic analyses with additional nuclear and mitochondrial markers, along with adoption of new approaches in genetic studies such as next generation sequencing and new disciplines in this field such as population genomics could generate more valid and reliable results. Also, an integrative approach combining genetic analyses and studies of modeling past, present and future ranges of species under anthropogenic impacts with evolution of species’ climatic niche can yield deeper insights into the evolutionary history of species and phylogenetic lineages.

2. In this study, efforts were directed towards developing conservation strategies through proposing evolutionary significant units based on phylogenetic lineages for inclusion in the Iranian network of protected areas. This approach relies on locating central haplotypes for each taxon, which is presumably a consequence of glacial refugia during Quaternary climatic fluctuations and
past geological events (especially orogenic processes across vast areas in the west, north, center, and northeast of Iran coupled with the desiccation of the Tethys Sea in central Iran). However, to increase the efficacy of the network of protected areas, it is necessary to conduct an integrated complementary examination of all previous studies performed in Iran, not only including snakes but also other taxa (mammals, birds, lizard,…) The objective would be combining the results of each study in order to better locate Quaternary refugia in mountainous regions of the country and finally propose new protected areas representing evolutionary significant units for a combination of diverse species.

3. We modeled the climatic niche of seven snake species using seven bioclimatic variables relevant to the species’ biology. Then, we projected this model to the future using the same variables and under climate change scenarios. Despite all efforts to overcome data deficiencies and avoid shortcomings in modeling such assumptions and limitations, it is recommended that (i) a comprehensive dataset of all presence/absence points be prepared, (ii) non-climatic environmental layers be added such as elevation, land cover, etc., (iii) improved analyses be performed using multi-model approaches and null models to test prediction accuracy and model biases in order to increase the accuracy and precision of the results. In addition, two complementary questions are needed to increase the potential for predictive models to emerge. First, demographic studies have to be performed on targeted species to incorporate population dynamics parameters into distribution models. Second, the detection probability of reptiles has to be evaluated in conjunction with other measurements of survey effort, so that distribution models can be adjusted for methodological issues arising from sampling.

4. Our molecular results revealed a wide knowledge gap in the taxonomic status of the different taxa studied for which it has been possible to assess and revise the species’ status. Moreover, for Hemorrhois ravergieri and its close relative Hemorrhois nummifer, our sampling showed that both taxa occur in close sympatry and exhibit high morphological variability in Iran which do not conformed to the molecular clades. Thus, it would be extremely challenging to propose or find new identification morphological keys in order to identify and distinguish between these species in the field a. As a result, this study suggests that a comprehensive sampling from across the distribution range of these taxa in Iran (and possibly other countries) could produce
impressive results with regard to their taxonomy and potential discovery of unknown phylogenetic lineages and distinctive traits. Moreover, use of integrative approaches for taxonomic assessment of these taxa, combining morphological, behavioral, and ecological studies, is most likely to prove beneficial in elucidating current controversies.

Finally, for the purpose of this study, I hope these findings may have bridged the gap in biodiversity limitations and knowledge shortfalls such as the Darwinian and Linnean shortfalls. Also, I hope this study has effectively forecasted threats caused by climate change, lack of data on reptiles and other biodiversity, as well as regions influenced by the Wallacean shortfall. In the end, I hope I have presented measures for the long-term conservation of species in a developing, but biodiversity-rich country such as Iran.
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Appendix 2.2: Simplified vegetation map of Iran based on Frey and Kürschner (1989).
### Appendix 3.1 Liste of the samples: *Nezmut: Natural Environment, Zoological Museum of University of Tehran*

