

Dynamic ecosystems under anthropogenic stress: how does macrotidal sandy beach fauna respond to green tides?

Nolwenn Quillien

► To cite this version:

Nolwenn Quillien. Dynamic ecosystems under anthropogenic stress: how does macrotidal sandy beach fauna respond to green tides?. Earth Sciences. Université de Bretagne occidentale - Brest, 2016. English. NNT: 2016BRES0024. tel-01624503

HAL Id: tel-01624503 https://theses.hal.science/tel-01624503

Submitted on 26 Oct 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

UNIVERSITE BRETAGNE LOIRE



université de bretagne occidentale

THÈSE / UNIVERSITÉ DE BRETAGNE OCCIDENTALE sous le sceau de l'Université européenne de Bretagne pour obtenir le titre de DOCTEUR DE L'UNIVERSITÉ DE BRETAGNE OCCIDENTALE Mention : Biologie Marine École Doctorale des Sciences de la Mer

présentée par Nolwenn Quillien

Préparée au Laboratoire des Sciences de l'Environnement Marin (UMR 6539) et à Environmental and Marine Biology (ÅAU, Finlande)

Dynamic ecosystems under anthropogenic stress – how does macrotidal sandy beach fauna respond to green tides ?

Des écosystèmes naturellement stressés sous menace anthropique réponses de la faune des plages de sable macrotidales aux marées vertes.

Thèse soutenue le 20 mai 2016 devant le jury composé de : Pr. Antoine GREMARE jury 1 Professeur, Université de Bordeaux / Rapporteur Dr. Judi HEWITT jury 2 Cadre de Recherche, NIWA / Rappo Dr. Ivan F. RODIL jury 3 té d'Helsinki / Examinate Dr. Katri AARNIO jury 4 Maître de conférences, Université d'Åbo Akademi / Examinateu Pr. Kai LINDSTRÖM jury 5 Professeur, Université d'Åbo Akademi / Examinateur Dr. Joanna NORKKO jury 6 Cadre de Recherche, Université d'Helsinki / Examinateur Pr. Erik Bonsdorff jury 7 Cadre de Recherche. Université d'Helsinki / Examinateur Dr. Jacques GRALL jury 8 Ingénieur de Recherche, Université de Bretagne Occidentale / Co-encadrant de thèse Pr. Yves-Marie PAULET jury 9 Professeur, Université de Bretagne Occidentale / Directeur de thèse Dr. Marie C. NORDSTRÖM jury 10 Cadre de Recherche, Université d'Åbo Akademi / Co-encadrante de thèse

Dynamic ecosystems under anthropogenic stress –

how does macrotidal sandy beach fauna respond to green tides?

Nolwenn Quillien

Environmental and Marine Biology Faculty of Science and Engineering Åbo Akademi University Finland Marine Environmental Sciences Lab. European Institute for Marine Studies, University of Western Brittany France

Åbo, 2016

Supervised by

Professor **Erik Bonsdorff** Environmental and Marine Biology Faculty of Science and Engineering Åbo Akademi University Finland

Professor **Yves-Marie Paulet** Marine Environmental Sciences Lab. European Institute for Marine Studies University of Western Brittany France

Reviewed by

Dr. **Judi Hewitt** National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research New Zealand

Faculty opponent

Dr. **Iván Rodil** Tvärminne zoological station University of Helsinki Finland

Author's address

Marine Environmental Sciences Laboratory, European Institute for Marine Studies University of Western Brittany, Place Nicolas Copernic, 29280 Plouzané, France

e-mail: nolwenn.quillien@univ-brest.fr, nolwenn.quillien@gmail.com

Cover illustration "Acrocnida cf. spatulispina" by Iffic 2016

ISBN – 978-952-12-3385-2 (paperback) ISBN – 978-952-12-3396-9 (electronic) PDF version of the thesis available at: https://www.doria.fi/handle/10024/123009 Painosalama Oy, Turku 2016

Co-supervised by

Dr. Jacques Grall Marine Observatory European Institute for Marine Studies University of Western Brittany France

Dr. **Marie C. Nordström** Environmental and Marine Biology Faculty of Science and Engineering Åbo Akademi University Finland

Professor **Antoine Grémare** Oceanic and continental environments and paleo-environments, Bordeaux University France

Sammanfattning (Swedish abstract)

Dynamiska ekosystem, ofta ansedda som motståndskraftiga, karakteriseras av abiotiska och biotiska processer under kontinuerliga och stora förändringar i tid och rum. Denna föränderlighet försvårar identifieringen av överlappande mänsklig negativ påverkan. Dynamiska ekosystem finns i kustområden i form av sandstränder, vilka täcker större delen av av världens isfria kustlinje. Det ökade trycket av mänsklig påverkan hotar dessa system, och t.ex. massutveckling av opportunistiska makroalger (huvudsakligen Chlorophyta, s.k. *makroalgblomning*), till följd av kustvattnens övergödning, väcker oro. Effekterna av makroalgblomningar har tidigare utvärderats i system som är mer skyddade, eller saknar tidvatten. Om mer dynamiska ekosystems, som sandstränder med högt tidvatten, respons till denna påverkan, vet vi mindre.

Denna avhandling syftar till att uppskatta effekterna av antropogen stress, med sandstränder utsatta för makroalgblomningar som studieobjekt. Avhandlingen omfattar fyra fältstudier, vilka analyserar bentiska samhällens dynamik i naturliga sandsediment i olika skalor i tid (från månatliga till fleråriga) och rum (från lokala till regionala).

I denna avhandling redogör jag för bentiska sandstrandssamhällens långtidsrespons till makroalgblomning, över hundratals kilometer och över en sjuårsperiod; jag lyfter fram den starkare responsen hos zoobentos i exponerade sandstrandsområden jämfört med de i halvexponerade områden. I exponerade sandsediment, och på en vertikal skala (från grunda vatten till djupare kustnära områden), identifierar jag en starkare respons hos bentiska evertebratsamhällen i tidvattenszonen, i förhållande till evertebratsamhällen som lever under tidvattennivån, såväl som plattfisksamhällen.

Med fokus på småskaliga variationer i de mest utsatta samhällena (nämligen, bentiska evertebrater i tidvattenszonen), påvisar denna avhandling en minskning av beta-diversitet längs en övergödningsgradient i form av makroalgblomning, liksom den ökade betydelsen av biologiska variabler för den ekologiska variabiliteten hos bentiska makroevertebrat sandstrandssamhällen. För att illustrera den underliggande processen som förklarar de observerade strukturella förändringarna där det skett makroalgblomning, undersökte jag effekten av höga biomassor av opportunistiska makroalger (*Ulva* spp.) på sandstränders trofiska struktur och funktion. Detta arbete lyfter fram den progressiva förenklingen av sandstränders födovävar och en modifikation av energiflöden över tid, via *Ulva*-mattors direkta och indirekta effekter, på åtskilliga trofiska nivåer.

Med denna avhandling demonstrerar jag att högt dynamiska ekosystem reagerar annorlunda (t.ex. förändringar i δ^{13} C, inte i δ^{15} N) och mer subtilt (hög dödlighet hos bentos observerades exempelvis inte) till mänsklig negativ inverkan än vad som tidigare visats i mer skyddade system, och system som saknar tidvatten. Dessa resultat möjliggjordes av ett mångfacetterat tillvägagångssätt för arbetet; jag presenterar ett ramverk som förenar fältundersökningar med avancerade analyser för att beskriva förändringar i högt variabla ekosystem under mänsklig negativ påverkan.

Nyckelord: Makroalgblomning – Ulva spp. – Övergödning – Dynamiska ekosystem – Sandstränder – Tidvatten – Zoobentos – Plattfisk – Samhällsstruktur – Födovävar – Trofisk funktion – Stabila isotoper δ^{13} C och δ^{15} N – Tid-rum analys – Bretagne

Abstract

Highly dynamic systems, often considered as resilient systems, are characterised by abiotic and biotic processes under continuous and strong changes in space and time. Because of this variability, the detection of overlapping anthropogenic stress is challenging. Coastal areas harbour dynamic ecosystems in the form of open sandy beaches, which cover the vast majority of the world's ice-free coastline. These ecosystems are currently threatened by increasing human-induced pressure, among which mass-development of opportunistic macroalgae (mainly composed of Chlorophyta, so called *green tides*), resulting from the eutrophication of coastal waters. The ecological impact of opportunistic macroalgal blooms (green tides, and blooms formed by other opportunistic taxa), has long been evaluated within sheltered and non-tidal ecosystems. Little is known, however, on how more dynamic ecosystems, such as open macrotidal sandy beaches, respond to such stress.

This thesis assesses the effects of anthropogenic stress on the structure and the functioning of highly dynamic ecosystems using sandy beaches impacted by green tides as a study case. The thesis is based on four field studies, which analyse natural sandy sediment benthic community dynamics over several temporal (from month to multi-year) and spatial (from local to regional) scales.

In this thesis, I report long-lasting responses of sandy beach benthic invertebrate communities to green tides, across thousands of kilometres and over seven years; and highlight more pronounced responses of zoobenthos living in exposed sandy beaches compared to semi-exposed sands. Within exposed sandy sediments, and across a vertical scale (from inshore to nearshore sandy habitats), I also demonstrate that the effects of the presence of algal mats on intertidal benthic invertebrate communities is more pronounced than that on subtidal benthic invertebrate assemblages, but also than on flatfish communities.

Focussing on small-scale variations in the most affected faunal group (*i.e.* benthic invertebrates living at low shore), this thesis reveals a decrease in overall beta-diversity along a eutrophication-gradient manifested in the form of green tides, as well as the increasing importance of biological variables in explaining ecological variability of sandy beach macrobenthic assemblages along the same gradient. To illustrate the processes associated with the structural shifts observed where green tides occurred, I investigated the effects of high biomasses of opportunistic macroalgae (*Ulva* spp.) on the trophic structure and functioning of sandy beaches. This work reveals a progressive simplification of sandy beach food web structure and a modification of energy pathways over time, through direct and indirect effects of *Ulva* mats on several trophic levels.

Through this thesis I demonstrate that highly dynamic systems respond differently (*e.g.* shift in δ^{13} C, not in δ^{15} N) and more subtly (*e.g.* no mass-mortality in benthos was found) to anthropogenic stress compared to what has been previously shown within more sheltered and non-tidal systems. Obtaining these results would not have been possible without the approach used through this work; I thus present a framework coupling field investigations with analytical approaches to describe shifts in highly variable ecosystems under human-induced stress.

Keywords: Green tides - Ulva spp. - Eutrophication - Dynamic ecosystems - Sandy beaches - Macrotidal - Zoobenthos - Flatfish - Community structure - Food web structure - Trophic functioning - Stable isotopes δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N - Space-time analyses - Brittany.

Résumé étendu (French extended abstract)

Note : les légendes des figures auxquelles ce résumé se réfère sont traduites en français.

Les écosystèmes dynamiques, caractérisés par des processus biotiques et abiotiques qui varient constamment dans le temps et l'espace, sont souvent considérés comme des systèmes fortement résilients. Ces écosystèmes, terrestres ou aquatiques, sont largement répartis à la surface du globe ; on peut citer parmi eux les dunes de sable des déserts, les zones de haute altitude, les rivières intermittentes, les estuaires ou encore les estrans rocheux de zones battues.

En zone côtière, ce sont les plages de sable macrotidales qui constituent sans doute les systèmes les plus dynamiques. Ces écosystèmes sédimentaires, formés par l'accumulation de particules mobiles soumises à l'action de la houle et des marées (FIG. 1), couvrent 70% des côtes ouvertes à l'océan. L'écosystème « plage de sable » abrite un cortège spécifique unique composé d'espèces toutes adaptées à la vie dans des conditions extrêmement variables, et il assure des fonctions essentielles auxquelles aucun autre écosystème sur la planète ne pourvoit. Par exemple, les caractéristiques physicochimiques des plages ainsi que la biodiversité qu'elles contiennent, forment un excellent système permettant de filtrer des volumes d'eau colossaux et de recycler les éléments minéraux et organiques. Les plages forment aussi des zones de nurserie et de nourricerie pour de nombreuses espèces (en particulier des oiseaux et des poissons d'intérêt commercial ou de conservation). Enfin, cet écosystème constitue, pour plusieurs raisons, un site de premier choix pour l'implantation de sociétés humaines.

La population humaine étant en développement constant, les écosystèmes côtiers sableux sont menacés par de multiples pressions d'origine anthropique, parmi lesquelles l'apport en excès de nutriments, qui constitue une menace majeure. L'eutrophisation des eaux côtières induit un déséquilibre des proportions de nutriments, qui peut se traduire par le développement d'importantes biomasses de macroalgues opportunistes. Ces blooms, communément formés de chlorophycées à court cycle de vie (macroalgues vertes opportunistes) et donc appelées *marées vertes*, se produisent à l'échelle mondiale (FIG. 2, 3). Actuellement, ce phénomène s'amplifie en termes de fréquence et d'intensité en France et dans le Monde.

La plupart des études visant à déterminer les effets des marées vertes sur la structure et le fonctionnement d'écosystèmes sédimentaires ont été conduites dans des environnements abrités, dans des systèmes micro- ou atidaux, ou ont consisté en des expérimentations semi-contrôlées. Elles ont montré que des biomasses importantes d'algues opportunistes détachées (du genre *Ulva* ou appartenant à d'autres taxons) impactent fortement plusieurs compartiments des écosystèmes sédimentaires (biochimie de l'eau, sédiments, macroalgues à long-cycle de vie, faune benthique). Plus particulièrement concernant la faune benthique, il a été montré que l'accumulation d'algues opportunistes provoquait une importante détérioration de la structure des communautés, induite notamment par des mortalités massives d'espèces dominantes ou d'espèces clés pour le fonctionnement du système.

Néanmoins, il reste beaucoup à apprendre et à comprendre des réponses *in situ* (*i.e.* dans des conditions 'naturelles' d'eutrophisation, intégrant la variabilité associée au phénomène) d'écosystèmes, et plus particulièrement d'écosystèmes dynamiques, faisant face à l'accumulation d'algues vertes opportunistes. Cette question est longtemps restée inexplorée en raison des difficultés de mise en place d'expérimentation ou d'échantillonnage inhérentes à la variabilité de ces systèmes. De plus, la détection des effets d'un stress d'origine anthropique sur la structure et le fonctionnement d'un écosystème dynamique est particulièrement complexe. Cette difficulté est liée au fait que la plupart des approches analytiques détectent les variations naturelles – de grande intensité dans le cas de systèmes dynamiques - et celles liées au stress *per se* sans pour autant parvenir à les distinguer.

Cette thèse a pour objectif principal d'étudier les effets d'un stress d'origine anthropique sur la structure et le fonctionnement d'un écosystème dynamique. Pour réaliser cet objectif, les réponses des communautés de plages de sables macrotidales où des marées vertes se produisent ont été étudiées à plusieurs échelles spatio-temporelles et en considérant différents compartiments biologiques (FIG. 4). Quatre études ont été menées (articles I à IV) pour répondre à cette question générale et plus précisément à quatre sous-questionnements (FIG. 5) :

- Un effet des marées vertes sur la structure des communautés benthiques est-il mesurable à grande échelle spatio-temporelle ?
- L'accumulation d'ulves induit-elle des réponses différentes en fonction du compartiment biologique, de la profondeur ou du type de plage ?
- Quels sont les effets des marées vertes à fine échelle spatio-temporelle le long d'un gradient d'eutrophisation ?
- Une forte biomasse d'ulves induit-elle des modifications de la structure et du fonctionnement trophique des plages ?

Pour répondre à ces questions, des approches *in situ* ont été mises en œuvre. Elles associent des méthodes classiques et émergentes en écologie, et combinent des outils permettant d'analyser des dérives fines du système soumis à une perturbation notamment via l'étude de changements survenant le long d'un gradient de stress ou l'exploration d'interactions et de voie de transfert de carbone au sein d'un réseau trophique (Table 2).

Ce travail a permis de montrer qu'à l'échelle de la région et de manière générale (i.e. en intégrant la variabilité de systèmes sédimentaires sur des milliers de kilomètres et sur sept ans) les communautés benthiques de plages macrotidales sont modifiées significativement et de manière conservative (i.e. les effets demeurent plusieurs mois après les blooms) par la présence de marées vertes (Table 3). Au cours de cette thèse, j'ai également démontré que les marées vertes impactent différemment la faune benthique en fonction (i) du type d'habitat/de l'exposition (plages semi-exposées composées de sables hétérogènes envasés vs. plages exposées composées de sables propres et bien triés), (ii) de la profondeur (médiolittoral inférieur vs. infralittoral [à 5m de profondeur]), et (iii) du compartiment biologique (macrofaune benthique vs. juvéniles de poissons plats). Ces comparaisons m'ont permis d'identifier la faune benthique de médiolittoral inférieur des plages exposées comme étant le système le plus affecté par la présence de fortes biomasses d'ulves (FIG. 7). En étudiant plus précisément les effets des marées vertes sur les variations du zoobenthos à petites échelles spatio-temporelles, j'ai montré que selon un gradient de couverture d'algues vertes (de 'pas de marée verte' à 'couverture homogène d'ulves'), les caractéristiques faunistiques - univariées et multivariées - sont significativement modifiées le long de ce gradient. Par exemple, la diversité bêta, correspondant à la variance totale au sein d'une communauté, décroît en présence de marées vertes : la valeur médiane de diversité ß du site uniformément couvert d'ulves est significativement inférieure à celle du site non impacté (FIG. 8). Ces réponses correspondent à une dérive de l'écosystème impacté par les marées vertes, qui se traduit par un changement de la structure des communautés au cours du temps. Afin d'explorer les processus pouvant expliquer ces patrons, et déterminer si ces changements ont des répercussions sur le fonctionnement de l'écosystème « plage de sable », j'ai étudié les effets de l'accumulation d'ulves sur la structure et le fonctionnement du réseau trophique à différents niveaux (des sources/producteurs primaires jusqu'aux consommateurs secondaires). Cette étude a révélé qu'une importante biomasse d'ulves induit un changement de la structure entière du réseau trophique (avec un décalage significatif des niches trophiques, spécifiques à une espèce et à la communauté entière) et une modification importante du fonctionnement trophique des plages (avec la simplification du réseau : une seule voie de transfert du carbone en présence d'ulves, contre des voies multiples dans le système non impacté) (FIG. 9). J'ai montré que ces changements étaient liés a des effets directs (consommation directe de débris d'ulves par des consommateurs) et indirects (modifications des autres sources de nourritures liées à l'activité photosynthétique de fortes biomasses d'ulves ou à leur dégradation) de la présence d'ulves à différents niveaux trophiques. Ces changements sont conservés dans le temps et le long de la chaîne trophique, se répercutant ainsi sur la structure et le fonctionnement de la communauté et du réseau trophique dans leur ensemble. Les résultats de cette thèse sont récapitulés et présentés de manière synthétique sous la forme d'un schéma conceptuel (FIG. 10).

Cette thèse remet en cause la résilience des écosystèmes dynamiques. En effet, ma thèse démontre les effets subtils mais très significatifs d'un stress per se sur la structure et le fonctionnement d'un système très variable dans le temps et l'espace. A travers l'étude des réponses de la macrofaune benthique des plages de sable macrotidales impactées par les marées vertes, cette thèse propose donc un cadre de travail visant à mieux détecter les effets de stress anthropiques sur la structure et le fonctionnement d'écosystèmes dynamiques (FIG. 11). Là où des indicateurs de santé/qualité des écosystèmes échouent à déceler les effets d'une perturbation dans un milieu très variable naturellement, je propose d'allier des méthodes de suivi in situ dans le temps et le long de gradient de stress, à une approche analytique permettant de tester les interactions espace-temps, afin de mettre en évidence les dérives ténues d'un système dynamique soumis à un stress. Cette démarche pourrait être renforcée par l'étude des performances (d'un point de vue fonctionnel) d'un écosystème impacté ou non par un stress. Cette perspective pourrait s'appuver sur l'analyse conjointe des traits biologiques exprimés par les espèces formant un écosystème et de leurs interactions trophiques, informant l'identité fonctionnelle sous-jacente d'un système. Dans un contexte de changement global forçant les écosystèmes à faire face à de multiples stress, cette approche pourrait se révéler particulièrement utile pour démêler, comprendre et prédire les effets de perturbations induites par les activités humaines sur le fonctionnement des écosystèmes et constituer une aide à la gestion de ces environnements particuliers dans le cadre de la Directive Cadre pour le Milieu Marin, ou d'Aires Marines Protégées.

Mots-clés : Marées vertes – *Ulva* spp. – Eutrophisation – Ecosystèmes dynamiques – Plages de sable fin – Régime macrotidal - Macrofaune benthique - Poissons plats -Structure des communautés - Structure des réseaux trophiques - Fonctionnement trophique – Isotopes stables δ^{13} C et δ^{15} N - Analyses spatiales et temporelles - Bretagne.

Contents

List	of original papers	1
1.	Introduction	3
1.1. 1.2.	Physically harsh and dynamic systems The sandy beach ecosystem Biodiversity and functioning An environment under multiple stresses	
1.3. 1.4.	Green tides: major threats to coastal ecosystems Detecting anthropogenic stress within dynamic systems	6
2.	Aims of the thesis	9
3.	Material and methods	10
3.1.	Multiscale study areas Across wide coastal areas (the regional scale) Studying variability along sandy beaches (the local scale) From inshore to nearshore sands (the vertical scale) Flucidating processes over time (temporal scales)	
3.2. 3.3.	Communities studied Field sampling Benthic communities Material for stable isotope analyses Environmental variables	
3.4. 3.5.	Stable isotope analyses Data analyses	13
4.	Results and discussion	16
4.1.4.2.4.3.4.4.	Long-lasting eutrophication effects over large scales Differential responses of sandy beach communities to green tides Differential influence of exposure Decreasing impacts of the accumulation of Ulva with increasing depth Two biotic compartments with contrasting responses to green tides Green tides impact variability of zoobenthos at small scales Stranding Ulva directly and indirectly alter trophic functioning	
5.	Conclusions	24
5.1. 5.2.	Key findings Implications and future directions	24 24
Fina	incial support	28
Ack	nowledgments	28
Refe	erences	31
Oriç	ginal publications (I-IV)	

List of original papers

Quillien N, Nordström MC, Guyonnet B, Maguer M, Le Garrec V, Bonsdorff E & Grall J (2015) Large-scale effects of green tides on macrotidal sandy beaches: habitat-specific responses of zoobenthos. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 164: 379-391.

Quillien N, Nordström MC, Le Bris H, Bonsdorff E & Grall J (*manuscript*) Green tides on inter- and subtidal sandy shores: differential impacts on infauna and flatfish.

Quillien N, Nordström MC, Gauthier O, Bonsdorff E, Paulet YM & Grall J (2015) Effects of macroalgal accumulations on the variability in zoobenthos of high-energy macrotidal sandy beaches. Marine Ecology Progress Series 522: 97-114.

IV Quillien N, Nordström MC, Schaal G, Bonsdorff E & Grall J (2016) Opportunistic basal resource simplifies food web structure and functioning of a highly dynamic marine environment. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 477: 92-102.

Contributions to the individual papers:

	Paperl	PaperII	Paper III	Paper IV
Design & methods	JG, NQ, EB, MCN	NQ, EB, JG	NQ, OG, MCN, EB, JG	NQ , MCN, JG
Data collection	MM, VLG, BG, JG	NQ, HLB, JG*	NQ , JG*	NQ , GS, JG*
Data analyses	NQ	NQ	NQ, MCN, OG	NQ
Manuscript preparation	NQ , MCN, BG, MM, VLG, EB, JG	NQ, MCN, HLB, EB, JG	NQ , MCN, OG, YMP, EB, JG	NQ , MCN, GS, EB, JG

* plus summer students and colleagues/volunteers.

Copyrights:

- © Elsevier (I, IV)
- © Inter-Research Science Publisher (III)
- © NQ and co-authors (II)

N. Quillien (2016)

1. Introduction

Marine soft sediments constitute the most widespread interface environment on the planet (Gray & Elliott 2009). Loose by nature, sediment substratum three-dimensional habitats form harbouring a unique diversity and sustaining essential ecological functions (Schlacher et al. 2008, Gray & Elliott 2009). Often influenced by tides, and reworked by waves within coastal areas, they constitute highly variable systems both in space and time (McLachlan & Brown 2006, Dobson & Frid 2009). Nowadays, coastal ecosystems suffer from significant anthropogenically induced disturbances (Gray 1997, Halpern et al. 2008, Defeo et al. 2009), among which one of the most widespread is the eutrophication of coastal waters (Grall & Chauvaud 2002, Diaz & Rosenberg 2008, Liu et al. 2013, Korpinen & Bonsdorff 2015). One symptom of the release of excess nutrients into the system is the development of high biomasses of opportunistic green macroalgae, also called "green tides" (Cloern 2001, Ye et al. 2011, Liu et al. 2013), which are currently increasing in frequency and intensity worldwide (Ye et al. 2011). Understanding how coastal sediment ecosystem structure and function are affected by eutrophication in the form of opportunistic macroalgal blooms is thus a current issue in ecology (Lyons et al. 2014, Korpinen & Bonsdorff 2015). Many studies have identified and characterised responses of coastal sediment benthic communities to eutrophication-induced macroalgal blooms, yet most of these studies were conducted within sheltered, non- or micro-tidal systems, or involved semi-controlled experiments in the field (Norkko & Bonsdorff 1996a, b, Bolam et al. 2000, Ouisse et al. 2011). Disentangling the effects of natural variation from anthropogenically induced variability within highly dynamic ecosystems, is far more challenging and require new approaches (Elliott & Quintino 2007).

1.1. Physically harsh and dynamic systems

Abiotic and biotic processes under continuous and strong changes in space and time, together form dynamic and resilient ecosystems. Such systems are commonly found, both in terrestrial and aquatic environments. For example, desert sand dunes, high alpine areas, intermediate rivers, estuaries and exposed rocky shores, all constitute highly variable ecosystems (Whitford 2002, Moser et al. 2005, Denny 2006, Elliott & Quintino 2007, Datry et al. 2015). The biota inhabiting these environments experiences extreme variation of most abiotic variables (temperature, exposure to wind and waves, strength of currents, loose nature of substratum), while only few specialized species are adapted to live in such harsh systems (Connell 1978, Defeo & Mclachlan 2005). When considering marine environments, studies show that three main abiotic variables predominantly shape communities: the wave energy, the tidal regime, and the nature of the substratum (Dobson & Frid 2009).

Within coastal ecosystems, the nature of the substratum can be divided into two categories. Non-mobile substrata, also defined as 'hard' substrata, are, contrary to 'soft' ones, composed of non-mobile particles. This structural contrast has profound effects on the composition of communities that develop there (Dobson & Frid 2009). In particular, mobile substrata imply a relatively unstable habitat. In order to withstand such instability, species inhabiting mobile sediments are primarily burrowers that have strong abilities to quickly move among, and maintain themselves in, mobile particles (Scapini 2014). For example, the mollusc bivalves of the genus Donax have a large and powerful foot that makes them able to resettle quickly in the sediment to regain their position after being swept by waves (De la Huz et al. 2002, McLachlan & Brown 2006).

One extreme variation that a marine system can experience, is the cyclic shift between aquatic and terrestrial conditions (Datry et al. 2015), resulting from periodic movements of the sea: the tides (FIG.1 a, b). These shifts occur at different

temporal scales, with flood and ebb tides alternating daily or twice daily, and neap and spring tides alternating every 15 days. Depending on the bathymetry and on the volume of water adjacent to the coast, the tidal range (TR; the difference between spring high and low tides, expressed in meters) may differ. Consequently, non-tidal (TR = 0m), microtidal (0 < TR < 4m) and macrotidal $(TA \ge 4m)$ regimes are distinguished (Allaby 2010). Due to water retention in-between particles, sediment systems are less subject to desiccation compared to hard substratum (McLachlan & Brown 2006). Nonetheless, intertidal sediments face extreme temperature changes, and occasionally drop in salinity. These conditions are constantly fluctuating because of tidal influences. Tides also induce currents that are comparable in magnitude to flows in streams and rivers (Dobson & Frid 2009), but wave energy may exceed such currents in terms of transferred energy in open areas.

Waves are well-known attributes of marine systems, transferring energy from winds at sea to the coastal zone (McLachlan & Brown 2006, FIG.1c, d). When they break on- or near-shore, they impose great hydrodynamic forces on substrate and living organisms (Denny 2006, McLachlan & Brown 2006, Short & Jackson 2013). Wave energy and exposure to wave action have been identified as key explanatory variables of species abundance and diversity across large spatial scales and different ecosystems (McLachlan & Dorvlo 2005, Denny 2006). When breaking on sedimentary systems, waves may induce deep reorganization of particles by resuspension in the water column (FIG. 1e) or movements close to the seabed (McLachlan & Brown 2006), thus increasing the variability of the soft substratum.

Macrotidal sandy beaches, with mobile particles that are effectively reworked by waves, tides and currents, form an ecosystem that is subject to the combination of these three main variables, which makes them highly dynamic and variable environments (FIG. 1).



FIG. 1. Photographs of the Anse of Dinan (Brittany, France) showing variation in energy within a macrotidal sandy beach; a) high tide, b) low tide, c) low wave action, d) high wave energy, e) resuspension of particles after a wave has broken, the arrows denote some sedimentary whirls. Pictures: N.Q. Photos prises dans l'Anse de Dinan (Bretagne, France) illustrant l'énergie diffusée sur une plage macrotidale et ses variations; a) marée haute, b) marée basse, c) énergie de la houle faible, d) énergie de la houle intense, e) mise en suspension de particules après le passage d'une vague, les flèches blanches indiquent les tourbillons sédimentaires. Clichés : N.Q.

1.2. The sandy beach ecosystem

Sandy beach ecosystems constitute 70% of the world's ice-free coastline (McLachlan & Brown 2006). Sandy beaches (SBs) can be defined as accumulations of fine sediment along coastlines (Davis 2015), and this thesis mainly focusses on exposed beaches of pure sand, although the later are compared to more sheltered beaches composed of heterogeneous sediments (mud, sand, coarse sediments). However, this description focussing on the material composing beaches is limited and often unfairly associates these systems with lifeless deserts (McDermott 1983). Conversely, these ecosystems contain a highly specialised fauna and provide ecological functions that are not supported by any other ecosystem on earth (McLachlan & Brown 2006). They also provide prime sites for human recreation and other uses, which turn them into ecosystems under high anthropogenically-induced stress (Schlacher et al. 2007, Defeo et al. 2009).

Biodiversity and functioning

The species inhabiting SBs are uniquely adapted to withstand the natural stress induced by the high variability of physicochemical conditions affecting these systems (McLachlan & Brown 2006, Scapini 2014). One could also say that they

"need/require" this dynamism/harshness to exist, and natural stress can thus be viewed as a subsidy, i.e. a perturbation with a positive effect on the system (Costanza et al. 2002). This effect ensures the development of a diverse fauna: a sandy beach can hold hundreds of species of invertebrates when their smallest forms are included (Armonies & Reise 2000). Most non-symbiotic marine phyla are represented in coastal sands, while polychaete annelids, bivalve and gastropod molluscs and crustacean arthropods are those most commonly found within sandy beaches. The absence of attached macrophytes, together with the presence of a rich surf-zone phytoplankton production and of macrophyte wrack subsidies (Dugan et al. 2003, McLachlan & Brown 2006, Rodil et al. 2008), both dictate dominant feeding traits of biota (McLachlan & Brown 2006) within SB systems. Most SB invertebrates are filter- and/or deposit feeders, while fewer are scavengers and predators (Schlacher et al. 2008).

Sandy beach organisms form assemblages that are shaped by not only physical variables, but by biological interactions and coastal processes related to food availability (Defeo & Mclachlan 2005, Lastra et al. 2006, Barboza & Defeo 2015). Sandy beach species inter-specific relationships and biological traits, together with intrinsic physicochemical characteristics of SB ecosystems, sustain a large spectrum of essential functions (McLachlan & Brown 2006, Schlacher et al. 2008). For instance, SB porous sands form an excellent "digestive and incubating system" that filters large amounts of water, and along with the SB biota, processes organic material and recycles nutrients (Schlacher et al. 2008). In addition, sandy beaches assume key biological and conservation roles by linking coastal dune and nearshore sandy systems, as well as by providing essential nursery and foraging areas for many species (in particular for fish, birds and turtles) (McLachlan & Brown 2006). SB ecosystems also support many commercial and artisanal/recreational fisheries, most notably for bivalves (e.g. Donacidae) and fishes (e.g. Moronidae).

An environment under multiple stresses

Contrary to natural subsidy, sandy beaches suffer from a wide range of anthropogenically induced disturbances. As the human population is constantly growing, and because SB systems are highly valued by society (Davis 2015), these ecosystems are under high anthropogenic pressure (Schlacher et al. 2007, Defeo et al. 2009). Along with many other ecosystems, SBs are expected to be negatively affected by global change (Schoeman et al. 2014). In addition, the exploitation of beach systems and the development of coastal areas affect both morphodynamics and species assemblages of SBs (Defeo & de Alava 1995, Dugan et al. 2008). Sandy beach ecosystems are also affected by various pollutions either constituting sporadic events, or occurring more widely and more frequently.

1.3. Green tides: major threats to coastal ecosystems

Among the multiple human-induced threats affecting marine ecosystems, the release of excess nutrients to coastal waters is of major concern (McClelland & Valiela 1998, Cloern 2001, Diaz & Rosenberg 2008, Liu et al. 2013, Lyons et al. 2014, Korpinen & Bonsdorff 2015). This alteration of water quality is mainly induced by the shift to artificial fertilisers in agriculture during the past fifty years, wastewater treatment plants, and urban runoff, all related to growing human population in coastal areas (Bricker et al. 2008, Korpinen & Bonsdorff 2015). Increased concentrations in nitrogen and phosphorous induce changes in nutrient ratios, and lead to the eutrophication of coastal ecosystems (Nixon 1995). This process is reflected by three main symptoms: the development of (i) short-lived macroalgal blooms, (ii) harmful microalgal blooms, and (iii) the reduction of oxygen content in the seawater leading to coastal hypoxia (Cloern 2001, Diaz & Rosenberg 2008). The eutrophication of coastal water occurs worldwide (FIG. 2), and especially coastlines from developed countries. Along these particularly populated areas, the three eutrophication symptoms often occur together, but are rarely studied

> FIG. 2. Worldwide blooms formed by green macroalgae (i.e. green tides), toxic microalgal blooms, and coastal hypoxia distribution during the past three decades; information shown here is the combination of several maps presented in the following publications: in Anderson et al. (2015), Ye et al. (2011), Diaz & Rosenberg (2008), Korpainen & Bonsdorff (2015). Répartition mondiale des blooms de macroalgues vertes opportuniste (i.e. marées vertes ; zones de couleur turquoise), microalgues toxiques (astérisques) et d'hypoxie côtière (flèches). Les informations présentées ici sont issues de plusieurs cartes publiées dans les articles suivants : Anderson et al. (2015), Ye et al. (2011), Diaz & Rosenberg (2008), Korpainen & Bonsdorff (2015).



FIG. 3. Photographs of green tides in Brittany, France. (a) Ulva spp. stranding on a sandy shore in the Bay of Douarnenez at low tide. (b) Progressing by feet in stranding green algae before sampling. Pictures: N.Q. Photos de marées vertes prises en Bretagne, France. (a) Des ulves échouées sur une plage en Baie de Douarnenez à marée basse. (b) La traversée d'une marée verte pour se rendre sur un point d'échantillonnage. Clichés : N.Q.



simultaneously. However, as shown on the map (FIG. 2), macroalgal and microalgal blooms, and hypoxia, do not always co-occur. This suggests that the concept of multiple secondary effects of eutrophication is valid, and thus the study of the effects of one specific symptom is important. In the present work, special focus is given to macroalgal blooms, which are mainly composed by opportunistic Chlorophyceae, and thus called green tides. Green tides (hereafter GT) are defined as "vast accumulations of unattached green macroalgae" (Ye et al. 2011) (FIG.3). More specifically, GT are formed by green opportunistic macroalgae (mainly the taxa Ulva, Chaetomorpha and Cladophora), which are fine and fragile (Schramm 1999) and can easily be detached and transported by coastal currents, and ultimately strand on or float along beaches or in shallow bays (Grall & Chauvaud 2002). Such accumulations far exceed, in terms of extent/coverage and biomass (FIG. 3a, b), seaweed wrack subsidies that commonly strand in the supralittoral zone (Rodil et al. 2008). The development of green macroalgal blooms is controlled by nutrient concentrations, light and temperature. Eutrophicated sandy beaches are ideal places for the development of GT as they offer shallow, well mixed waters, which may warm up easily during spring in temperate systems (Ménesguen & Piriou 1995).

The persistency, frequency and intensity of opportunistic macroalgal blooms have dramatically increased since the 1980's in many regions along the global coastlines (Sfriso et al. 1992, Ménesguen & Piriou 1995, Valiela et al. 1997). Massive blooms of *Ulva* spp. have for example developed in China covering large part of the

Yellow Sea (Liu et al. 2013), as well as in Brittany (northwestern France), where GT affect more than 80 sites and have started to expand to other regions in France (Normandie, Aquitaine) during the past five years (CGDD 2014). Globally, this phenomenon is currently spreading and increasing worldwide (Ye et al., 2011).

Such macroalgal accumulations have strong impacts on several faunal groups of soft-sediment ecosystems (see Raffaelli et al. 1998, Grall & Chauvaud 2002, Lyons et al. 2014, Korpinen & Bonsdorff 2015 for reviews). The accumulation of high biomasses of opportunistic macroalgae modifies the biochemistry of the water column through significant uptakes of dissolved oxygen at night (Sundbäck et al. 1996) and light carbon (12C) during photosynthesis (Van Alstyne et al. 2015). Macroalgal blooms also change sediment characteristics, and impact other primary producer (such as perennial macrophytes, eelgrass, and salt-marsh plants) communities (Cloern 2001, Hauxwell et al. 2001, Watson et al. 2015, Green & Fong 2016). At high biomasses, opportunistic macroalgal accumulations also alter the recruitment. community structure and production of benthic fauna, including meiofauna, macrofauna, and flatfish (Hull 1987, Baden et al. 1990, Bonsdorff 1992, Raffaelli et al. 1998, Franz & Friedman 2002, Pihl et al. 2005, Nordström & Booth 2007, Lyons et al. 2014). For instance, changes in macrofauna community structure have been investigated by Norkko and Bonsdorff (1996a) in an experimental study. The authors showed that structural differences between assemblages sampled at control and algae-covered plots appeared after 9 days, and that the sediment benthic community broke down after 16 days of algal cover. Structural changes in benthic communities were mainly induced by massive die-offs of dominant and key players (Norkko & Bonsdorff 1996b).

However, most of the studies focussing on the effects of eutrophication induced macroalgal accumulations on marine ecosystems have been conducted within sheltered environments (e.g. mud flats; Ouisse et al., 2011; Raffaelli et al., 1998). They also have involved semi-controlled experiments in the field (Norkko & Bonsdorff 1996a, b, Bolam et al. 2000), and have mostly addressed the question within micro- or non-tidal systems (Norkko & Bonsdorff 1996a, Thiel et al. 1998). Much still remains to be understood regarding the effects of human-induced pressures within highly dynamic systems, such as open macrotidal sandy beaches, where tidal regime is an additional factor to the variability of coastal marine systems (see section 1.1).