List Samples *Hemorrhois raverjieri* from Iran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>AA4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>AA65</td>
<td>khajebabs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>AA6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>AA66</td>
<td>khalqhal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>AA5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>AA67</td>
<td>Khomein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>AA32</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>AA68</td>
<td>Kohrang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>AA34</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>AA69</td>
<td>Kohrang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>AA35</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>AA70</td>
<td>Kohrang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>AA36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>AA71</td>
<td>Kohrang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>AA37</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>AA72</td>
<td>Kohrang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>AA38</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>AA73</td>
<td>Kohrang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>AA39</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>AA74</td>
<td>Mashhad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>AA40</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>AA75</td>
<td>Mashhad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>AA41</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>AA76</td>
<td>Mashhad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1123</td>
<td>AA42</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>AA77</td>
<td>Mashhad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>AA43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>AA78</td>
<td>Mashhad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>AA44</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>AA79</td>
<td>Mashhad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1266</td>
<td>AA45</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>AA80</td>
<td>Mirzabailo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>AA46</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>AA81</td>
<td>Mirzabailo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>AA47</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>AA82</td>
<td>Naeen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>AA48</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>AA83</td>
<td>Paveh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>AA49</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>AA84</td>
<td>Sahneh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>AA50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>AA85</td>
<td>Sanandaj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>AA51</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>AA86</td>
<td>Shahre-kord</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1009</td>
<td>AA52</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>AA87</td>
<td>Shahre-kord</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>AA53</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>AA88</td>
<td>Shahrez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>AA54</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>AA89</td>
<td>Siahbishe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1083</td>
<td>AA55</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>AA90</td>
<td>Siahbishe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>846</td>
<td>AA56</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>AA91</td>
<td>Siahbishe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>AA57</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>AA92</td>
<td>Siahbishe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>AA58</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>AA93</td>
<td>Takab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Code Nezmut</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Code Nezmut</th>
<th>Locality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1282</td>
<td>Deylaman</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>ir NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>DorfaK</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>ir NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>DorfaK</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>ir NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>DorfaK</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>ir NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1283</td>
<td>Khalkhal</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>ir NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1297</td>
<td>Khalkhal</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>ir NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1299</td>
<td>Khalkhal</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>ir NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>Khalkhal</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>ir NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1238</td>
<td>rasht</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Savadkoh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>Roodbar</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Savadkoh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1255</td>
<td>Babakoh_Lahijan</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Golestan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>G68</td>
<td>Azerbijan</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Golestan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>G69</td>
<td>Azerbijan</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Golestan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>GH26</td>
<td>Azerbijan</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Behshahr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>GH27</td>
<td>Azerbijan</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Mazandaran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1241</td>
<td>Eshkevarat</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Golestan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1243</td>
<td>Eshkevarat</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Golestan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1293</td>
<td>Siahbishe</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Hezar Masjed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1294</td>
<td>Siahbishe</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Golestan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>ir NP</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Golestan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>ir NP</td>
<td></td>
<td>ir NP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List Samples *Natrix tessellata* from Iran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aa143</td>
<td>665 Babkan</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Aa151</td>
<td>1086 Lorestan, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Aa144</td>
<td>666 Babkan</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Aa169</td>
<td>1104 Sanandaj, kurdistan, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Aa145</td>
<td>949 Tonekabon, mazandaran, iran</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Aa170</td>
<td>1130 Alamut, province de qazvin, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Aa149</td>
<td>981 Varangeh rud, alborz province, iran</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Aa171</td>
<td>1140 Uromiyeh, azerbaijan occidental, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Aa153</td>
<td>982 Uromiye -west azerbaijan province</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Aa172</td>
<td>1263 Astaneh-ye ashrafiyeh, gilan, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Aa154</td>
<td>984 Marmisho, iran</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Aa173</td>
<td>1264 Astaneh-ye ashrafiyeh, gilan, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Aa155</td>
<td>989 Almagol, iran</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Aa174</td>
<td>1634 Dasht arjan, fars, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Aa156</td>
<td>993 Golestan national park, golestan province, iran</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Aa152</td>
<td>1657 Eghlid, fars, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Aa157</td>
<td>998 Almagol, iran</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Aa175</td>
<td>1658 Eghlid, fars, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Aa158</td>
<td>1003 Bojnourd, khorasan septentrional, iran</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Aa176</td>
<td>1659 Eghlid, fars, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Aa150</td>
<td>1046 Golestan national park, golestan province, iran</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Aa177</td>
<td>1660 Eghlid, fars, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Aa160</td>
<td>1053 Gorgan, iran</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Aa178</td>
<td>1661 Kamfiruz, fars, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Aa161</td>
<td>1064 Tonekabon, mazandaran, iran</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Aa179</td>
<td>1668 Safarud river, ramsar, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Aa162</td>
<td>1070 Alisadr, hamedan, iran</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Aa180</td>
<td>1669 Safarud river, ramsar, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Aa163</td>
<td>1076 Marivan, kurdistan, iran</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Aa181</td>
<td>1670 Safarud river, ramsar, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Aa164</td>
<td>1077 Marivan, kurdistan, iran</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Aa182</td>
<td>1671 Tonekabon, mazandaran, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Aa165</td>
<td>1078 Marivan, kurdistan, iran</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Aa183</td>
<td>1672 Tonekabon, mazandaran, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Aa166</td>
<td>1081 Gilan gharb, kermanshah, iran</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Aa184</td>
<td>1673 Tonekabon, mazandaran, iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Aa167</td>
<td>1084 Hashilan wetland, amirabad, kermanshah, iran</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Aa185</td>
<td>1530 West azerbaijan province</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 3.2. Protocoles pour l’amplification des genes par PCR

**PCR conditions for cyt b:** For amplifying target genes, PCR were performed in a 45 µL final volume, including 3-6 µL of DNA template, 10X PCR buffer (Qiagen), 25 mM MgCl2, 0.25 mM of dNTP, 10 µM of each primer and 1 unit of Taq polymerase (Qiagen). Amplification conditions consisted of 34 cycles as follows: denaturation for 45 seconds at 94°C, annealing for 60 s at 50°C for Cytb and at 52°C for ND4, and extension for 3 minutes at 72°C.

**PCR conditions for ND4:** Each 50 µl polymerase chain reaction (Qiagen) contained ±50 ng of total DNA, 350 mM of each dNTP, 0.5 pmol of each primer, 2 units DNA polymerase and a final concentration of 1.5 mM MgCl2. The PCR profile included 2.5 minutes preheating at 94°C and 35 cycles of 30 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 55°C and 45 seconds at 72°C. PCR cycles were followed by 10 minutes of extension at 72°C.

**PCR conditions for c-mos:** PCR amplification was carried out in a 25µl reaction using PCR master mix (Ampliqon, Denmark) containing Tris-HCl (pH 8.5), (NH4)2SO₄, 3 mM MgCl2, 0.2 % Tween®20, 0.4 mM of each dNTP, and 0.2 units of Taq DNA Polymerase (Ampliqon). A PCR thermal programing with three different stages in a range of 52–60 °C was used in which the temperature was gradually reduced. Cycling included an initial denaturation step of 5 minutes at 95 °C, 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30s, followed by annealing at 54.5 °C for 40s, 72 °C for 1 min (extension) and 72 °C for 5 min (final extension).

**PCR conditions for Tropomyosin,** la PCR a été réalisée dans des volumes de 10 µl contenant 1-2 µl de produit d'extraction, 2-1 µl de H2O, 1 μM de chaque primer et 5 unités de Taq polymérase (Qiagen). Les conditions d'amplification ont consisté en une dénaturation initiale à 95 °C pendant 15 minutes, suivie par 35 cycles de dénaturation à 94°C pendant 30 secondes, d'alignement à 56°C pendant 1.5 minute pour la première partie de la CR, et à 72°C pour la seconde pendant 1.5 minutes, et à la fin une élongation à 72°C pendant 10 minutes.

### Appendix 3.3. Partionnements obtenus avec PartitionFinder pour les différents jeux de données

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mtDNA</th>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>Best Model</th>
<th>Subset Partitions</th>
<th>Subset Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mtDNA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gene1:cytb / Gene2: ND2:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ nDNA</td>
<td></td>
<td>for N.tessellata</td>
<td>HKY+I</td>
<td>Gene1_pos1, Gene2_pos1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and H.ravergieri</td>
<td>HKY+I</td>
<td>Gene1_pos2, Gene2_pos2, Gene3_pos1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cytb (1086) + ND4(672) + MC1R (524) + Trop (452)</td>
<td>GTR+G</td>
<td>Gene1_pos3, Gene2_pos3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GTR+I</td>
<td>F81</td>
<td>Gene3_pos2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>JC+I</td>
<td>Gene3_pos3, Gene4_pos1, Gene4_pos2, Gene4_pos3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mtDNA</th>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>Best Model</th>
<th>Subset Partitions</th>
<th>Subset Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>for N.tessellata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ND4 (672 bp) + Cytb (1086 bp)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
<td>Gene1_pos1, Gene2_pos1</td>
<td>1-672\3, 673-1758\3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HKY+I</td>
<td>Gene1_pos2, Gene2_pos2</td>
<td>2-672\3, 674-1758\3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GTR+G</td>
<td>Gene1_pos3, Gene2_pos3</td>
<td>3-672\3, 675-1758\3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytb</th>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>Best Model</th>
<th>Subset Partitions</th>
<th>Subset Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For N.tessellata</td>
<td></td>
<td>GTR+I+G</td>
<td>Gene1_pos1</td>
<td>1-1086\3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HKY+I</td>
<td>Gene1_pos2</td>
<td>2-1086\3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GTR+G</td>
<td>Gene1_pos3</td>
<td>3-1086\3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 3.4: Pour *Natrix tessellata*, les ordres de branchement des arbres phylogénétiques obtenus avec les deux méthodes de reconstruction (BI et ML) sont congruents pour les deux jeux de données. A: arbre basé sur le cytb ; B : arbre basé sur cytb + ND4