1.4. Detecting anthropogenic stress within dynamic systems

When human-induced pressures overlap with highly variable and naturally harsh systems, the constantly changing characteristics of these dynamic systems make it difficult to distinguish variability due to natural subsidy (Costanza et al. 2002) from anthropogenically induced variation. More specifically, even though many numerical methods have been developed to identify effects of anthropogenic pressure through the study of benthic communities (see for example Grémare et al. 2009, and Culhane et al. 2014 for a review), the approaches still detect natural and anthropogenic forcing without distinction. This leads to a decrease in the ability of detecting the signal (stress per se) to noise ('natural' variation) ratio (Elliott & Quintino 2007). The difficulty of detecting human-induced stress in harsh and dynamic systems is defined as the 'Estuarine Quality Paradox', first described by Dauvin (2007), then theoretically developed by Elliott & Quintino (2007). This paradox has recently been extended to sandy beach systems (Daief et al. 2014).

In order to identify anthropogenic impacts on dynamic ecosystems, the authors of the above mentioned studies propose that the manifestation of subsidy (i.e. natural dynamic system biological characteristics) needs to be separated from signs of the stress per se (i.e. anthropogenic dynamic system biological characteristics). Achieving such a separation is not effortless, and according to Elliott and Quintino (2007), the best way to distinguish between natural and human-induced variability is the in situ study of structural and functional aspects of benthic communities within both pristine and impacted dynamic systems. Indeed, creating or maintaining harsh conditions within mesocosms or experimental settings is hardly feasible (but see Kraufvelin et al. 2009) and may produce unrealistic results and interpretations. Furthermore, the assessment of food webs provides a quantitative framework that combines both community and ecosystem ecology approaches, and thus unifies the study of biodiversity and ecosystem function (Thompson et al. 2012). Given that carbon transfer may be affected by some anthropogenically induced disturbances (e.g. green tides; Valiela et al. 1997), investigating trophic relationships in impacted dynamic environments would also be valuable to understand functional shifts in such environments. Finally, a way of disentangling anthropogenic and natural forces acting in a system is the simultaneous investigation of spatial and temporal variation within communities, aiming at understanding spatial variations through time, or conversely temporal variation among sites (Legendre & Gauthier 2014). The combined use of the approaches listed here would help at answering the non-trivial question of how highly dynamic ecosystems respond to anthropogenic stress, as has been done in some other systems (Thrush et al. 1998, Hewitt et al. 2007).

2. Aims of the thesis

The overall aim of my thesis was to investigate the effects of anthropogenic stress on the structure and the functioning of highly dynamic ecosystems. This was achieved by determining the responses of benthic communities inhabiting open macrotidal sandy systems to the presence of green tides. I have explored how dynamic system benthic communities respond to the presence of high biomass of *Ulva* across different spatial and temporal scales, and between habitats, as well as across two different biological compartments (FIG. 4).



FIG. 4. Spatial and temporal scales covered by the specific papers presented in this thesis (I-IV). The colours of boxes refer to those used in the conceptual diagram illustrated in FIG. 5, except for paper II. The illustrations denote the communities studied in each paper: macroinfauna and flatfish (papers II, IV), or exclusively macroinfauna (papers I, III). Schéma montrant les échelles temporelles et spatiales couvertes par les différentes études menées au cours de cette thèse (I-IV). Les couleurs des bôtes utilisées ici font référence à celles employées dans le diagramme conceptuel de la FIG. 4, à l'exception de celle utilisée pour l'article II. Les symboles illustrent les différents compartiments benthiques étudés : macrofaune et poisons plats (articles II et IV), et seulement la macrofaume (articles I et III).

First, to answer the overall question of the PhD thesis (*i.e.* do green tides impact dynamic ecosystem communities?), I focussed on the responses of macrofauna inhabiting sandy systems in early spring (several months after blooms of *Ulva*), across large spatial and temporal scales (**paper I**). I thus investigated long-lasting effects of green tides on benthic community structure, despite the high variability existing between study sites. Additionally, I explored potential differential responses of benthic communities to the presence of *Ulva* mats (*i.e.* dense accumulations of stranding or floating *Ulva*), depending on (i) the beach

type/the exposure (heterogeneous muddy- vs. well-sorted clean- sands, paper I), and (ii) the depth (shallow subtidal vs. low-intertidal, paper II). Further, I examined the responses of two different faunal groups, namely macroinfauna and flatfish, to the presence of GT (paper II). The results of papers I and II lead me to specifically focus on responses of macrofauna inhabiting wellsorted sand at low-shore of macrotidal sandy beaches. Considering this habitat, I investigated the variability in zoobenthos at small spatial and temporal scales, along a gradient of eutrophication by Ulva (paper III). And within this habitat, I ultimately assessed the effects of green tides on the functioning of highly dynamic ecosystems, studying trophic relationships and food web structure (paper IV). More specifically, I explored direct and indirect influence of Ulva at several trophic levels (from basal resources to predators) and complexity levels (from species-specific to community-wide responses) in order to highlight potential impact on carbon transfer in eutrophicated macrotidal sandy beaches (paper IV). These four interlinked studies explore how highly dynamic systems respond to anthropogenic pressure (FIG. 5).



FIG. 5. Conceptual diagram showing the main questions addressed in the four papers (I-IV), as well as the logical links between each study, which build up upon each other at several scales. *Diagramme conceptuel montrant les principales questions traitées dans les articles I-IV, et illustrant la logique générale de cette synthèse, précisant notamment les liens entre chacune des questions et des études.*

In order to answer the main questions (FIG. 5), *in situ* integrative studies were designed, and classic, as well as novel approaches in ecology were used; they are described below.

3. Material and methods

As the questions asked in this thesis are intricate, data were required on a number of spatial and temporal scales, including abiotic and biotic variables. The following section provides overall information on data collection, on the study areas and communities, and briefly describes the analytical techniques used in **papers I-IV**. The basic field- and analytical methods were the same in all of the studies. However, for certain questions, specific custom-made sampling designs were used. Detailed descriptions of materials and methods are found in the individual papers.

3.1. Multiscale study areas

The studies for **papers I-IV** were conducted at 24 different sites, spread across different spatial scales, both vertical and horizontal (Fig. 5, 6). The studies were all designed to examine temporal patterns (from month to multi-year), *i.e.* they encompass large temporal variability, and intend to investigate dynamic responses of an ecosystem

under anthropogenic stress, rather than steady states. In addition, the four studies were all conducted in the field, under "natural" conditions. Such a setting, embracing broad spatial and temporal scales *in situ*, leads to an increase in generality (Hewitt et al. 2007). The study sites were selected based on the availability of data (**paper I**), the research history of some specific areas and the occurrence of gradients of anthropogenic stress (**papers II-IV**).

Across wide coastal areas (the regional scale)

The studies were all conducted in Brittany (Fig. 6a, b); the first one was designed to encompass patterns in this whole area (paper I) (Fig. 6a), which is located in northwestern France, with a total coastline spanning 2730km (http://www. bretagne-environnement.-org). Greatly indented, the coast of Brittany harbours numerous sandy beaches ranging from hundreds of meters to several kilometres in length and width. This region's extensive shoreline shows a macrotidal regime, which ranges from 5 to 13m. The area also constitutes an occidental cape of the European continent, and SBs lining its coasts are actively influenced by big, unhindered, Atlantic waves. Coastal sediment ecosystems are there dominated by highly dynamic, open macrotidal sandy beaches.



FIG. 6. Location in Brittany (NW France) of the 24 exposed (circles/squares) and semi-exposed (triangles) sandy sites sampled in this thesis (**papers I-IV**); at low-intertidal (circles) and at shallow subtidal (squares) sites impacted (green) or not (blue) by green tides. (a) Location in Brittany of the 13 sandy beaches investigated in **paper I**. (b) Location of the 9 study sites sampled in **paper III**, of the four study sites (squares and adjacent torcles) sampled in **paper II**, and of the two study sites (circles adjacent to squares) sampled in **paper II**. Localisation (*Bretagne*, Nord-Est de l'Europe) des 24 sites (chantillonnés durant cette thèse (articles I-IV) en mode battu (cercles/carrés) ou semi-battu (triangles) au niveau du médiolittoral inférieur (cercles) et de l'infraittoral supérieur (carrés) impactés (vert) ou non (bleu) par les marées vertes. Plus précisément, les 13 plages étudiées dans l'article I sont localisées le long des côtes bretonnes (a), les 9 sites (chantillonnés dans l'article III sont représentés par des cercles (b) et les quatre sites échantillonnés dans l'étude II sont symbolises par les carrés et les deux cercles adjacents à ces derniers (b).

Located at mid latitudes between the English Channel and the Atlantic Ocean, as well as at the boundary between Northern European Seas and Lusitanian provinces within the 'temperate Northern Atlantic' realm, Brittany is an area where Nordic and Mediterranean influences meet. This geographical characteristic makes this region a marine biogeographical transition zone (Glémarec 1978, Dauvin et al. 2006, Spalding et al. 2007). There, an abstract boundary appears where species with both "warm" and "cold" affinities inhabit the same region, which is an interesting feature in a global change context (Spalding et al. 2007).

For instance, two mollusc bivalve species from the Donacidae family (Donax trunculus and D. vittatus) coexist in Brittany as they respectively have their northern and southern distribution limits there. As a whole, breton macrotidal sandy beaches thus harbour a rich biodiversity (Deniel 1973, Glémarec 1973), while they also provide essential feeding and nursery habitats for commercially important species such as the clam D. trunculus and the flatfishes Scophthalmus maximus and Pleuronectes platessa (Guillou 1980, Quiniou 1986). The REBENT program is a large-scale monitoring of Brittany's coastal ecosystems that was initiated through the water framework directive, and investigates sandy beach biodiversity since 2003. This program provides constant and quality-controlled annual data, which I have used in paper I.

Because of the large establishment of intensive livestock farms in Brittany, this area at the same time registers the highest nitrogen surplus amounts in France, and, together with the Netherlands, in Europe (Campling et al. 2005). This great load of nutrients on land directly affects Breton coastal water quality and nutrient ratios through runoff. Due to the great number of small catchment areas, eutrophication occurs locally along Brittany's coastline, but is widespread, as the intensive agricultural activity is highly developed in the region. Thus, more than fifty open macrotidal beaches and thirty mud flats showed Ulva accumulations in 2013 (CGDD 2014). Brittany thus offers a great study location for investigating the effects of the accumulation of opportunistic macroalgae on the structure and the functioning of highly dynamic ecosystems such as macrotidal sandy beaches.

Studying variability along sandy beaches (the local scale)

Studies conducted for papers II-IV took place in the Bay of Douarnenez (Fig. 6b). Located in the west of Brittany, the bay harbours fine siliceous sands, greatly reworked by hydrodynamics, which provide suitable habitats for many species (Augris et al. 2005). This area was a key study site four decades ago, when researchers from the Laboratoire d'Océanographie Biologique of the University of Brest conducted surveys in both intertidal and subtidal areas, with the aim of characterizing benthic communities (meiofauna, macrofauna, flatfish) living within sandy systems (Guillou 1980, Bodin 1984, Quiniou 1986). The site is also locally threatened by the occurrence of green tides, which have appeared there both in intertidal and subtidal areas (Merceron & Morand 2004) and approximately a decade after the first blooms of noticeable importance in northern Brittany (i.e. 1980's). Sandy shores located below the agricultural catchment area (FIG. 6b) experience annual Ulva bloom events (from spring to autumn) (Ménesguen & Piriou 1995, Charlier et al. 2007).

From inshore to nearshore sands (the vertical scale)

Shallow subtidal and low-shore intertidal habitats are connected, both structurally (with seasonal exchange of sand and organic debris between the two habitats) and biologically (with tidal and seasonal migration of species) (McLachlan & Brown 2006, Schlacher et al. 2008). Because the eutrophication in the form of Ulva mats affect both intertidal and subtidal habitats, this thesis also investigated benthic community responses along an inshore-nearshore gradient, thus exploring vertical scale processes. Within the bay of Douarnenez, two sites were sampled at 5m depth, one did not have green tides, the other was regularly impacted by Ulva accumulations. These two sites were directly connected with two other sites located at low-intertidal, which were sampled simultaneously (Fig. 5b).

Elucidating processes over time (temporal scales)

Different benthic community responses to the occurrence of green tides were explored over temporal scales: intra- as well as inter-annual successional patterns were analysed throughout **papers I-IV**. Thus, this thesis not only encom-

passes spatial variation, but also considers and integrates temporal variation in the study of dynamic system responses to anthropogenic stress. This approach enabled the use of some innovative methods to test space-time interactions (Legendre et al. 2010), which offers ways to detect and characterize subtle responses of communities to changes in their environment.

3.2. Communities studied

One of the most effective ways to track anthropogenically induced changes in an ecosystem is the study of multispecies ecological communities (Legendre et al. 2010). More specifically, as many zoobenthic organisms have relatively sedentary habits and long lifespan, and live at a strategic position at the water/sediment interface, benthic assemblages may exhibit clear responses to changing environmental conditions (Pearson & Rosenberg 1978, Grall & Glémarec 1997, Nyitrai et al. 2012). In addition, considering several biological faunal groups that are linked (through trophic interactions for example) is crucial to assess potential knock-on effects of environmental stress within an entire system. In this thesis, a large range of species from several groups that are part of sandy ecosystems were sampled: macroinfauna (I-IV), flatfish (II, IV), and meiofauna (nematodes only, IV). In total, the work is based on the study of 360 species from 9 phyla (Table 1) and thus encompasses a large spectrum of speciesand community-level structural and functional responses.

Table 1. List of the phyla and their respective number of species or taxa included in the four studies (I-IV). Nombre d'espèces ou de taxons appartenant aux huit phylums échantillonnés dans les quatre études menées pour cette thèse (articles I-IV).

	Paper I	Paper II	Paper III	Paper IV
Annelida	102	44	26	13
Arthropoda	95	42	29	15
Chordata	-	6	-	6
Cnidaria	12	7	3	3
Hemichordata	-	1	-	1
Mollusca	58	20	6	10
Nematoda	-	-	-	1
Nemertea	5	5	4	6
Sipuncula	3	1	1	-
Total n of taxa	275	126	69	54

3.3. Field sampling

Sampling design and methods were consistent across the four studies, depending on the biological compartment and the habitat considered. Across **papers I-IV**, environmental variables were also measured or gathered from publicly available data set. Details regarding the sampling methods are provided below.

Benthic communities

At intertidal sandy beaches, macroinvertebrates (animals larger than 1mm) were sampled using a tube-corer (inner diameter: 11.3cm; depth: 15cm). To obtain faunal samples covering an area of 300 cm^2 , three cores (taken *ca.* 40cm apart) were pooled to one sample. At each sampling site, three such replicate samples were randomly taken 1 to 2m apart. At subtidal sites, infauna was sampled using a Smith-grab (surface = 0.1m^2), and five replicate samples were randomly taken at each site within an area of a few m².

The intertidal pooled core samples, as well as the grab samples, were sieved through mesh bags (1mm mesh size) to separate the fauna from the finer sediments. Faunal samples were preserved in 4% buffered formalin for later sorting in the lab where macrofauna were identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level under a stereomicroscope, and counted. Taxonomy follows species nomenclature of the World Register of Marine Species (http://www.marinespecies.org/). Species richness is given as the number of species per sample, and abundance is converted to units per m². Biomass (ash-free dry weight) of each taxon was measured by weight loss after ignition at 450°C for 4h for studies III and IV. Meiofauna (animals smaller than 1mm) was sampled using similar methods, but adapted for this group (see paper IV for details).

Flatfishes were sampled using a beach trawl (5 m wide, 0.3m high, with an 8mm stretched mesh net in the cod-end) in the intertidal, and using a beam trawl (2m wide, 0.5m high, with a 4mm stretched mesh net in the cod-end) in the subtidal. At intertidal sampling sites, beach trawls were carried out during the day at rising tides, at least once along 80 to 260m long latitudinal transects (sampled surface: 400 to 1300m²). Beam trawls were carried out during the day, at neap tide, along 500m transects (sampled surface: 1000m²)

at least twice at each site within the subtidal zone. The flatfish were sorted, identified and measured (total length) on board and released immediately after the investigations. For each species, individuals were classified into age groups based on their size and on peer-reviewed literature and researchreports on flatfish growth (including Deniel, 1973; Gibson and Ezzi, 1980; Nottage and Perkins, 1983).

Material for stable isotope analyses

The low-shore community trophic structure of macrotidal sandy beach systems was assessed by sampling biota for stable isotope analyses (13C:12C and ¹⁵N:¹⁴N) (paper IV). Particulate organic matter (POM) was collected from the water column (within the first metre), and filtered in the laboratory. Sedimentary organic matter (SOM) was sampled by collecting the first centimetres of sediment. Ulva was hand-collected from the water column. Macrofauna was sampled using cores, forks and by sieving ~50 litres of sediments (1mm mesh-size). Meiofauna (mainly nematodes and copepods, Carriço et al. 2013) was sampled using cores and by scraping the uppermost layer of the sediment (ca. 1.5cm), and was later sieved in the laboratory. Flatfish and epibenthic crustaceans were caught using a beach trawl and a push net (8mm mesh size each).

All samples were brought back to the laboratory for conservation. Sediments collected for SOM were re-suspended in filtered Milli-Q water using an ultrasonic bath. Seawater collected for POM was pre-filtered using a net (90 μ m) to remove large detritus (i.e. pieces of macroalgae). Both the supernatant and the pre-sieved seawater were then sieved on pre-combusted (450°C for 5h) GF/F filters (3 filters per type of sample, per sampling occasion) and quickly acidified. Ulva thalli were cleaned of from any epiphytes and rinsed with Milli-Q water. All fauna collected were sorted in the laboratory and kept frozen (-20°C) until preparation for analyses. Fauna was identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level and measured (total length or width of first setigers for polychaetes). Samples consisted of muscle tissue of large species (fish, bivalves, echinoderms, some polychaetes) or of pooled individuals (5-300 individuals, in order to reach the minimum weight needed for isotope analyses) for the smallest species. When pooling was required, gut content was carefully removed by dissection. Species containing calcium carbonate, *e.g.* ophiuroids and cumaceans, were split into two subsamples: one was acidified (10% HCl) for δ^{13} C analysis, the other subsample not acidified and used for δ^{15} N analysis. All samples were rinsed using Milli-Q water, oven-dried (48h at 60°C) and ground into fine powder using a ball mill (fauna, macroalgae) or gently scraped using a scalpel (POM and SOM collected on filters). Part of the powder (400µg), or the fragments (1mg), was then packed into 5 × 8mm ultra-clean tin capsules.

Environmental variables

At each fauna sampling site, a single sediment core (in the intertidal) or grab (in the subtidal) was extracted to obtain grain size distribution and organic matter characteristics. Grain size was assessed by dry sieving, using a series of sieves (from 63μ m to $10,000\mu$ m). Median grain size was equal to the second quartile (Q50) of the sediment grain size value. The Sorting index (also called Sorting) was calculated based on the first and the third quartiles of the sediment grain size ratio ($\sqrt{Q25/Q75}$, where Q25 and Q75 denote the first and third quartiles, respectively). Total organic matter content was assessed by weighted loss after ignition at 450°C for 5h.

For the intertidal sites, Ulva biomass data was collected from the Algae Study and Valorisation Centre (CEVA, www.ceva.fr/fre) an institute in charge of estimation of green tides amplitude through monthly aerial surveys (for estimation of surface covered by algae) and field sampling (for conversion to biomass). At subtidal sites, Ulva biomass was assessed on board the sampling vessel by weighting algae collected in beam trawls (each one covering an area of 1000m²). Intertidal seawater temperature (hereafter 'SWT') and salinity, as well as dissolved oxygen content (paper III) were measured on each sampling occasion using an YSI-OMS v2 probe. Larger scale (paper I) or subtidal (paper II) monthly to 5 days' mean values of SWT, salinity, as well as phytoplankton concentrations (paper III) were extracted from datasets provided by the PREVIMER system (www.previmer.org) and data used for analyses were obtained by averaging the values of 5 days before each sampling occasion for both variables.

3.4. Stable isotope analyses

Stable isotopes are emerging as one of the most common tools used to infer feeding relationships among organisms within a system and the energy flow through food webs. Stable isotopes of a chemical element differ by their neutron number, which influences the element's mass. Depending on their mass, stable isotopes of an element are magnetically separated by mass spectrometry in order to determine a stable isotope ratio. Stable isotope ratios (heavy [^H] / light [^L] isotopes) are expressed related to an internationally accepted standard, in the delta unit notation (δ) in per mil (‰) following the formula:

 $\delta^{\rm H}X~(\%) = [(\delta^{\rm H}X_{\rm sample}/\delta^{\rm L}X_{\rm sample})/(\delta^{\rm H}X_{\rm standard}/\delta^{\rm L}X_{\rm standard}) - 1] \times 10^3.$

Among all the macroelements required for life, two elements are most commonly employed in a food web context: nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) (Layman et al. 2012). The standard ratios used for the measure of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N are the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite and N₂ in air, respectively. Samples prepared through this thesis were sent to the isotope spectrometry platform (LIENSs laboratory, University of La Rochelle, France). Analytical precision based on isotope values of the acetanilide (Thermo Scientific) used to estimate C and N content for each sample series was <0.1‰ both for carbon and nitrogen.

Different primary producers (*e.g.* C3 *vs.* C4 plants, pelagic *vs.* benthic production) vary significantly in their δ^{13} C values, and consumers of respective primary producers mirror values of the resources as δ^{13} C is largely conserved through trophic transfer (Post 2002, Bearhop et al. 2004). Consequently, δ^{13} C enables identification of dietary carbon sources. Complementary to this, δ^{15} N can be used to estimate trophic position as it shows a stepwise enrichment with trophic transfer (Post, 2002).

Assessments of community trophic structure and dynamics can be conducted through the examination of the relative positioning of consumers and resources in bivariate stable isotope space (δ^{13} C - δ^{15} N; Layman et al. 2012). The relative positioning of individuals, populations, and communities in bivariate isotope space represent occupancy of isotopic niche space (*i.e.* a concept that encompasses information on environmental as well as bionomic dimensions of ecological niche space, after Newsome et al. 2007, Nordström 2009). Several metrics for this 'isotopic niche' have been developed, enabling comparisons of e.g. trophic similarity (potential separation in isotopic niche location, and overlap of niches), niche width or trophic diversity (isotopic niche size) among communities or within assemblages over time (Turner et al. 2010, Jackson et al. 2011, Layman et al. 2012). Also, recently developed isotopic indices weighted with species biomass (Rigolet et al. 2015) allow a multifaceted (richness, eveness, and divergence) quantification of functional trophic diversity and a better characterisation of the structure of energy accumulation in food webs (e.g. Grall et al. 2006). Using the isotopic niche concept and indices constructed from it, it is possible to identify changes in fundamental food attributes following anthropogenic web disturbances, such as shifts in major trophic pathways (visualized using biomass-weighted isotope signatures) or in niche width.

3.5. Data analyses

Univariate parametric or non-parametric approaches (analysis of variance, as well as Friedman, Chi², Kruskal-Wallis, Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney, and t-test) were used to test for differences between, as well as independent and interactive factor effects on, primary community variables (univariate response variables) and environmental variables (**papers I, III** and **IV**, Table 2). Before performing statistical tests on these univariate characteristics of faunal diversity and environment, all biotic and abiotic variables were checked for normality (graphically, and using Agostino and Shapiro-Wilk tests), and forth-root, log, or arcsine transformed when necessary.

When testing for differences in community structure between sites, and over time, multivariate approaches (MANOVA, PERMANOVA, distance-based Moran's Eigenvector Maps [dbMEMs] in variation partitioning) were used after testing for homogeneity of variance when necessary (**Papers I-III**, Table 2). While MANO-VA and PERMANOVA are considered classical approaches in the study of variation within multi Table 2. Summary of hypotheses tested, the temporal and spatial extent, and the analytical methods used in the four field studies. Additional details are found in respective paper (I-IV). Résumé des hypothèses testées, de l'étendue spatiale et temporelle, et des méthodes analytiques employées dans chacune des quatre études réalisées. Ces éléments sont détaillés dans les quatre études présentées dans cette thèse (articles I-IV).

	Paper I	Paper II	Paper III	Paper IV
H ₀	Winter sandy beach zoobenthic community structure (i) is not driven by the presence of green tides in spring, and (ii) respond similarly to anthropogenic stress, regardless the type of SB (exposed vs. semi-exposed)	Macroalgal mats, affect equally benthic communities depending on (i) the faunal group (infauna vs. flatfish), and (ii) the habitat (intertidal vs. subtidal)	Variation in macrofaunal benthic structure is independent on (i) location at small spatial scale, (ii) time/month of the year, and (iii) presence of <i>Ulva</i> spp. mats	Ulva stranding on normally unvegetated sandy beaches do not change (i) basal resource isotope signature, nor (ii) species-specific diets, neither (iii) structure and functioning of community-wide trophic web
Response variables	Univ.: S, A, H', D; Multiv.: species abundance	Univ.: relative species abundance Multiv.: species abundance	Univ.: S, A, H', D Multiv.: species abundance, species biomass	Univ.: δ^{13} C, δ^{15} N Multiv.: IS (δ^{13} C * δ^{15} N), IS * Biomass
Explanatory variables	Coverage by Ulva, occurrence of GT, organic matter, median, sorting, beach index, gravel, sand, mud, SWT, salinity, air temp., wind speed, wind direction, rainfall, temporal MEMs, spatial MEMs	<i>Ulva</i> biomass, organic matter, median, sorting, salinity, SWT, temporal MEMs	<i>Ulva</i> biomass, Chl- a, organic matter, median, sorting, wave height, slope, salinity, SWT, dissolved oxygen, location along beach, temporal MEMs	Ulva biomass
Spatial scale (extent)	Regional (thousands of kilometres)	Local - Vertical (hundreds of meters, from low- intertidal to shallow subtidal)	Local (meters to kilometres)	Local (meters)
Temporal scale (duration, frequency)	Multi-years (7y, yearly)	In a span of a year (10mo, seasonally)	In a span of a year (10mo, monthly)	In a span of a year (7mo, seasonally)
Factors	Two factors: "Type" & "GT"		Two factors: "Beach" & "Sampling occasion"	Two factors: "Season" & "Green tides"
Data analyses	Univ.: Two-way ANOVA, t-test, Wilcoxon-Mann- Whitney Multiv.: Two-way PERMANOVA, dbMEMs in varpart, RDA	Univ.: Khi ² -test Multiv.: dbMEMs in varpart, RDA	Univ.: Kruskal- Wallis test, Friedman test, Two- way ANOVA, stepwise regression models Multiv.: MANOVA, dbMEMs in varpart, RDA	Univ.: Two-way ANOVA, Wilcoxon tests Multiv.: SIBER, Linear models, RPP

Univ. = univariate/univariées; Multiv. = multivariate/multivariées; S = species richness/richesse spécifique; A = abundance/abondance; B = biomass/biomasse; H' = Shannon index/indice de diversité de Shannon; D = Simpson index/indice de diversité de Simpson; IS = isotopic signature/signature isotopique; GT = green tides/marées vertes; temp. = temperature/température; Chl-a = phytoplankton concentration/concentration en phytoplancton; median = median grain size/grain médian; SWT = seawater temperature/température de l'eau de mer; sorting = sorting index/indice de tri; y = years/années; mo = months/mois; dbMEMs = Distance-based Moran's Eigenvector Maps/cartes de vecteurs propres de Moran basées sur la distance; MEMs = Moran's Eigenvector Maps/cartes de vecteurs propres de Moran; varpart = variation partitioning/partitionnement de variance; RDA = Redundancy analysis/nalyse de redondance; SIBER = Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses/ellipses bayésiennes basées sur des signatures isotopiques; RPP = Residual Permutation Procedure/procédure de permutation des résidus. -variate datasets, the combination of dbMEMs and variation partitioning is yet emerging (Legendre & Gauthier 2014). This rather novel approach enables the disentangling of effects of several sets of explanatory variables, such as environmental, including *Ulva* mats, temporal and spatial variables.

Both univariate and multivariate statistical analyses were complemented with rarefaction curves (sample-based RC) and ordination analyses (principal coordinate analysis, redundancy analysis) in order to visualize spatial and temporal patterns within benthic communities.

When exploring the underlying processes explaining the effects of *Ulva* on the some aspects of dynamic system functioning through the study of food webs, a Bayesian approach (SIBER; Jackson et al., 2011) coupled with linear models and a residual permutation procedure (Turner et al. 2010) were used (**paper IV**). In addition, in order to evaluate the effects of an abundant additional food source in the form of *Ulva* on the trophic functioning of highly dynamic systems, (i) patterns of biomass were estimated, and (ii) indices recently developed to measure structural- and functional diversity (see section 3.4) in a δ -space (Layman et al. 2007, Rigolet et al. 2015) were calculated.

All analyses conducted in this thesis (**papers I-IV**) were performed within the R environment (R Development Core Team, 2013), using the *BiodiversityR* (Kindt & Coe 2005), *FD* (Laliberté et al. 2014), *packfor* (Dray et al. 2013), *PCNM* (Legendre et al. 2013), and *SIAR* (Parnell & Jackson 2013) packages.

4. Results and discussion

In this thesis, I assessed the influence of GT (together with other environmental variables known to structure sandy beach communities; Defeo & Mclachlan 2005, Ramos et al. 2009) on benthic community structural and functional dynamics (**papers I-IV**). Investigating benthic community structure across large spatial and temporal scale, **paper I** revealed a significant general regional response of macrotidal sandy beach fauna to the presence of GT. Further exploring faunal responses to *Ulva* accumulations across two habitats and faunal groups, as well as across a vertical scale, I found differential responses in term of intensity

(papers I and II). Based on these findings, I identified intertidal zoobenthic invertebrates inhabiting exposed SBs as the most impacted entity by GT. Focussing on this ecological compartment, I have revealed the subtle but highly significant influence of GT on benthic communities. on both univariate and multivariate assemblage characteristics along a gradient of Ulva coverage (paper III). Exploring the processes explaining these shifts through the study of trophic structure and functioning, I found changes induced by the presence of high biomasses of Ulva on primary producer level, and revealed consequent propagation of effects up through the food web (paper IV). The induced changes affected the overall consumer assemblages and altered SB trophic functioning. These results are presented and discussed below.

4.1. Long-lasting eutrophication effects over large scales

By investigating SB benthic assemblage responses to GT across large spatial and temporal scales, which implies a great variability of biotic and abiotic conditions, I found significant effects of the occurrence and spread of *Ulva* accumulation on several univariate community variables (abundance and species richness) of benthic fauna (paper I, Table 3).

Table 3. Results of 2-way ANOVAs of macrofauna abundance of the thirteen sandy beaches sampled yearly from 2007 to 2013 (part of the table 3 presented in **paper I**). Total number of observations was 273. Significant values at p<0.05 are shown in bold; * p<0.05, *** p<0.001. Résultats des analyses de variances à deux facteurs basées sur les données d'abondance de macrofaune échantillonnée à treize plages entre 2007 et 2013 (correspondant à une partie des résultats présentés dans le tableau 3 de l'article I). Le nombre total d'observations est de 273. Les valeurs significatives à p<0.05 apparaissent en gras ; * p<0.05, *** p<0.001.

	df	MS	F	р	
Log(A)					
Туре	1	167.51	178.94	<2.10-16	***
GT	1	4.53	4.84	2.87.10-2	*
Type * GT	1	0.8	0.86	3.55.10-1	
Res.	269	0.94			
S					
Туре	1	10.62	348.56	<2.10-16	***
GT	1	698	22.89	2.83.10-16	***
Type * GT	1	108	3.56	6.10-2	
Res.	269	30			

This study also demonstrates that eutrophication by green tides significantly modifies the structure of SB communities (with differences in

terms of multivariate dispersion between faunal assemblages in pristine and impacted sites). These shifts were mainly explained by a decrease in abundance of bivalve molluscs and some polychaete species (most notably Euclymene oerstedii, Lanice conchilega, and Notomastus latericeus), as well as by increases in other species such as the polychaete annelid Owenia fusiformis and the ophiuroid Acrocnida cf. spatulispina abundance. More generally, and in a biological/functional trait perspective, paper I suggests that, where Ulva blooms occur in dynamic systems, herbivorous and suspensionfeeding invertebrates are favoured, whereas subsurface deposit feeders and large species appear to be negatively affected by the presence of GT. The present results are generally consistent with previous studies assessing the responses of macrofauna to eutrophication by macroalgal accumulations (e.g. Hull 1987, Norkko & Bonsdorff 1996a).

More importantly, given the time lag between the *Ulva* blooms, and the sampling of fauna (in early Spring, *ca*. 6 months after blooms), I revealed the long-lasting and conservative nature of these responses (**paper I**). The study represents the first *in situ* non-manipulative study of the effects of green tides at large spatial and temporal scales. Consequently, the results presented in this study are novel, and since a great variability (a total of 819 diversity samples were examined and were representative of 13 beaches, over 7 years, and across 2730 km of coastline) was encompassed by the study, the generality of these findings is fundamentally enhanced (Hewitt et al. 2007).

4.2. Differential responses of sandy beach communities to green tides

The **papers I** and **II** report the effects of GT on benthic community structure and diversity across different (i) type of beaches (clean homogeneous *vs.* muddy heterogeneous sands, *i.e.* exposed *vs.* semi-exposed), (ii) depth (intertidal *vs.* subtidal), and (iii) biotic compartments (infauna *vs.* flatfish). In general, green tides significantly impact SB benthic communities (**papers I** and **II**), but in terms of intensity, there were (for certain variables) differential responses between exposed and semi-exposed beaches, as well as between habitats at exposed sites, and between faunal groups in the intertidal areas of these sites (FIG. 8).

Differential influence of exposure

Two sandy habitats exist among the 13 sandy beaches included in paper I: exposed sandy beaches, which are composed of clean homogeneous sands, and semi-exposed sandy beaches that are made of heterogeneous muddy sands. Analysing the effects of the eutrophication by macroalgae on SB fauna depending on exposure (exposed vs. semi exposed), I found that the abundance and biomass of fauna at both type of sites were significantly influenced by GT. However, sandy beach diversity indices (H' and D) were significantly changed by Ulva accumulation at exposed sandy shores, only (FIG. 7). The differential response, with more pronounced effects of GT at exposed sandy sites compared to semi-exposed ones, was consistent when considering multivariate variables



FIG. 7. Interlinked tables recapitulating the main results of papers I and II, and thus showing the intensity (slight: +; very clear: +++) of the response of benthic communities to the presence of green tides. Exp. = exposed SBs made of clean well-sorted sands; Semi exp. = semi-exposed SBs made of heterogeneous muddy sands; Inter. = intertidal; Sub. = subtidal; Zoo. = zoobenthos; FI. Fish = flat fish; Eff = global effects; Res. Δ = response variables; S = species richness; H' = Shannon index; D = Simpson index; Str. = multivariate community structure; Ar = relative abundance. The color codes are the same than the ones used in FIG. 3. Tables liées récapitulant les résultats majeurs des articles I et II, et montrant l'intensité des réponses des communautés benthiques à la presence des marées vertes (légère : + ; marquée : +++). Exp. = plages exposées composes de sables propres et bien triés ; Semi exp. = plages semi-exposées composes de sables envasés hétérogènes ; Inter. = intertidal ; Sub. = subtidal ; Eff. = effet global ; Res. Δ = variables réponses ; S = richesse spécifiques ; H' = indice de diversité de Shannon ; D = indice de diversité de Simpson ; Str. = structure multivariée de la communauté; Ar = abondance relative. Le code couleur utilise est le même que celui employé pour la figure 3.

(cf. Table 4 and FIG. 6 in paper I). Unconstrained exposed sandy ecosystems are resilient systems (Schlacher et al. 2007), but human modification of the coast (through e.g. coastal development, Davis 2015) may affect their flexibility. The present findings add to the list of human-induced threats affecting sandy beach ecosystem resilience. One explanation perhaps lies in the fact that exposed macrotidal sandy beach fauna is adapted to withstand great "natural" variation within usually unvegetated systems, but is less able to tolerate overlapping additional stress of anthropogenic origin. Conversely, semi-exposed macrotidal SBs receive significant amounts of organic material (e.g. in the form of seaweed wrack subsidies, particulate matter sedimentation) on a more regular basis, and the distinct fauna inhabiting these systems is potentially adapted to face Ulva accumulations. A study conducted within similar macrotidal environments, but investigating sheltered beach fauna responses to eutrophication also highlighted very few effects of ephemeral mats on sandy beach fauna (Ouisse et al. 2011), which in a general manner contradicts findings made within non- or micro-tidal systems (e.g. Norkko & Bonsdorff 1996a). My results suggest that eutrophication by green tides is an important factor stressing and structuring zoobenthos living at macrotidal sandy beaches that are usually uncovered by vegetation (exposed SBs), while sites more susceptible to accumulate organic matter (semi-exposed SBs) harbour a fauna that is probably less affected by additional organic material.

Decreasing impacts of the accumulation of Ulva with increasing depth

While structurally and functionally interrelated (McLachlan & Brown 2006), intertidal and subtidal sands are both under human-induced stress in the form of green tides (Merceron & Morand 2004). Specifically focussing on exposed sandy shores (FIG. 7), I analysed the influence of GT on the variation in benthic fauna living inshore (at low-intertidal) and nearshore (at shallow subtidal) (**paper II**). This study demonstrated that GT significantly influence the multivariate structure of benthic community at intertidal sites, while only few effects were found at subtidal sites (cf. FIG. 4, 8 in **paper II**). Similar to the harshness contrast existing between exposed and semi-

exposed shores, intertidal and subtidal open sandy areas do not face the same environmental forcing (cf. FIG. 3 in paper II). Intertidal sandy beach fauna withstands harsher conditions than subtidal benthic assemblages, and the addition of high biomasses of green opportunistic macroalgae (Ulva spp.) may act as the last straw stress. In addition, the intrinsic nature of algal mats differs from intertidal to subtidal with algae floating above the seafloor nearshore (Merceron & Morand 2004) and stranding on sand within inshore areas (Charlier et al. 2007). The fact that GT are in direct physical contact with - and even enter (pers. obs.) - sands, may induce transient anoxic conditions and increasing pore water sulphide concentrations (e.g. Green & Fong 2016) and most likely lead to increases in temperatures underneath stranded Ulva mats. Findings in paper II highlight the greater vulnerability of intertidal open macrotidal SB benthic community compared to subtidal sandy benthic assemblages.

Two biotic compartments with contrasting responses to green tides

To detect potential different responses of two related biotic compartments (macroinfauna and flatfish) where GT develop, I simultaneously studied invertebrate and fish communities over the span of a year (paper II). I observed significant differences between pristine and impacted sites for both macroinvertebrate (Ulva variables significantly explaining 30% of the variation in zoobenthos) and flatfish (χ^2 =51.6, N=317, df=3, p=3.6.10⁻¹¹) assemblages. For example, relative abundance of some species of limited commercial interest (e.g. Pegusa lascaris) was higher than the abundance of species of high commercial value (e.g. Scophthalmus maximus) at sites impacted by green tides. The multidimensional analyses revealed clear structural shifts in macro-invertebrate community where green tides occur, while only slight changes occurred in flatfish assemblages looking at community-level responses (cf. FIG. 5, 7 in paper II, FIG. 7). The greater influence of the accumulation of Ulva on infauna may be explained by species-specific life history traits. Flatfish are more mobile than infauna, and may more successfully escape transient hypoxia induced by the presence of Ulva mats (Baden et al. 1990). Differential shifts at community level revealed by paper

II contrast with results from studies conducted within more sheltered or non- and micro-tidal systems (Pihl et al. 2005).