Appendix 3.5: Distances génétiques entre les 4 espèces du genre *Hemorrhois* (hors diagonale) et au sein de chacune des espèces (diagonale) calculées dans MEGA 6.0 avec un modèle Kimura-2- paramètres. (La distance au sein des espèces *H. hippocrepis* et *H. algerius* n’a pas été calculé car un seul échantillon pour ces espèces a été utilisé). Distances génétiques entre les 4 espèces du genre *Natrix* (hors diagonale) et au sein de chacune des espèces (diagonale) calculées dans MEGA 6.0 avec un modèle Kimura-2- paramètres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>H. ravergeri</em></th>
<th><em>H. nummifer</em></th>
<th><em>H. hippocrepis</em></th>
<th><em>H. algerius</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>H. ravergeri</em></td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. nummifer</em></td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. hippocrepis</em></td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. algerius</em></td>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 3.6: Analyse en Composante Principale réalisées par DIY ABC pour (a) *Natrix tessellata* et et (b) pour *Hemorrhois ravergieri*.
Appendix 3.7 : Comparaisons de 6 modèles d’estimation des aires ancestrales de *N. tessellata* et de *H. ravergeri* réalisées avec le package R BioGeoBears : DEC, DEC + J, DIVALIKE, DIVALIKE + J, BAYAREALIKE, BAYAREALIKE + J. Pour chacun des modèles sont renseignés le logarithme de la vraisemblance (LnL), l’AIC et le paramètre J (« founder-event speciation »). Les meilleurs modèles sont indiqués en gras.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>Natrix tessellata</em></th>
<th>LnL</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>J</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEC</td>
<td>-26.72</td>
<td>57.44</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC + J</td>
<td><strong>-24.51</strong></td>
<td><strong>55.01</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.284</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIVALIKE</td>
<td>-26.23</td>
<td>56.46</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIVALIKE + J</td>
<td><strong>-24.24</strong></td>
<td><strong>54.47</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.121</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAYAREALIKE</td>
<td>-28.47</td>
<td>60.95</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAYAREALIKE + J</td>
<td>-26.09</td>
<td>58.17</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>Hemorrhois ravergeri</em></th>
<th>LnL</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>J</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEC</td>
<td>-15.06</td>
<td>34.12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC + J</td>
<td><strong>-12.51</strong></td>
<td><strong>31.02</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.372</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIVALIKE</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIVALIKE + J</td>
<td><strong>-12.68</strong></td>
<td><strong>31.36</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.286</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAYAREALIKE</td>
<td>-16.76</td>
<td>37.51</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAYAREALIKE + J</td>
<td>-13.49</td>
<td>32.98</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix 4.1. AUC and TSS scores for the seven snake species under the present-day model and the three GCMs (MIROC5, CCSM4, and MPI-ESM) in both RCPs (2.6 and 8.5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Presence points (n)</th>
<th>Models</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>TSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Macrovipera lebetina</em></td>
<td>74</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.7514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CCSM4 26 RCP</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.65274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CCSM4 85 RCP</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.667014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MPI-ESM 26 RCP</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.44508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MPI-ESM 85 RCP</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.65867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIROC5 26 RCP</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>0.55804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIROC5 85 RCP</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.7186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Malpolon insignitus</em></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>PRESENT</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.7837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CCSM4 26 RCP</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.8111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CCSM4 85 RCP</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.5582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MPI-ESM 26 RCP</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.6484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MPI-ESM 85 RCP</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.7301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIROC5 26 RCP</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>CCSM4 26 RCP</td>
<td>CCSM4 85 RCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix tessellata</em></td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.56486</td>
<td>0.56387</td>
<td>0.7625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhois ravergieri</em></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>0.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7344</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eirenis punctatolineatus</em></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.67423</td>
<td>0.61376</td>
<td>0.61553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Montivipera spp.</em></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8306</td>
<td>0.8879</td>
<td>0.9404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Platyceps najadum</em></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7271</td>
<td>0.8126</td>
<td>0.4901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 4.2: Description of the species studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Common names</th>
<th>Conservation status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Platyceps najadum</em></td>
<td><em>Platyceps najadum</em> (EICHWALD, 1831)</td>
<td>Colubridae</td>
<td>Slender Whip Snake</td>
<td>Least Concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemorrhois ravergieri</em></td>
<td><em>Hemorrhois ravergieri</em> (MÉNÉTRIES, 1832)</td>
<td>Colubridae</td>
<td>Spotted Whip Snake</td>
<td>Not Listed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natrix tessellata</em></td>
<td><em>Natrix tessellata</em> (LAURENTI, 1768)</td>
<td>Colubridae</td>
<td>Dice Snake</td>
<td>Least Concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eirenis punctatolineatus</em></td>
<td><em>Eirenis punctatolineatus</em> (BOETTGER, 1892)</td>
<td>Colubridae</td>
<td>Dotted Dwarf Racer</td>
<td>Least Concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Malpolon insignitus</em></td>
<td><em>Malpolon insignitus</em> (GEOFFROY DE ST-HILAIRE, 1827)</td>
<td>Colubridae</td>
<td>Eastern Montpellier Snake</td>
<td>Not Listed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Macrovierea lebetinus</em></td>
<td><em>Macrovierea lebetinus</em> (LINNAEUS, 1758)</td>
<td>Viperidae</td>
<td>Levantine Viper</td>
<td>Not Listed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Montivipera raddei</em> species complex</td>
<td><em>Montivipera kuhrangica</em> RAJABIZADEH, NILSON &amp; KAMI, 2011</td>
<td>Viperidae</td>
<td>Kuhrang Mountain Viper</td>
<td>Endangered, EN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Montivipera latifii</em> (MERTENS, DAREWSKY &amp; KLEMMER, 1967)</td>
<td>Viperidae</td>
<td>Radde’s Mountain Viper</td>
<td>Endangered, EN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Montivipera raddei</em> (BOETTGER, 1890)</td>
<td>Viperidae</td>
<td>Latifi’s Mountain Viper</td>
<td>Near Threatened</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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RÉSUMÉ
Situé à la convergence entre trois empires biogéographiques, l’Iran est largement connu pour la grande diversité de sa flore et de sa faune. Par ailleurs, la relation entre la niche climatique et la biogéographie historique est une des clés permettant de comprendre les patrons actuels de distribution et d’endémisme. C’est aussi un élément central pour estimer la vulnérabilité des espèces au changement climatique actuel et sa prise en compte dans les stratégies de conservation est encore plus critique pour les reptiles de montagne dont les capacités de dispersion sont réduites. La thèse a eu pour objectif d’étudier l’influence de l’histoire évolutive et des changements climatiques sur la structure et la répartition de plusieurs espèces de serpents distribuées dans deux points chauds de la biodiversité en Iran, le Caucase et l’Irano-Anatolie. L’analyse phylogéographique a été réalisée sur trois espèces (Gloydius halys caucasicus, Natrix tessellata et Hemorrhois ravergieri) sur la base de séquences mitochondriales et nucléaires. Une forte structuration génétique a été mise en évidence avec quatre lignées observées pour G. h. caucasicus, trois lignées pour N. tessellata et cinq lignées pour H. ravergieri. Les analyses de datation moléculaire et de biogéographie historique indiquent que les lignées iraniennes sont apparues entre 11 Mya et 0.63 Mya, ce qui indique une influence des oscillations paléoclimatiques du Quaternaire (derniers 2.8 Mya) mais aussi d’événements plus anciens (aridification intense à 9-10 Mya ou crise messinienne à 5 Mya).
La répartition de sept espèces de serpents des montagnes iraniennes a été modélisée en utilisant des modèles de distribution des espèces pour des conditions climatiques actuelles et futures (2070, moyenne de 2060 à 2080) afin d’identifier les zones favorables à chacune des espèces. Nos résultats prévoient un déplacement important, surtout altitudinal, des zones climatiquement adaptées aux espèces de serpents montagnards. Sur cette base, les résultats obtenus suggèrent que la répartition des espèces subira des changements à long terme qui devraient considérablement s’accélérer sous l’effet des pressions anthropiques. Sur la base de nos résultats concernant la diversité génétique, la future répartition et la définition d’unités évolutives significatives parmi les taxons étudiés, les enjeux en matière de conservation sont discutés en relation avec l’efficacité du réseau actuel d’aires protégées en Iran.
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