The findings of **papers I** and **II** thus underline above all the vulnerability of macroinvertebrate communities inhabiting open macrotidal sandy beaches (Type I SBs) to human-induced stress in the form of GT. Hence, the two following sections (**papers III** and **IV**) do focus on this specific ecosystem and aim to explore small spatial and temporal scale patterns and underlying processes and mechanisms explaining the shifts observed at large horizontal and temporal scales.

4.3. Green tides impact variability of zoobenthos at small scales

Considering intertidal invertebrate communities living in clean, homogeneously sorted sands, I investigated small spatial and temporal scale structural patterns along a gradient of green tides (defined based on the distribution of Ulva biomass along the shore; from 'no GT' to 'homogeneous cover of Ulva') (paper III). The analysis of the 261 biodiversity samples together with environmental variables including Ulva-related variables show that patterns and differences in macrofaunal community structure comparing three beaches along a gradient of green tides arose specifically from Ulva accumulation on the sandy shores, rather than from other environmental variables (paper III). Indeed, with the exception of the presence of Ulva mats, the other environmental variables (cf. Table 1 in paper III) were not significantly different among the three beaches or over time. More precisely, I demonstrated that species richness (S) was influenced by the presence of GT, with the lowest found at the SB with a homogeneous coverage of Ulva, and a higher S (compared to pristine site) found at the SB where a gradient in Ulva stranding occurred (cf. FIG. 4 in paper III). This pattern was close to the pattern that would have been expected from the benthic successional paradigm on the effects of increasing organicmatter input on benthic fauna communities (Pearson & Rosenberg 1978), although distinct. For example, I did not find a complete replacement of SB species by some other where GT occurred, neither the typical bell curve for species richness along the stress-gradient, which suggests the existence of a parallel paradigm associated to dynamic marine sedimentary systems. I also found significant shifts in variation in multivariate community characteristics, as for example shown through an overall decrease in beta-diversity (i.e. the total variance of a community matrix, sensu Legendre & De Cáceres 2013) from the pristine site (No GT) to the SB that was homogeneously covered by Ulva (Hom. GT; FIG. 8), with a significant difference in beta-diversity found between No GT and Hom. GT (W = 54, corrected p = 0.021). These changes in univariate and multivariate faunal characteristics along a gradient in GT were accompanied by a decrease in the explanatory power of spatial variables (with a complete lack of significant spatial patterns where Ulva homogeneously cover sand) and a shift from physical to biological variables (including Ulva) explaining most of faunal variation from pristine to fully impacted site (cf. Table 2, Figure 8 in paper III). These results suggest that the presence of high biomasses of Ulva stimulates biological interactions and processes (such as competition, predation, reproduction and recruitment), which induces changes in community composition among sites impacted by GT, and may ultimately



FIG. 8. Total β -diversity (BDTotal) across space and time at three sandy beaches; one never has green tides (NoGT), another is heterogeneously covered by GT (Het. GT), and the third one is homogeneously covered by Ulva (Hom. GT). Box plots show the median (line in box), the first and the third quartiles (hinges), and the most extreme data points which are 1.5 times the interquartile range from the box (whiskers) (paper III). Diversité bêta totale (BDTotal) dans le temps et l'espace pour trois plages de sable fin ; l'une d'elles n'est jamais impactée par les marées vertes (NoGT), une autre est couverte par les ulves de manière hétérogène (Het. GT), et la dernière est couverte par les ulves de manière homogène (Hom. GT). Les boîtes à moustache représentent la médiane, les premiers et troisièmes quartiles, ainsi que les valeurs extrêmes (résultats extrait de l'article III).





FIG. 9. Stable isotope bi-plots showing benthic consumers' (denoted by the numbers) isotope signatures weighted by biomass (ash-free dry weight per square meter) (filled circles), juvenile flatfishes' isotope signatures (denoted by fish symbols), and source isotope signatures (POM [plus sign], SOM [cross], and *Ulva* spp. [yellow star]) at the pristine sandy beach (blue, upper panels) and the sandy beach impacted by green tides (green, lower panels) over time; May (a, e), July (b, f), September (c, g) and November 2012 (d, h). Each benthic consumer species is denoted by a number (see the list in the right corner of the figure). *Graphique représentant la signature isotopique des consommateurs benthiques* (les espèces sont repérés par les numéros) pondérée par leur biomasse respective (poids sec sans cendre/m²) (cercles), celle des juvéniles de poisons plats (représentés par des poisons symbolises) et celle des sources (POM : +, SOM : ×; Ulva spp. : astérisque jaune) obtenues à partir d'organismes échantillonnés sur la plage non impactée par les marées vertes (en blue) et à la station eutrophisée (en vert) à différentes dates : mai (a, e), juillet (b, f), septembre (c, g) et novembre (d, h). Les numéros désignent les espèces inclues dans l'analyse (voir la liste à droite de la figure).

homogenized the spatial structure of dynamic assemblages.

This hypothesis is supported by variation in species specific dominance patterns with increasing algal cover (cf. Figure 10 in paper III). In particular, the densities of Donax trunculus (a species of commercial importance; Augris et al. 2005, McLachlan & Brown 2006) and Spiophanes bombyx (a species considered as key prey for flatfish; Speybroeck et al. 2007) were lower were green tides occurred. Potential impacts of GT on essential ecosystem-functions are thus likely to occur. No widespread mortality was observed through the study, while within less dynamic ecosystems it has been recognized that accumulation of opportunistic macroalgae has strong negative effects on sedentary infauna (Raffaelli et al. 1998, Grall & Chauvaud 2002, Korpinen & Bonsdorff 2015). The subtle responses of open macrotidal SB fauna to GT would likely not have been revealed if it were not for the combination of fine spatial-temporal scale monitoring with novel statistical approaches (dbMEMs in variation partitioning; Borcard & Legendre 1994, Dray et al. 2006, Legendre & Gauthier 2014). Findings from paper III, which highlight a decrease in structural univariate- and multivariate community metrics as well as explanatory variable number along a gradient in GT, may be linked to "biotic homogenization" defined as the process by which human-induced disturbances increase the taxonomic or functional similarity of environments (Smart et al. 2006). The biotic homogenization may be explained by changed species interactions and processes induced by the presence of green tides. More explicit hypotheses specifically investigating shifts in highly dynamic ecosystem functioning could be tested using appropriate tools, such as stable isotope analysis that has proven to be an accurate method when aiming at investigating trophic interactions and inferring carbon transfer through food webs (e.g. Grall et al. 2006, Nordström 2009).

4.4. Stranding *Ulva* directly and indirectly alter trophic functioning

When weighting the mean isotope signature of every consumer in pristine and impacted SB food web by their respective mean biomass per square metre, major trophic pathways were visually identified (FIG. 9). Two different pictures emerged, revealing a significant influence of GT on SB trophic functioning dynamics. At the pristine site (No GT), the consumers constituting most of the benthic biomass (89.8 to 97.1% of the total biomass) on all sampling occasions were the ophiuroid Acrocnida brachiata, the bivalves Angulus tenuis, Donax trunculus and Donax vittatus, the amphipod Urothoe poseidonis, the polychaete Glycera convoluta, as well as the nermetean Lineus acutifrons (FIG. 9a, b, c, d). In the green-tide SB community, the brittle star A. brachiata, the nemertean L. acutifrons and the polychaetes Owenia fusiformis and Nephtys assimilis made up 4.5 to 35.5% of total biomass considering all sampling dates. The bivalve D. trunculus represented the largest biomass (60.3 and 67.6%) in May and July, while D. vittatus constituted most of the total biomass (90.3 and 91.9%) in September and November (FIG. 9e, f, g, h). The energetic set up of the web, in terms of distribution of biomass, changed markedly over time where green tides occurred while it remains roughly the same at pristine site. When the bloom of Ulva was decaying (November), the combination of consumer biomass and isotope signature showed that most of the biomass (up to 95%) is channelled into the bivalve (deposit feeding) primary consumer compartment, made up by D. trunculus, D. vittatus and A. tenuis, at pristine site, yet essentially formed by D. vittatus at impacted SB. A food web structure and functioning that are changed may induce key ecological features to be lost in the case of additional disturbances (de Ruiter et al. 2005).

The dynamic depiction of species-specific isotope signatures at SB impacted by green tides also highlights the simplification of the whole food web structure, with a practically linear arrangement of food web components in November (i.e. after the strong Ulva biomass season, while algae have started to decay), suggesting a single trophic pathway (FIG. 9). This contrasts with the pristine SB picture where benthic organisms seem to consume a greater spectrum of food sources, as shown through the depiction of several trophic pathways. Interestingly, this finding contradicts the idea that addition of organic material in the form of macroalgae and plants inherently diversifies baselines within a system, and concurrently diversifies energy pathways within food webs (McClelland & Valiela 1998, Schaal et al. 2008,

Layman et al. 2012). On the contrary, the findings from **paper IV** support the hypothesis of simplification of macrotidal beach trophic webs where an opportunistic basal resource develops to become dominant.

Such changes in the whole SB community trophic structure and functioning were explained by direct and indirect effects of high biomasses of Ulva on consumers' and primary producers' isotope signature. Indeed, I found that the presence of green tides significantly affected baseline (other than Ulva, i.e. particulate organic matter [POM] and sedimentary organic matter [SOM]) isotope signatures. Despite the inherent great variability in stable isotope signatures of primary producers and basal resources (Rolff 2000), I found that POM and SOM were respectively more 13C- and ¹⁵N- enriched where GT occurred compared to pristine SB (cf. Figure 2 and Table 1 in paper IV). The shift observed in POM isotope signature is most likely due to high uptake of 12C carbon through high photosynthetic rates of Ulva (Johnson et al. 1974, Van Alstyne et al. 2015) causing remaining CO₂ to become enriched in ¹³C, and leading to progressive 13C enrichment of phytoplankton (Rolff 2000). An increase in $\delta^{13}C$ of dissolved inorganic carbon in the presence of Ulva was experimentally verified in our study, supporting this assumption (paper IV). The increase in $\delta^{15}N$ of SOM occurred during autumn (September and November), which temporally matched the decay of Ulva; the senescence process may gradually change the composition of sediments and their isotope signature through bacterial activity, release of organic molecules and integration of

micro- or macro-debris in the detritus pool (Lahaye & Robic 2007, Schaal et al. 2008, Dubois et al. 2012). The direction of the shift observed in basal resource isotope signature in presence of Ulva was consistent over time, and more importantly, was persistent and traceable across higher trophic levels. Assessing changes in mean isotope signature of three consumers (Donax vittatus, Owenia fusiformis, and Acrocnida brachiata) of different trophic levels (primary and secondary consumers), I found that the mean location in stable isotope space of each consumer differed significantly in the presence of green tides (p <0.002 for every species): individuals sampled where GT occurred showed heavier δ^{13} C than ones from pristine site. This shift was more or less pronounced depending on the species, although in the same direction for all of them (cf. Figure 3 in paper IV). These findings revealed direct (through ingestion of wave smashed Ulva, e.g. O. fusiformis; Phillips Dales 1957) and indirect (through ingestion of modified organic matter, e.g. D. vittatus) influence of Ulva on macroinvertebrate trophic niches. The influence of high biomasses of Ulva would presumably occur on all species, and may induced consequent changes in species interacultimately influencing tions, the general community structure and functioning (de Ruiter et al. 2005). In addition, the higher δ^{13} C observed at the whole community scale where green tides occurred (FIG. 9, and cf. Figure 4 in paper IV) contrasts with previous studies conducted in sheltered and non-tidal systems highlighting an increase in $\delta^{15}N$ within eutrophied food webs (McClelland et al. 1997, Carlier et al. 2008).
5. Conclusions

5.1. Key findings

Overall, this thesis demonstrates that strongly dynamic ecosystems, which are often considered to be highly resilient, may be altered by anthropogenically-induced stress and that identifiable effects of such particular pressure remains for months in their community structure and/or functioning (**papers I-IV**). The approach used in this work, combining several study scales and analytical tools, was crucial to inferring changes in so variable environments.

Despite great variability in space and time (at scales from meters to thousands of kilometres, and from months to years), this thesis shows the significant influence of eutrophication-induced accumulation of green opportunistic algae (Ulva spp.) on macrotidal sandy beach benthic community structure (papers I and III). In this work, I have demonstrated that intertidal macrobenthic communities inhabiting exposed SBs are the assemblages that are most affected by the presence of GT (papers I and II). The investigation of responses of this specific compartment at small spatial and temporal scales along a gradient of green tides revealed a homogenization of the community structure (affecting alpha and beta diversity), and shifts in the drivers of variation (spatial and environmental variables) with increasing coverage by Ulva (paper III, FIG. 10). These patterns, although close to the patterns that arose from the benthic "classical" successional paradigm (Pearson & Rosenberg 1978), are distinct (i.e. no complete shift in species composition along succession stages occurred) suggesting the existence of an alternative model to, or at the least a declension of, Pearson and Rosenberg paradigm that could be associated to highly dynamic marine sedimentary systems.

This thesis also highlights consistent consequential changes for the functioning of sandy beach ecosystem. Indeed, an accumulation of high biomasses of *Ulva* modifies the trophic structure of SB systems, through direct and and indirect influence of GT at several trophic levels (from basal resources to predators) and ultimately induce a shift from multiple to single carbon pathway(s), highlighted by an alignment of the impacted food web components (**paper IV**, FIG. 10). The simplification of a highly dynamic system food web, together with its community structure homogenization, resulting from the occurrence of a stress *per se*, underlines the vulnerability of such systems to human-induced stress.

5.2. Implications and future directions

Ecologists study benthic communities since they "represent the best response data available to answer questions about species-environment relationships" (Legendre & Gauthier 2014), and more specifically because they accurately mirror human-induced disturbances (Pearson & Rosenberg 1978). To explore disturbance effects on ecosystem functioning, in situ investigations of benthic fauna responses often go beyond controlled and manipulative studies, which entail important limitations when trying to understand ecosystem-scale responses to perturbations. However, the use of in situ designs imposes dealing with a great challenge in community ecology: the need to disentangle signs of stress per se from the

>> FIG. 10. Conceptual model of the effects of green tides on community- and trophic- structure and functioning of open macrotidal sandy beaches. The upper part of this scheme represents univariate and multivariate macrobenthic community characteristic variation along a gradient of green tides; the middle part represents the drift in dynamic ecosystem structure along the eutrophication gradient and over time; the lower part represents simplified pristine and impacted food web and highlights a shift from plural to single carbon pathway(s), modification of feeding inter-specific (each species is denoted by a square, which size is correlated to the species biomass) relationships (solid arrows), contributions/influences of primary producers to sedimentary organic matter pool/on particulate organic matter pool (dashed arrows) and high uptakes of 12C (orange arrow) in the presence of high biomasses of Ulva. GT = green tides; Env. var. = environmental variables; +: stimulated; -: stifled; SWT: seawater temperature; S: species richness; H, D: Shannon and Simpson indices; A, B: abundance and biomass; Waves: wave height; Sal.: salinity; Org.: total organic matter content. Modèle conceptuel des effets des marées vertes sur la structure et le fonctionnement de la communauté et du réseau trophique des plages de sable fin macrotidales. La partie supérieure du schéma représente les variations des caractéristiques univariées et multivariées de la faune le long d'un gradient de marées vertes ; la partie centrale représente la dérive observée en terme de structure des communautés le long du gradient d'eutrophisation et dans le temps ; la partie inférieure de ce modèle montre une schématisation du réseau trophique d'une plage impactée ou non par les marées vertes et met en exergue une simplification des voies de transfert du carbone, une modification des relations trophiques (flèches pleines) entre les organismes (représentés par des carrés, dont la taille est corrélée à la biomasse de chacune des espèces), la contribution/l'influence des producteurs primaires au pool de matière sédimentée/sur la matière organique particulaire et l'utilisation massive d'atome de carbone léger (flèche orange) en présence d'ulves . GT = marées vertes ; Env. Var. = variables environnementales ; + = stimulation ; - = inhibition ; SWT = température de l'eau de mer ; S = richesse spécifique ; H et D = indices de diversité de Shannon et Simpson, respectivement ; A et B = abondance et biomasse ; Waves = hauteur de houle ; Sal. = salinité ; Org. = taux de matière organique. Modèle conceptuel illustrant les effets directs et indirects de la présence de fortes biomasses d'ulves sur la structure et le fonctionnement trophiques des plages de sable fin macrotidales.



variation observed in zoobenthos resulting from interspecific biotic interactions and "natural" abiotic conditions (i.e. conditions that are not induced by anthropogenic perturbations). This challenge is even greater within dynamic ecosystems, and considering marine coastal systems, results in an "estuarine or sandy beach quality paradox" (Elliott & Quintino 2007, Daief et al. 2014). The findings in this thesis provide a framework for coupling classical and novel communityand trophic-based approaches to determine the responses of highly dynamic systems to anthropogenically induced disturbances (FIG. 11). Such an approach, revealing subtle, but highly significant, shifts from pristine to impacted states (papers I-IV), is most likely to be preferable in the study of dynamic systems under eutrophication stress than most of the widely used biotic indices.

As an example, I have calculated the AMBI (AZTI Marine Biotic index, Borja et al. 2000)

values for the 3 beaches included in paper III and this index gives rise to the opposite conclusion, with the pristine SB being disturbed $(AMBI_{N_0 GT} =$ 1.54), and the two impacted sites being undisturbed (AMBI_{Het. GT} = 0.52, AMBI_{Hom. GT} = 0.44). These conflicting values underline the importance of the approach used in this thesis as a step towards the development of methods based on in situ studies that combine the investigation of benthic community responses along stress and temporal gradients with specifically developed analytical methods that precisely detect direct or indirect human-induced changes in dynamic ecosystem structure and functioning (FIG. 11). Although this thesis assesses some facets of functioning in highly dynamic systems (paper IV), further evaluation of the relationship linking organisms and ecosysfunctioning would strengthen tem the methodological framework suggested here. A recent study has shown that the evaluation of underlying functional identity (i.e. the quantitative



Gradient of anthropogenic stress

FIG. 11. Illustration of a framework coupling a sampling inferring community and trophic structure (dashed line circles) along gradient of stress (turquoise stretched triangle) and over time (grey bold arrow) with space-time analyses (bordeaux frame) to depict dynamic ecosystem drifts under anthropogenic stress. Arrows linking samplings denote their inter-comparisons along the stress gradient and over time through the use of analytical approaches able to test space-time interactions in repeated ecological survey data. The use of stable isotope analyses is suggested here as it has proven to be a good proxy of dynamic ecosystem drifts in this thesis (paper IV). Using the latter tool, special focus on some species which respond markedly to green tides (in terms of abundance, biomass or trophic niche; denoted by asterisks) is worth considering. Com. str. = community structure. *Cadre conceptuel alliant un échantillonnage visant à caractériser la structure de la communauté et du réseau trophique (cercles pointillés) le long d'un gradient de stress d'origine anthropique (triangle turquoise allongé horizontalement) au cours du temps (flèche grise verticale) avec des analyses spatio-temporelles (cadre bordeaux) adaptées à la caractérisation d'une dérive potentielle d'un écosystème soumis à une pression d'origine anthropique. Les flèches reliant les échantillonnages entre eux désignent les comparaisons inter sites le long du gradient de stress (flèches bleu turquoise) et dans le temps (flèches grises) à l'aide de méthodes analytiques adaptées au test de l'interaction espace-temps basé sur un échantillonnage répété dans le temps. L'utilisation des isotopes stables s'est révélée pertinente pour la détection des dérives d'un écosystèm dynamique (article IV). Plus particulièrement, l'analyse de la signature isotopique d'espèce particulièrement affectée par le stress (ne termes d'abondance, de biomasse ou de niche trophique ; indiquées par de a stérisques) peut être tuille. Com. str. = structure des communautés.*

measure of qualitative functions of ecosystems) focussed on two functional aspects (including one that was linked to trophic relationships), is an accurate proxy for ecosystem performance and sheds light on underlying system functional expressions (Weigel et al. 2016). The study of trophic relationships, which e.g. provides information on energy transfer through an ecosystem (as shown in paper IV through the use of stable isotope analyses), combined with trait-based analyses (see for example Törnroos & Bonsdorff 2012) for evaluation of other functional aspects, would probably ensure a better assessment of ecosystem functioning. In addition, the investigation of the responses of other group of organisms, such as meiofauna and microbial communities, which play key roles in ecosystems (through trophic interactions, and processes of recycling nutrients for example) and exhibit a high turnover that implies quick responses to changes, would increase our understanding of the functioning of these systems under anthropogenic influence.

As this thesis highlights shifts in carbon transfer throughout subsets of SB food webs (**paper IV**), the repercussions of different anthropogenic stresses on higher trophic levels (*e.g.* shorebirds, fish, geese) would needed to be investigated (*e.g.* Dugan et al. 2008), which is a real challenge on a fishery perspective as well as on a conservation level. Also, a better assessment of effects of stress on physicochemical characteristics of dynamic systems at finer scale (*e.g.* at low tide: what fine scale processes occur underneath a dense mat of *Ulva*?) would help exploring and finding potential other processes responsible of shifts.

Our comprehension of responses of beach systems to anthropogenically induced disturbance is hitherto restricted to specific pressure assessment (paper I-IV, see also e.g. Reyes-Martínez et al. 2014), although multiple stressors have been studied in other dynamic systems (e.g. Hewitt et al. 2003, Christensen et al. 2006, Thrush et al. 2008). However, as shown on the map in FIG. 3, human-induced stresses often appear simultaneously. In case a system with simplified trophic structure and functioning further changes (i.e. the overlap of additional anthropogenically induced stress(es), such as increasing temperatures and rainfall, because of climate change), these systems are expected to be more susceptible to collapse (de Ruiter et al. 2005). Continuing with the eutrophication example, inferring changes occurring in SB systems where e.g. green macroalgal and toxic microalgal blooms co-occur, would increase our understanding of the responses of dynamic ecosystems facing multi-pressures, and thus contribute to elucidate a current fundamental issue in a global change context (Duarte 2014). The use of extensive datasets obtained through long-term monitoring surveys of biogeographical areas (such as the REBENT-programme in Brittany) together with quantitative evaluation of stress(es) intensity (e.g. the CEVA estimations of Ulva coverage and biomass) can also aid in achieving this goal.

Financial support

This PhD project has been funded by the Région Bretagne, the University of Western Brittany, and the Åbo Akademi University, for three years and eight months. The LabExMer has supported my travels – and those of my supervisors – between France and Finland, and Finland and France, through its international mobility grant. This PhD project also received dedicated support from the Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers through the national interdisciplinary structuring initiative Ecosphère Continentale et Côtière "MAVERIQ", and from the Marine Observatory of the UBO, without which the numerous analyses this work is based on would not have been successfully completed.

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I thank Jacques Grall who has been the root of this PhD project and gave me the taste of research in marine biology, starting from my Bachelor's degree internship, and through all these years. Your remarkable knowledge in ecology and biology, as well as in many other areas, has been a real source of inspiration. Always encouraging and stimulating, on every field and situations, with kindness and humour, you have been part of each facet of my PhD experience: for this, and so many other things, I will be forever grateful to you.

Taking its origin on the Breton soil, my PhD project has quickly grown up into a stimulating, constructive, and rewarding joint degree experience between France and Finland. And by the coast of the Baltic sea, in Åbo, Erik Bonsdorff has warmly welcomed me in his team. Erik, you have been a great and enthusiastic mentor along time (from my master's thesis until the end of my PhD) and space (from south Finland to Brittany). Although being thousands kilometres away most of the time, you have been totally involved in the supervision of my work, pulling me back into the line when necessary, but always allowing me the freedom that I needed to work. I am deeply thankful for the trust you have put in my project and all your support.

Teaching me meticulous approach, both in thought and action, with countless patience and kindness, Marie Nordström constitute another grand and essential encounter on the Finnish side of my PhD experience. It has been an honour to be your first PhD student, and I am thankful for the model you have provided as a strong, excellent and successful woman marine ecologist. I have appreciated all your contributions and spending time talking together about science and other matters has been most enjoyable, always! I hope that I will have the opportunity to keep on working with you in the future.

My gratitude also goes to Yves-Marie Paulet, who has been a more discrete, although forever curious and supporting, supervisor. Being involved both in science and politics, you have kept an eye open on important affairs linked to the green tides issue, and you will surely play a key role in the spreading of this PhD project achievements in due course.

This thesis is built on four papers, which are the result of a tight collaboration with my coauthors Marie Nordström, Gauthier Schaal, Olivier Gauthier, Hervé Le Bris, Erik Bonsdorff, Yves-Marie Paulet, Marion Maguer, Vincent Le Garrec, Benjamin Guyonnet, and Jacques Grall, as well as with the people who provided complementary data. My gratefulness goes to all of them. And more particularly, I want to thank Olivier Gauthier, with his role being decisive in teaching me statistical analyses and principles I live by today, and for challenging me to acclimate myself to the R environment. I also thank Sylvain Ballu, for providing data related to Ulva mats, and answering my numerous questions (despite the busiest schedule in the world). Beside the design of the studies, the accomplishment of field work, the discussions regarding methods and results, I would like to thank those who have commented on the numerous working versions of the manuscripts. In particular, I thank Omar Defeo, who was kind enough to edit my first peer-reviewed paper. Thanks also to Ewan Harney, who has corrected the first draft of the present summary. My sincere thanks go to Judi Hewitt and Antoine Grémare, the two pre-examiner experts of my thesis, for taking some of their precious time to thoroughly analyse my work.

The members of the benthic teams from both the Marine Environmental Sciences Lab, at UBO, and the Environmental Marine Biology group, at ÅAU, have immensely contributed to my professional and personal time in Brest and Turku. I would like to thank Jacques Grall, Marion Maguer, Vincent Le Garrec, Gauthier Schaal, Daniela Zeppilli, Sandrine Laurand, Michel Le Duff, as well as numerous summer students and interns - more particularly Rita Carriço, Clémentine Le Jouan, Axel Hacala, Jean-François Cudennec, Elise Soëns, Sylvie Castay, Alizée Bouriat and Axel Fabvre who have all taken on a great share of field and lab work. I am indebted to you for your help, your knowledge and your time; and I will remember great moments with you all! Erik Bonsdorff, Marie Nordström, Benjamin Weigel, Marie Järnstrom, Katri Aarnio, Tore Lindholm, and Anna Törnroos, have all made me feel as a full fellow of the famous ÅAU benthic team! And for me, Turku is a real second home now. This family has extended quite recently, and I wish all the best to PhD students Christina Henseler and Pierre Olivier in their research: you are definitely in good hands. My thanks and best wishes also go to Emilia Rohr, Kerstin Haggqvist, and Anna Papadopoulos.

A significant amount of my time for the last few months has been dedicated to the writing, for which I sat in the well-known PhD students' office A107, where Gaël, Bruno, Laura, Emilien, Anne-Laure, and Marc contribute to the good working atmosphere: thank you all for your understanding and for providing great entertainment when needed! Adèle and Margot, thank you for your support during never ending days at the institute. I send you my best wishes for the end of your respective thesis projects, which I am sure you will achieve with brio! At Åbo akademi, Irma always keeps her arms wide open when I reach the lab, and since she also shares, from time to time, her space with Claire, her office has become a nice Frenchies' lair.

My time in Finland would definitely not be the same without admirable persons, some I fortunately see often, some more rarely, although all count equally. Thank you Marie N, Ben, Marie J, Claire, Anna T, Meri, Martin, Anna J, Laura, Jolanda, Suvi, Tiina, Valter, Cami; spending time with you along my visits in Turku have been a thrill! Special thanks go to Ben and Marie J, who are both brilliant and inspiring fellow PhD students and great friends, with whom I have spent memorable times.

At the end of the world, in the so called Finistère, I have met a great bunch of people without whom I surely be a lesser person today. More particularly, I thank Charlène for the terrific and funky time we spent together, for your support, your positivity, your 'poetic' songs, and everything! To my dear Pauline, the Norman now expatriated in the South of France: thank you for your smile and for the precious moments spent in your company, you are a wonderful woman. Thanks to Pierre-Yves, Éleonore, Fany, Axel, Marine, Coline, Virginie, Céline, Malwenn, Jérôme, Claire, Yann, Martine, Laurent, Michel, Thomas, Alex, Sylvain for great time at the famous Place Guérin and vicinity.

I also thank my old gang, mes copines du 5-6, who always have been there for me, for many years, and have fully supported me even being far away from each other: Laurus, Typhon, Caba, Baboule, Milouch, Fak, and Justin.

I am also indebted to all the members of my family for being as far as here. More particularly, I thank my aunt and uncle Annie and Domingue, who will travel to Finland to support me on the Dday. Thousands thanks go to my dearest sister Youna, who is the most spirited and kind person I know and whom I am so proud of! My warmest thanks go to my grand-mother Ernestine, dite Mamie Titine: merci pour ton amour et ta présence, pour ta confiance, tu es une grand-mère extraordinaire. Finally, I deeply thank my parents Maryse and Michel, who have always been encouraging me and supporting me in my professional and personal choices, and with whom I share so many fantastic memories: je vous adore et suis très fière de vous!

References

Allaby M (2010) A Dictionary of Ecology, 4th edn. Oxford University Press

Alstyne KL Van, Nelson TA, Ridgway RL (2015) Environmental Chemistry and Chemical Ecology of "Green Tide" Seaweed Blooms. Integr Comp Biol:1–15

Anderson CR, Moore SK, Tomlinson MC, Silke J, Cusack CK (2015) Living with Harmful Algal Blooms in a Changing World. Elsevier Inc.

Armonies W, Reise K (2000) Faunal diversity across a sandy shore. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 196:49–57

Augris C, Ménesguen A, Hamon D, Blanchet A, Roy P Le, Rolet J, Jouet G, Véron G, Delannoy H, Drogou M, Bernard C, Maillard X (2005) Atlas thématique de l'environnement marin de la Baie de Douarnenez (Finistère), Ifremer.

Baden SP, Loo L, Pihl L, Rosenberg R (1990) Effects eutrophication on benthic communities including fish: Swedish west coast. AMBIO A J Hum Environ 19:113–122

Barboza FR, Defeo O (2015) Global diversity patterns in sandy beach macrofauna : a biogeographic analysis. Sci Rep 5:1–9

Bearhop S, Adams CE, Waldron S, Fuller RA, Susan EA, Fullert RA, Macleodj H (2004) Determining trophic niche width : a novel approach using stable isotope analysis. J Anim Ecol 73:1007– 1012

Bodin P (1984) Meiofauna and Harpacticoïde Copepods communities in the bay of Douarnenez (Finistère, France). Ann l'Institut Océanographique 60:5–17

Bolam S, Fernandes T, Read P, Raffaelli D (2000) Effects of macroalgal mats on intertidal sandflats: an experimental study. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 249:123–137

Bonsdorff E (1992) Drifting algae and zoobenthos effects on settling and community structure. Netherlands J Sea Res 30:57–62

Borcard D, Legendre P (1994) Environmental control and spatial structure in ecological communities: an example using oribatid mites (Acari, Oribatei). Environ Ecol Stat 1:37–61

Borja A, Franco J, Erez VP, Environment M, Perez V (2000) A marine biotic index to etablish the ecological quality of soft bottom benthos within european estuarine and coastal environnements. Mar Pollut Bull 40:1100–1114

Bricker SB, Longstaff B, Dennison W, Jones A, Boicourt K, Wicks C, Woerner J (2008) Effects of nutrient enrichment in the nation's estuaries: A decade of change. Harmful Algae 8:21–32 Campling P, Terres JM, Walle S Vande, Orshoven J Van, Crouzet P (2005) Estimation of nitrogen balances from agriculture for EU-15: Spatialisation of estimates to river basins using the CORINE Land Cover. Phys Chem Earth 30:25–34

Carlier A, Riera P, Amouroux J-M, Bodiou J-Y, Desmalades M, Grémare A (2008) Food web structure of two Mediterranean lagoons under varying degree of eutrophication. J Sea Res 60:264–275

Carriço R, Zeppilli D, Quillien N, Grall J (2013) Can meiofauna be a good biological indicator of the impacts of eutrophication caused by green macroalgal blooms ? An Aod, les Cah Nat l'Observatoire Mar II:9–16

CGDD (2014) Les proliférations d'algues sur les côtes métropolitaines. Paris

Charlier RH, Morand P, Finkl CW, Thys A (2007) Green Tides on the Brittany Coasts. Environ Res Eng Manag 3:52–59

Christensen M, Graham M, Vinebrooke R, Findlay D, Paterson M, Turner M (2006) Multiple anthropogenic stressors cause ecological surprises in boreal lakes. Glob Chang Biol 12:2316–2322

Cloern JE (2001) Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 210:223–253

Connell JH (1978) Diversity in Tropical Rain Forests and Coral Reefs. Science (80-) 199:1302–1310

Costanza R, Norton BG, Haskell BD (2002) Ecosystem health: New goals for Environmental Management. (Island Press, Ed.). Washington, D.C., USA

Culhane FE, Briers RA, Tett P, Fernandes TF (2014) Structural and functional indices show similar performance in marine ecosystem quality assessment. Ecol Indic 43:271–280

Daief Z, Borja A, Joulami L, Azzi M, Fahde A, Bazairi H (2014) Assessing benthic ecological status of urban sandy beaches (Northeast Atlantic, Morocco) using M-AMBI. Ecol Indic 46:586–595

Datry T, Bonada N, Heino J (2015) Towards understanding the organisation of metacommunities in highly dynamic ecological systems. Oikos 10.1111/oi:1–11

Dauvin JC (2007) Paradox of estuarine quality: Benthic indicators and indices, consensus or debate for the future. Mar Pollut Bull 55:271–281

Dauvin J, Bachelet G, Bellan G (2006) Biodiversity and biogeographic relationships of the polychaete fauna in French Atlantic and Mediterranean waters. Sci Mar 70:259–267

- Davis RAJ (2015) Beaches in space and time: a global look at the beach environment and how we use it. Sarasota, Florida: Pineapple Press, Inc.
- Defeo O, Alava A de (1995) Effects of human activities on long-term trends in sandy beach populations: the wedge clam *Donax hanleyanus* in Uruguay. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 123:73–82
- Defeo O, Mclachlan A (2005) Patterns , processes and regulatory mechanisms in sandy beach macrofauna: a multi-scale analysis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 295:1–20
- Defeo O, McLachlan A, Schoeman D, Schlacher T, Dugan J, Jones A, Lastra M, Scapini F (2009) Threats to sandy beach ecosystems: A review. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 81:1–12
- Deniel C (1973) Nutrition et croissance du jeune turbot Scophthalmus maximus L. (Téléostéens-Bothidae). University of Brest
- Denny MW (2006) Ocean waves, nearshore ecology, and natural selection. Aquat Ecol 40:439–461
- Diaz RJ, Rosenberg R (2008) Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems. Science (80-) 321:926–929
- Dobson M, Frid C (2009) Ecology of Aquatic Systems, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press Inc., New York
- Dray S, Legendre P, Peres-Neto PR (2006) Spatial modelling: a comprehensive framework for principal coordinate analysis of neighbour matrices (PCNM). Ecol Modell 196:483–493
- Dray S, with contributions of Legendre P, Blanchet GF (2013) Packfor: Forward selection with permutation (Canoco p.46). http//r-forge.rproject.org/projects/sedar/ R Packag version 00-8/r109
- Duarte CM (2014) Global change and the future ocean : a grand challenge for marine sciences. Front Mar Sci 1:1–16
- Dubois S, Savoye N, Grémare a., Plus M, Charlier K, Beltoise a., Blanchet H (2012) Origin and composition of sediment organic matter in a coastal semi-enclosed ecosystem: An elemental and isotopic study at the ecosystem space scale. J Mar Syst 94:64–73
- Dugan JE, Hubbard DM, McCrary MD, Pierson MO (2003) The response of macrofauna communities and shorebirds to macrophyte wrack subsidies on exposed sandy beaches of southern California. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 58S:25–40
- Dugan JE, Hubbard DM, Rodil IF, Revell D (2008) Ecological effects of coastal armoring on sandy

beaches. Mar Ecol 29:160-170

- Elliott M, Quintino V (2007) The Estuarine Quality Paradox, Environmental Homeostasis and the difficulty of detecting anthropogenic stress in naturally stressed areas. Mar Pollut Bull 54:640– 645
- Franz DR, Friedman I (2002) Effects of a macroalgal mat (*Ulva lactuca*) on estuarine sand flat copepods: An experimental study. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 271:209–226
- Gibson RN, Ezzi IA (1980) The biology of the scaldfish, *Arnoglossus laterna* (Walbaum) on the west coast of Scotland. J Fish Biol 17:565–575
- Glémarec M (1973) The Benthic Communities of the European North Atlantic Continental Shelf. Oceanogr Mar Biol an Annu Rev 11:263–289
- Glémarec M (1978) Distribution bathymétrique et latitudinale des bivalves du Golfe de Gascogne. Haliotis 9:45–48
- Grall J, Chauvaud L (2002) Marine eutrophication and benthos: the need for new approaches and concepts. Glob Chang Biol 8:813–831
- Grall J, Glémarec M (1997) Using biotic indices to estimate macrobenthic community perturbations in the Bay of Brest. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 44:43–53
- Grall J, Loc'h F Le, Guyonnet B, Riera P (2006) Community structure and food web based on stable isotopes (δ 15N and δ 13C) analysis of a North Eastern Atlantic maerl bed. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 338:1–15
- Gray JS (1997) Marine Biodiversity Patterns, Threats and Conservation Needs. Biodivers Conserv 6:153–175
- Gray JS, Elliott M (2009) Ecology of Marine Sediments, from Science to Management, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press
- Green L, Fong P (2016) The good, the bad and the Ulva: The density dependent role of macroalgal subsidies in influencing diversity and trophic structure of an estuarine community. Oikos 10.1111/oi:1–13
- Grémare A, Labrune C, Berghe E Vanden, Amouroux JM, Bachelet G, Zettler ML, Vanaverbeke J, Fleischer D, Bigot L, Maire O, Deflandre B, Craeymeersch J, Degraer S, Dounas C, Duineveld G, Heip C, Herrmann M, Hummel H, Karakassis I, Kedra M, Kendall M, Kingston P, Laudien J, Occhipinti-Ambrogi A, Rachor E, Sarda R, Speybroeck J, Hoey G Van, Vincx M, Whomersley P, Willems W, Wlodarska-Kowalczuk M, Zenetos A (2009) Comparison of the performances of two biotic indices based on the MacroBen database. Mar Ecol Prog Ser

382:297-311

- Guillou J (1980) Les peuplements de sables fins du littoral Nord-Gascogne. University of Brest
- Halpern BS, Walbridge S, Selkoe K, Kappel C V, Micheli F, D'Agrosa C, Bruno JF, Casey KS, Ebert C, Fox HE, Fujita R, Heinemann D, Lenihan HS, Madin EMP, Perry MT, Selig ER, Spalding M, Steneck R, Watson R (2008) A global map of human impact on marine ecosystems. Science 319:948–52
- Hauxwell J, Cebrian J, Furlong C, Valiela I (2001) Macroalgal canopies contribute to eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) decline in temperate estuarine ecosystems. Ecology 84:1007–1022
- Hewitt JE, Cummings VJ, Ellis JI, Funnell G, Norkko A, Talley TS, Thrush SF (2003) The role of waves in the colonisation of terrestrial sediments deposited in the marine environment. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 290:19–47
- Hewitt JE, Thrush SF, Dayton PK, Bonsdorff E (2007) The effect of spatial and temporal heterogeneity on the design and analysis of empirical studies of scale-dependent systems. Am Nat 169:398– 408
- Hull SC (1987) Macroalgal mats and species abondance: a field experiment. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 25:519–532
- Jackson AL, Inger R, Parnell AC, Bearhop S (2011) Comparing isotopic niche widths among and within communities: SIBER - Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R. J Anim Ecol 80:595–602
- Johnson WS, Gigon A, Gulmon SL, Mooney HA (1974) Comparative Photosynthetic Capacities of Intertidal Algae under Exposed and Submerged Conditions. Ecology 55:450–453
- Kindt R, Coe R (2005) Tree diversity analysis: A manual and software for common statistical methods for ecological and biodiversity studies, World Agro. Nairobi
- Korpinen S, Bonsdorff E (2015) Eutrophication and hypoxia: impacts of nutrient and organic enrichment. In: Marine Ecosystems: Human impacts on Biodiversity, Functioning and Services.p 202–243
- Kraufvelin P, Lindholm A, Pedersen MF, Kirkerud LA, Bonsdorff E (2009) Biomass, diversity and production of rocky shore macroalgae at two nutrient enrichment and wave action levels. Mar Biol 157:29–47
- la Huz R De, Lastra M, López J (2002) The influence of sediment grain size on burrowing, growth and metabolism of Donax trunculus L. (Bivalvia: Donacidae). J Sea Res 47:85–95

Lahaye M, Robic A (2007) Structure and function

properties of Ulvan, a polysaccharide from green seaweeds. Biomacromolecules 8:1765–1774

- Laliberté E, Legendre P, Shipley B (2014) FD: measuring functional diversity from multiple traits, and other tools for functional ecology. R package version 1.0-12.
- Lastra M, La Huz R de, Sánchez-Mata A, Rodil IF, Aerts K, Beloso S, López J (2006) Ecology of exposed sandy beaches in northern Spain: Environmental factors controlling macrofauna communities. J Sea Res 55:128–140
- Layman CA, Araujo MS, Boucek R, Hammerschlag-Peyer CM, Harrison E, Jud ZR, Matich P, Rosenblatt AE, Vaudo JJ, Yeager LA, Post DM, Bearhop S (2012) Applying stable isotopes to examine food-web structure: An overview of analytical tools. Biol Rev 87:545–562
- Layman CA, Arrington AD, Montana CG, Post DM (2007) Can stable isotope ratios provide for community-wide measures of trophic structure? Ecology 88:42–48
- Legendre P, Borcard D, Blanchet GF, Dray S (2013) PCNM: MEM Spatial Eigen-function and Principal Coordinate Analyses. R Packag version 21e2/r109 http//R-ForgeRproject.org/projects/sedar/
- Legendre P, Cáceres M De (2013) Beta diversity as the variance of community data: Dissimilarity coefficients and partitioning. Ecol Lett 16:951– 963
- Legendre P, Cacerès M De, Borcard D (2010) Community surveys through space and time: testing the space-time interaction in the absence of replication. Ecology 91:262–272
- Legendre P, Gauthier O (2014) Statistical methods for temporal and space-time analysis of community composition data. Proc R Soc B 281:1–9
- Liu D, Keesing JK, He P, Wang Z, Shi Y, Wang Y (2013) The world's largest macroalgal bloom in the Yellow Sea, China: Formation and implications. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 129:2–10
- Lyons DA, Arvanitidis C, Blight AJ, Chatzinikolaou E, Guy-Haim T, Kotta J, Orav-Kotta H, Queirós AM, Rilov G, Somerfield PJ, Crowe TP (2014) Macroalgal blooms alter community structure and primary productivity in marine ecosystems. Glob Chang Biol 20:2712–2724
- McClelland JW, Valiela I (1998) Linking nitrogen in estuarine producers to land-derived sources. Limnol Oceanogr 43:577–585

McClelland JW, Valiela I, Michener RH (1997) Nitrogen-stable isotope signatures in estuarine food webs: A record of increasing urbanization in coastal watersheds. Limnol Oceanogr 42:930– 937

- McDermott JJ (1983) Food web in the surf zone of an exposed sandy beach along the mid-atlantic coast of the united states. In: Sandy beaches as ecosystems.p 529–238
- McLachlan A, Brown A (2006) The Ecology of Sandy Shores (Elsevier, Ed.), 2nd edn.
- McLachlan A, Dorvlo A (2005) Global Patterns in Sandy Beach Macrobenthic Communities. J Coast Res 214:674–687
- Ménesguen A, Piriou J (1995) Nitrogen loadings and macroalgal (Ulva sp.) mass accumulation in Brittany (France). Ophelia 42:227–237
- Merceron M, Morand P (2004) Existence of a deep subtidal stock of drifting *Ulva* in relation to intertidal algal mat developments. J Sea Res 52:269–280
- Moser D, Dullinger S, Englisch T, Niklfeld H, Plutzar C, Sauberer N, Zechmeister HG, Grabherr G (2005) Environmental determinants of vascular plant species richness in the Austrian Alps. J Biogeogr 32:1117–1127
- Newsome SD, Rio, Martinez del C, Bearhop S, Phillips DL (2007) A niche for isotope ecology. Front Ecol Environ 5:429–436
- Nixon SW (1995) Coastal marine eutrophication: a definition, social causes, and future concerns. Ophelia 41:199–219
- Nordström MC (2009) Coastal food web structure and function: trophic interactions in shallow soft bottom communities. Åbo Akademi University
- Nordström M, Booth DM (2007) Drift algae reduce foraging efficiency of juvenile flatfish. J Sea Res 58:335–341
- Norkko A, Bonsdorff E (1996a) Rapid zoobenthic community responses to accumulations of drifting algae. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 131:143–157
- Norkko A, Bonsdorff E (1996b) Population responses of coastal zoobenthos to stress induced by drifiting macroalgal mats. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 140:141–151
- Nottage AS, Perkins EJ (1983) The biology of the solenette, *Buglossidium luteum* (Risso), in the Solway Firth. J Fish Biol 22:21–27
- Nyitrai D, Martinho F, Dolbeth M, Baptista J, Pardal MA (2012) Trends in estuarine fish assemblages facing different environmental conditions: Combining diversity with functional attributes. Aquat Ecol 46:201–214
- Ouisse V, Riera P, Migné A, Leroux C, Davoult D (2011) Freshwater seepages and ephemeral macroalgae proliferation in an intertidal bay: I Effect on benthic community structure and food web. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 91:272–281

- Parnell A, Jackson A (2013) siar: Stable Isotope Analysis in R. R package version 4.2. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=siar.
- Pearson TH, Rosenberg R (1978) Macrobenthic succession in relation to organic enrichment and pollution of the marine environment. Oceanogr Mar Biol an Annu Rev 16:229–311
- Phillips Dales R (1957) The feeding mechanism and structure of the gut of *Owenia fusiformis* delle Chiaje. J Mar Biol Assoc United Kingdom 36:81–89
- Pihl L, Modin J, Wennhage H (2005) Relating plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*) recruitment to deteriorating habitat quality: effects of macroalgal blooms in coastal nursery grounds. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 62:1184–1193
- Post DM (2002) Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: models, methods, and assumptions. Ecology 83:703–718
- Quiniou L (1986) Les peuplements de poissons démersaux de la pointe de Bretagne : environnement, biologie, structure démographique, relations trophiques.
- Raffaelli DG, Raven JA, Poole LJ (1998) Ecological impact of green macroalgal blooms. Oceanogr Mar Biol an Annu Rev 36:97–125
- Ramos S, Ré P, Bordalo A (2009) Environmental control on early life stages of flatfishes in the Lima Estuary (NW Portugal). Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 83:252–264
- Reyes-Martínez MJ, Lercari D, Ruíz-Delgado MC, Sánchez-Moyano JE, Jiménez-Rodríguez A, Pérez-Hurtado A, García-García FJ (2014) Human Pressure on Sandy Beaches: Implications for Trophic Functioning. Estuaries and Coasts
- Rigolet C, Thiébaut E, Brind'Amour A, Dubois SF (2015) Investigating isotopic functional indices to reveal changes in the structure and functioning of benthic communities. Funct Ecol doi: 10.11:1–11
- Rodil IF, Olabarria C, Lastra M, López J (2008) Differential effects of native and invasive algal wrack on macrofaunal assemblages inhabiting exposed sandy beaches. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 358:1–13
- Rolff C (2000) Seasonal variation in δ 13C and delta δ 15N of size-fractionated plankton at a coastal station in the northern Baltic proper. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 203:47–65
- Ruiter PC de, Wolters V, Morre JC, Winemiller KO (2005) Food web ecology: playing Jenga and beyond. Science (80-) 309:68–71

Scapini F (2014) Behaviour of mobile macrofauna is a

key factor in beach ecology as response to rapid environmental changes. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 150:36–44

Schaal G, Riera P, Leroux C (2008) Trophic coupling between two adjacent benthic food webs within a man-made intertidal area: A stable isotopes evidence. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 77:523–534

Schlacher TA, Dugan J, Schoeman DS, Lastra M, Jones A, Scapini F, Mclachlan A, Defeo O (2007) Sandy beaches at the brink. :556–560

Schlacher TA, Schoeman DS, Dugan J, Lastra M, Jones A, Scapini F, McLachlan A (2008) Sandy beach ecosystems: key features, sampling issues, management challenges and climate change impacts. Mar Ecol 29:70–90

Schoeman DS, Schlacher TA, Defeo O (2014) Climatechange impacts on sandy-beach biota: Crossing a line in the sand. Glob Chang Biol 20:2383– 2392

Schramm W (1999) Factors influencing seaweeds response to eutrophication: some results from EU-project EUMAC. J Appl Phycol 11:69–78

Sfriso A, Pavoni B, Marcomini A, Orio AA (1992) Macroalgae, Nutrient Cycles, and Pollutants in the Lagoon of Venice. Estuaries 15:517–528

Short AD, Jackson DWT (2013) Beach Morphodynamics. Treatise Geomorphol 10:106– 129

Smart SM, Thompson K, Marrs RH, Duc MG Le, Maskell LC, Firbank LG (2006) Biotic homogenization and changes in species diversity across human-modified ecosystems. Proc Biol Sci 273:2659–2665

Spalding MD, Fox HE, Allen GR, Davidson N, Ferdaña Z, Finlayson M, Halpern BS, Jorge M, Lombana A, Lourie S, Martin KD, Mcmanus E, Molnar J, Recchia C, Robertson J (2007) Marine Ecoregions of the World: A Bioregionalization of Coastal and Shelf Areas. Bioscience 57:573– 583

Speybroeck J, Alsteens L, Vincx M, Degraer S (2007) Understanding the life of a sandy beach polychaete of functional importance - *Scolelepis squamata* (Polychaeta: Spionidae) on Belgian sandy beaches (northeastern Atlantic, North Sea). Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 74:109–118

Sundbäck K, Carlson L, Nilsson C, Jönsson B, Wulff A, Odmark S (1996) Response of benthic microbial mats to drifting green algal mats. Aquat Microb Ecol 10:195–208

Team RDC (2013) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.r-project.org/ (accessed December 2013).

Thiel M, Stearns LM, Watling L (1998) Effects of Green Algal Mats On Bivalves in a New England Mud Flat. Helgolander Meeresuntersuchungen 52:15–28

Thompson RM, Brose U, Dunne JA, Hall ROJ, Hladyz S, Kitching RL, Martinez ND, Rantala H, Romanuk TN, Stouffer DB, Tylianakis JM (2012) Food webs: reconciling the structure and function of biodiversity. Trends Ecol Evol 27:689–697

Thrush S, Hewitt J, Cummings V, Dayton P, Cryer M, Turner S, Funnell G, Budd R, Milburn C, Wilkinson M (1998) DISTURBANCE OF THE MARINE BENTHIC HABITAT BY COMMERCIAL FISHING: IMPACTS AT THE SCALE OF THE FISHERY. Ecol Appl 8:866–879

Thrush SF, Hewitt JE, Hickey CW, Kelly S (2008) Multiple stressor effects identified from species abundance distributions: Interactions between urban contaminants and species habitat relationships. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 366:160–168

Törnroos A, Bonsdorff E (2012) Developing the multitrait concept for functional diversity: lessons from a system rich in functions but poor in species. Ecol Appl 22:2221–2236

Turner TF, Collyer ML, Krabbenhoft TJ (2010) A general hypothesis-testing framework for stable isotope ratios in ecological studies. Ecology 91:2227–2233

Valiela I, McClelland J, Hauxwell J, Behr PJ, Hersh D, Foreman K (1997) Macroalgal blooms in shallow estuaries : Controls and ecophysiological and ecosystem consequences. Limnol Oceanogr 42:1105–1118

Watson EB, Wigand C, Oczkowski AJ, Sundberg K, Vendettuoli D, Jayaraman S, Saliba K, Morris JT (2015) *Ulva* additions alter soil biogeochemistry and negatively impact *Spartina alterniflora* growth. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 532:59–72

Weigel B, Blenckner T, Bonsdorff E (2016) Maintained functional diversity in benthic communities in spite of diverging functional identities. Oikos doi: 10.1111/oik.02894

Whitford W (2002) Ecology of desert systems. San Diego, CA: Academic Press

Ye NH, Zhang X, Mao Y, Liang C, Xu D, Zou J, Zhuang Z, Wang Q (2011) "Green tides" are overwhelming the coastline of our blue planet: taking the world's largest example. Ecol Res 26:477–485

Original publications (I-IV)



Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 164 (2015) 379-391



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ecss

Large-scale effects of green tides on macrotidal sandy beaches: Habitat-specific responses of zoobenthos



CrossMark

Nolwenn Quillien ^{a, b, d, *}, Marie C. Nordström ^b, Benjamin Guyonnet ^c, Marion Maguer ^d, Vincent Le Garrec ^d, Erik Bonsdorff ^b, Jacques Grall ^d

^a Laboratoire des Sciences de l'Environnement Marin (LEMAR), UMR CNRS 6539, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Plouzané, France

^b Åbo Akademi University, Department of Biosciences, Environmental and Marine Biology, Turku, Finland

^c TBM Environnement, 6 Rue Ty Mad, 56400 Auray, France

^d Observatoire des Sciences de la mer et de l'univers, UMS 3113, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Plouzané, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 February 2015 Received in revised form 1 June 2015 Accepted 30 July 2015 Available online 6 August 2015

Keywords: Macrotidal sandy beaches Zoobenthos Eutrophication Ulva Habitat Space-time-environment analysis

ABSTRACT

Sandy beaches are highly dynamic ecosystems mainly driven by physical variables, such as tidal regime. These ecosystems support numerous essential ecological functions and contain a distinctive biodiversity, but are threatened by increasing direct or indirect anthropogenic pressures, among which are green tides composed of free living Ulva spp. Studies that have been conducted to understand the effects of macroalgal mats on coastal sediment communities have mostly addressed responses in atidal or microtidal systems, and are often single-site assessments. Using large-scale field surveys across 13 macrotidal sandy beaches of two types (exposed and semi-exposed) distributed along 2700 km of coast for 7 years (REBENT program, Brittany, France), we analysed responses of zoobenthos to the presence of green tides in relation to tidal range, exposure, sediment characteristics, air and seawater temperature, precipitations, wind and salinity. Despite the high variability existing between two distinct categories of sandy habitats and also between macrotidal beaches within the study area, differences in macrofaunal community structure arose from the presence of green tides: mean abundance and species richness of macrozoobenthic invertebrates were higher where green tides occurred. Moreover, macrobenthic assemblages in the two beach-categories respond differently to eutrophication seen as green tides. Surprisingly, the effects of the presence of Ulva mats were stronger at exposed sandy beaches than at semiexposed beaches. Our study also highlights species-specific responses: herbivorous marine invertebrates and suspension feeders were favoured by the presence of Ulva mats, whereas large sub-surface deposit feeders and bivalve drifters which surf up and down the shore with the tides were negatively affected by green tides.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Sandy beaches line most of the world's oceans and form dynamic environmental transitional areas between land and sea (Defeo and McLachlan, 2005). Several recent studies and reviews in sandy beach ecology (hereafter SB) underline the unique features of beaches (e.g. Defeo and McLachlan, 2005; Schlacher et al., 2007); SB ecosystem functioning provides unique and essential services such as nutrient cycling, filtration of large amounts of seawater, storm species, including commercially important fish species (McLachlan and Brown, 2006; Schlacher et al., 2008; Defeo et al., 2009). In pristine environments, SB ecosystems harbour diverse forms

buffering, costal fisheries, and feeding-breeding habitats for many

of life that are all specialized and adapted to live in highly mobile sediments (McLachlan and Brown, 2006). The benthic macrofauna of sandy beaches includes representatives of many phyla, but crustaceans, molluscs and polychaetes are mostly dominant (McLachlan and Brown, 2006). Their habitat is physically structured and defined by 3 factors, namely sediment grain size, waves and tidal currents (McLachlan and Brown, 2006). More specifically, tidal regime determines the nature and the stability of the sediment (Wildish, 1977; Masselink, 1993). Tides directly affect primary

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.07.042

0272-7714/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author. Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de Bretagne Occidentale (UBO), Rue Dumont d'Urville, 29280 Plouzané, France. *E-mail address*: nolwenn, guilliem euriv-brest.fr (N. Quillien).

production (Monbet, 1992) and also indirectly influence food supply to benthic organisms (Wildish and Kristmanson, 1979). Tidal regime is thus an important factor shaping the variability of coastal marine systems and sandy beaches in particular.

Despite their ecological importance and their dynamic nature (McLachlan and Brown, 2006), SBs are threatened by multiple human uses, such as fishing, coastal development and pollution (Brown and McLachlan, 2002; Schlacher et al., 2007; Defeo et al., 2009). Regarding the latter, nutrient enrichment of coastal waters that leads to eutrophication (Cloern, 2001) is recognized as a major and worldwide pollution threat (Norkko and Bonsdorff, 1996; Valiela et al., 1997; Raffaelli et al., 1998; Ye et al., 2011).

One of the direct symptoms of eutrophication in shallow areas is mass-development of opportunistic macroalgae (Cloern, 2001). These macroalgae, by definition fine and fragile (Schramm, 1999), can easily be detached and transported by coastal currents and form large mats of drifting algae along beaches or in shallow bays (Grall and Chauvaud, 2002). Such notable algal blooms are increasing in frequency and intensity worldwide (Ye et al., 2011). They often have strong impacts on sediment zoobenthos and greatly modify the functioning of this usually uncovered ecosystem (Bonsdorff, 1992). In exposed macrotidal systems, studies of the effects of eutrophication are few and spatially restricted (Martinetto et al., 2010; Quillien et al., 2015), whereas in sheltered micro- or atidal coastal systems it has been shown that accumulation of drifting macroalgae negatively affects water and sediment, as well as other primary producers (Hull, 1987; Jeffrey et al., 1992; Sundbäck, 1994; Bombelli and Lenzi, 1996). In addition, by modifying the habitat, the presence of drifting algae in such environments affects recruitment, community structure and production of benthic macrofauna (Hull, 1987; Bonsdorff, 1992; Norkko and Bonsdorff, 1996; Raffaelli et al., 1998; Grall and Chauvaud, 2002). The general patterns of macroalgal blooms on a global scale are reviewed in Arroyo and Bonsdorff (2015).

Although our understanding of the ecology of sandy shores has greatly advanced since the 1980's (Defeo and McLachlan, 2005; McLachlan and Brown, 2006; Nel et al., 2014), this habitat is still overlooked in studies of the ecological impacts of global change, e.g. increase in seawater temperature (Schoeman et al., 2014). The effects of macroalgal mats on open sandy beach systems have mainly been assessed using experimental studies based on controlled algal manipulations, which implies important limitations when trying to understand ecosystem-scale response to such perturbations (Bolam et al., 2000; Franz and Friedman, 2002). More generally, studies conducted to understand the effects of macroalgal accumulations on coastal sediment communities have mostly addressed responses in micro- or atidal systems (e.g. Norkko and Bonsdorff, 1996; Thiel and Watling, 1998) and have been conducted in single habitats or sites as relatively small-scale studies (see Raffaelli et al., 1998; Grall and Chauvaud, 2002 for reviews; and Rodil et al., 2007 for later work). Understanding how macrotidal (mean spring tide >4 m, Allaby, 2010) sandy environments respond to seasonal opportunistic macroalgal accumulations is thus a current challenge. Recently, studying the effects of green tides on macrotidal sandy beaches at small spatial and temporal scale, Quillien et al. (2015) found that along a gradient of increasing coverage of stranded *Ulva* spp. the overall β -diversity and the natural variability in space and time of macrofauna decrease. To support these findings, large-scale (both in space and time), multihabitat and in-situ studies focussing on macrotidal sedimentary systems are essential.

Such a large-scale monitoring study is currently ongoing in the north-eastern Atlantic Ocean, in Brittany (France): the REBENT program ("REseau BENThique"). The survey (started in 2003) provides consistent and quality-controlled annual data which allows detection of changes at several spatial and temporal scales (Ehrhold et al., 2006). Two different intertidal sandy habitats are monitored within this program. These two habitats form large and gentle sloped sandy areas where waves break.

The first mobile sandy shore (hereafter Type I SB), typically consisting of clean and fine sediments (median grain size $\approx 180 \ \mu\text{m}$), occur in wave-exposed environment. This biotope typically harbours the bivalves *Donax trunculus* and *Donax vittatus*. The second sandy habitat (hereafter Type II SB), typically occurs on shores moderately exposed to wave action. Type II sandy beaches are made of more heterogeneous sediments (median grain size $\approx 380 \ \mu\text{m}$) and are inhabited by polychaetes such as *Notomastus latericeus* and *Scoloplos armiger*, as well as the cockle *Cerastoderma edule*. Within each of the two habitats, several beaches are occasionally or annually affected by drifting macroalgal mats (green tides; hereafter GT), composed of *Ulva* spp. (Charlier et al., 2007). Other beaches have not been affected by eutrophication symptoms in the form of algal blooms.

The ultimate aim of the present study was to examine whether responses of macrotidal ecosystems were attributable to occurrence of *Ulva* spp. To reach this objective, we analysed the effects of temporal, spatial and environmental variables, and specifically eutrophication in the form of green tides, on benthic assemblages over hundreds of kilometres and over seven years (2007–2013). Hence, we tested the following research hypotheses: 1) variation in macrofaunal benthic structure is partly and significantly driven by the presence of green tides, and 2) responses of infauna to *Ulva* spp. blooms differ between the two habitats (Type I and Type II sandy beaches). In addition, these responses are characterized in terms of biology and ecology of the zoobenthos.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study area

The study was conducted in Brittany (France), which is a marine biogeographical transition zone (Glémarec, 1978; Dinter, 2001; Dauvin, 2006; Quillien et al., 2012) where the limit between the northern and the southern coast (see supplement 1) is mostly defined by hydrodynamics (alternating vs. vortex/gyre currents). Along the 2700 km of Brittany coastline (Fig. 1), sediments accumulate to form sandy beaches ranging from hundreds of metres to several kilometres in width. Among the eighteen beaches that have been monitored within the REBENT, thirteen beaches were selected for this study (Fig. 1) to avoid temporal gaps and match environmental data present in the larger dataset.

The thirteen beaches included exposed and semi-exposed sandy beaches (Fig.1), which form two distinct sandy habitats (see supplement 2). These two sandy habitats are also identified (based on grain size, exposure, and benthic community) in the European Union Nature Information System (habitats A2.231 and A2.245 in the EUNIS classification; http://eunis.eea.europa.eu/habitats.jsp). Type I sandy beaches occur on wave-exposed shores, with fine, clean, and well-sorted sand. Their infaunal community is dominated by bivalve species such as Donax spp. and Angulus tenuis, but also contain polychaetes (e.g. Nephtys cirrosa, Owenia fusiformis) and amphipods (Bathyporeia spp., Urothoe spp.). The low-shore of Type I sandy beaches also inhabits some echinoderms, such as Echinocardium cordatum and Acrocnida cf. spatulispina. Type II sandy beaches usually occur in areas sheltered from strong wave action on flats of medium fine sand and muddy sand, which may also contain a proportion of gravel (heterogeneous sediments). The sediment is dominated by polychaetes such as Notomastus latericeus, Lanice conchilega, and Scoloplos armiger. The mud shrimp Corophium arenarium and the Tanaid Apseudes latreilli may be



Fig. 1. Locations of the thirteen sandy beaches (A to M), with indication of the exposure (black filled circles = exposed sites; black filled squares = semi-exposed sites) where 3 replicate macrofauna samples were collected yearly (Brittany, France). Sites where green tides occurred are marked with stars.

abundant.

The thirteen SBs show tidal regimes ranging from 5 to 13 m along a gradient from southern to northern Brittany: large areas up to 2400 m wide are laid bare at low tide during spring tides. The two categories of SBs are characterized by a lower shore with mean slope of ca. 1.5% and since the tidal regime is macrotidal, these SBs are defined as dissipative beaches (Short, 1996). The presence and development of green tides at SBs along the Brittany coastline are variable, mostly depending on location below agricultural catchment areas and the amount of nutrients locally released (Piriou et al., 1991; Ménesguen and Piriou, 1995; Charlier et al., 2007). Within this region, the amount of stranded Ulva spp. is also variable along time and reaches its highest biomass between May and September (Hull, 1987; Quillien et al., 2015). The two types of sandy beaches (Type I and Type II), with or without green macroalgal accumulations (Type I-GT, Type II-GT, or Type I-NoGT, Type II-NoGT), are evenly distributed along the coastline (Fig. 1).

2.2. Sampling design

All samplings conducted within REBENT were carried out systematically (by the authors MM, VLG, BG, JG), minimising variation due to differing sampling approach and use of equipment. In order to cover macrofaunal variability along the shores (Legendre and Legendre, 2012), sampling was conducted at three sites distributed at the same level along the swash zone (spring low tide) at middle and the two opposite ends of each of the thirteen SBs (Fig. 2); the sampling sites are at least separated by 100 m. All sites (n = 39) were sampled annually in early spring (March) from 2007 to 2013 (Fig. 2).

Macrofauna (larger than 1 mm) was collected using a tube-corer (inner diameter: 11.3 cm; depth: 15 cm). To obtain faunal samples covering an area of 300 cm², three cores (taken 40 cm apart) were pooled to one sample. These pooled core samples were sieved through mesh bags (1 mm mesh size) to separate the fauna from the sediment. At each sampling site within each beach, three such replicate samples were randomly taken 1–2 m apart (Fig. 2). Faunal samples were preserved in 4% buffered formalin for later sorting in the lab where macrofauna were identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level under a stereomicroscope, and counted. Because taxonomy has changed and evolved during the period of the study, we standardized the whole dataset following species nomenclature of the "World Register of Marine Species" (http://www.marinespecies.org/, accessed September 2014).

Species richness is given as the number of species per sample. Abundance is converted to units per m². In addition to the quantitative dataset, information on biological traits was linked to each dominant species. Information about the feeding ecology, mobility, size, and reproduction for the dominant species was gathered from peer-reviewed literature and publicly available databases such as



Fig. 2. Sampling design, repeated in time (7 years) showing the thirteen SBs (A to M), the corresponding sampling sites at middle and the two opposite ends of each sandy beaches (e.g. A₁ = one opposite end of beach A, A₂ = middle of beach A, A₃ = the other opposite end of beach A) and the samples (black circles).

MarLIN/BIOTIC and EOL/polytraits (sensu Törnroos and Bonsdorff, 2012).

At each site where fauna was sampled (Fig.2), two sediment cores were extracted (in the same way as fauna cores), one for analysis of grain size and the other for organic matter content characteristics. Grain sizes were determined by water- (for 63 µm grain size fraction) and dry-sieving, using a series of 14 sieves from 63 µm to 10,000 µm. Sediment grain size characteristics (median grain size, percentage of gravel, sand, and mud) were calculated with the GRADISTAT software (Blott and Pye, 2001). Gravel is defined as the fraction ranging from 2 mm to 64 mm, sand is the fraction ranging from 63 µm to 2 mm, and mud includes sediment exhibiting grain sizes smaller than 63 μm (according to Blott and Pye, 2001). The sorting index (SI) was calculated based on first and third quartile ratio ($\sqrt{Q25/Q75}$, where Q25 and Q75 denote the first and the third quartiles of the sediment grain size, respectively), and the median (Q50) was equal to the second quartile of sediment grain size values. Beach face slope was calculated for each sampling site by geometry (maximal tide/shore width). The Beach Index (BI) was calculated based on slope, sand and tide (log10[sand.tide/ slope], where slope = beach face slope, sand = mean grain size in phi units +1, and tide = maximum spring tide range in meters). The BI ranges from 0 to 4, from beaches with coarse sand, small waves, and small tides to beaches of fine sand, big waves, and large tides (McLachlan and Brown, 2006). Organic matter content was measured by weight loss after combustion at 450 °C for 5 h. The occurrence of green tides and the percentage of beach covered by stranded Ulva were estimated by CEVA (http://www.ceva.fr) through tri-annual aerial surveys (for counting green tides and estimating of surface covered by mats). The surveys occurred during the most productive period (May to September), resulting in a mismatch (circa 8 months) between the aerial surveys and the sampling of macrofauna. Therefore, data on Ulva of the year prior to macrofauna sampling was used in the analyses (i.e. Ulva data from summer 2006 was used to study variation in fauna sampled in spring 2007). Consequently, we provide conservative estimates of Ulva-induced changes in community structure, as faunal responses were not assessed during bloom peaks.

Seawater temperature and salinity were extracted for each sampling site and each sampling occasion from datasets provided by the PREVIMER system (www.previmer.org) using the Pypredator software (i.e. Python Previmer Data Extractor) written in Python (https://www.python.org/psf/). PREVIMER estimates seawater temperature and salinity using the MARS-3D model (grid = 4 km). Wind speed, wind direction, air temperature and rainfall measured at coastal stations closest to each beach were assessed by averaging daily measures of three months before sampling occasions (from January to March) using Météo France data (agreement between Université de Bretagne Occidentale and Météo France). The environmental variables measured at beach scale (wind speed, wind direction, air temperature and rainfall) were considered homogeneous within each beach.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Macrofauna diversity was assessed using the following primary community variables and diversity indices (Gray and Elliott, 2009): abundance (A), species richness (S), and Shannon's (H') and Simpson's diversity (1-D, hereafter D) indices. To test whether macroalgal accumulations and habitat type induce changes in univariate descriptors of macrofauna and to test if the effect of green tides differs depending on type of SBs (interaction of both factors 'Type' and 'Green Tides'), two-way ANOVAs were used. In the case of a significant interaction, we performed pair-wise comparisons using the parametric Student test after performing Shapiro–Wilk normality tests, and transforming the data when necessary. If, after transformation, data did not meet the normality assumption, the non-parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test was used.

For all multivariate analyses, faunal data were first transformed using the Hellinger transformation, which is recommended for analysis of species abundance data since it does not give high weights to rare species (Legendre and Gallagher, 2001). To test if the presence of green tides, the habitat type, as well as the interaction of both factors ('Type' and 'GT'), have significant effects on multivariate responses of invertebrate community, two-way PER-MANOVA (Anderson, 2001) (n permutations = 9999) was performed on a subset of beaches (n = 8, 2 beaches per type harbouring or not harbouring green tides, i.e. 4 groups: Type I-NoGT/Type I-GT and Type II-NoGT/Type II-GT), in order to meet the assumption of equal multivariate dispersion. Principal Component Analyses (PCAs) were performed to visualize patterns in the distribution of assemblages of macrofauna in space and time within each habitat harbouring green tides or not (i.e. the 4 groups). The homogeneity of multivariate dispersion between the four groups (Type I or Type II sandy beaches, with or without green tides) was tested using the function betadisper() with permutations (n = 999). Redundancy analyses (RDA) were also performed for each habitat (Type I and Type II) to determine which environmental variables constrain the variation of benthic communities in this setting.

Temporal (yearly sampling from 2007 to 2013) variation of each of the main classes represented in macrofaunal assemblages for each of the habitats with or without GT (Type I-NoGT, Type I-GT, Type II-NoGT, Type II-GT) was assessed to extricate diversity trends. Furthermore, in order to disentangle the effects of environment (environmental variables not linked to GT). Ulva mats, space, and time on the assemblages of macrofauna, two recently-developed methods were combined: distance-based Moran's eigenvector maps (dbMEM, Dray et al., 2006; Legendre and Gauthier, 2014) were used in variation partitioning (Borcard and Legendre, 1994). First, dbMEM eigenfunctions were generated based on the number of sampling occasions (7 years) and on a distance matrix obtained by estimating distances between sandy beaches using the ruler tool in the Geoportail portal (http://www.geoportail.gouv.fr). The generated dbMEM eigenfunctions were used as temporal and spatial variables (here called temporal MEMs and spatial MEMs, see supplements 3 and 4). MEMs can model both positive and negative temporal and spatial patterns, and the four models were assessed. Forward selection (Blanchet et al., 2008) was then used to select significant spatial and temporal MEMs. Variation of the multivariate responses was then partitioned with respect to four groups of explanatory variables: environment excluding variables related to green tides (18 variables describing physical and biological environment of each beach), Ulva (the variables related to the occurrence and coverage of GT), space (the selected spatial MEMs) and time (the selected temporal MEMs). Each fraction of variation, i.e. the explanatory power of each set of the explanatory variables, was tested using multiple linear regressions (Legendre and Legendre, 2012).

All analyses were conducted within the R environment (R Development Core Team, 2013) and relied on the *vegan* (Oksanen et al., 2010), *PCNM* (Legendre et al., 2013) and *packfor* (Dray et al., 2013) packages.

3. Results

3.1. Environmental characterisation

General characteristics for the period 2007 to 2013 of the thirteen beaches considered in this study (SBs A to M) are presented in Table 1 The length of the SBs varied between 400 m and 26 km, the width between 149 m and 2.4 km and the slope between 0.5 and 2.9%. From north-west (SBA) to south-west (SBM), the maximal spring tide decreased from 13.2 to 5.1 m while beach types (I and II) and the presence of green tides were evenly distributed along the coasts of Brittany (Table 1, Fig. 1).

Environmental characteristics for the study period (2007-2013) of the thirteen SBs (SBs A to M) are presented in Table 2. For the biological variables, no Ulva was reported from SB_C , SB_G , SB_I , SB_K and SBL, whereas presence of green tides at the oth F, H, J and M) varied from 2 to 20 counts on 21 aer year from 2007 to 2013. At SBs harbouring green coverage by Ulva spp. varied between 0.6% and 19.3% at Type I and Type II SBs, respectively. Organic matter content was low and varied between 0.5 and 2.2% regardless of habitat type.

For sediment variables, differences between Type I and Type II SBs were distinct: mean median grain size and sorting index ranged from 140 to 280 µm and from 1.7 to 3.3 at SBs of Type I, and from 300 to 560 μ m and 2.5 to 7.6 at SBs of Type II. In addition, the beach index varied between 2.6 and 3.1, and between 3.0 and 3.6 for beaches of Type I and II, respectively (Table 2). The environment was fully marine at all SBs. Seawater temperatures were the lowest in the western-northern part of Brittany, with no clear gradient over the study area, however. For terrestrial variables, air temperature as well as wind speed and direction did not show any clear patterns through the SBs in the study. In contrast, rainfall was spatially more variable, affecting runoff from land.

3.2. Diversity patterns in relation to green tides and habitat

Differences in community structure between the two habitats were clearly illustrated with a PCA analysis (Fig. 3) integrating the presence of GT. The ordination for Type I showed a shift in the structure of macrofaunal data where green tides occurred (Fig. 3): the dot cloud is more spread out than without green tides (significant difference in multivariate dispersion between the two groups; p = 0.014). A different picture emerged for SBs of Type II (Fig. 3) with no difference in dispersion of the dot clouds, with and without green tides (p = 0.439). The examination of the influence of the factors "Type", "Green Tides" (Fig. 4) and the interaction of both factors on the univariate variables (A, S, H and D) using a two-way ANOVA analysis (Table 3) revealed several patterns. The development of fauna (abundance) and the species richness were significantly higher at Type II-SBs irrespective of the presence of green tides, and these two variables were also higher where green tides

Table 1

eneral characteristics of the thirteen sandy beaches (from SBA to SBN	, with indications relative to the presence o	f green tides and to the Type of beache	es (I or I
---	---	---	------------

a lable 2, for the	p < 0.001, whereas no enect of green fides was found at 5bs of
SB _C , SB _G , SB _I , SB _K ,	Type II (for additional information regarding individual comparison
ner SBs (A, B, D, E,	statistical tests, see supplement 4). In addition, analysis of the ef-
ial surveys, 3 per	fects of both factors 'Type' and 'GT', and their interaction
n tides, the mean	(Type \times GT), on multivariate responses of macrotidal SB macro-
23.1% and 4.3%—	fauna using a two-way PERMANOVA (Table 4) revealed a strong
ic matter content	significant interaction of the two factors 'Type' and 'GT', suggesting

3.3. Community composition and its dynamics related to habitat and green tides

are not the same depending on the type of SB.

that the effects of the accumulation of Ulva spp. on sandy beaches

were present (for both SB-types, Table 3, Fig. 4A, B). There were

strong significant interactions of the factors 'Type' and 'Green Tides'

for the Shannon and the Simpson diversity indices (Table 3).

Therefore, individual tests exploring differences in terms of di-

versity indices within each beach type with or without GT were

used. Shannon and Simpson diversity indices were significantly

affected by the presence of green tides at SBs of Type I (Fig. 4C, D,

p < 0.001), whereas no effect of green tides was found at SBs of

Over the 7 years of the study and among the 819 samples, a total of 36,643 macrofauna individuals were identified belonging to 273 different species. Considering each type of SBs, 10,649 and 25,994 individuals that belonged to 153 and 231 species were identified at SBs of Type I and Type II, respectively (temporal trends in mean species richness at each sandy beach is presented in supplements 6 and 7). Annelida was the most common phylum with 100 polychaete species, followed by Arthropoda with 95 malacostraca species, Mollusca with 40 bivalve species, and Echinodermata with 3 ophiuroid species. The four classes Polychaeta, Ophiuroidea, Malacostraca and Bivalvia represented more than 90% (ranged from 94.2 to 100%) of the mean relative abundance from 2007 to 2013 (Fig. 5). At SBs of Type I, the mean relative abundances of Polychaeta and Ophiuroidea were higher where GT occurred compared to pristine SBs (Type I-NoGT). On the contrary, the relative abundances of Bivalvia and Malacostraca were lower where GT occurred at SBs of Type I (Fig. 5). At sandy beaches of Type II, the relative abundance of Malacostraca was higher and the relative abundances of Bivalvia and Polychaeta lower where GT occurred (Fig. 5).

Total species richness over 7 years at SBs of Type I was 153 (128 and 84, with and without green tides respectively) and at SBs of Type II 231 (187 and 168, with and without green tides respectively). The 46 species presented in Table 5, which belong to the four main classes presented in Fig. 5, made up >90% of the total abundance at each of the four categories of SBs (TI and TII, with and

			· // · · · ////			0.1		51	· /
Beach	Beach code	Beach type	Latitude	Longitude	L (km) ^a	W (m) ^b	S (ha) ^c	Spring tide (m)	Slope (%)
Saint-Cast	Ad	I	48.63	-2.25	1.7	2410	403	13.2	0.5
Saint-Brieuc	B ^d	I	48.55	-2.69	26.4	1520	4014	11.9	0.5
Arcouest	С	II	48.82	-3.02	0.4	403	14	11.8	2.9
Saint-Efflam	D ^d	I	48.68	-3.60	3.0	1536	457	10.05	0.7
Callot	Ed	II	48.68	-3.93	4.9	760	372	9.7	1.4
Sainte-Marguerite	F ^d	II	48.61	-4.61	1.6	1287	208	8.4	0.7
Blancs-Sablons	G	I	48.37	-4.76	1.4	325	45	7.6	2.3
Aber	H ^d	I	48.23	-4.45	1.9	392	74	7.4	1.9
Audierne	I	I	47.88	-4.37	11.6	342	398	5.8	1.7
Gâvres	J ^d	II	47.70	-3.33	1.6	461	76	5.6	1.2
Erdeven	К	I	47.69	-3.33	7.3	320	234	5.6	1.8
Plouharnel	L	II	47.56	-3.12	3.2	1025	324	5.7	0.6
Kerjouanno	M^{d}	I	47.53	-2.87	1.9	149	28	5.1	3.7

^a Length of beach.

^b Width of beach.

c Surface of beach.

d Presence of green tides.

Environmental characteristics of the thirteen sandy beaches (from SB_A to SB_M) yearly sampled from 2007 to 2013 with indications relative to the presence of green tides, to the type of beaches. The environmental variables are ordered in regards to their nature: marine, terrestrial, biological, or relative to sediment.

Variables	Beach	Saint-Cast	Saint-Brieuc	Arcouest	Saint-Efflam	Callot	Sainte- Marguerite	Blancs- Sablons	Aber	Audierne	Gâvres	Erdeven	Plouharnel	Kerjouanno
	Beach code	A ^j	Bj	с	Dj	Ej	F ^j	G	H ^j	I	J ^j	К	L	Mj
	Beach type	I	I	II	I	II	II	I	I	I	II	Ι	II	I
Biological	GT occurrence ^a	7	19	0	20	18	18	0	4	0	5	0	0	2
var.	Ulva coverage ^b	0.6 ± 0.9	7.7 ± 2.9	0	23.1 ± 5.4	19.3 ± 9.3	4.3 ± 2.3	0	2.8 ± 5.5	0	13.6 ± 17.2	0	0	1.3 ± 2.2
	OMC ^{c,d} (%)	1.4 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.9	1.3 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.2
Sediment	Median ^c (µm)	155.5 ± 28.3	174.6 ± 24.6	301.1 ± 67.1	140.1 ± 13.8	368.0 ± 317.7	310.7 ± 75.6	217.4 ± 38.2	158.9 ± 13.3	207.7 ± 38.8	563.6 ± 329.1	153.6 ± 21.6	355.5 ± 467.0	280.4 ± 426.9
var.	Sorting Index ^c	2.7 ± 0.8	3.2 ± 1.4	4.1 ± 1.1	1.7 ± 0.1	4.3 ± 1.6	2.5 ± 1.0	1.9 ± 0.2	2.9 ± 1.2	1.8 ± 0.1	7.6 ± 5.6	2.7 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 3.1	3.3 ± 1.3
	Beach Index ^c	3.9 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.1	3.6 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.0	3.2 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.0	3.1 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.0	3.4 ± 0.2	2.6 ± 0.2
	Gravel ^c (%)	3.5 ± 3.0	6.6 ± 5.4	11.3 ± 7.0	0.2 ± 0.2	15.3 ± 8.3	5.8 ± 8.3	0.3 ± 0.2	4.9 ± 4.2	0.8 ± 0.6	20.1 ± 13.5	5.1 ± 2.7	7.3 ± 13.6	10.9 ± 11.7
	Sand ^c (%)	94.5 ± 3.4	92.0 ± 5.3	83.8 ± 7.1	97.3 ± 0.6	80.2 ± 11.1	92.3 ± 8.5	98.6 ± 0.3	93.9 ± 4.2	98.2 ± 0.6	77.3 ± 13.3	93.8 ± 2.7	91.4 ± 13.5	88.1 ± 11.5
	Mud ^c (%)	2.0 ± 0.9	1.4 ± 0.8	4.9 ± 2.9	2.5 ± 0.6	4.5 ± 10.8	1.8 ± 0.7	1.1 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.2	2.6 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.2
Marine	SWT ^{e,f} (°C)	9.0 ± 0.9	9.6 ± 0.9	10.1 ± 0.9	10.2 ± 0.8	10.8 ± 0.8	11.4 ± 0.7	11.7 ± 0.5	9.9 ± 0.9	11.7 ± 0.6	11.6 ± 0.7	11.6 ± 0.7	11.4 ± 0.9	11.4 ± 0.9
var.	Salinity ^e (‰)	35.2 ± 0.2	35.2 ± 0.2	35.2 ± 0.2	35.2 ± 0.2	35.3 ± 0.1	35.4 ± 0.1	35.5 ± 0.1	35.0 ± 0.2	35.4 ± 0.1	35.3 ± 0.2	35.3 ± 0.2	35.1 ± 0.2	35.1 ± 0.2
Terrestrial	Air Temp. ^{e,g} (°C)	6.8 ± 1.2	6.5 ± 1.1	6.5 ± 1.1	7.4 ± 1.0	7.5 ± 1.0	7.9 ± 1.0	8.5 ± 0.9	7.6 ± 1.1	8.7 ± 1.1	7.2 ± 1.3	7.4 ± 1.2	7.4 ± 1.2	7.3 ± 1.2
var.	Wind speed ^e (1/10 m/s)	1.3 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 0.2	2.4 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.5	1.4 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.3
	Wind speed ^e (1/10 m/s)													
	Wind dir. ^{e,h} (/360°)	193.8 ± 20.6	186.6 ± 21.3	186.6 ± 21.3	188.1 ± 21.4	193.9 ± 16.2	192.7 ± 14.2	200.2 ± 17.1	192.1 ± 20.0	203.5 ± 14.5	178.6 ± 18.1	178.6 ± 18.1	178.6 ± 18.1	179.0 ± 17.6
	Rainfall ⁱ (mm)	158.9 ± 58.2	185.9 ± 72.8	185.9 ± 72.8	426.2 ± 121.8	228.1 ± 78.4	255.5 ± 71.3	218.9 ± 63.7	275.5 ± 77.3	206.3 ± 65.3	246.5 ± 75.4	244.0 ± 76.9	243.9 ± 76.9	175.5 ± 41.5

^a Count over 21 flight overs (3 per year from 2007 to 2013).

^b Mean estimation of GT coverage of the measured values from 2007 to 2013 (CEVA).

^c Mean \pm SD of the measured values from 2007 to 2013.

^d OMC = Organic Matter Content.

 e Mean \pm SD of the measured values between January and March from 2007 to 2013.

 $^{\rm f}$ SWT = Sea Water Temperature.

^g Temp. = temperature. ^h Dir. = direction.

ⁱ Mean ± SD of sums of rainfall felt between January and March from 2007 to 2013.

^j Presence of green tides.



Fig. 3. Principal component analysis (PCA) of sites Type I–NoGT (open circles), Type I–GT (open triangles), Type II–NoGT (filled circles) and Type II–GT (filled triangles), based on Hellinger transformed abundance of benthic community sampled at the thirteen sandy beaches from 2007 to 2013. With GT = Green Tides.

without green tides). The Type I sandy beach community was dominated by the suspension-feeding bivalve *D. vittatus* (Table 5), which contribution to the total abundance by almost 50% in beaches where no green tides occurred. However, the relative abundance of *D. vittatus* did not exceed 26% at sandy beaches with green

Table	2
Table	3

Results of 2-way ANOVAs of univariate variables calculated based on abundance data of the thirteen SBs yearly sampled from 2007 to 2013. Total number of observations was 273. Significant values at $p \leq 0.05$ are shown in bold; *p $\leq 0.05,^{**}p \leq 0.001$.

	df	MS	F	р
log(A)				
Туре	1	167.51	178.94	< 2.10 ⁻¹⁶ ***
GT	1	4.53	4.84	2.87.10 ⁻² *
Type* GT	1	0.8	0.86	$3.55.10^{-1}$
Res.	269	0.94		
S				
Туре	1	10,622	348.56	< 2.10 ⁻¹⁶ ***
GT	1	698	22.89	2.83.10 ⁻⁶ ***
Type* GT	1	108	3.56	6.10^{-2}
Res.	269	30		
Н				
Туре	1	18.48	27.57	3.08.10 ⁻⁷ ***
GT	1	9.38	14	2.24.10 ⁻⁴ ***
Type* GT	1	13.33	19.89	1.21.10 ⁻⁵ ***
Res.	269	0.67		
D				
Туре	1	0.032	0.77	$3.81.10^{-1}$
GT	1	0.23	5.36	2.13.10 ⁻² *
Type* GT	1	0.63	14.96	1.38.10 ⁻⁴ ***
Res.	269	0.04		

tides. Other species were also negatively affected by green tides at sandy beaches of Type I, namely the selective surface-feeder *Spiophanes bombyx*, the burrower amphipods *Bathyporeia elegans* and *Bathyporeia pelagica* as well as other crustaceans (*Cumopsis* spp. and *Eurydice affinis*). On the other hand, the omnivorous ophiuroid *Acrocnida* cf. *spatulispina*, the tube-dweller polychaete *Owenia fusiformis* and the selective surface feeder *Tellina tenuis* were positively affected by the presence of green tides at SBs of Type I.



Fig. 4. Mean + SE abundance (A), species richness (B), Shannon index (C) and Simpson index (D) of zoobenthos sampled in the thirteen sandy beaches which take part of two habitats (I & II, fine and heterogeneous sediment in light grey and dark grey respectively) around Brittany. GT = Green Tides. Level of significance: * $p \le 0.05$, *** $p \le 0.001$.

386 **Table 4**

Results of 2-way PERMANOVA of multivariate responses of macrofauna based on abundance data of a sub-sample of 8 beaches yearly samples from 2007 to 2013. Total number of observations was 168. Significant values at $p \leq 0.05$ are shown in bold; ***p ≤ 0.001 .

	df	MS	F	р
Туре	1	24.91	41.00	1.10 ⁻⁴ ***
GT	1	3.48	5.73	1.10 ⁻⁴ ***
Type* GT	1	3.20	5.27	1.10 ⁻⁴ ***
Res.	164	0.61	0.76	

The Type II sandy beach community was dominated by the herbivorous tanaid *Aspeudopsis latreillii*, which showed a relative increase from pristine to eutrophicated beaches. The same pattern was observed for the amphipod *C. arenarium*, which went from being almost completely absent to reaching 8% in the presence of green tides. Three large polychaete species were negatively influenced by the presence of GT (*Euclymene oerstedi, Lanice conchilega* and *Notomastus latericeus*) (Table 5).

3.4. Disentangling the effects of the environment, space and time

The two correlation triplots of redundancy analyses of macrofaunal data from all SBs for each habitat constrained by environment (Fig. 6) revealed contrasting responses in the different types of SBs. At SBs of Type I, two dot-clouds were identified: the bulk of unvegetated sites were largely separated from sites where green tides occurred. The divergence of these communities was associated with the explanatory variables Rainfall, *Ulva* (cov. and occ.), Tide and Width (of beach). The ordination diagram (Fig. 6) also showed strong and positive association between coverage by green tides (*Ulva* cov.) and *Owenia fusiformis* and *Acrocnida* cf. spat*ulispina*. Patterns were less evident at SBs of Type II, where sediment variables (percentage of Sand and Gravel) and Slope, Tide and Width of beaches drove the ordination.

In order to specifically evaluate the explanatory power of

environmental variables as well as spatial and temporal variables in the context of this large scale study, we partitioned the variance of macrofauna in the two habitats with respect to environment (variables not related to GT), presence of Ulva mats (Ulva occurrence and coverage), spatial and temporal explanatory variables (Table 6). The proportion of variation explained by the combination of the four sets of explanatory variables was always significant and higher for Type II SBs compared to Type I SBs (30.8 and 41.7%, p < 0.05). This higher proportion of variation explained is mainly attributable to a better fit of environmental variables to variation in the macrofauna community observed at sandy beaches of Type II, as the explanatory power of the set of environmental variables was lower in Type I than Type II SBs (25.4 and 40.7%, p < 0.05). Explanatory variables related to Ulva explained significantly 7.8 and 6.7% (p < 0.05) of the variation in macrofauna at Type I and Type II SBs respectively. Spatial variables also significantly explained high proportions of variation observed in macrozoobenthic assemblages (23.2 and 29.8% for Type I and II respectively, p < 0.05). However, the proportion of variation explained by temporal variables was low regardless of habitat (2.1 and 1.1% for Type I and II respectively, p < 0.05).

4. Discussion

Our large-scale field study revealed a general regional response of macrotidal sandy beach macrofauna to the presence of drifting macroalgal mats and also showed habitat- and species-specific responses to the occurrence of green tides. Overall, our results demonstrate that eutrophication by macroalgae modify the structure of highly dynamic environments, such as macrotidal sandy beaches, despite a large natural and regional variability.

4.1. Sandy beach macrofauna large spatial and temporal scale dataset

By using a long-term dataset (7 years) covering a large area



Fig. 5. Relative abundance of the dominant class – SE at SBs of Type I without green tides (top-left panel), Type I harbouring green tides (top-middle panel), Type II without green tides (down-left panel), Type II harbouring green tides (down-middle panel) at each sampling occasion (from 2007 to 2013) and the mean relative abundance – SE over time (7 years) at SBs of Type I (top-right panel) and of Type II (down-right panel) harbouring (GT) or not (no-GT) green tides.

Table 5

Percent dominance in terms of abundance for the major species (threshold = 90%) living at the sandy beaches of Type I and II harbouring or not green tides (GT or no-GT). Bold face type numbers show contributions that are higher than 1%. Taxonomic group and selected biological traits (feeding habit, feeding strategy, mobility, maximal size and reproduction/development) are given for each species. Traits data collected from MarLIN, EOL, polytraits, and BIOTIC databases, and personal observations.

Species	Taxonomic group	Abunda	nce dor	ninance (S	%)	Functiona	l characteristics			
		TI		TII		Feeding	Feeding	Mobility	Maximal	Reproduction
		No GT	GT	No GT	GT	habit	strategy		size (mm)	development
Ampharete sp.	Annelida polychaeta	_	_	_	0.9	D	SF, SPF	Т	~20	Ovi
Aonides oxycephala		_	0.0	0.1	1.7	D	SuF, SPF	Т	81	Ovi
Caulleriella alata		-	_	0.3	0.7	D	SuF, SPF	Т	12	Ovi
Chaetozone gibber		-	1.6	0.3	0.5	D	SuF, SPF	Т	20	Ovi
Euclymene oerstedi		-	0.2	7.8	1.9	D	SuF	Т	100	Ovi
Glycera tridactyla		-	0.8	0.2	0.3	Ca	SPF	B, (S)	50	Ovi
Lanice conchilega		-	0.5	3.9	0.9	D	SF, SPF	Т	300	Ovi
Leiochone leiopygos		-	1.6	1.7	1.4	D	SuF	Т	200	Ovi
Macroclymene santandarensis		-	0.0	1.4	0.7	D	SuF	Т	150	Ovi
Magelona filiformis		0.5	1.6	0.1	_	D	SuF, SPF	В	80	Ovi
Marphysa bellii		-	0.0	0.2	0.5	Ca	SPF	В	200	Ovi (Ovo)
Nephtys cirrosa		3.1	3.2	1.6	0.2	Ca, Sc	SPF	B, C, (S)	100	Ovi
Nephtys hombergii		0.1	0.7	0.7	0.7	Ca, Sc	SPF	B, C, (S)	200	Ovi
Notomastus latericeus		_	1.7	13.8	9.1	D	SuF	В	300	F, Ovi
Oligochaeta spp.Oligochaeta spp.		0.0	0.1	_	0.6	D	SuF	В		F, Ovi
Owenia fusiformis		0.2	8.0	0.4	0.0	D	SuF, SF, SPF	Т	100	Ovi
Paradoneis sp2Paradoneis sp2		-	_	1.9	0.1	D	SPF	В	15	Ovi
Phyllodoce mucosa		0.2	0.1	0.1	0.6	Ca	SPF	B, C, (S)	100	Ovi
Poecilochaetus serpens		_	_	1.2	0.1	D	SF, SuF, SPF	Т	55	Ovi
Scoloplos armiger		0.2	0.6	3.8	3.9	D	SSF	B, C	120	Ovo
Sigalion mathildae		0.4	2.1	0.0	_	Ca	SPF	B, C, (S)	150	Ovi
Spio spp.Spio spp.		0.1	0.4	1.8	1.0	D	SPF	В	~150	F, Ovi
Spiophanes bombyx		3.9	0.4	0.1	0.0	D	SuF, SPF	Т	60	Ovi
Ampelisca brevicornis	Arthropoda Malacostraca	0.0	_	0.5	0.7	D	SF	Т	12	Ovo
Apseudopsis latreillii	1	0.0	_	36.1	49.2	D, H	SPF	S, (C)	7	Ovo
Bathyporeia elegans		2.7	0.4	_	0.1	D, Hµ	SPF	В	6	Ovo
Bathyporeia pelagica		4.1	1.2	0.2	0.1	D. Hu	SPF	B. (nS)	8	Ovo
Corophium arenarium		-	_	0.0	8.1	D, H	SuF, SF, SPF	B, C	7	Ovo
Cumopsis spp.		6.3	0.4	0.2	0.0	D	SSF, SPF	B(nS)	~6	Ovo
Diogenes pugilator		0.6	1.6	0.0	_	Ca	SPF	C, (B)	20	Ovo
Eurydice affinis		9.0	0.3	_	_	Ca	SPF	S, B, (nS)	7	Ovo
Leptocheirus hirsutimanus		_	0.4	0.8	0.1	Hu	SF	Т	8	Ovo
Leucothoe incisa		-	0.6	0.4	0.3	D	SuF, SPF	B, C	7	Ovo
Siphonoecetes kroyeranus		-	6.9	0.6	0.8	D, Hµ	SuF, SPF	T, C	5	Ovo
Synchelidium maculatum		3.8	0.2	_	_	Ca	SPF	В	5	Ovo
Urothoe poseidonis		0.1	3.4	1.6	1.0	Hμ	SSF	B(nS)	6	Ovo
Urothoe pulchella		0.6	5.9	3.3	1.2	Ήμ	SSF	B (nS)	5	Ovo
Acrocnida cf. spatulispina	Echinodermata	1.0	5.5	0.0	-	0, (Ca)	SPF	В	12*	Ovi
Connected annual adults	Opniuroidea		10	0.0	0.0		CF.	D	20	0:
Cerastoaerma eaule	Mollusca Divalvia	-	1.2	0.6	0.6	нμ	SF CDF (C F)	в	20	OVI
Donax trunculus		6.2	2.2	_	-	D, Hμ	SF, SPF, (SuF)	D, C, B	45	OVi
Donax vittatus		48.4	25.8	0.0	_	D, Ημ	SF, SPF, (SuF)	D, C, B	38	UVI
Kurtiella bidentata		0.3	4.2	0.1	1.0	D, Ημ	SF, SUF	С, В	6	OVI
Loripes lacteus		-	0.0	3.0	1.6	Sy, D	SF, Sy	В	22	OVI
Lucinoma borealis		-	0.0	0.3	0.9	Sy, D	SF, Sy	В	39	UVI
Tellina tenuis		2.4	7.5	0.6	0.2	D, Hµ	SuF, SPF	В	20	Ovi
Nassarius reticulatus	Mollusca gastropoda	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.3	Sc	SPF	C	30	Ovi

 $\label{eq:constraint} Feeding \ habit: \ Ca = Carnivore; \ D = Detritivore; \ H = Herbivore; \ H\mu = Micro-Herbivore; \ O = Omnivore; \ Sc = Scavenger; \ Sy = Symbiosis.$

 $Feeding \ strategy: SF = Suspension \ feeder; \ SPF = Selective \ particle \ feeder; \ SSF = Sub-surface \ feeder; \ SuF = Surface \ feeder; \ Sy = Symbols.$

Mobility: B = Burrower; C = Crawler; D = Drifter; nS = night Swimmer; S = Swimmer; T = Tube dweller.

Reproduction development: F = Fragmentation; Ovi = Oviparous; Ovo = Ovoviviparous.

(thousands of kilometres), we integrated temporal and spatial variability of sandy beach assemblages. Our study also embraced the great variability of abiotic conditions and characteristics (bio-physical variables, Tables 1 and 2) of macrotidal sandy beaches along the coasts of Brittany. Considering spatial, temporal and environmental variability of macrofaunal assemblages enables robust analysis of possible responses of the benthic community to changes in sandy beach ecosystems (Magurran et al., 2010; Schoeman et al., 2014). Spatial and temporal large-scale comparison of assemblages sampled where eutrophication stress may be high (i.e. presence of green tides, Ménesguen & Piriou 1995; Charlier et al., 2007) with ones sampled in pristine macrotidal SBs allows us to detect changes that can be attributed to anthropogenic

activities. These changes were investigated in exposed and semiexposed macrotidal SBs, which form two habitats made of clean fine sand and of more heterogeneous sediments, Type I and Type II sandy beaches respectively.

4.2. Overall response of zoobenthic communities

Firstly, our hypothesis regarding the influence of green tides on macrofaunal benthic structure was verified for a subset of variables, namely abundance and species richness, which were affected in both beach types. Our results support the hypothesis that differences in macrofaunal community structure observed arose, to some extent, from the presence of green tides on shore. This is consistent



Fig. 6. RDA correlation triplot of macrofaunal community data and all environmental variables of the sandy beaches of Type I (left panel) and of Type II (right panel) harbouring or not green tides (filled and open circles respectively). Ulva cov. = Ulva coverage, Ulva occ. = Ulva occurrence, BI = Beach index (log₁₀[sand.tide/slope], where slope = beach face slope, sand = mean grain size in phi units +1 and tide = maximum spring tide range in meters), OMC = organic matter content, Wind dir. = wind direction, Temp. = air temperature, Median = Q50, second quartile of the sediment grain size, SI = sorting index calculated based on first and third quartile ratio ($\sqrt{Q25/Q75}$, where Q25 and Q75 denote the first and the third quartiles of the sediment grain size, expectively), Sand = % of gravel.

Table 6

Results of variance partitioning of the macrofauna time series at SBs of Type I and II with respect to environmental (physical and biological variables except *Ulva* variables), *Ulva* (green tide occurrence and coverage), spatial (spatial MEMs) and temporal (temporal MEMs) explanatory variables. Variation explained expressed as a percentage (%) based on adjusted R². [E] = environmental variables except the ones relative to green tides, [U] = *Ulva* variables, [S] = selected spatial variables, [S] = selected variables, [S] = sele

Var.	Type I		Type II	
	Df	%	Df	%
[E + U + S + T]	25	30.8 **	21	41.7 **
[E + U]	20	26.2 **	20	41.4 **
[E]	18	25.4 **	18	40.7 **
[U]	2	7.8 **	2	6.7 **
[S]	7	23.2 **	4	29.8 **
[T]	2	2.1 **	1	1.1 *
Unexpl.		69.1		58.2

** = p < 0.01.

with previous studies assessing the responses of macrofauna to eutrophication by drifting macroalgae (e.g. Hull, 1987; Norkko and Bonsdorff, 1996). In the present study, abundance and species richness were significantly higher at beaches where green macroalgae blooms occurred. As we detect an influence of green tides on SB fauna over larger spatial and temporal scales despite the temporal mismatch between Ulva and fauna sampling, this suggests long-lasting effects of Ulva accumulation on SB zoobenthos. In other words, we see effects on the macrofaunal community even after it has had time to recover following the disintegration/ disappearance of the green tide. Our study provides conservative estimates of Ulva-induced changes in community structure, as we do not assess faunal responses during bloom peaks. In this respect, our results on zoobenthos of macrotidal sandy beaches under the influence of eutrophication are novel. Furthermore, it raises the question of the magnitude of impact on macrotidal sandy beach ecosystem during the actual green macroalgae bloom (i.e. from spring until late summer under these latitudes) as addressed by Quillien et al. (2015).

4.3. Habitat specific response to accumulation of Ulva spp.

Our second hypothesis regarding the different responses of infauna to Ulva spp. blooms depending on the habitat (Type I or II sandy beaches) was also verified, considering diversity indices and community composition. The present study shows that the two types of sandy beaches with two distinct macrobenthic assemblages, respond differentially to eutrophication measured as green tides. More importantly and surprisingly, open sandy beaches made of clean fine sands (Type I) respond more pronouncedly to the presence of Ulva mats than more sheltered beaches with heterogeneous sands (Type II). Indeed, our study shows that diversity indices were significantly higher where green tides occurred compared to beaches that never harboured Ulva mats at exposed SBs (Type I), while this was not true for semi-exposed SBs (Type II) (as shown in Fig. 3 and Table 3). In addition, our results highlight different shifts in benthic community structure where detached Ulva spp. was washed ashore depending on the Type of SBs (Fig. 6). These multivariate responses were in line with the significant interaction found using the 2-way PERMANOVA analysis (Table 4). These results, both considering univariate and multivariate responses of macroinvertebrates, suggest that eutrophication by green tides is an important factor stressing and structuring zoobenthos living at macrotidal sandy beaches of Type I, whereas the influence of GT on benthic communities was different, i.e. less pronounced, but nevertheless detectable at SBs of Type II (Table 6). This contradicts what has been previously shown in micro- or atidal sheltered shores regarding the effects of eutrophication by macroalgal mats on zoobenthic communities (e.g. Hull, 1987). Further research at a finer spatial and temporal scale would be required to follow effects of drifting macroalgal mats on macrotidal sandy beach benthic communities, especially at SBs of Type II. Also the fact that the two sandy habitats studied respond differently to drifting macroalgal mats is ecologically interesting and may have implications for 1) the understanding of macrotidal sandy beach functioning as such, and 2) the conservation of these environments. And since wrack subsidies are common across sandy shores worldwide (Dugan et al., 2003; McLachlan and Brown, 2006) it would be interesting to compare responses of surf zone benthic communities at a global scale (c.f. Arroyo and Bonsdorff, 2015).

4.4. Other important drivers

Besides the shifts in zoobenthic community structure linked to the presence of green tides, our study also shows that "natural" environmental variables play a key role in explaining variation of benthic fauna inhabiting macrotidal sandy shores (Table 6, Fig. 6). Intrinsic features of beaches, such as tidal regime, slope, and width, as well as several environmental characteristics, such as the percentage of sand and gravel, are essential variables shaping macroinvertebrate communities within sandy beaches. Interestingly, rainfall (which may indirectly drive the eutrophication process) is also an important variable influencing zoobenthos responses. These results are in line with those of other studies linking environmental variables to sandy beach macrofauna in the same ecoregion (Lastra et al., 2006) and worldwide (Defeo and McLachlan, 2005 & 2013, and reference therein).

In addition, our results highlight the importance of location along the coast of Brittany (i.e. spatial variables), which are significant forces driving the swash-zone benthic community structure (Table 6). This pattern may be explained by the hydroclimatological peculiarities that exist along the coasts of Brittany (see Tréguer et al., 2014). The influence of temporal variables on the responses of the benthic communities was lower but still significant. In a context of increasing seawater temperature through time (Tréguer et al., 2014), the significant influence of temporal variables on sandy beach macrofauna community structure could be linked to a possible effect of climate change (Schoeman et al., 2014). Further research and analyses would be needed to test the hypothesis of changes in benthic community structure due to global warming, also raised in other studies within this biogeographical transition area (Quillien et al., 2012; Derrien-Courtel et al., 2013; Gallon et al., 2014).

4.5. Species-specific responses of sandy beach marine invertebrates

The ultimate aim of our study regarding the characterization of SB macrofauna responses to presence of drifting macroalgae mats in terms of biology and ecology was also met. The SBs in our study had a rich benthic community, composed of nearly three hundred species, and was highly variable between the two habitats as well as in time and space. Macrozoobenthic assemblages were mainly composed of polychaetes, crustaceans, molluscs and ophiurids, which is consistent with other studies (Leber, 1982; McLachlan, 1983; Degraer et al., 1999; Rodil et al., 2006). Our results show that the zoobenthos of macrotidal sandy beaches respond differently to the occurrence of green tides.

On one hand, some species are stimulated by the presence of Ulva spp. mats. This is the case of the polychaete Owenia fusiformis, which can survive long hypoxia periods (Watson, 1901). In addition, Owenia feeds in two distinct ways, either by removing small suspended particles form the water column in a ciliary manner, or by taking up much larger particles with its labial palps (Dales, 1957), which may be a way to ingest wave-smashed Ulva spp. Acrocnida cf. spatulispina is also stimulated by the presence of green tides possibly because they feed on deposit-feeding bivalves (Glémarec, 1979), whose recruitment may be enhanced by the presence of algal mats (Hull, 1987; Quillien et al., 2015). Apseudopsis latreillii was also found in greater abundance at SBs where green tides occurred compared to non-impacted beaches. This tanaid has been reported to be a tolerant species (Grall and Glémarec, 1997; de Juan et al., 2007) and a subsurface deposit feeder, which mostly eats

macrophyte detritus. *C. arenarium*, which exhibits the same feeding habits than *A. latreillii* (Table 5), seems to benefits from the presence of GT, too.

On the other hand, several species inhabiting sandy beaches seem to respond negatively where green tides occur. This is, for example, the case of the large tube-dweller polychaetes Euclymene oerstedi and Lanice conchilega, which are sensitive to low oxygen conditions mainly because of their lack of mobility. In contradiction with its reported opportunistic nature (Giangrande and Fraschetti, 1993). Notomastus latericeus abundance was also lower at SBs where GT occurred compared to beaches that did not harbour algal mats. This pattern may be due to a lack of recruitment and to competition with other polychaete species (Giangrande and Fraschetti, 1993), as both processes can be influenced by the presence of Ulva (Hull, 1987). Similarly, the abundance of Synchelidium maculatum diminished where GT occurred. This amphipod feeds on meiofauna (Yu et al., 2003), and Carriço et al. (2013) have shown that the diversity of meiofauna inhabiting macrotidal sandy beaches is negatively affected by the presence of green tides. Thus, accumulation of Ulva spp. has most likely an indirect negative effect on S. maculatum. Green tides also negatively influence other crustaceans, which are invertebrates especially sensitive to lack of oxygen (Gray et al., 2002), namely Bathyporeia elegans, B. pelagica, Cumopsis spp. and E. affinis, perhaps due to hypoxia induced by the presence of macroalgal mats. Finally, green tides negatively affect one of the main structuring genera for macrotidal sandy beaches (Guillou, 1982) and an important food source for juvenile flatfish (Speybroeck et al., 2007), namely Donax spp. and Spiophanes bombyx respectively (Table 5).

More generally and in a biological/functional trait perspective, it seems that macroalgal mats favour herbivorous and suspension feeding benthic invertebrates, whereas sub-surface deposit feeders, large size species, as well as bivalve drifters, which surf up and down the shore with the tides, appear to be negatively affected by the presence of the green alga on the beaches. One way to explore further the effect of GT on the functioning of macrotidal SBs is the Biological Trait Approach, which has proved its utility at large spatial and temporal scales and in relation to anthropogenic pressures (Törnroos, 2014).

5. Conclusion

Our study shows that despite tremendous physical constraints, the ephemeral nature of Ulva spp. mats, and the temporal mismatch between fauna and Ulva samplings, macrotidal sandy beach zoobenthos respond to presence of drifting macroalgae suggesting that the ecology of sandy beaches has some predictability. Our results also suggest that biological forces may have a stronger effect on macrobenthic communities inhabiting sandy beaches than was previously described in the literature (Defeo and McLachlan, 2005; McLachlan and Dorvlo, 2005; McLachlan and Brown, 2006), even at very large spatial and long temporal scales. Our study also reveals that, interestingly, the effects of green tides on macrobenthic assemblages were stronger at exposed sandy beaches than at semi-exposed beaches. The shifts observed in macrofauna community structure are also reflected in the responses in terms of biology and ecology of the zoobenthos, suggesting that the functioning of such dynamic systems is directly and indirectly under the influence of eutrophication. To our knowledge, our work is the first to demonstrate that macroalgal accumulations influence low-shore zoobenthos at large spatial and temporal scale within high-energy macrotidal sandy ecosystems. These results are of particular importance considering that green tides are increasing in intensity and frequency worldwide (Ye et al., 2011; Arroyo and Bonsdorff, 2015) and are currently spreading and accumulating on coasts of adjacent regions of France (CGDD, 2014). The continuance of large-scale surveys in a context of a changing ocean is thus crucial.

Statement or authorship

J.G. designed the study, B.G., M.M., V.L.G. and J.G. analysed samples, N.Q., M.C.N., E.B. and J.G. performed the analyses, N.Q. wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and all authors contributed substantially to revisions.

Acknowledgments

Thanks are due to the scientific and technical collaborators working within the REBENT with the support of the Brittany Council and the European Union. Foremost the authors thank the students, technicians, engineers, PhDs who helped in sampling and sorting all the samples taken from 2003 along the coasts of Brittany. Thank to O. Gauthier for his advice regarding statistical analyses. We also thank S. Ballu (CEVA) for providing us with the Ulva mat dataset. We thank L. Pineau-Guillou from Ifremer who provided us marine environmental data, C. Mazover from IUEM who developed the Pypredator software written in order to extract these data, M. Rouan from IUEM and F. Barer from Météo France who provided meteorological data. M. Elliott and 3 anonymous reviewers provided helpful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. This work was carried out as part of the PhD thesis of N. Quillien for Université de Bretagne Occidentale and Åbo Akademi University. E. Bonsdorff and M.C. Nordström are supported by the Åbo Akademi University Endowment.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.07.042.

References

- Allaby, M., 2010. A Dictionnary of Ecology, fourth ed. Oxford University Press, p. 480. Anderson, M.J., 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. Austral Ecol. 26. 32–46.
- Arroyo, N.L., Bonsdorff, E., 2015. The role of drifting algae for Marine biodiversity. In: Olafsson, E. (Ed.), Marine Macrophytes as Foundation Specis. Science Publishers, USA. In press.
- Blanchet, F.G., Legendre, P., Borcard, D., 2008. Forward selection of explanatory variables. Ecology 89, 2623–2632.
- Blott, S.J., Pye, K., 2001. Gradistat: a grain size distribution and statistics package for the analysis of unconsolidated sediments. Earth. Surf. Process. Landforms 26, 1237–1248.
- Bolam, S., Fernandes, T., Read, P., Raffaelli, D., 2000. Effects of macroalgal mats on intertidal sandflats: an experimental study. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 249, 123–137. Bombelli, V., Lenzi, M., 1996. Italy. The Orbetello lagoon and the Tuscany coast. Ecol.
- Bombelli, V., Lenzi, M., 1996. Italy. The Orbetello lagoon and the Tuscany coast. Ecol. Stud. 123, 331–337.
- Bonsdorff, E., 1992. Drifting algae and zoobenthos effects on settling and community structure. Neth. J. Sea Res. 30, 57–62.
- Borcard, D., Legendre, P., 1994. Environmental control and spatial structure in ecological communities: an example using oribatid mites (Acari, Oribatei). Environ. Ecol. Stat. 1, 37–61.
- Brown, A.C., McLachlan, A., 2002. Sandy shore ecosystems and the threats facing them: some predictions for the year 2025. Environ. Conserv. 29, 62–77. Carriço, R., Zeppilli, D., Quillien, N., Grall, J., 2013. Can meiofauna be a good bio-
- Carriço, K., Zeppilin, D., Quillen, N., Grali, J., 2013. Can meiofauna be a good biological indicator of the impacts of eutrophication caused by green macroalgal blooms? An aod – les cahiers Nat. de l'Observatoire Mar. 2, 9–16.CGDD, 2014. Les proliférations d'algues sur les côtes métropolitaines. Commissariat
- général au développement durable. Le point Sur 180, 1–4.
- Charlier, R.H., Morand, P., Finkl, C.W., Thys, A., 2007. Green tides on the brittany coasts. Environ. Res. Eng. Manage 41, 52–59. Cloern, J.E., 2001. Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication
- problem. Mar, Ecol. Prog. Ser. 210, 235–365. Dales, R.P., 1957. The feeding mechanism and structure of the gut of *Owenia fusi*-
- formis Delle Chiaje, Mar, Biol. Ass. UK. 36, 81–89. Dauvin, J.C., Bachelet, G., Bellan, G., 2006. Biodiversity and biogeographic re-
- lationships of the polychaete fauna in French Atlantic and Mediterranean

waters. Sci. Mar. 70S3, 259-267.

- De Juan, S., Thrush, S.F., Demestre, M., 2007. Functional changes as indicators of trawling disturbance on a benthic community located in a fishing ground (NW Mediterranean Sea). Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 334, 117–129.
- Defeo, O., Mclachlan, A., 2005. Patterns, processes and regulatory mechanisms in sandy beach macrofauna: a multi-scale analysis. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 295, 1–20. Defeo, O., McLachlan, A., 2013. Global patterns in sandy beach macrofauna: species richness, abundance, biomass and body size. Geomorphology 199, 106–114.
- Defeo, O., McLachlan, A., Schoeman, D.S., Schlacher, T.A., Dugan, J., Jones, A., Lastra, M., Scapini, F., 2009. Threats to sandy beach ecosystems: a review. Estuar. Coast. Shelf. S. 81, 1–12.
- Degraer, S., Mouton, I., De Neve, L., Vincx, M., 1999. Community structure and intertidal zonation of the macrobenthos on a macrotidal, ultra-dissipative sandy beach: summer-winter comparison. Estuaries 22, 742–752.
- Derrien-Courtel, S., Le Gal, A., Grall, J., 2013. Regional-scale analysis of subtidal rocky shore community. Helgol. Mar. Res. 67, 697–712.
- R Development Core Team, 2013. R: a Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http:// www.r-project.org/ (accessed December 2013). Dinter, W.P., 2001. Biogeography of the OSPAR Maritime Area: a Synopsis and
- Dinter, W.P., 2001. Biogeography of the OSPAR Maritime Area: a Synopsis and Synthesis of Biogeographical Distribution Patterns Described for the North East Atlantic Show Extra Info. Federal Agency for Nature Conservation.
- Dray, S., Legendre, P., Peres-Neto, P.S., 2006. Spatial modelling: a comprehensive framework for principal coordinate analysis of neighbour matrices (PCNM). Ecol. Model 196, 483–493.
- Dray, S., with contributions of Legendre, P, Blanchet, G., 2013. Packfor: Forward Selection with Permutation (Canoco p.46). R package version 0.0–8/r109. https://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/sedar/.
- Dugan, J.E., Hubbard, D.M., McCrary, M.D., Pierson, M.O., 2003. The response of macrofauna communities and shorebirds to macrophyte wrack subsidies on exposed sandy beaches of southern California. Estuar. Coast. Shelf. 5. 58, 25–40.
- Ehrhold, E., Hamon, D., Guillaumont, B., 2006. The REBENT monitoring network, a spatially integrated, acoustic approach to surveying nearshore macrobenthic habitats: application to the Bay of Concarneau (South Brittany, France). ICES J. Mar. Sci. 63, 1604–1615.
- Franz, D.R., Friedman, I., 2002. Effects of a macroalgal mat (Ulva lactuca) on estuarine sand flat copepods: an experimental study. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 271, 209–226.
- Gallon, R., Robuchon, M., Leroy, B., Le Gall, L., Valero, M., Feunteun, E., 2014. Twenty years of observed and predicted changes in subtidal red seaweed assemblages along a biogeographical transition zone: inferring potential causes from environmental data. J. Biogeogr. 41, 2293–2306.Giangrande, A., Fraschetti, S., 1993. Life cycle, growth and secondary production in a
- Giangrande, A., Fraschetti, S., 1993. Life cycle, growth and secondary production in a brackish water population of the polychaete *Notomastus latericeus* (Capitellidae) in the Mediteranean Sea. Mar. Ecol. 14, 313–327.
- Glémarec, M., 1978. Distribution bathymétrique et latitudinale des bivalves du Golfe de Gascogne. Haliotis 9, 45–48.
- Glémarec, M., 1979. Problèmes d'écologie dynamique et de succession en baie de Concarneau. Vie Milieu XXVIII–XXIX, 1–20.
- Grall, J., Chauvaud, L., 2002. Marine eutrophication and benthos: the need for new approaches and concepts. Glob. Change. Biol. 8, 813–830.
- Grall, J., Glémarec, M., 1997. Using biotic indices to estimate macrobenthic community perturbations in the bay of brest. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 44, 43–53.
- Gray, J.S., Elliott, M., 2009. Ecology of Marine Sediments. From Science to Management, second ed. Oxford University Press, p. 225, Gray, J.S., Wu, R.S., Or, Y.Y., 2002. Effects of hypoxia and organic enrichment on the
- coastal marine environment. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 238, 249–279. Guillou, J., 1982. Variabilité des populations de *Donax trunculus* et *Donax vittatus* en Baie de Douarnenez. Neth. J. Sea. Res. 16, 88–95.
- Bale de Douarnenez, Neth. J. Sea, Kes. 16, 88–95. Hull, S.C., 1987. Macroalgal mats and species abundance: a field experiment. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 25, 510–532
- Coast, Shelf Sci. 25, 519–532. Jeffrey, D.W., Madden, B., Rafferty, B., Dwyer, R., Wilson, J., Arnott, N., 1992. Dublin Bay Water Quality Management Plan. Technical report 7. Algal growths and foreshore quality. Environmental Research Unit, Dublin, p. 168.
- Lastra, M., de La Huz, R., Sánchez-Mata, A.G., Rodil, I.F., Aerts, K., Beloso, S., López, J., 2006. Ecology of exposed sandy beaches in northern Spain: environmental factors controlling macrofauna communities. J. Sea Res. 55, 128–214.
- Leber, K.M., 1982. Seasonality of macroinvertebrates on a temperate, high wave, energy sandy beach. Bull. Mar. Sci. 32, 86–98.
- Legendre, P., Gallagher, E., 2001. Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination of species data. Oecologia 129, 271–280. Legendre, P., Gauthier, O., 2014. Statistical methods for temporal and space-time
- analysis of community composition data. Proc. R. Soc. B 281, 1–9. Legendre, P., Legendre, L., 2012. Numerical Ecology, third ed. Elsevier Science, p. 990.
- Legendre, P., Borcard, D., Blanchet, G., Dray, S., 2013. PCNM: MEM Spatial Eigenfunction and Principal Coordinate Analyses. R package version 2.1–2/r109. http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/sedar/. Magurran, A.E., Baillie, S.R., Buckland, S.T., Dick, J.McP., Elston, D.A., Scott, E.M.,
- Magurran, A.E., Baillie, S.R., Buckland, S.T., Dick, J.McP., Elston, D.A., Scott, E.M., Smith, R.I., Somerfield, P.J., Watt, A.D., 2010. Special Issue: long-term ecological research long-term datasets in biodiversity research and monitoring: assessing change in ecological communities through time. Trends Ecol. Evol. 25, 575–582.
- Martinetto, P., Daleo, P., Escapa, M., Alberti, J., Isacch, J.P., Fanjul, E., Botto, F., Piriz, M.L., Ponce, G., Casas, G., Iribarne, O., 2010. High abundance and diversity of consumers associated with eutrophic areas in a semi-desert macrotidal

coastal ecosystem in Patagonia, Argentina. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 88, 357-364. Masselink, G., 1993. Simulating the effects of tides on beach morphodynamics. J. Coast. Res. 15, 180-197.

- McLachlan, A., 1983. Sandy beach ecology a review. In: McLachlan, A., Erasmus, T. (Eds.), Sandy Beaches as Ecosystems. Dr W. Junk Publishers, The Hague, pp. 321–380.
- McLachlan, A., Brown, A.C., 2006. The Ecology of Sandy Shores. Academic Press, Burlington, Massachusetts, p. 373.
- McLachlan, A., Dorvlo, A., 2005. Global patterns in sandy beach macrobenthic communities. J. Coast. Res. 21, 674–687.
- Ménesguen, A., Piriou, J.Y., 1995. Nitrogen loadings and macroalgal (Ulva sp.) mass accumulation in Brittany (France). Ophelia 42, 227–237. Monbet, Y., 1992. Control of phytoplankton biomass in estuaries: a comparative
- analysis of microtidal and macrotidal estuaries. Estuaries 15, 563-571.
- Nel, R., Campbell, E., Harris, L., Hauser, L., Schoeman, D.S., McLachlan, A., du Preez D Bezuidenhout K Schlacher TA 2014 The status of sandy heach science: past trends, progress, and possible futures. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 150, 1-10.
- Norkko, A., Bonsdorff, E., 1996. Rapid zoobenthic community responses to accumulations of drifting algae. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser. 131, 143–157.
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, G.F., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., O'Hara, R.B., 2011. Vegan: Community Ecology Package. http://www.cran.r-project.org/web/packa index.html (accessed 12 May 2014).
- Piriou, J.Y., Menesguen, A., Salomon, J.C., 1991. Les marées vertes à ulves: conditions essaires, évolution et comparaison de sites. In: Elliott, M., Ducrotoy, J.P. (Eds.), Estuaries and Coasts: Spatial and Temporal Intercomparisons, pp. 117–122. Olsen et Olsen.
- Quillien, N., Le Garrec, V., Grall, J., 2012. Nouvelles données sur la limite de distribution septentrionale d'Onuphis eremita (Audouin & Milne Edwards, 1833). An aod – les cahiers Nat. de l'Observatoire Mar. 1, 15–19.
- Quillien, N., Nordström, M.C., Gauthier, O., Bonsdorff, E., Paulet, Y.-M., Grall, J., 2015. Effects of macroalgal accumulations on the variability of zoobenthos of high-energy macrotidal sandy beaches. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 522, 97–114.
 Raffaelli, D.G., Raven, J.A., Poole, L.J., 1998. Ecological impact of green macroalgal
- blooms. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev. 36, 97-125.
- Rodil, I.F., Lastra, M., Sánchez-Mata, A.G., 2006. Community structure and intertidal zonation of the macroinfauna in intermediate sandy beaches in temperate latitudes: North coast of Spain. Estuar. Coast. Shelf. S. 67, 267-279.
- Schlacher, T.A., Dugan, J., Schoeman, D.S., Lastra, M., Jones, A., Scapini, F. McLachlan, A., Defeo, O., 2007. Sandy beaches at the brink. Divers. Distrib. 13, 556-560.
- Schlacher, T.A., Schoeman, D.S., Dugan, J., Lastra, M., Jones, A., Scapini, F., McLachlan, A., 2008. Sandy beach ecosystems: key features, sampling issues,

- management challenges and climate change impacts. Mar. Ecol. 29, 70-90. Schoeman, D.S., Schlacher, T.A., Defeo, O., 2014. Climate-change impacts on sandybeach biota: crossing a line in the sand. Glob. Change Biol. 20, 2383-2392.
- Schramm, W. 1999. Factors influencing seaweeds response to eutrophication: some results from EU-project EUMAC. J. Appl. Phycol. 11, 69-78.
- Short, A.D., 1996. The role of wave height, slope, tide range and embaymentisation in beach classification: a review. Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat. 69, 589-604.
- Speybroeck, J., Alsteens, L., Vincx, M., Degraer, S., 2007. Understanding the life of a sandy beach polychaete of functional importance Scolelepis squamata (Poly chaeta: Spionidae) on Belgian sandy beaches (northeastern Atlantic, North Sea) Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 74, 109-118.
- Sundbäck, K., 1994. The response of shallow-water sediment communities to environmental changes. In: Krumbein, W.E., et al. (Eds.), Biostabilisation of Sediments. BIS, Oldenburg, pp. 17–40.
- Thiel, M., Watling, L., 1998. Effects of green algal mats on infaunal colonization of a New England mud flat - long-lasting but highly localized effects. Hydrobiologia 375/376, 177-189.
- Törnroos, A.M., 2014. Interpreting Marine Benthic Ecosystem Functioning in Coastal Waters: Validating the Biological Trait Concept, PhD thesis. Åbo Akademi University, p. 45.
- Törnroos, A.M., Bonsdorff, E., 2012. Developing the multitrait concept for functional diversity: lessons from a system rich in functions but poor in species. Ecol. Appl. 22. 2221-2236.
- Tréguer, P., Goberville, E., Barrier, N., L'Helguen, S., Morin, P., Bozec, Y., Rimmelin-Maury, P., Czamanski, M., Grossteffan, E., Cariou, T., Répécaud, M., Quéméner, L., 2014. Large and local-scale influences on physical and chemical characteristics of coastal waters of Western Europe during winter. J. Mar. Syst. 139, 79-90.
- iela, I., McClelland, J., Hauxwell, J., Behr, P.J., Hersh, D., Foreman, K., 1997. Mac roalgal blooms in shallow estuaries: controls and ecophysiological and ecosystem consequences. Limnol. Oceanogr. 42, 1105–1118.
- Watson, A.T., 1901. On the structure and habits of the Polychaeta of the family Ammocharidae, J. Linn. Soc. Zool. 28, 230–260. Wildish, D.J., 1977. Factors controlling marine and estuarine sub-littoral macro-
- fauna. Helgoländer Wissenshaftliche Meeresunters. 30, 445–454.
- Wildish, D.J., Kristmanson, D.D., 1979. Tidal energy and sub-littoral macrobenthic animals in estuaries. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 36, 1197–1206.
- Ye, N., Zhang, X., Mao, Y., Liang, C., Xu, D., Zou, J., Zhuang, Z., Wang, Q., 2011. "Green tides" are overwhelming the coastline of our blue planet: taking the world's largest example. Ecol. Res. 26, 477–485.
- Yu, O.H., Suh, H.-L., Shirayama, Y., 2003. Feeding ecology of three amphipod species Synchelidium lenorostralum, S. trioostegitum and Gitanopsis japonica in the surf zone of a sandy shore. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 258, 189-199.

Large-scale effects of green tides on macrotidal sandy beaches: Habitat-specific responses of zoobenthos

Nolwenn Quillien^{1,2,4*}, Marie Nordström², Benjamin Guyonnet³, Marion Maguer⁴, Vincent Le Garrec⁴, Erik Bonsdorff², Jacques Grall⁴.

¹Laboratoire des Sciences de l'Environnement Marin (LEMAR), UMR CNRS 6539, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Plouzané, France. ²Åbo Akademi University, Department of Biosciences, Environmental and Marine Biology, Turku, Finland. ³SARL T.B.M., 56400 Auray. ⁴Observatoire des Sciences de la mer et de l'univers, UMS 3113, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Plouzané, France.

*Corresponding author: nolwenn.quillien@univ-brest.fr

Supplement. Additional information on spatial & temporal MEMs, and results of normality tests.



Supplement 1. Brittany (encompassed by the black box) is a marine biogeographical transition zone between two marine regions *sensu* the OSPAR commission: the separation between region IV (southern Brittany) and region II (northern Brittany) is mainly linked to hydrodynamics alternating vs. vortex/gyre currents).



Supplement 2. All dbMEMs (individual curves above) eigenfunctions generated based on distance matrix obtained by measuring distances between sandy beaches along the coasts of Brittany.



Supplement 3. All dbMEMs (individual curves above) eigenfunctions generated based on the number of sampling occasions and the number of years between sampling occasions.

Univariate variables within types	Test	df	W/t	b	
Type I (NoGT vs. GT)					
Η	W		1566.5	$1.18.10^{-8}$	* * *
D	W		2035.5	$3.10.10^{-5}$	***
Type II (NoGT vs. GT)					
Η	t	101.55	1.23	$2.23.10^{-1}$	ns
D	M		1484	$2.94.10^{-1}$	ns

Supplement 4. Results of the tests (t = Student; W = Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test) performing within each Type of SB_s (I or II) to compare mean univariate variables when Ulva mats are washed ashore or not (GT or NoGT respectively). These tests were performed when significant interaction of factors GT and Type was found after performing two-way ANOVAs. With H = Shannon index, D = Simpson index.



Green tides on inter- and subtidal sandy shores: differential impacts on infauna and flatfish.

N. Quillien^{1,2,4*}, M. C. Nordström², H. Le Bris³, E. Bonsdorff², J. Grall⁴.

¹Laboratoire des Sciences de l'Environnement Marin, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Plouzané, FRANCE

²Åbo Akademi University, Environmental and Marine Biology, Turku, FINLAND

³Ecologie et Santé des Écosystèmes, UMR 985, Agrocampus Ouest, Rennes, FRANCE

⁴Observatoire Marin, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Plouzané, FRANCE

* Corresponding author

E-mail nolwenn.quillien@gmail.fr

Telephone +33 682 073 741

Abstract

Beach ecosystems extend from dune to offshore areas along most of the coasts, and provide essential services that are not provided by any other ecosystem. Indeed, sandy systems contain unique biodiversity and supply nursery- and foraging areas for numerous commercially important marine species, such as flatfish. However, these systems are threatened by increasing anthropo-Green (GT. genic pressure. tides i.e. accumulations of green opportunistic macroalgae) are a major human-induced threat to marine ecosystems, from inshore to nearshore. Such eutrophication process greatly affects both benthic invertebrate communities and flatfish communities, within sheltered and non- or microtidal systems. However, the responses of dynamic open macrotidal sandy systems to eutrophication in the form of macroalgal mats remain to be fully understood. In particular, understanding the effects of GT on two connected biological compartments (infauna and flatfish) within two connected habitats (intertidal and subtidal) is crucial. Here, we set out to assess the influence of several environmental variables, including Ulva biomass, on the variability in infauna and flatfish communities in both the intertidal and the subtidal at four sites impacted or not by GT. In total, 110 biodiversity samples were analysed with classic and novel analytical approaches. Our results demonstrate that the presence of GT specifically impact intertidal macroinvertebrate communities. However, small effects of GT on subtidal infauna communities, as well as on species-specific flatfish at both intertidal and subtidal, were still detectable. Our findings underline the vulnerability of highly dynamic ecosystems exposed to anthropogenic stress, in particular intertidal sandy shores.

Keywords

Benthic infauna – Flatfish – Inshore – Nearshore -Sandy sediments – Ulva – Eutrophication –Spacetime analysis.

Introduction

Marine sediments constitute the second most common habitat on earth (Gray & Elliott, 2009). This habitat also dominates coastal areas, where sediments are more or less sorted depending on wave action and the influence of currents. From pelites to pebbles, but generally sand, marine sediments accumulate along the coast to form beaches that extend from terrestrial systems (dunes) until depths where the wave action on bottom ceases (Short & Jackson, 2013). These systems harbour a highly diverse biota and are very productive systems (Costanza et al., 1997; Barbier et al., 2011). In particular, sandy beaches provide ecological functions that are not achieved by any other ecosystem on earth (McLachlan & Brown, 2006). For instance, both intertidal and subtidal sands provide essential foraging and nesting habitats for many adapted invertebrate and vertebrate organisms (benthic macrofauna, meiofauna, fishes, turtles and shorebirds) (Schlacher et al., 2008; Defeo et al., 2009). In addition, sandy systems play a key role in maintaining coastal fisheries and providing reproduction and nursery areas for commercially valuable species (Gibson, 1994; McLachlan & Brown, 2006), such as bivalve molluscs of the genus Donax and, in their juvenile form, several flatfish species (e.g. Scophthalmus spp. (Linnaeus, 1758), Pleuronectes platessa (Linnaeus, 1758).

Considering simultaneously both intertidal and subtidal sands in the study of coastal ecosystem functioning is important. Indeed, inshore and nearshore sandy systems exchange material (i.e. sand grains and organic debris) with each other in response to seasonal coastal processes (Aubrey, 1979), which structurally link the two habitats. Intertidal and subtidal biological compartments are also linked in different ways; through daily tidal (Gibson, 2003) and seasonal migrations (Gillanders et al., 2003), as well as movements induced by foraging and/or competitive behaviours. However, intertidal and subtidal sands differ in some other ways, and for example nearshore sediments are not subject to desiccation stress, and they are less affected by wave action compared to swash-zone/intertidal systems (McLachlan & Brown, 2006). In instances where these two adjacent, although distinct, habitats experience the same stress from anthropogenic origin, one can ask if intertidal and subtidal sand communities would respond the same, or rather differently to the accumulation of high biomass of opportunistic green algae (i.e. green tides formed by Ulva spp. (Linnaeus, 1753))?
Today, 60% of the world's population is living within coastal areas, and particularly at the vicinity of sandy coasts, as they are prime sites for human recreation (Schlacher et al., 2008); making sandy coastal systems highly vulnerable to anthropogenic pressure. Among the human-induced threats to coastal ecosystems, the escalating nutrient enrichment of coastal waters leading to the eutrophication of marine systems (Cloern, 2001), is of major concern. One direct symptom of eutrophication is the massive development of opportunistic macroalgae (Schramm, 1999: Korpinen & Bonsdorff, 2015), which form large mats of stranding or drifting algae along beaches or in shallow bays (Grall & Chauvaud, 2002). These blooms occur both within intertidal and subtidal systems, stranding on shore, or floating over the sediments, respectively (Pihl et al., 1999; Merceron & Morand, 2004; Charlier et al., 2007); and this phenomenon is increasing in frequency and intensity worldwide (Ye et al., 2011).

Such macroalgal accumulations greatly affect sheltered and non- or microtidal sediment invertebrate-, as well as flatfish- communities (Baden et al., 1990; Norkko & Bonsdorff, 1996; Pihl et al., 2005). For example, on the microtidal Swedish Skagerrak coast, the recruitment of young of the year P. platessa may be reduced by 40% due to the presence of opportunistic macroalgal mats (Pihl et al., 2005). Conversely, within the same study area, Baden et al. (1990), have not found negative effects of eutrophication on flatfish recruitment, but on macrofauna, with wide-spread mortality of bivalves. More subtle changes have been highlighted within open, and macrotidal sandy beaches (Quillien et al., 2015a), although the effects of macroalgal blooms within such dynamic systems have not been fully understood yet. In particular, the influence of accumulation of opportunistic macroalgae on flatfish nursery communities occurring within open sandy beaches has not yet been fully understood, nor has the impact of algal blooms within dynamic subtidal systems, although algal blooms are found from the inshore to the subtidal.

In the present study, we investigated possible shifts in intertidal and subtidal benthic communities induced by the presence of *Ulva* mats, using open sandy systems located in Brittany, France, as a study case. The study region is locally heavily affected by green tides (Ménesguen & Piriou, 1995), and for example, fifty-one sandy sites were affected by accumulations of Ulva in 2013 (CGDD, 2014). There, Ulva blooms occur both at intertidal and subtidal sites (Merceron & Morand, 2004). More particularly, the aim of the present study was to assess the effects of drifting and stranding opportunistic macroalgae on sandy bottom benthic communities, namely macrozoobenthos (infauna) and juvenile flatfish (epifauna). We set out to assess functional facets (i.e. diversity, community structure and nursery area) of sandy bottoms over time (4 seasons over one year), and from intertidal habitats (low shore at spring tide) to directly connected subtidal areas (5m depth), combining traditional multivariate methods and novel approaches. Following this approach, we explored simultaneous variations in macrofaunal and flatfish communities by testing the following hypotheses: environmental factors, and especially macroalgal mats, differentially affect benthic communities depending on (i) the biological compartment (macrozoobenthos vs. flatfishes), and (ii) the habitat (intertidal vs. subtidal).

Materials and methods

Study system

On the highly indented western coast of Brittany (France), fine sediments accumulate to form large subtidal sandy beds and kilometre long sandy beaches. Within this region, our study was conducted in the Bay of Douarnenez, and the Crozon peninsula (48.2°N, -4.4°W, and 48.2°N, -4.6°W, respectively), which are both known as important nurseries for flatfish (Quiniou, 1986). Four sites were selected for the study (Figure 1): two intertidal sandy beaches, one which does not harbour green tides (Inter-NoGT), and one that is annually covered by Ulva (Inter-GT); as well as two subtidal sandy beds adjacent to the beaches; one which never harbours macroalgal mats (Sub-NoGT), and an other which is annually impacted by green tides (Sub-GT). The two intertidal sites were selected based on a previous study (Quillien et al., 2015a), as the endpoints of a gradient of eutrophication (from no GT to high biomass of Ulva). The two subtidal sites were chosen as extensions of the intertidal sites, but at 5-meter depth, following the vertical slope/gradient. The intertidal sites show large areas (up to 500 m from shore during

spring tides) that are uncovered at low tide (mean tidal regime = 6.5 m). A lower shore with a slope of 1.5% characterizes them, and since the tidal regime is macrotidal, these beaches are defined as dissipative (Short, 1996). The beaches are 2.3 and 2.8 km long, respectively. The anthropogenic impacts on Inter-NoGT and Sub-NoGT are negligible. Indeed, a wetland area located just behind the beach filters water inputs from land, and the urbanization there is limited (Figure 1). In contrast, the Inter-GT beach is located below a large agricultural catchment area and has experienced yearly Ulva bloom events since the early 1980s (Ménesguen & Piriou, 1995; Charlier et al., 2007). The subtidal zone is also affected by these accumulations of green macroalgae. Merceron and Morand (2004) have shown the presence of a deep subtidal stock of free-floating Ulva beyond the surf-zone, at depths reaching 15 m. Both intertidal and subtidal drifting Ulva mats are variable in space and time, and exchange material between each other (Figure 2). In winter, small pieces of Ulva stay in the subtidal zone, and this small amount of material is likely to seed the intertidal zone in spring (Figure 2a). Later in the season, the subtidal stock could be supplied, at least partially, by the intertidal (Figure 2b; Merceron and Morand, 2004).

Sampling

To assess macrofaunal and flatfish variability following a vertical scale, sampling was conducted at low intertidal (spring low tide) and at 5 m depth at the 2 study areas (Inter-NoGT = 48° 14.682'N, 4° 32.908'W, Inter-GT = 48° 10.22'N, 4° 17.775'W. Sub-NoGT = 48°14.641'N. 4°33,615'W, Sub-GT = 48°10.216'N. 4°18.074'W). To evaluate temporal variability of benthic communities, the 4 sites were sampled from February to December 2013. Temporal variability was assessed at 5 and 4 dates in the intertidal and the subtidal, respectively, and more precisely in early spring (February/March), spring (May), summer (June/July), fall (September), and early winter (November/December) (Figure 3).

Macrofauna (>1 mm) was collected using a tube corer (surface = 0.03 m^2) at the intertidal sites, and using a Smith-grab (surface = 0.1 m^2) in the subtidal. Samples from both the intertidal and subtidal were sieved through mesh bags (1

mm mesh size) to separate the fauna from the finer part of sediment. At each sampling site, 3 (core) and 5 (grab) replicate samples were taken randomly within an area of a few m². Faunal samples were preserved in 4% buffered formalin for later sorting in the lab where macrofaunal invertebrates were identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level with the aid of a binocular magnifier, and counted.

Flatfishes were sampled using a beach trawl (5 m wide, 0.3 m high, with an 8 mm stretched mesh net in the cod-end) in the intertidal, and using a beam trawl (2 m wide, 0.5 m high, with a 4 mm stretched mesh net in the cod-end) in the subtidal. At intertidal sampling sites, beach trawls were carried out during the day at rising tides, at least once along 80 to 260 m long latitudinal transects (sampled surface: 400 to 1300 m²). Beam trawls were carried out during the day, at neap tide, along 500m transects (sampled surface: 1000 m²), at least twice at each site within the subtidal zone. The flatfish were sorted, identified and measured (total length) on board and released immediately after the investigations. For each species, individuals were classified into age groups based on their size and on peer-reviewed literature and researchreports on flatfish growth (including Deniel, 1973; Gibson & Ezzi, 1980; Nottage & Perkins, 1983).

For both macrofauna and flatfish, species nomenclature follows the 'World Register of Marine Species' (www.marinespecies.org/). The abundance of zoobenthos was converted to units per m² for comparison across zones. For each haul, flatfish apparent abundance standardised per surface unit (1000m²) was assessed considering the number of flatfish caught and the surface covered (haul length * trawl opening). In addition to the quantitative data set, global information on biological traits was linked to each dominant species. Information about the feeding ecology, mobility, size, and reproduction for the dominant species was thus gathered from peer-reviewed literature and publicly available databases such as MarLIN/BIOTIC and EOL/polytraits (sensu Törnroos and Bonsdorff, 2012).

At each site where fauna was sampled, a single sediment core (in the intertidal) or grab (in the subtidal) was extracted to obtain grain size distribution and total organic matter content over time. Grain sizes were assessed by dry sieving, using a series of 16 sieves (from 63 to 10 000 μ m). Medi-

an grain size was equal to the second quartile (Q50) of the sediment grain size value. Sorting was calculated based on the first and the third quartiles of the sediment grain size ratio ($\sqrt{Q25/Q75}$, where Q25 and Q75 denote first and third quartile, respectively). Total organic matter content was assessed by weighted loss after ignition at 450°C for 5 h. For the intertidal sites, Ulva biomass data was estimated bv CEVA (www.ceva.fr/fre) through monthly aerial surveys (for estimation of surface covered by algae) and field sampling (for conversion to biomass). At subtidal sites, Ulva biomass was assessed on board by weighting algae collected in beam trawls (each one covering an area of 1000 m²). Intertidal seawater temperature (hereafter 'SWT') and salinity were measured on each sampling occasion using an YSI-OMS v2 probe. Subtidal SWT and salinity were extracted from datasets provided by the PREVIMER system (www.previmer.org) and data used for analyses were obtained by averaging the values for both variables of 5 days before each sampling occasion.

Community data analysis

Multivariate analyses were performed to evaluate the differences between intertidal and subtidal communities, as well as their respective responses to the presence of green tides. For all multivariate analyses, faunal data (both zoobenthos and flatfish data) were first transformed using the Hellinger transformation, which is recommended for analysis of species abundance data since it does not give high weights to rare species (Legendre & Gallagher, 2001). Redundancy analyses (RDA) were performed to visualize patterns in the distribution of assemblages of macrofauna and flatfish in space and time within each habitat; with or without green tides (i.e. Inter-NoGT, Inter-GT, Sub-NoGT, Sub-GT), and to determine which environmental variables constrain the variation of benthic communities in this setting.

Temporal (seasonal sampling from February to December 2013) variation of each of the agegrouped flatfish species represented at intertidal and subtidal zones, with or without GT (Inter-NoGT, Inter-GT, Sub-NoGT, Sub-GT) was assessed to extricate diversity trends. In order to compare assemblages between pristine and impacted sites, chi-squared tests were performed based on pooled (all dates together for each habitat; data from September were not used for subtidal to ensure a balanced design) flatfish data. In order to disentangle the effects of Ulva mats, time, and habitat (inter- or subtidal), on the assemblages of macrofauna, two recently developed methods were combined: distance-based Moran's eigenvector maps (dbMEM, Dray et al., 2006; Legendre & Gauthier, 2014) were used in variation partitioning (Borcard & Legendre, 1994). First, dbMEM eigenfunctions were generated based on the number of sampling occasions (4 to 5 seasons). The generated dbMEM eigenfunctions were used as temporal variables (here called MEMs). The location along the vertical gradient (i.e. from inter- to subtidal) was used as a spatial variable and was coded by Helmert contrasts (Legendre & Anderson, 1999). The variation of the multivariate responses was then first partitioned with respect to 3 groups of explanatory variables: Ulva (variables related to the occurrence and biomass of GT), space (the factors encoded by Helmert contrasts) and time (the MEMs). Variation of macrofaunal multivariate responses was also partitioned separately for each habitat, and thus with respect to 2 groups of explanatory variables (Ulva and time) at intertidal and subtidal. Each fraction of variation, i.e. the explanatory power of each set of the explanatory variables, was tested using multiple linear regressions (Legendre & Legendre, 2012).

All analyses were conducted within the R environment (R Development Core Team, 2013) and relied on the vegan (Oksanen *et al.*, 2011), and PCNM (Legendre *et al.*, 2013) packages.

Results

We found a clear separation between intertidal and subtidal benthic communities, as well as changes induced by the presence of green tides. The responses of benthic organisms to the occurrence of macroalgal mats were different depending on the habitat (intertidal vs. subtidal) and on the benthic compartment (macroinfauna vs. flatfish). The environmental context assessed in the analyses underlined these changes.

Setting the scene: environmental and faunal characterisation

On average, environmental characteristics were similar between sites within the same habitat (Table 1). However, the total organic matter content tended to be higher at un-impacted sites (1.4-1.7%; at intertidal and subtidal sites, respectively) than at impacted site (1.1-1.4%, idem). The environment, both at intertidal and subtidal sites, is fully marine (salinity range: 33.6 - 35.6 psu). Intertidal sites displayed higher mean seawater temperatures (+1°C), sediment sorting (+0.2 μ m) and median grain size (+40-50 μ m) compared to subtidal sites. Also, the variability of environmental values was higher for intertidal compared to subtidal. This pattern was even more pronounced for sediment variables (sorting and median grain size), suggesting a lower influence of hydrodynamics in the subtidal domain.

No green macroalgal mat was reported for sites Inter-NoGT and Sub-NoGT, while mean Ulva biomass estimated (based on available data) at Inter-GT and Sub-GT sites was 155 and 10 g/m² (fresh weight), respectively (Table 1). Ulva biomass was variable in space, with higher biomass in the intertidal compared to subtidal, as well as over time (Figure 4). At the intertidal site, a green algae bloom started in May 2013, with a peak in late summer (August, Figure 4a). This setting contrasted with a previous bloom occurring in 2012 within the same study area, which formed in late March (Quillien et al., 2015a). At the impacted subtidal site, the presence of green algal material occurred from March to December (Figure 4b), and algal material most likely remain without temporal disconnection within this habitat. The link between intertidal and subtidal algal stocks is thus maintained spatially and temporally (Figure 2, 4).

Over the 4 sites sampled through the study, i.e. among the 70 macrofaunal- and the 40 flatfish samples, 119 macrofauna and 6 flatfish species were found between February and December 2013 (Table 2). Thirty infaunal species were found at both intertidal and subtidal sites, and 18 were found only at intertidal sites, while 71 occurred only within the subtidal area. Thirty-one and 72 infauna species were sampled at the un-impacted inter- and sub-tidal sites, respectively. Where green tides occurred, 39 and 79 species were

found in the intertidal and subtidal, respectively. Also, flatfish species numbers were equally distributed among intertidal and subtidal sites, impacted or not by green tides, with 2 species only found at intertidal sites (Plaice *Pleuronectes platessa*, and Turbot *Scophthalmus maximus* (Linnaeus, 1758)), 2 species only found at subtidal sites (Solenette *Buglossidium luteum* (Risso, 1810), and Scald fish *Arnoglossus laterna* (Walbaum, 1792)), as well as 2 species shared between the two habitats (Sand sole *Pegusa lascaris*, and Brill *Scophthalmus rhombus* (Linnaeus, 1758)).

The 47 infaunal species presented in Table 2, which mainly belong to crustaceans, polychaetes, and molluscs, made up most (>90% at each site) of the total abundance observed for macrofauna at each of the 4 sites. Intertidal areas were mainly dominated by the bivalves Donax trunculus (Linnaeus, 1758) and Donax vittatus (da Costa, 1778), the cumacean Cumopsis fagei (Băcescu, 1956) and Cumopsis longipes (Dohrn, 1869), the amphipod Bathyporeia pelagica (Bate, 1856), and the polychetes Owenia fusiformis (Delle Chiaje, 1844) and Nephtys cirrosa (Ehlers, 1868) (Table 2). Subtidal sites were mainly dominated by the bivalve D. vittatus, the polychaetes Sigalion mathildae (Audouin & Milne Edwards in Cuvier, 1830) (juveniles), Nephtys hombergii (Savigny in Lamarck, 1818), and Paradoneis armata (Glémarec, 1966), as well as the cumacean Iphinoe trispinosa (Goodsir, 1843) and the amphipod Bathyporeia sarsi (Watkin, 1938).

Spatial-temporal shifts/patterns in infaunal communities

The relative abundances of infaunal species shifted in the presence of green tides, such as the polychaete Spiophanes bombyx (Claparède, 1870), the bivalve D. trunculus, and the amphipod Urothoe poseidonis (Reibish, 1905), whose relative abundance was lower at the impacted intertidal site. On the other hand, the relative abundances of the ophiuroid Acrocnida cf. spatulispina (Stöhr & Muths, 2010) and the polychaete Magelona mirabilis (Johnston, 1865) were higher where green tides occurred. At the subtidal site where green tides occurred, the relative abundances of the species Diogenes pugilator (Roux, 1829) (carnivorous Anomuran), Acrocnida brachiata (Montagu, 1804) (omnivorous Ophiuroid), Atylus swammerdami (Milne Edwards, 1830) (omnivorous Amphipod),

and *M. mirabilis* (detritivorous Polychaete) were high. Three of the most dominant species (*D. vittatus, S. mathildae,* and *N. hombergii*) occurred in low relative abundances at the impacted subtidal site (Table 2).

Accounting for time and space, the correlation triplot of redundancy analysis of macrofaunal data from all 4 sites constrained by environmental variables (Figure 5) revealed 3 groups of data: a diagonal on the left contained the subtidal samples (both with and without green tides), a dense and small cloud on the top-right contained the Inter-No GT samples, and an almost vertical diagonal on the bottom-right contained the inter-GT samples (Figure 5a). The separation of the subtidal from the intertidal data points is associated with the explanatory variables 'sorting' and 'salinity', while the separation of the two intertidal dot clouds from each other is associated with the explanatory variable 'macroalgal mat' and 'total organic matter content' (Figure 5a, b). There was no clear separation of the two subtidal sites from each other. On the other hand, both subtidal and intertidal zoobenthic communities exhibited clear seasonal patterns (Figure 5c). Subtidal infaunal assemblages, irrespective of being sampled at sites with or without Ulva accumulations, showed similar temporal paths, albeit with slight shifts between Sub-NoGT and Sub-GT at each season. In contrast, intertidal zoobenthic assemblages displayed different temporal paths, with seasonal development of fauna taking opposite directions when Ulva is present (Figure 5c).

The proportion of the variation of infauna at the 4 sites is largely explained by Ulva variables (13.6%), the location of site (intertidal vs. subtidal, with 13.2%), and the temporal variables (6.6%) (Table 3). Taking into account 'pure' explanatory variables, the occurrence and biomass of Ulva explain again the largest part of the variation of infauna (12.3%), while spatial and temporal variables explain 10.8 and 9.2% of the total variation of infauna, respectively. Considering intertidal sites, pure Ulva and temporal variables explain 30 and 11% of the variation of infauna, respectively (Figure 6a). The explanatory power of Ulva was relatively low at subtidal sites (11%) (Figure 6b), with temporal variables explaining a larger part of the total variation of infauna (21%). These results corroborate the hypothesis that Ulva plays a major role in driving variation of infauna between impacted and pristine intertidal sites, while its explanatory power was less pronounced considering subtidal sites harbouring or not green tides.

Variation in space and time of flatfish communities

The correlation triplot of redundancy analysis based on age-grouped flatfish community data sampled at the 4-study sites (Inter-NoGT, Inter-GT, Sub-NoGT, Sub-GT) (Figure 7) revealed two distinguished groups of data containing subtidal (on the right) and intertidal samples (on the left) (Figure 7a). The separation of the dot clouds was associated with the environmental variables 'sorting' and 'median' (Figure 7b). No distinction depending on the presence of green tides was shown, as dots within each habitat-specific dotcloud were close to each other, and showed similar temporal paths (Figure 7c).

Although no clear shift between flatfish communities was shown through the redundancy analysis, the relative abundance of age-grouped flatfish varied depending on the habitat and the site (Table 2). At intertidal sites, the juveniles (young of the year) of turbot dominated the flatfish community at Inter-NoGT (39.3%), while sand sole dominated the sandy beach where green tides occurred (31.2%), leading to significant differences between these two sites ($\chi^2 = 51.6$, N=317, df=3, p=3.6.10⁻¹¹). Adults (i.e. individuals that have reached maturity size) of solenettes dominated both the un-impacted subtidal site (27.0%), and the Sub-GT site (36.8%). Even without accounting for age groups, the flatfish community sampled at the two subtidal sites was significantly different (χ^2 =18.3, N=174, df=2, p=0.0001) from each other. In addition, flatfish assemblages depending on age group and site varied over time (Figure 8). The most notable difference in the intertidal flatfish community within the study time frame was the dominance of P. platessa in July at Inter-GT, which contrasted with the dominance of S. maximus at impacted intertidal site. Also, Pegusa lascaris (Risso, 1810) largely dominated the flatfish community in autumn and early winter at impacted site (Inter-GT), while this pattern was less pronounced at unimpacted intertidal site. At the subtidal impacted site (Sub-GT), B. luteum largely dominated the flatfish community to the detriment of the sand sole (especially for young classes) and the scald fish. Also, at both intertidal and subtidal sites, the relative abundance of young of the year sand soles and solenettes was greater at *Ulva*-impacted sites.

Discussion

Benthic communities inhabiting sandy sediments have been studied either in the intertidal (e.g. Barboza & Defeo, 2015; Defeo & Mclachlan, 2005; Degraer et al., 1999) or in the subtidal (Morin et al., 1985; Rakocinski et al., 1993) zones, but seldom assessed considering the inshore and the nearshore conjointly (Knott et al., 1983), even though these two habitats are closely linked. Likewise, in situ investigation of variation within both infaunal and flatfish communities simultaneously is not common (with the exception of studying specific fish feeding habits; see for example Aarnio & Mattila, 2000; Kostecki et al., 2012). In addition, the effects of green macroalgal mats in high-energy environments are not yet fully understood (but see Quillien et al., 2015a, 2015b), and have not been investigated considering both infauna and flatfish along a gradient from inshore to nearshore shallow habitats. By simultaneously considering seasonal variation of infauna- and flatfish assemblages over the span of a year, both at intertidal and subtidal sites impacted or not impacted by green tides, our integrated study highlighted a distinctness between low-shore and nearshore communities, and revealed differential responses of the benthic compartments and of the habitats to the accumulation of Ulva. Interestingly, intertidal infauna respond more markedly to the presence of algal mats, compared to subtidal benthic invertebrate assemblages, while flatfish communities showed minor/secondary speciesspecific responses to the occurrence of GT.

Two habitats that differ in community structure...

The investigation of sandy sediment zoobenthic and flatfish community variation at intertidal (low shore at spring tide) and shallow subtidal (nearshore at 5 m depth) sites revealed a clear separation between the two habitats in terms of community structure (as shown in Figure 5a, 6a, and Table 2). The infaunal assemblage consisted of about 30 species in the intertidal, and more

than twice that, 72 species, in subtidal pristine sands. The increase in species richness of sandy sediment infauna with depth may be linked to the gradient from relatively harsh to benign conditions when going from the low intertidal to the subtidal zone, as suggested by Rakocinski et al. (1993). The grain size measured at our study sites decreased from the intertidal to the subtidal, supporting a shift in hydrodynamic conditions, and ultimately in benthic species assemblages, between the two habitats (McLachlan, 1996). In addition to exposure to wave action, also heat and desiccation stresses are exerted on intertidal habitats. Some species, which are not able to withstand these stresses for long periods (McLachlan & Brown, 2006), are more likely to occur in subtidal sands. In our study, 50 species were exclusive to nearshore, subtidal sands, notably the molluscs Mactra stultorum (Linnaeus, 1758), Tellina fabula (Gmelin, 1791) and Lunatia alderi (Forbes, 1838), as well as the amphipod Hippomedon denticulatus (Bate, 1857), polychaetes of the genus Phyllodoce (Browne, 1789) and the echinoderms A. brachiata and Echinocardium cordatum (Pennant, 1777). Fewer species are able to endure the harsh conditions occurring in intertidal systems (i.e. instability of sandy substratum, desiccation on rocky shores, and heavy wave action) (See for example Knott et al., 1983; Scrosati et al., 2011). We found twelve species that only occurred within intertidal pristine sands, such as the two bivalve molluscs D. trunculus and Tellina tenuis (da Costa, 1778), the echinoderm A. cf. spatulispina and the cumacean Cumopsis longipes. These species have adapted to life in high-energy systems, i.e. sandy beaches, being both rapid and strong enough in order to income wave and swash (McLachlan & Brown, 2006). For example, D. trunculus has a large and powerful foot that makes it able to quickly re-settle in the sediment to regain its position after being swept by waves (De la Huz et al., 2002; McLachlan & Brown, 2006). The observed shift in infaunal community with depth is concordant with faunal zonation in macrozoobenthos within soft sediments (Glémarec, 1973) and sandy sediments in particular (Dahl, 1952; Knott et al., 1983), and is in accordance with the findings of Guillou (1980) made within the same habitats and study area.

In contrast to the patterns for macrofauna, the species richness for flatfish measured in this study

was similar both in intertidal and subtidal sandy bottoms, although the composition of the flatfish assemblages differed significantly between lowand near-shore habitats. Within the intertidal zone, the flatfish community consisted mainly of sand sole, plaice, turbot and brill, and the subtidal flatfish community was formed by scaldfishes, solenettes, brills and sand soles. These findings are in general accordance with other studies conducted within the same systems, but decades ago (Quiniou, 1986). Also, both assemblages were dominated by juveniles, highlighting the role of open sandy coasts as flatfish nursery areas, which has often been disregarded in comparison to estuaries, seagrass meadows, and other sheltered habitats (Beck et al., 2001; McLachlan & Brown, 2006).

Our study also revealed temporal shifts within sandy sediment intertidal and subtidal benthic communities (Figure 5, 6 and 7). Temporal variations were mainly due to changes in the relative abundance (recruitment) of the bivalve D. vittatus, which is a dominant and structuring species in these systems (Guillou, 1980; Quillien et al., 2015a), and of the polychaete Sigalion mathildae. These changes in temporal variation of infauna communities are consistent with the findings of Leber (1982) and Degraer et al. (1999) made within comparable environments. In parallel, we detected seasonal trends within flatfish communities, mainly linked to the settlement of young-ofthe-year individuals (i.e. Pleuronectes platessa in July, as well as Pegusa lascaris and Buglossidium luteum in autumn), in line with patterns reported for similar environments (Quiniou, 1986); thus confirming the role as nursery area for flatfish at sandy beaches (McLachlan and Brown, 2006).

... but that are functionally connected

The results of the present study confirm that a clear distinction exists between low-shore and nearshore benthic communities, both for infauna and flatfish, although there are linkages between the two habitats. The relatively large proportion (>20%) of shared species between low intertidal and shallow subtidal habitats highlights the relationships between these two communities. More specifically, some dominant species in terms of abundance are living in both systems (Table 2).

For example, *Donax vittatus* was the dominant species both at intertidal and subtidal sites.

The relationships between inter- and subtidal benthic communities mainly depend on migrations in relation to species-specific life cycles (reproduction, feeding), behaviours (predator avoidance), or interactions (competition) (see for review: Gillanders et al., 2003). The links between the two zones may be exemplified by both fish and invertebrate seasonal migrations, which are common within the studied systems (Leber, 1982b; Dorel et al., 1991). Continuing with the Donax vittatus example, young-of-the-year individuals of this bivalve species occur both in the intertidal and in the subtidal, but adults mainly occur within the subtidal (Guillou, 1980). Also, the polychaete Sigalion mathildae occurs in its adult form within intertidal sands, while only juveniles were found at the subtidal habitat. Regarding flatfish, Pegusa lascaris recruits occurred within the intertidal sandy beach, while older age-class individuals of this species were distributed along an increasing depth gradient depending on their age. This pattern is common in Soleidae and other flatfish species such as Pleuronectes platessa (Lockwood, 1974; Dorel et al., 1991). On an annual scale, seasonal migrations between intertidal and subtidal sands occur; for instance the young solenettes living at intertidal sites in summer, migrate to greater depths in winter, but come back to the intertidal area the next spring (Figure 8; Quiniou, 1986). Both inshore and nearshore sandy bottoms are used by the some identical species depending on the time of the year, which strengthens the links between the two habitats.

Low intertidal and shallow subtidal sandy systems show connections, but also differences in terms of diversity and community structure; thus, one can ask if these systems respond similarly, or rather differently, to the presence of opportunistic macroalgae accumulating within these two habitats.

Differential influence of GT depending on elevation and biological compartment

We analysed the variation of infaunal benthic invertebrate and flatfish communities living at sandy sites with respect to the presence and the intensity (biomass) of green tides. This was done simultaneously with other environmental variables (grain size, sorting, sea water temperature, salinity, organic matter content), which are known to structure marine sediment benthic communities (Defeo & Mclachlan, 2005; Ramos et al., 2009). Our analyses demonstrated that the influence of Ulva accumulation was significant on benthic infaunal communities (Figure 5, 6). Indeed, not accounting for time, Ulva-related variables explained 30% of the total variation in macrobenthic infauna (Figure 6a). These results complement a previous study conducted within the same system (open macrotidal sandy beaches), which highlights shifts in intertidal benthic invertebrate communities along a gradient of eutrophication by green macroalgae (Quillien et al., 2015a).

Contrastingly to the patterns for the infauna, the influence of algal mats was less pronounced for flatfish communities (Figure 7). The greater influence of the accumulation of Ulva on infauna compared to flatfish communities may be explained by species life-history traits. Indeed, flatfish are highly mobile compared to benthic invertebrates (Table 2), and may escape transient decrease in dissolved oxygen content induced by the presence of Ulva mats (Baden et al., 1990). However, our study revealed that relative abundance of young-of-the-year sand soles and solenettes was higher at sites harbouring Ulvamats compared to pristine sites (Figure 8). Contrasts were also found considering other flatfish species: young plaice dominated the impacted site, while turbots dominated pristine site. Because our fish sampling design did not encompass a high number of samples, these results must be considered cautiously, and would need to be strengthened by broader studies. Still, these results contrast with findings from studies conducted in non-tidal and/or more sheltered systems (e.g. Pihl et al., 2005). In our case, the presence of drifting macroalgal material may increase the complexity of bare sediments (Norkko et al., 2000), without inducing long-lasting hypoxia because of hydrodynamics and tidal currents, which may improve the settlement of flatfish larvae, thus increasing population size (Gibson, 1994).

Our study also demonstrates a greater influence of the presence of green tides on the intertidal invertebrate community compared to subtidal benthic assemblages. Intertidal sandy beach benthic species withstand harsher conditions than subtidal zoobenthic assemblages, and the addition of high biomasses of green opportunistic macroalgae (Ulva spp.) may act as the last straw stress. Also, this finding may be linked to the intrinsic structure of algal mats, which differs between the intertidal and the subtidal (Figure 2). At five meters depth, subtidal Ulva stocks are often arranged in strips a few decimetres wide and float above the seafloor (Merceron & Morand, 2004). While at low tide in intertidal sandy areas, algal mats strand on shore, with Ulva thalli being in direct contact with the sediment (Charlier et al., 2007). In addition, a noticeable amount of Ulva thallus pieces were found relatively deep in the intertidal sands, but were not observed within subtidal sediments. In addition, Ulva biomasses estimated inshore were greater than in subtidal areas (Figure 4), although these values were probably under-estimated due to possible reflux from trawl, and were not directly comparable due to the use of different estimation methods for the two habitats. Since the subtidal Ulva stock, with lower biomass than in the intertidal, was close to - but somehow disconnected from - the seafloor, macroalgal mats would most likely not have impacted nearshore sandy benthic communities. However, the intensity of green tides (both at intertidal and subtidal area) is highly variable depending on the year, mainly because of weather conditions and nutrient loads (CEVA pers. comm.), and thus may have different impacts within open sandy systems depending on the amount of Ulva stranding or floating.

Conclusions

The present study proves that within usually unvegetated dynamic systems, infauna inhabiting low-intertidal sandy shores is more affected by the presence of high biomass of opportunistic macroalgae than shallow nearshore subtidal macro-invertebrates and flatfish communities. This is supported by shifts between intertidal pristine and impacted macrobenthic community structure, whereas subtidal infauna, as well as inshore and nearshore flatfish are less affected by the presence of *Ulva* blooms when looking at community-level responses. This study underlines the importance of monitoring intertidal systems stressed by anthropogenic activities, as they may help to give early warning of potential overall shift within dynamic marine systems from inshore to near-shore.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully thank the able assistance of V. Le Garrec, C. Jouan, M. Maguer, S. Laurand, G. Schaal, and numerous volunteers in the field. We are very grateful to the crew of the oceanographic research vessel *Albert Lucas* for their assistance on board for subtidal sampling. We thank V. Le Garrec for assistance with species identification. We acknowledge L. Quiniou (UBO) and O. Gallet (Parc Naturel Marin d'Iroise) for providing useful advices on beach trawling. We are also grateful to S. Ballu (CEVA), who provided *Ulva* biomass data.

Financial support

This study was supported by the national interdisciplinary Ecosphère Continentale et Côtière EC2CO-INSU 'MAVERIQ', the Région Bretagne, the Université de Bretagne Occidentale, and Åbo Akademi University. This study was conducted as part of the PhD thesis of N. Quillien for Université de Bretagne Occidentale and Åbo Akademi University. E. Bonsdorff and M. C. Nordström were funded through the Åbo Akademi University Endowment.

References

- Aarnio K. & Mattila J. (2000) Predation by juvenile Platichthys flesus (L.) on shelled prey species in a bare sand and drift algae habitat. Hydrobiologia, 440, 347–355.
- Aubrey D.G. (1979) Seasonal patterns of onshore/offshore sediment movement. Journal of Geophysical Research, 84, 6317–6354.
- Baden S.P., Loo L., Pihl L., & Rosenberg R. (1990) Effects eutrophication on benthic communities including fish: Swedish west coast. AMBIO A Journal of the human environment, 19, 113–122.
- Barbier E.E.B., Hacker S.D.S., Kennedy C., Koch E.W., Stier A.C., & Silliman B.R. (2011) The value of estuarine and coastal ecosystem services. *Ecological Monographs*, 81, 169–193.
- Barboza F.R. & Defeo O. (2015) Global diversity patterns in sandy beach macrofauna : a biogeographic analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 5:14515.
- Beck M.W., Heck K.L., Able K.W., Childers D.L., Eggleston D.B., Gillanders B.M., Halpern B., Hays C.G., Hoshino K., Minello T.J., Orth R.J., Sheridan P.F., & Weinstein M.P. (2001) The Identification, Conservation, and Management of Estuarine and

Marine Nurseries for Fish and Invertebrates. *BioScience*, 51, 633.

- Borcard D. & Legendre P. (1994) Environmental control and spatial structure in ecological communities: an example using oribatid mites (Acari, Oribatei). Environmental and Ecological Statistics, 1, 37–61.
- CGDD (2014) Les proliférations d'algues sur les côtes métropolitaines. .
- Charlier R.H., Morand P., Finkl C.W., & Thys A. (2007) Green Tides on the Brittany Coasts. Environmental Research, Engineering and Management, 3, 52–59.
- Cloern J.E. (2001) Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 210, 223–253.
- Costanza R., Arge R., de Groot R., Farber S., Grasso M., Hannon B., Limburg K., Naeem S., O'Neill R. V, Paruelo J., Raskin R.G., & Sutton P. (1997) The value of the world 's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature*, 387, 253–260.
- Dahl E. (1952) Some aspects of the ecology and zonation of fauna on sandy beaches. *Oikos*, 4, 1–27.
- Defeo O. & Mclachlan A. (2005) Patterns , processes and regulatory mechanisms in sandy beach macrofauna: a multi-scale analysis. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 295, 1–20.
- Defeo O., McLachlan A., Schoeman D.S., Schlacher T. a., Dugan J., Jones A., Lastra M., & Scapini F. (2009) Threats to sandy beach ecosystems: A review. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 81, 1–12.
- Degraer S., Mouton I., de Neve L., & Vincx M. (1999) Community Structure and Intertidal Zonation of the Macrobenthos on a Macrotidal, Ultra-Dissipative Sandy Beach: Summer-Winter Comparison. *Estuaries*, 22, 742–752.
- Deniel C. (1973) Nutrition et croissance du jeune turbot Scophthalmus maximus L. (Téléostéens-Bothidae).
- Dorel D., Koutsikopoulos C., Desaunay Y., & Marchand J. (1991) Seasonal distribution of young sole (Solea solea (L.)) in the nursery ground of the bay of Vilaine (Northern bay of Biscay). Netherlands Journal of Sea Research, 27, 297–306.
- Dray S., Legendre P., & Peres-Neto P.R. (2006) Spatial modelling: a comprehensive framework for principal coordinate analysis of neighbour matrices (PCNM). *Ecological Modelling*, 196, 483–493.
- Gibson R.N. (1994) Impact of habitat quality and quantity on the recruitment of juvenile flatfishes. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research, 32, 191–206.
- Gibson R.N. (2003) Go with the flow: tidal migration in marine animals. *Hydrobiologia*, 503, 153–161.
- Gibson R.N. & Ezzi I.A. (1980) The biology of the scaldfish, Arnoglossus laterna (Walbaum) on the west coast of Scotland. Journal of Fish Biology, 17, 565–575.
- Gillanders B.M., Able K.W., Brown J.A., Eggleston D.B., & Sheridan P.F. (2003) Evidence of connectivity between juvenile and adult habitats for mobile marine fauna: an important component of nurseries. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 247, 281–295.
- Glémarec M. (1973) The Benthic Communities of the European North Atlantic Continental Shelf. Oceanography and Marine Biology: an Annual Review, 11, 263–289.

- Grall J. & Chauvaud L. (2002) Marine eutrophication and benthos: the need for new approaches and concepts. *Global Change Biology*, 8, 813–831.
- Gray J.S. & Elliott M. (2009) Ecology of Marine Sediments, from Science to Management. Oxford University Press,
- Guillou J. (1980) Les peuplements de sables fins du littoral Nord-Gascogne. Université de Bretagne Occidentale,
- Knott D.M., Calder D.R., & Van Dolah R.F. (1983) Macrobenthos of Sandy Beach and Nearshore Environments at Murrells Inlet, South Carolina, U.S.A. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 16, 573–590.
- Korpinen S. & Bonsdorff E. (2015) Eutrophication and hypoxia: impacts of nutrient and organic enrichment. Marine Ecosystems: Human impacts on Biodiversity, Functioning and Services pp. 202–243.
- Kostecki C., Roussel J., Desroy N., Roussel G., Lanshere J., Le Bris H., & Le Pape O. (2012) Trophic ecology of juvenile flatfish in a coastal nursery ground: contributions of intertidal primary production and freshwater particulate organic matter. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 449, 221–232.
- De la Huz R., Lastra M., & López J. (2002) The influence of sediment grain size on burrowing, growth and metabolism of Donax trunculus L. (Bivalvia: Donacidae). Journal of Sea Research, 47, 85–95.
- Leber K.M. (1982a) SEASONALITY OF MACROINVERTEBRATES ON A TEMPERATE, HIGH WAVE ENERGY SANDY BEACH. Bulletin of Marine Science, 32, 86–98.
- Leber K.M. (1982b) Bivalves (Tellinacea: Donacidae) on a North Carolina Beach: Contrasting Population Size Structures and Tidal Migrations. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 7, 297–301.
- Legendre P. & Anderson M.J. (1999) Distance-Based Redundancy Analysis: Testing Multispecies Responses in Multifactorial Ecological Experiments. *Ecological Monographs*, 69, 1–24.
- Legendre P., Borcard D., Blanchet G.F., & Dray S. (2013)
- Legendre P. & Gallagher E.D. (2001) Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination of species data. *Oecologia*, 129, 271–280.
- Legendre P. & Gauthier O. (2014) Statistical methods for temporal and space-time analysis of community composition data. Proc. R. Soc. B, 281, 1–9.
- Legendre P. & Legendre L. (2012) Numerical Ecology, 3rd Edition.
- Lockwood S.J. (1974) The settlement, distribution and movements of 0-group plaice Pleuronectes platessa in Filey Bay, Yorkshire. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 6, 465– 477.
- McLachlan A. (1996) Physical factors in benthic ecology: Effects of changing sand particle size on beach fauna. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 131, 205–217.
- McLachlan A. & Brown A. (2006) The Ecology of Sandy Shores.
- Ménesguen A. & Piriou J. (1995) Nitrogen loadings and macroalgal (Ulva sp.) mass accumulation in Brittany (France). Ophelia, 42, 227–237.
- Merceron M. & Morand P. (2004) Existence of a deep subtidal stock of drifting *Ulva* in relation to intertidal algal mat developments. J. Sea Res., 52, 269–280.
- Morin J.G., Kastendiek J.E., Harrington A., & Davis N. (1985) Organization and patterns of interactions in a

subtidal sand community on an exposed coast. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 27, 163–185.

- Norkko A. & Bonsdorff E. (1996) Rapid zoobenthic community responses to accumulations of drifting algae. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 131, 143–157.
- Norkko J., Bonsdorff E., & Norkko A. (2000) Drifting algal mats as an alternative habitat for benthic invertebrates: Species specific responses to a transient resource. *Journal of experimental marine biology* and ecology, 248, 79–104.
- Nottage A.S. & Perkins E.J. (1983) The biology of the solenette, Buglossidium luteum (Risso), in the Solway Firth. Journal of Fish Biology, 22, 21–27.
- Oksanen J., Blanchet G.F., Kindt R., Legendre P., & O'Hara R.B. (2011)
- Pihl L., Modin J., & Wennhage H. (2005) Relating plaice (< i >Pleuronectes platessa< /i >) recruitment to deteriorating habitat quality: effects of macroalgal blooms in coastal nursery grounds. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 62, 1184–1193.
- Pihl L., Svenson A., Moksnes P.-O., & Wennhage H. (1999) Distribution of green algal mats throughout shallow soft bottoms of the Swedish Skagerrak archipelago in relation to nutrient sources and wave exposure. *Journal of Sea Research*, 41, 281–294.
- Quillien N., Nordström M.C., Gauthier O., Bonsdorff E., Paulet Y.-M., & Grall J. (2015a) Effects of macroalgal accumulations on the variability in zoobenthos of high-energy macrotidal sandy beaches. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 522, 97–114.
- Quillien N., Nordström M.C., Guyonnet B., Maguer M., Le Garrec V., Bonsdorff E., & Grall J. (2015b) Largescale effects of green tides on macrotidal sandy beaches: Habitat-specific responses of zoobenthos. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 164, 379–391.
- Quiniou L. (1986) Les peuplements de poissons démersaux de la pointe de Bretagne : environnement, biologie, structure démographique, relations trophiques.
- Rakocinski C.F., Heard R.W., LeCroy S.E., McLelland J.A., & Simons T. (1993) Seaward Change and Zonation of the Sandy-Shore Macrofauna at Perdido Key, Florida, U.S.A. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 36, 81–104.
- Ramos S., Ré P., & Bordalo A. a. (2009) Environmental control on early life stages of flatfishes in the Lima Estuary (NW Portugal). Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 83, 252–264.
- Schlacher T.A., Schoeman D.S., Dugan J., Lastra M., Jones A., Scapini F., & McLachlan A. (2008) Sandy beach ecosystems: key features, sampling issues, management challenges and climate change impacts. *Marine Ecology*, 29, 70–90.
- Schramm W. (1999) Factors influencing seaweeds response to eutrophication: some results from EU-project EUMAC. Journal of Applied Phycology, 11, 69–78.
- Scrosati R. a., Knox A.S., Valdivia N., & Molis M. (2011) Species richness and diversity across rocky intertidal elevation gradients in Helgoland: Testing predictions from an environmental stress model. *Helgoland Marine Research*, 65, 91–102.
- Short A.D. (1996) The role of wave height, period, slope, tide range and embaymentisation in beach classifications: a review. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural*, .

Short A.D. & Jackson D.W.T. (2013) Beach Morphodynamics. *Treatise on Geomorphology*, 10, 106– 129.

Team R.D.C. (2013)

- Törnroos A. & Bonsdorff E. (2012) Developing the multitrait concept for functional diversity: lessons from a system rich in functions but poor in species. *Ecological applications*: *a publication of the Ecological Society of America*, 22, 2221–2236.
- Ye N.H., Zhang X., Mao Y., Liang C., Xu D., Zou J., Zhuang Z., & Wang Q. (2011) "Green tides" are overwhelming the coastline of our blue planet: taking the world's largest example. *Ecological Research*, 26, 477–485.



FIG. 1. Location (Brittany, Northwestern Europe) of the 4 study sites (circles) at the low-intertidal (light colours) and at the shallow subtidal (dark colours) of the two studied sandy sediment areas. Blue lines along coastline represent isobaths (0, 5, and 10m). The sites impacted by green tides are denoted by green circles and are located downstream of an agricultural catchment area. The blue circles denote the sites that are never affected by accumulation of *Ulva*.

a. Eutrophied state in winter



b. Eutrophied state in summer



FIG. 2. Schematic illustration of the distribution of green tides occurring at two contrasted seasons. Arrows denoted algal material in motion.



FIG. 3. Sampling design over time (from Early Spring = ES, to Early Winter = EW; S = Summer, F = Fall), showing the 4 sites (Intertidal – NoGT, Intertidal – GT, Subtidal – OGT, Subtidal – GT), core and grab samples (black crosses), beach and beam trawling (triangles), and three environmental variables (WAV. = Waves, DESSI. = Desiccation, GT = Green Tides). MTM = middle tidal mark, LTM = low tidal, and -5m = 5m depth.



FIG. 4. Monthly biomass of stranded *Ulva* through the year 2013 estimated within the intertidal (source: CEVA) and the subtidal (source: this study). Note that the scales are not the same on the two plots.



FIG. 5. RDA correlation triplots (scaling 2) of macrofaunal community data (abundance) and explanatory variables of the 4 sites together: Inter – NoGT (squares), Inter – GT (triangles), Sub – NoGT (diamonds), Sub – Gt (reversed triangles); highlighting differences between habitats (a), environmental drivers (b), and seasonal patterns (c). GT = Ulva biomass, Sal = Salinity, Org = organic matter content, SWT = Seawater temperature.



FIG. 6. Venn diagrams illustrating the result of variance partitioning of the macrofauna time series at Inter - NoGT, Inter – GT, Sub – No GT, Sub – GT with respect to the presence of *Ulva* mats (GT/NoGT), spatial (intertidal/subtidal), and temporal (distance-based Moran's eigenvector maps [dbMEMs]) explanatory variables. Variation explained is expressed as a percentage (%) based on adjusted R2. [T]: temporal variables, [S]: spatial location across the shore, [U]: environmental variables, Residuals: unexplained variation



FIG. 7. RDA correlation triplots (scaling 2) of age-grouped flatfish community data (apparent abundance) and explanatory variables of the 4 sites together: Inter – NoGT (squares), Inter – GT (triangles), Sub – NoGT (diamonds), Sub – Gt (reversed triangles); highlighting differences between habitats (a), environmental drivers (b), and seasonal patterns (c). GT = Ulva biomass, Sal = Salinity, Org = organic matter content, SWT = Seawater temperature.



FIG. 8. Relative abundance of flatfish depending on their age-group at sandy bottoms within the intertidal (top panels) and the subtidal (low panels), harbouring green tides (right panels) or not (left panels). Numbers on top of bars show mean apparent abundance, with standard deviation in italics and between brackets. TUR = *Scophthalmus maximus*, CAR = *Pleuronectes platessa*, BAR = *Scophthalmus rhombus*, ARN = *Arnoglossus laterna*, SOL = *Pegusa lascaris*, BUG = *Buglossidium luteum*.

TABLE 1. Environmental characterization of the 4 sites (Intertidal – NoGT, Intertidal – GT, Subtidal – GT, Subtidal – GT) sampled seasonally from February to December 2013. SWT = Seawater temperature, Sorting = sorting index calculated based on first and third quartile ratio.

	Intertidal							Subtidal							
	No GT			GT				No GT		GT					
	Mean	Max.	Min.	Mean	Max.	Min.	Mean	Max.	Min.	Mean	Max.	Min.			
SWT (°C)	14.4	20.0	9.5	14.6	21.2	9.0	13.0	17.0	9.5	13.4	18.1	9.5			
Salinity (psu)	34.9	35.6	33.6	34.8	35.6	33.8	35.3	35.4	35.1	35.3	35.4	35.0			
Agal mat biomass (g/m ² ; wet weight)	0	0	0	155.3	315.0	0.0	0	0	0	9.9	18.1	1.7			
Sorting	1.7	2.1	1.5	1.8	2.0	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.3			
Median grain size (µm)	179.8	200.2	160.6	180.5	251.7	140.4	142.8	145.5	141.3	132.5	138.1	118.1			
Organic content (%)	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2			

TABLE 2. Percent dominance in terms of abundance for the major species (threshold = 90% for zoobenthos, 100% for flatfish) living at intertidal and subtidal sandy bottoms harbouring or not green tides (GT or no-GT). Bold face type numbers show contributions that are higher than 1%. Italic numbers refer to values that are not relative abundance values. Taxonomic group and selected biological traits (feeding habit, feeding strategy, mobility, maximal size and reproduction/development) are given for each species. Traits data collected from MarLIN, EOL, polytraits, and BIOTIC databases, and personal observations. For fish species, age groups have been based on individual sizes and peer literature and research reports on flatfish growth.

				Abundance	dominance (%	»)	Functional characteristics						
			Inter	tidal	Sub	tidal	Feeding habit	Feeding	Mobility	Maximal	Development		
_	Species	Taxonomic group	No GT	GT	No GT	GT	1 county habit	strategy	mobility	size (mm)	mechanism		
	Glycera convoluta	Annelida polychaeta	0.2	1.5	1.9	1.2	Ca	SPF	B, (S)	50	Ovi		
	Magelona mirabilis		-	3.8	2.4	5.9	D	SuF, SPF	в	80	Ovi		
	Nephtys assimilis		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.4	Ca, Sc	SPF	B, C, (S)	115	Ovi		
	Nephtys cirrosa		1.2	2.0	1.6	0.7	Ca, Sc	SPF	B, C, (S)	100	Ovi		
	Nephtys hombergii		0.2	1.5	6.4	2.2	Ca, Sc	SPF	B, C, (S)	200	Ovi		
	Orbinia latreilli		-	0.3	0.8	-	D	SSF	В	400	Ovi		
	Owenia fusiformis		1.5	3.1	1.7	1.1	D	SuF, SF, SPF	т	100	Ovi		
	Paradoneis armata				37	6.6	- D	SSE	B C (S)	30	Ovi		
	Piromis enuce		-	-	0	1.4	D	SSE	B, C, (C)	60	Ovi		
	Seelelenia of meanili		0.2	0.4	2 5	1.4		COL (CDE)	5	20	Ovi		
	Scolelepis ci mesnin		0.5	0.4	2.5	1.0	D, (Ca), (Hµ)	33F, (3FF)	B C	20	01		
	Scolopios armiger		-	-	0.3	1.7	0	33F	B, C	120	OVI		
	Sigalion mathildae		0.3	0.3	8.0	4.2	Ca	SPF	B, C, (S)	150	Ovi		
	Spiophanes bombyx		9.4	0.1	1.5	0.8	D	SuF, SPF	Т	60	Ovi		
	Ampelisca sarsi	Arthropoda malacostraca	-	-	2.1	-	D	SuF, SSF	Т	8	Ovo		
	Atylus falcatus		-	-	-	1.1	0	SPF	C, S	7	Ovo		
	Atylus swamerdami		-	0.3	0.2	3.2	0	SPF	C, S	10	Ovo		
	Bathyporeia guillamsoniana		0.3	1.4	1.3	0.8	D	SSF, SuF	B, S	10	Ovo		
	Bathyporeia pelagica		2.1	2.3	-	-	D. Hu	SPF	B. (nS)	8	Ovo		
	Bathyporeia sarsi			4.2	2.6	4.7	D	SSF. SuF	в	8	Ovo		
	Cumoneis facei		4.5	3.8	2.4	0.4	- D	SSE SDE	B (nS)	6	010		
ö	Cumopoio longinon		4.5	2.0	2.4	0.4	D	COL OF	B, (nO)	6	010		
ŧ	Diagonoo pugilatar		1.7	2.0	0.5	12 5	0	33F, 3FF	B, (II3)	20	000		
ē	Diogenes pugnator			-	0.5	13.5	Ca	3FF	С, (В)	20	000		
8	Hippomedon denticulatus		-		0.7	1.5	D, H	55F	в	14	Ovo .		
Ν	Idotea pelagica		0.3	0.1	0.1	0.6	O, (H)	S, C	SuF	20	Ovo		
	Iphinoe trispinosa		0.2	0.3	4.8	6.1	D	SSF, SuF	B, S	10	Ovo		
	Mysida		-	-	2.6	1.7	D, Ca	SuF, SSF	S, C, B	20	Ovo		
	Perrierella audouiniana		0.2	-	0.1	0.9	Ca	SPF	S, C	4	Ovo		
	Pontocrates arenarius		1.7	0.4	-	0.1	D	SSF	B, C	7	Ovo		
	Portumnus latipes		0.3	0.4	-	-	O, Sc	SSF, SuF	B, C	27	Ovo		
	Urothoe poseidonis		-	18.7	0.5	0.8	Hμ	SSF	B, (nS)	6	Ovo		
	Urothoe pulchella			-	1.5		Hu	SSF	B. (nS)	5.0	Ovo		
	Acrocnida brachiata	Echinodermata echinoidea		-	0.9	2.3	O (Ca)	SPF	в	12*	Ovi		
	Acrocnida of spatulispina		0.2	9.8			O (Ca)	SPE	B	12*	Ovi		
	Echinocardium cordatum		0.2	-	0.5	0.7	D, (00)	SUE SSE	в	100	Ovi		
	Denew tevenulue	Melluses birebie	6.2	4.0	0.5	0.7	D UN			45	0.4		
	Donax trunculus	wonusca pivalvia	0.3	1.0	-		D, Hµ	SF, SPF, (Sur)D, C, B	45	OVI .		
	Donax vittatus		65.0	36.2	27.9	10.5	D, Hµ	SF, SPF, (SUF)D, C, B	38	OVI		
	Mactra stultorum		-	-	1.9	4.8	D, Hµ	SuF	в	5	Ovi		
	Pharus legumen		-	-	0.7	1.7	D, Hµ	SF	в	130	Ovi		
	Tellina fabula		-	-	2.5	1.5	D, Hµ	SuF	в	20	Ovi		
	Tellina tenuis		0.7	2.2	-	-	D, Hµ	SuF, SPF	в	20	Ovi		
	Euspira nitida	Mollusca gastropoda	-	0.1	0.6	0.3	Ca	SSF	B, C	16	Ovi		
	Nassarius reticulatus		-	-	0.7	1.0	Sc	SPF	С	30	Ovi		
	Philine aperta		-	-	0.9	0.5	Ca, Sc	SPF	С	100	Ovi		
	Nemerta sp.2	Nemertea	0.2	-	1.5	0.2	Ca	SPF	т	2	Ovi. F		
	Lineus acutifrons	Nemertea anopla	1.3	0.7	3.4	1.8	Ca	SPF	B	?	Ovi, F		
	Tubulanus polymorphus	Nemertea nalaeonemertea	0.2	0.1	0.7	1.0	Ca	SPE	B (T)	750	Ovi F		
	Platyhelminth	Platybelminther	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.2	Ca	SPE	B (S)	2	010		
	1 latyneminth	Tiatyrieinintries	-	0.4	0.5	0.2	0a	011	B, (0)		010		
	Number of total taxa		31	39	72	79							
	Total abundance (m) (sd)		236.3 (152.0)	145.0 (63.7)	24.5(10.3)	29.4 (8.5)							
	Sampling method		Core	Core	Grab	Grah							
	A	Chandata antianatari			20.0		C-	CDE	c.	120	0.4		
	Anogiossus laterna(3+)	Chordata actinopten		-	20.0	0.0	Ca	OPF	3	130	01		
	Buglossidium luteum(0)		-	-	6.0	14.2	Ca	SPF	S	50	Ovi		
	Buglossidium luteum(1)		-	-	2.0	17.9	Ca	SPF	S	60	Ovi		
	Buglossidium luteun(2)		-	-	11.0	9.4	Ca	SPF	s	60	Ovi		
	Buglossidium luteun(3+)		-	-	27.0	36.8	Ca	SPF	S	130	Ovi		
	Pegusa lascari(s)		24.5	31.2	13.0	8.5	Ca	SPF	S	60	Ovi		
	Pegusa lascari(s)+)		16.3	17.3	6.0	0.0	Ca	SPF	S	110	Ovi		
ffisl	Pegusa lascari(st)		2.5	4.4	8.0	0.0	Ca	SPF	s	160	Ovi		
-lat	Pegusa lascari@)		0.0	0.5	5.0	3.8	Ca	SPF	s	220	Ovi		
-	Pegusa lascari@+)		-	-	2.0	1.9	Ca	SPF	S	320	Ovi		
	Pleuronectes platess@)		6.6	26.0	-	_	Ca. Sc	SPF	s	90	Ovi		
	Scophthalmus maximu(1)		39.3	9.5	-	-	Ca	SPF	S	70	Ovi		
	Scophthalmus maximu(s)		2.3	0.9		-	Ca	SPF	s	140	Ovi		
	Scophthalmus rhombut()		7.2	8.4			 Ca	SPE	-	60	Ovi		
	Scophthalmus (hombul0+)		1.4	1.0	-	-	Ca	SPE	5	80	Ovi		
	Scophthalmus (hombut)		1.4	1.9	-	-	Co	CDE	6	150	Ovi		
	scopnalalmus mornbuşi)		-	-	-	0.9	са	JFF	3	100	01		
	Number of total tour				2								
	Number of total taxa		4	4	3	4							
	Mean apparent abundance (10n2)	(sd)	22.4 (17.5)	22.1(10.2)	7.3 (2.5)	8.8 (6.3)							
	Sampling method		Beach	Reach	Ream	Ream							

Feeding habit: Ca =Carnivore; D = Detritivore; H =Herbivore; Hu = Micro-Herbivore; O= Omnivore; Sc= Scavenger; Sy = Symbiosis. Feeding strategy: SF = Suspension feeder; SPF =Selective particle feeder; SSF= Sub-surface feeder; SuF = Surface feeder; Sy = Symbiosis.

Mobility: B Burrower; C = Crawler; D = Drifter; nS = night Swimmer; S =Swimmer; T = Tube dweller. Reproduction development: F = Fragmentation; Ovi = Oviparous; Ovo = Ovoviviparous.

TABLE 3. Variance partitioning of the macrofauna time series at both intertidal and subtidal sites with respect to temporal (distance-based Moran's eigenvector maps [dbMEMs]), *Ulva* (occurrence and biomass), and spatial (location across shore encoded by Helmert contrasts) explanatory variables. Variation explained is expressed as percentage (%) based on adjusted R^2 . The significance of the fraction of interest is denoted by stars; *** denote p<0.001. [T] = temporal variables, [U] = Ulva variables, [S] = spatial variables, Unexpl. = unexplained variation.

Variable	Df	Adj R² (%)	Sign.
[T + U + S]	6	32.8	***
[T]	3	6.6	***
[U]	2	13.6	***
[S]	1	13.2	***
[T (U + S)]	3	9.2	***
[U (T + S)]	2	12.3	***
[S (U + T)]	1	10.8	***
Unexpl.		67.2	



Vol. 522: 97–114, 2015 doi: 10.3354/meps11151

Effects of macroalgal accumulations on the variability in zoobenthos of high-energy macrotidal sandy beaches

Nolwenn Quillien^{1,2,3,*}, Marie C. Nordström², Olivier Gauthier^{1,3}, Erik Bonsdorff², Yves-Marie Paulet^{1,3}, Jacques Grall³

¹Laboratoire des Sciences de l'Environnement Marin, UMR 6539, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Plouzané, France ²Åbo Akademi University, Department of Biosciences, Environmental and Marine Biology, Turku, Finland ³Observatoire des Sciences de la mer et de l'univers, UMS 3113, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Plouzané, France

ABSTRACT: Sandy beaches, forming the most widespread coastal habitat in the world, are threatened by the effects of increasing anthropogenic pressure in the context of global change, including the increased occurrence of green tides composed of free-living Ulva spp. Sandy beaches are also highly dynamic ecosystems that support numerous essential ecological functions and contain a distinctive biodiversity, but their precise functioning and natural variability (i.e. the disentangling of biological and physical influences) remain under-studied. Our study aimed at determining the effects of space, time, and environmental variables on the natural variability of macrofaunal community structure and at specifically determining the effects of macroalgal accumulations on the observed variability. We followed a high-resolution field sampling design in space and time (261 samples) at non-vegetated and at partially and fully green-tide-impacted macrotidal sandy beaches. We used novel statistical approaches (distance-based Moran's eigenvector maps [dbMEMs] and variation partitioning) to analyse our results. The macrofaunal community structure of the non-vegetated sandy beach was variable in space and time at small scales, and physical environmental variables significantly explained these variations. Our study also highlighted a decrease in this variability along a gradient of increasing coverage of stranded Ulva spp. and the increasing importance of biological variables in explaining ecological variability. Compared to a state with no Ulva, a large and homogeneous coverage of Ulva along a sandy beach shore induced a significant decrease of overall β -diversity. However, macrofaunal responses to macroalgal accumulation were less pronounced than what has been previously shown in micro-tidal and sheltered systems, likely due to the dynamic and unstable nature of high-energy macrotidal sandy shores.

KEY WORDS: Macrotidal sandy beach · Macrofauna · Green tides · Space-time analysis · β -diversity

Resale or republication not permitted without written consent of the publisher

INTRODUCTION

Sandy beach (SB) ecosystems harbour many organisms that are all specialized and adapted to life in mobile sediments. At regional to global scales, SBs contribute highly to β -diversity and support numerous essential ecological functions such as filtering large amounts of water, mineralizing organic matter, and recycling nutrients, as well as forming nurseries

and feeding areas for commercially important fishes (Schlacher et al. 2008). Beach ecosystems make up two-thirds of the world's ice-free coastline (McLachlan & Brown 2006) and provide both resources and recreational opportunities. More than half of the world's human population lives within 60 km of the shoreline (Turner et al. 1996). Hence, SBs are threatened by increasing direct and indirect anthropogenic pressures, such as fishing, coastal development and

*Corresponding author: nolwenn.quillien@univ-brest.fr

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}$ Inter-Research 2015 \cdot www.int-res.com



Fig. 1. Illustration of green tides in the Bay of Douarnenez, Brittany, France: (a) Sandy beach (SB) that never harbours green tides; (b) SB that harbours green tides during spring and summer. Photo credits: E. Bonsdorff (a) and N. Quillien (b)

pollution (Schlacher et al. 2007, Defeo et al. 2009). One of the most important human-induced pressures is excess nutrient release into water bodies and the resulting eutrophication (Cloern 2001).

One of the direct symptoms of nutrient enrichment in coastal areas is the rapid and important development of assemblages of macroalgae with short lifecycles (Cloern 2001). Such notable blooms are increasing in frequency and intensity worldwide (Ye et al. 2011). In the northeastern Atlantic Ocean, beaches of Brittany (Western France) are particularly affected by stranded Ulva spp. (hereafter Ulva or green macroalgae) mats (Ménesguen & Piriou 1995, Charlier et al. 2007; Fig. 1); 51 open macrotidal (mean spring tide > 4 m, Allaby 2010) sandy beaches and 33 mud flats hosted green tides in 2013 (CGDD 2014). The presence of such free-living macroalgal mats affects exchange between sediments and water and modifies local hydrodynamics (Hull 1987, Jeffrey et al. 1992), primary production (Sundbäck 1994, Bombelli & Lenzi 1996), and benthic fauna (Hull 1987, Norkko & Bonsdorff 1996). Most of the studies that have been conducted on this issue were carried out in very sheltered environments (i.e. mud flats; see Raffaelli et al. 1998, Ouisse et al. 2011), have involved semi-controlled experiments in the field (Norkko & Bonsdorff 1996, Thiel & Watling 1998), and have mostly addressed the question in nonor micro-tidal systems (Norkko & Bonsdorff 1996, Thiel & Watling 1998). The tidal regime is an additional factor to the variability of coastal marine systems, and much still remains to be discovered and explained regarding the ecological effects of algal accumulations in open macrotidal sandy beach systems.

In their review addressing the threats facing sandy shore ecosystems, Brown & McLachlan (2002) showed that the question of the effects of organic enrichment through eutrophication in sandy shore ecosystems has been mostly studied in sheltered lagoons and in estuaries. The effects of macroalgal mats on open sandy beach systems have mainly been addressed by experimental studies based on controlled algal manipulations, which implies important limitations when trying to understand ecosystemscale response to such perturbation (Bolam et al. 2000, Franz & Friedman 2002). Thus, to our knowledge, except for meiofaunal communities (Carriço et al. 2013), there is no published research that has studied the effects of green tides on swash zone communities living in highly dynamic sandy systems such as open macrotidal beaches.

Since the 1980s, the understanding of the ecology of sandy shores has greatly advanced (Defeo & McLachlan 2005, McLachlan & Brown 2006, Nel et al. 2014). Numerous studies have described acrossshore distribution of benthic macrofauna (e.g. Schlacher & Thompson 2013), and, today, alongshore distribution of specific sandy beach populations is well known (e.g. Lercari & Defeo 1999, Defeo & de Alava 1995, Schoeman & Richardson 2002). In microtidal sandy systems, James & Fairweather (1996) have shown that SB macrobenthic community structure varies along-shore. However, such work has not been conducted in macrotidal systems. Thus, several spatial community patterns and their drivers are still to be fully understood. Regarding temporal variation, seasonal trends have been recorded in macrofaunal assemblages living in intertidal softbottom systems (Leber 1982, Degraer et al. 1999, Da Silva et al. 2008). Yet those studies have been mostly species-specific or used low-frequency sampling designs (ca. 6 mo between samplings), while Addicott et al. (1987) and Botsford et al. (1997) stress that ecological research often requires detailed and finescaled knowledge to understand patterns and processes. In addition, ecological processes may be better studied by simultaneous consideration of both spatial and temporal variation (Resh & Rosenberg 1989). Studies that consider both time and space in soft-sediment community ecology remain scarce (Hewitt et al. 2001, Ysebaert & Herman 2002). Regarding sandy beaches, the use of such an approach is still emerging (Veloso & Cardoso 2001, Carcedo et al. 2014).

The limited number of studies assessing how the combination of several factors structures benthic macrofaunal assemblages may be explained by the complexity of analysing multivariate data accounting for effects of time, space, and other environmental variables simultaneously. This issue is an important topic in community ecology (Rundle & Jackson 1996, Anderson & Gribble 1998, Ysebaert & Herman 2002). Hence, biostatisticians and numerical ecologists have developed methods incorporating resampling and permutational functions to answer ecological questions using multivariate and multiscale spatial and temporal data sets (Dray et al. 2012). The present study is one of the first using such an approach to disentangle the effects of time, space, and environment

tal factors, including green tides, on sandy swashzone benthic communities.

The aim of the present study was thus to explain the variation of benthic infauna of macrotidal (mean spring-tide > 4 m) sandy beaches. This was done by studying the following hypotheses: variation in macrofaunal benthic structure is dependent on (1) location at small spatial scale (hundreds of meters) along the swash-zone, (2) time/month (season) of the year, and (3) presence of *Ulva* spp. macroalgal blooms. In other words, we examined whether changes in the community were identifiable by analysing the effects of temporal, spatial, and environmental variables, specifically eutrophication in the form of biomass of *Ulva* spp., on the benthic assemblages over an annual cycle.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The study was performed in the bay of Douarnenez (Brittany, France), where sediments accumulate to form kilometre-scale sandy beaches (Fig. 2). Three beaches were selected for this study: one that does not harbour green tides (SB_A) (Fig. 1a), one that harbours spatially heterogeneous green tides (SB_B), and a third one (SB_C) that receives green tides homogeneously along the entire shore (Fig. 1b). Thus, the 3



Fig. 2. (a) Location (Brittany, France) of the 3 sandy beaches (SBs: A, B and C), the 9 sampling sites (black filled circles) where 3 replicates were sampled monthly and the coastal rivers' mouths (arrows). (b) Sampling design, repeated in time (10 dates: $T_1, T_2, ..., T_{10}$) showing the 3 SBs (A, B and C), the corresponding sampling sites (A₁, A₂, A₃, B₁, B₂, B₃, C₁, C₂, C₃) and the samples (black circles)

SBs form a gradient of beach types (Fig. 2b). We make use of this gradient, and since the performed analyses (see 'Data analyses') are based upon linear regression, they do not require replication of each value along the independent variable (here, the beach type, Fig. 2b). The 3 SBs, open to the west, show large areas (up to 500 m from shore during spring tides) that are uncovered at low tide (mean tidal regime = 6.5 ± 0.5 m). They are characterized by a lower shore with a slope of 1.5 %, and since the tidal regime is macrotidal, these SBs are defined as dissipative beaches (Short 1996, Hénaff 1998). The length of the beaches ranges from 2.1 to 3.0 km. The anthropogenic impacts on SB_{A} are negligible. Indeed, the continental water inputs are filtered by a wetland area just behind the beach, and the urbanization there is limited. In contrast, SB_{B} and SB_{C} are located below agricultural catchment areas and have experienced yearly Ulva bloom events since the early 1980s (Ménesguen & Piriou 1995, Charlier et al. 2007).

Field sampling

To assess macrofaunal variability along the shore, sampling was conducted at 3 sampling sites distributed latitudinally at the same level along the swash zone (spring low tide) at the north, middle, and south of the 3 SBs ($A_1 = 48^{\circ} 15.206' \text{ N}$, $4^{\circ} 33.298' \text{ W}$; $A_2 =$ $48^{\circ}15.003' \text{ N}, 4^{\circ}33.051' \text{ W}; A_3 = 48^{\circ}14.109' \text{ N}, 4^{\circ}$ 32.545' W; $B_1 = 48^{\circ} 11.355' N$, $4^{\circ} 18.202' W$; $B_2 = 48^{\circ}$ 11.193' N, 4° 18.149' W; $B_3 = 48^{\circ} 10.132'$ N, 4° 17.465' W; $C_1 = 48^{\circ} 06.585' N$, $4^{\circ} 17.050' W$; $C_2 = 48^{\circ} 06.367' N$, $4^{\circ} 17.145' \text{ W}; \text{ C}_3 = 48^{\circ} 06.105' \text{ N}, 4^{\circ} 17.263' \text{ W}$ (Fig. 2). The distance between each adjacent 2 of the 3 sampling sites within a beach ranged from 700 to 1300 m (mean of 900 ± 200 m). To evaluate temporal variability of benthic communities within each of the stations, all sites were sampled monthly from April 2012 to February 2013 (except in December 2012 for SB_{C} and in January 2013 for all sites due to unfavourable meteorological conditions) within a day for each beach.

Macrofauna (>1 mm) was collected using a tubecorer (inner diameter: 11.3 cm; depth: 15 cm). To obtain faunal samples covering an area of 300 cm², 3 cores (circa 40 cm apart) were pooled to obtain 1 sample (Fig. 2b). These pooled core samples were sieved through mesh bags (1 mm mesh size) to separate the fauna from the sediment. At each sampling site (A₁, A₂, etc.), 3 such replicate samples were randomly taken 1 to 2 m apart. Faunal samples were preserved in 4 % buffered formalin for later sorting in the lab where macrofauna were identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level with the aid of a binocular magnifier, counted, and weighed. Species nomenclature follows the 'World Register of Marine Species' (www.marinespecies.org/). Biomass of each taxon was measured by weight loss after combustion at 450°C for 4 h (ash-free dry weight).

Species richness was the number of species per sample. Abundance and biomass were converted to units per m^2 . In addition to the quantitative data set, global information on biological traits was linked to each dominant species. Information about the feeding ecology, mobility, size, and reproduction for the dominant species was thus gathered from peerreviewed literature and publicly available databases such as MarLIN/BIOTIC and EOL/polytraits (*sensu* Törnroos & Bonsdorff 2012).

At each site where fauna was sampled (Fig. 2a, $A_{1,2,3}$; $B_{1,2,3}$; $C_{1,2,3}$), a single sediment core was extracted (in the same way as fauna cores) to obtain grain size and organic matter content characteristics. Grain sizes were measured by dry-sieving, using a series of 16 sieves from 63 to 10000 µm. The sorting index (SI) was calculated based on the first and third quartile ratio ($\sqrt{Q25/Q75}$, where Q25 and Q75 denote the first and the third quartiles of the sediment grain size, respectively), and the median (Q50) was equal to the second quartile of the sediment grain size value (hereafter referred to as 'median'). Organic matter content was measured by weight loss after combustion at 450°C for 5 h. Beach slope was calculated for each sampling site by geometry (maximal tide/shore width). Ulva biomass was estimated by CEVA (www.ceva.fr/fre) through monthly aerial surveys (for estimation of surface area covered by mats) and field sampling (for conversion into biomass). Since CEVA's data were included in a GIS (geographic information system) database, Ulva biomass was calculated for 3 at each beach (each polygon was one third of the beach surface and contained 1 sampling site, e.g. site A1, see Fig. 2a) along shore in order to integrate spatial-heterogeneity along SBs.

Seawater temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen content were measured on each sampling occasion using a YSI-OMS v2 probe. Monthly mean values for phytoplankton concentrations and for wave height were taken from the publicly available database PREVIMER (www.previmer.org/observations). PREVIMER estimates plankton concentration using the ECO-MARS-3D model (grid = 4 km), and the wave height was calculated using the model WW3 (grid = 200 m). Wind speed was assessed by averaging the values for wind velocity of 5 d before each sampling date using data of the Pointe du Raz meteorological station (www.infoclimat.fr/observations-meteo/temps-reel/pointe-du-raz/07103.html). The environmental parameters measured at beach scale (seawater temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen content, plankton concentration, wave height, and wind velocity) were considered homogeneous within each beach (e.g. salinity at SB_A = salinity at Sites A_1 , A_2 , and A_3).

Data analyses

Effect of site on species richness throughout the SBs was assessed with sample-based rarefaction curves for the 9 sampling sites (from A_1 to C_3). Expected species richness was plotted as a function of the expected number of individuals to allow meaningful comparisons (Gotelli & Colwell 2001) among sites. Macrofauna diversity was assessed using the following primary community variables and diversity indices (Gray & Elliott 2009): abundance (A), biomass (B), species richness (S), and numerical equivalents of Shannon's entropy $(\exp(H'))$ and Simpson's (1/D)indices following the method of Jost (2006) for unified interpretation of diversity. The temporal (monthly sampling from April 2012 to February 2013) and spatial (along the beach) variation in each of these univariate variables was assessed to extricate diversity trends.

Before performing statistical tests on these univariate characteristics of macrofaunal α -diversity, all faunal and environmental variables were checked for normality (graphically and using Agostino test) and fourth-root, log, or arcsine transformed if necessary. Environmental characteristics between beaches and/or sampling occasions were tested to determine if and how the 3 SBs experienced different environmental conditions. For slope, estimated once from bathymetric maps for each of the 9 sites (see 'Field sampling'), a Kruskal-Wallis test was used. For variables measured repeatedly through time at a single site per beach (seawater temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, and wave height), Friedman tests were used to test for consistent differences among beaches over time. Finally, after checking for homogeneity of variance, 2-way ANOVAs with permutations were used for variables measured with replication in both space and time (Ulva biomass, sorting index, organic matter, and median). Furthermore, to disentangle the effects of time, environment, and space on the univariate variables (A, B, S, H', and D), 2 recently developed methods were combined: distance-based Moran's eigenvector maps (dbMEMs;

Dray et al. 2006, Legendre & Gauthier 2014) were used in variation partitioning (Borcard & Legendre 1994). First, dbMEM eigenfunctions were generated based on the number of sampling occasions and the number of days between sampling occasions. The generated dbMEM eigenfunctions were used as temporal variables (here called MEMs, see Fig. S1 in the Supplement at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/ m522p097_supp.pdf). MEMs can model both positive and negative temporal patterns, and both models were assessed. Forward selection (Blanchet et al. 2008) was then used to select significant MEMs. The location along the shore was used as a spatial variable and was coded by Helmert contrasts (Legendre & Anderson 1999). Variation in the univariate responses was then partitioned with respect to 3 groups of explanatory variables: environment (the 10 variables describing physical and biological environment of each site after normalization), space (the location along the shore), and time (the selected MEMs). Each fraction of variation, i.e. the explanatory power of each set of the explanatory variables, was tested by multiple and partial (for pure explanatory power, see Fig. S2 in the Supplement, blue section) linear regression (Legendre & Legendre 2012). The importance of each environmental factor (i.e. the 10 variables describing physical and biological environment of each site) in explaining univariate responses was assessed using stepwise selection in multiple regression.

To test the null hypothesis of no differences among benthic assemblages through time between the 3 beaches, a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) with permutation tests was computed by redundancy analysis (RDA) based on Hellingertransformed abundance matrices of the 3 SBs (Legendre & Legendre 2012, Legendre & Gauthier 2014). For all multidimensional analyses, faunal data were first transformed using the Hellinger transformation, which is recommended for analysing abundance and biomass of species data since it does not give high weights to rare species (Legendre & Gallagher 2001). The 2 factors, beach and time, and their interaction, were coded by Helmert contrasts (Legendre & Anderson 1999). Homogeneity of multivariate dispersion was tested prior to this analysis. Principal component analyses (PCAs) were performed to visualize patterns in the distribution of assemblages of macrofauna in space and time within each SB. The total β -diversity (BDTotal) is defined as the total variance of a community matrix (sensu Legendre & De Càceres 2013). BDTotal was computed for each of the 3 sites within each sandy beach $(SB_A, SB_B, and SB_C) \mbox{ for each sampling occasion (only 8 sampling events — April to November 2012, i.e. 72 data points — were included to ensure a balanced design in this analysis). To assess if macroalgal accumulations are associated with general losses of <math display="inline">\beta$ -diversity, BDTotal values were correlated (Kendall rank correlation) with the sum of *Ulva* coverage at each beach. To test if the different regimes of macroalgal accumulations could be linked to overall β -diversity, differences in BDTotal between beaches were assessed using 3 pairwise Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests followed by a Holm probability correction for multiple testing.

Finally, redundancy analysis (RDA) was used to replace the 3 beaches in the overall context and determine how environmental, spatial, and temporal variables constrain the variation of benthic communities in this setting. MEM variables were used to model time, and forward selection was applied as in the previous analyses. To maintain balanced sampling effort in space and time, data from December 2012 were not used in analyses performed on all 3 beaches together. Finally, to circumvent the missed survey of January 2013 and consider data series with regular sampling intervals (1 mo), data from February 2013 were removed from all time-series modelling.

All analyses were conducted within the R environment (R Development Core Team 2013) and relied on the *BiodiversityR* (Kindt & Coe 2005), *vegan* (Oksanen et al. 2011), *PCNM* (Legendre et al. 2013), and *packfor* (Dray et al. 2013) packages.

RESULTS

Environmental characterization

Environmental characteristics for the period April 2012 to February 2013 of $SB_{A'}$, $SB_{B'}$ and SB_{C} are presented in Table 1. Seawater temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen content showed no significant differences among the 3 SBs. The environment is fully marine and well oxygenized. Likewise, wave height, beach slope, and chl a concentration were not significantly different among the 3 beaches. A significant effect of beach (SB_{A, B, C}) was found for the sorting index and median grain size, the latter also varying significantly in time. Finally, a significant interaction of the 2 factors 'beach' and 'time' explained variations of organic matter content and Ulva biomass. Significant statistical interaction implies that the effect of a factor (e.g. time) is not constant across the levels of another (e.g. beach).

No *Ulva* was reported from SB_A, while the mean biomass of fresh green macroalgae mats was estimated at approximately 720 and 340 t stranded along SB_B and SB_C, respectively. Particularly large standard deviations were found for *Ulva* biomass (Table 1) due to the temporal variability of stranded macroalgae, which annually occurs in spring and summer. Considering the 3 sampling sites along the shore of SB_B and SB_C, *Ulva* biomass washed ashore was variable both in time (Fig. 3a,c) and space (Fig. 3b,d). Macroalgae biomass measured on SB_B showed a gradient from Site B₁ to Site B₃ with a maximum in June,

Table 1. Environmental characterization of the 3 beaches (SB_A, SB_B, and SB_C) sampled monthly from April 2012 to February 2013 and the associated tests for the effects of beach (Be), time (TI), and their interaction (Be × Ti) on environmental variables sampled monthly alongshore (*Ulva* biomass, SI, organic matter content, median), monthly at the beach scale (SWT, salinity, dissolved oxygen, wave height, chl *a*), and on a single occasion for the 3 sites along-shore (slope). The table presents the significant effects ($p \le 0.05$); a dash denotes no significant effect. 2w-A: 2-way ANOVA with permutations; F: Friedman test; K-W: Kruskal-Wallis. SWT: seawater temperature; median: Q50 (second quartile of the sediment grain size); SI: sorting index calculated based on first and third quartile ratio ($\sqrt{Q25/Q75}$, where Q25 and Q75 denote the first and the third quartiles of the sediment grain size, respectively); P: physicochemical variable; B: biological variable

Variable	Туре	S Mean	B _A SD	S Mean	B _B SD	S Mean	B _C SD	Sources	Test	Effect
<i>Ulva</i> biomass (10 ³ kg)	В	0.00	0.00	722.99	401.47	340.21	101.9	CEVA	2w-A	Be × Ti
Sorting index	Р	1.24	0.10	1.13	0.06	1.21	0.09	Present study	2w-A	Be
Organic matter (%)	В	4.45	0.47	4.11	0.74	4.14	0.48	Present study	2w-A	$\mathrm{Be} imes \mathrm{Ti}$
Median	Р	180.37	25.07	158.52	11.99	176.87	22.06	Present study	2w-A	Be, Ti
SWT (°C)	Р	14.58	3.39	14.68	4.39	15.44	2.82	Present study	F	-
Salinity	Р	34.9	0.59	34.86	0.41	34.9	0.42	Present study	F	-
Dissolved oxygen (%)	P/B	103.38	2.23	103.91	2.62	104.05	4.13	Present study	F	-
Wave height (m)	Р	1.35	0.43	1.42	0.49	1.59	0.66	Raz Observatory	F	-
Chl a ($\mu g l^{-1}$)	В	15.79	9.86	15.79	9.86	15.79	9.68	PREVIMER	-	-
Slope (%)	Р	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	Present study	K-W	-

while SB_C showed no clear gradient pattern. There, stranding was homogeneous throughout the beach (Fig. 3d).

Spatial-temporal variation in diversity patterns

Over the 10 mo of the study and among the 261 samples, a total of 12781 macrofauna individuals were identified belonging to 67 different species. Crustacea was the most represented phylum within the community, with 29 malacostraca species, followed by Annelida with 26 polychaete species and Mollusca with 6 species. Other species belonged to Nemertea, Echinodermata, Sipuncula, Platyhelminthes, and Cnidaria. Considering each sampling site and a given number of individuals (n = 720, i.e. thelowest total number for any site), species accumulation curves (Fig. 4) give the highest estimated species richness for Sites B_1 and B_2 (S = 32 and 33 respectively). Sites A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , and B_3 exhibited similar values (26, 27, 28, and 28, respectively). Species richness estimated for sites sampled on SB_C were the lowest, with values ranging from 21 to 24.

The different primary community variables (A, B, S, H, and D) displayed temporal patterns and did not follow the same arrays (Fig. 5; for additional information regarding spatial variation along-shore, see also Fig. S3 in the Supplement) within the SBs (A, B, and C). The development of fauna (abundance and bio-



Fig. 3. Monthly biomass of stranded Ulva throughout the year 2012 estimated at (a) SB_B and (c) SB_C and yearly mean (\pm SD) at (b) SB_B and (d) SB_C . Note that the scales are not the same on all plots



Fig. 4. Sample-based rarefaction curves for the 3 SBs (A, B and C) and each site. The dashed grey vertical arrow indicates the chosen number of individuals for comparison between sites (n = 720)

mass) varied only slightly at SB_A, while it showed evident seasonal variability at SB_B and SB_C (Fig. 5a,b). The same overall seasonal trend occurred for the mean species richness for the 3 SBs (Fig. 5c) with the highest mean S observed in August and September

for each site. The mean Shannon and Simpson indices showed seasonal variability (Fig. 5d,e) with lower levels at SB_B and SB_C from August to February.

Disentangling effects of time, space, and environment on univariate responses

Variation partitioning revealed the proportion of variation of the 5 univariate community responses (A, B, S, H', and D) explained by temporal variables [**T**], spatial variables [**S**], environmental variables [**E**], and their combinations, for the 3 sandy beaches (Table 2, see Fig. S2 in the Supplement for an example of associated Venn diagram). Taken together, the 3 explanatory variables matrices ([**T**] + [**S**] + [**E**]) significantly explained a large amount (26 to 86%, p < 0.05) of



Fig. 5. Mean (+SD) abundance (A), biomass (B), species richness (S), numerical equivalents of Shannon's entropy $\exp(H')$ and Simpson's (1/D) indices (after Jost 2006) from April 2012 to February 2013 at the 3 beaches (SB_A, SB_B and SB_C)

the variation observed in univariate responses of the 3 beaches considered in this study, especially for $\rm SB_C$ (Table 2).

Considering the variables separately, temporal, spatial, and environmental variables explained univariate community variables for SB_A and SB_B in the vast majority of situations. Nevertheless, the number of occasions on which the variation explained by spatial explanatory variables was non-significant was higher in SB_B than in SB_A , and significant models explained less variation. More remarkably, spatial variables did not significantly explain any variation observed in univariate responses for SB_C , where only temporal and environmental explanatory variables significantly explained variations (Table 2).

The small proportions of variation explained by 'pure' explanatory variables (Table 2) indicated that temporal and environmental variables shared high portions of explained variance. The environmental variables [E] consisted of both physical and biological factors whose significance in explaining variations in the univariate variables (A, B, S, H', and D)was tested for each SB (Table 3). Salinity, dissolved oxygen, mean wave height, and beach slope were the variables most useful to model the variation in the univariate variables observed at SB_A. SB_B diversity patterns were best matched with Ulva biomass, total organic matter content, phytoplankton, and with the dissolved oxygen content, seawater temperature, and the slope. The same patterns were observed for SB_C, but the number of environmental factors fitting the univariate response variation rose.

Community composition and its dynamics related to time, space, and environment

The 21 species presented in Table 4, which mainly belong to molluscs, polychaetes, and crustaceans, made up almost all (99.9%) of the total biomass observed at each of the 3 SBs. The sandy beach community across the 3 SBs is dominated by 3 suspension-feeding bivalves (Table 4) whose contribution to the total biomass differed among the beaches. Where green tides landed on shore $(SB_B \text{ and } SB_C)$, Donax vittatus largely contributed to the total biomass, while D. trunculus and Angulus tenuis were underrepresented, opposite to SB_A. The same distinction between beaches was detected for echinoderms (Acrocnida brachiata), surface deposit-feeding annelids (Spiophanes bombyx vs. Owenia fusiformis), and carnivorous polychaetes (Nephtys hombergii and Glycera tridactyla vs. Lumbrinereis sp.).

Table 2. Variance partitioning of total abundance (A), total biomass (B), total species richness (S), Shannon index (H'), and Simpson's index (D) of the 3 beaches $(SB_A, SB_B, and SB_C)$. Variation explained is expressed as a percentage (%) based on adjusted \mathbb{R}^2 . [T]: selected temporal variables; [S]: spatial location along beaches; [E]: environmental variables; Unexpl.: unexplained variation. Degrees of freedom for [S] = 2, for [E] = 10, and varied from 1 to 6 for [T] due to the forward selection. Grey *italicized* numbers represent non-significant fractions. The shaded cells show the significant (p < 0.05) contributions of explanatory matrices that explain the variation observed in the univariate variables

Variable	A	В	SB_A	H'	D	А	В	SB_B	H'	D	А	В	$\frac{SB_C}{S}$	H'	D
		Б	%		2		2	%		D		D	%		2
[T + S + E]	48	74	42	26	28	68	67	34	25	19	86	84	34	62	73
[T]	26	29	21	4	20	67	63	21	10	22	84	84	28	52	64
[S]	9	36	16	21	0	0	1	8	17	0	0	0	2	1	5
[E]	15	50	16	6	14	62	62	15	8	18	72	75	33	45	44
$[\mathbf{T} \mid (\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{E})]$	31	10	17	0	14	6	3	10	0	0	13	9	2	16	21
$[\mathbf{S} \mid (\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{E})]$	0	7	8	21	0	0	1	12	18	0	0	0	0	0	4
$[\mathbf{E} \mid (\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{S})]$	11	6	5	0	8	0	1	4	0	0	2	0	3	7	1
Unexpl.	52	26	58	74	72	32	33	66	75	76	14	16	66	38	27

Table 3. Variation in total abundance (A), biomass (B), species richness (S), transformed Shannon index (H'), and transformed Simpson index (D) for the 3 beaches (SB_A, SB_B, and SB_C) explained by stepwise regression models constructed with all environmental variables. **Bold** variables are those that enter at least 2 distinct models. SWT: seawater temperature; P: physicochemical variable; B: biological variable; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.01

	Туре	A	В	S	H'	D
SB	Adj R ² :	14.2	35.9	7.0	1.6	13.0
SWT (°C)	Р					
Salinity (psu)	Р	**	**	**		
Dissolved O ₂ (%) P/B	**				***
Ulva biomass (t)	В					
Mean wave heig	yht (m) P		**	*		
Sorting index (u	nitless) P					
Slope (%)	Р	*	***			
Organic matter	(%) B		*			
Phytoplankton (µg l ⁻¹) B		***			
Median (µm)	Р					
SBR	Adi R ² :	59.7	59.9	11.3	11.1	14.0
SWT (°C)	Р	***	***			
Salinity (psu)	Р					
Dissolved O ₂ (%) P/B	***	***			
Ulva biomass (t)	B	**	**	**	*	**
Mean wave heid	tht (m) P	***				
Sorting index (u	nitless) P					
Slope (%)	P		***		*	**
Organic matter	(%) B				**	*
Phytoplankton ($\mu g l^{-1}$) B	***	***	**		
Median (µm)	P					
SBc	Adi R ² :	66.9	72.0	30.8	36.3	33.5
SWT (°C)	Р	***	***	***		
Salinity (psu)	Р	***	***	***		
Dissolved O ₂ (%) P/B	***	***	***		
Ulva biomass (t)	В	*	*		**	*
Mean wave heid	tht (m) P	***	***	***	**	**
Sorting index (u	nitless) P					
Slope (%)	́Р					
Organic matter	(%) B			**	***	***
Phytoplankton ($(\mu q l^{-1}) B$	***	***	***		
Median (µm)	P					
·····						

A significant interaction between time and beach on macrofauna community structure was revealed by the 2-factor MANOVA ($F_{14,48} = 1.42$, p = 0.006). Consequently, we focused on each of the 3 beaches separately to look at the spatio-temporal changes in the benthic communities.

BDTotal varied among the 3 beaches. There was no significant Kendall correlation between total β-diversity and Ulva coverage ($\tau = -0.08$, p = 0.30, n = 24) considering all sampling events. However, a significant difference in β -diversity was found between SBA (no green tide present during any of the sampling times) and SB_C (uniform Ulva coverage along the shore; W = 54, corrected p = 0.021). The median of total β -diversity was the highest when Ulva never occurs on shore, and the lowest was found where green tide occurs homogeneously along the shore (Fig. 6). SB_B, with a local persistent gradient in Ulva coverage along the shore, had an intermediate BDTotal value and exhibited a greater dispersion than $SB_{\rm A}$ and $SB_{\rm C}$ (Fig. 6). $SB_{\rm B}$ did not significantly differ from SB_A (W = 46, corrected p = 0.321) or SB_C (W = 45, corrected p = 0.321).

Shifts and differences in community structure between the 3 beaches are illustrated with PCA analyses (Fig. 7), integrating time (range of grey scale) and space in the representation (3 different shapes for sites). On one hand, the ordination for SB_A showed the absence of a clear temporal pattern and both distinct and overlapping spatial structuring of macrofaunal data (Fig. 7A). On the other hand, a different picture emerges for SB_B and SB_C (Fig. 7B,C) with a shift in benthic community composition over time and a spatial structuring for SB_B macrofauna communities. No discernible spatial patterns appeared at SB_C. Table 4. Percentage dominance in terms of biomass for the major species (threshold = 99.9%) living in the sampled sites (A₁, A₂, A₃, B₁, B₂, B₃, C₁, C₂, and C₃). **Bold** numbers show contributions that are >0.70%. Taxonomic group and selected biological traits (cohort, feeding strategy, mobility, size, and reproduction/development) are given for each species. Cohorts: Ad.: adults, Juv.: juveniles; s-Ad.: sub-adults. Feeding strategy: P: predators; SDF: surface deposit feeders; SF: suspension feeders; SSDF; selective surface deposit feeders. Mobility: B: burrowers; C: crawlers; D: drifters; nS: night swimmers; T: tube-builders. Reproduction/development: BE: brooded eggs; BS: broadcast spawners; Cut: Cuttings; Ov.: oviparous; Pel.: pelagic. Data set excludes December 2012 samples; species that were not weighted because of their scarcity were also excluded. Traits data collected from MarLIN, EOL, polytraits, and BIOTIC databases, and personal observations. Max. size: maximal size (mm); Rep./dev.: Reproduction/development; values in parentheses are a less-expressed trait; -: zero values (biomass dominance) or no data available (cohorts and max. size); [#]diameter of central disc; ^blength of carapace

Species	Taxonomic group Biomass dominance (%)								Cohorts	Functi	onal chai	acteri	stics		
		A_1	A_2	A_3	B_1	B_2	B_3	C_1	C_2	C_3	(pers. obs.)	Feeding strategy	Mobil- ity	Max. size	Rep./ dev.
Donax vittatus	Mollusca: Bivalvia	24.73	46.83	35.94	85.88	65.68	90.42	85.64	83.69	87.22	Ad., Juv.	SF	D, C, B	38	BS/Pel.
Donax trunculus	Mollusca: Bivalvia	9.14	19.12	39.79	2.79	10.98	4.32	10.41	10.17	4.06	Ad.	SF	D, C, B	45	BS/Pel.
Angulus tenuis	Mollusca: Bivalvia	36.07	27.14	5.60	2.17	3.77	0.75	2.19	3.49	5.05	Ad., Juv.	SDF	В	20	BS/Pel.
Acrocnida brachiata	Echinodermata: Ophiurida	4.38	3.39	6.74	0.58	4.74	1.30	0.53	0.32	0.41	Ad., Juv.	SDF, P	В	12 ^a	BS/Pel.
Spiophanes bombyx	Annelida: Polychaeta	12.60	0.91	4.82	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.02	0.01	Ad.	SDF	Т	60	BS/Pel.
Owenia fusiformis	Annelida: Polychaeta	0.69	0.02	0.08	5.82	7.10	1.40	0.18	0.74	1.62	Ad., Juv.	SDF, SF	Т	100	BS/Pel.
Nephtys assimilis	Annelida: Polychaeta	2.81	0.77	3.09	0.79	2.71	0.60	0.19	0.72	0.61	Ad., Juv.	Р	B, C (S)	115	BS/Pel.
Nephtys hombergii	Annelida: Polychaeta	5.03	0.30	-	0.28	-	-	0.15	-	0.15	Ad.	Р	B, C (S)	200	BS/Pel.
Urothoe poseidonis	Arthropoda: Malacostraca	0.35	0.52	0.03	0.26	1.01	0.36	0.16	0.33	0.27	Ad.	SSDF	B (nS)	6	Ov./BE
Nemertea sp.	Nemertea	1.31	0.09	0.69	0.31	0.43	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.06	-	Р	В	-	Cut.
Lineus acutifrons	Nemertea: Anopla	0.90	0.44	0.69	0.20	0.49	0.16	0.04	0.04	-	Ad., s-Ad.	Р	В	250	Cut.
Glycera tridactyla	Annelida: Polychaeta	0.84	0.11	0.50	0.04	0.23	0.05	0.02	0.06	0.02	Ad., Juv.	Р	B (S)	50	BS/Pel.
Sigalion mathildae	Annelida: Polychaeta	0.42	_	0.41	0.18	0.57	-	-	-	0.05	Ad., s-Ad.	Р	B, C (S)	150	BS/Pel.
Nephtys cirrosa	Annelida: Polychaeta	0.18	0.10	0.32	0.10	0.40	0.05	0.04	0.10	0.12	Juv.	Р	B, C (S)	100	BS/Pel.
Magelona filiformis	Annelida: Polychaeta	0.13	0.00	0.02	0.18	0.77	0.13	0.01	0.05	0.06	Ad.	SDF	В	80	BS/Pel.
Lumbrinereis sp.	Annelida: Polychaeta	-	-	-	-	0.48	0.16	-	0.13	0.25	Ad.	Р	В	150	Ov./BE
Diogenes pugilator	Arthropoda: Malacostraca	0.20	0.02	0.49	0.09	0.05	0.08	-	-	-	Ad., Juv.	Р	C (B)	$7.5^{\rm b}$	Ov.
Bathyporeia pelagica	Arthropoda: Malacostraca	0.05	0.02	0.17	0.05	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	Ad.	SDF	B (nS)	8	Ov./BE
Scolelepis cantabra	Annelida: Polychaeta	0.03	0.01	0.10	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	Ad.	SDF, SSDF	Ť	80	BS/Pel.
Eocuma dollfusi	Arthropoda: Malacostraca	0.05	0.02	0.14	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	-	Ad.	SDF, SSDF	B (nS)	15	Ov./BE
Cumopsis fagei	Arthropoda: Malacostraca	0.02	0.01	0.14	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Ad., s-Ad.	SDF, SSDF	B (nS)	6	Ov./BE

 ${\rm SB}_{\rm A}$ to ${\rm SB}_{\rm C}$ (9 to 3 %, p < 0.05). explanatory power decreased from ation observed in macrozoobenthic also significantly explained the variof time and environment from $\ensuremath{\mathrm{SB}_{\mathrm{A}}}$ to illustrated by the increase in the extion of the 3 sets of explanatory varisented in Fig. 8. The proportion of macrofauna at the 3 SBs with respecassemblages. However, the spatial variables (total and pure fractions) SB_C (12 to 28%, p < 0.05). Spatial planatory power of the intersection harbouring green tides, and may be munity observed at sandy beaches the variation in the macrofauna comral and environmental variables tributable to a better fit of the tempop < 0.05). This increase is mainly atcreased from ${
m SB}_{
m A}$ to ${
m SB}_{
m C}$ (35 to 42%) ables was always significant and invariation explained by the combinamental explanatory variables is preto temporal, spatial, and environ-Partitioning of, the variance 0 ð

These results all corroborate the hypothesis that time and space play a major role in explaining benthic assemblage structure at SB_A and SB_B , while the location along the shore does not explain macrofauna community structure observed at SB_C , which has the most uniform cover of UIva.

tory and positive association of the SI and sites is associated with the explana-Magelona filiformis vittatus, bombyx, of wave height and Donax Angulus diagrams (Fig. 9) also showed strong and Ulva biomass. SB_B sites. The separation of the 3 diagram were formed by SB_C and centre and in the lower part of the the SB_A sites, and 2 diagonals in the polygon on the top-right contained revealed 3 time, space, and environment (Fig. 9), from all 3 beaches, constrained by dancy analysis of macrofaunal data The correlation triplot of redunvariables SI, oxygen content, and of Ulva biomass tenuis dot clouds: a and The ordination Spiophanes triangulaı and



Fig. 6. Total β -diversity (BDTotal) across space and time at the 3 beaches (SB_A, SB_B and SB_C). Box plots show the median (line in box), the first and third quartiles (hinges), and the most extreme data points which are 1.5 times the interquartile range from the box (whiskers)

DISCUSSION

Macrozoobenthic assemblages of the oceanexposed sandy beaches considered in this study were mainly composed of molluscs, polychaetes, and crustaceans, which is consistent with other studies in the same ecoregion (Leber 1982, Degraer et al. 1999, Rodil et al. 2006) and worldwide (e.g. McLachlan 1983). The SBs had a rich benthic community, composed of >60 species, and it was highly variable along the shore and through time. Spatio-temporal changes in the overall community composition support our hypotheses 1 and 2, indicating that macrotidal SB macrofauna community structure is dependent on site at small spatial scales (hundreds of meters) and time of the year (monthly to seasonal intervals).

More precisely, the 3 sites that we studied along the swash zone of SB_A (no green tides present at any time of the year on any site) showed similar rarefied species richness, while other biological variables, such as biomass and abundance, varied among sites. Similar species richness among sites may be explained by homogenisation, which is an important factor in determining intertidal macrobenthic community patterns (de Juan & Hewitt 2014). Along-shore patterns observed in abundance and biomass have also been described for other sandy shores (Defeo & de Alava 1995) and may be associated with gradients in physical (e.g. swash climate) and biological (e.g. competition or predation) factors (Defeo & McLachlan 2005).



Fig. 7. Principal component analysis (PCA) distance biplots (i.e. scaling 1) of Sites 1 (circles), 2 (triangles), and 3 (squares), based on Hellinger-transformed abundance of benthic community sampled at SB_A, SB_B, and SB_C from April 2012 to February 2013 (excluding December 2012 for balanced design) with superimposed indicative clusters for spatial (solid line [Site 1], dashed line [Site 2] or long-dashed line [Site 3] polygons) and/or temporal structuring (grey boxes and symbols for autumn/winter, highlighting the difference from spring/summer [black polygons and symbols])



Fig. 8. Venn diagrams illustrating the result of variance partitioning of the macrofauna time series at SB_A , SB_B , and SB_C with respect to environmental (physical and biological variables), spatial (location along shore), and temporal (distance-based Moran's eigenvector maps [dbMEMs]) explanatory variables. Variation explained is expressed as a percentage (%) based on adjusted R^2 . [T]: selected temporal variables, [S]: spatial location, [E]: environmental variables, Residuals: unexplained variation



Fig. 9. RDA correlation triplot (scaling 2) of macrofaunal communities data and all explanatory variables (environmental, spatial, and temporal) of the 3 sandy beaches together: A (blue), B (orange), and C (red). Org: total organic matter; Sal: salinity; O₂: dissolved oxygen; Temp: temperature; Phyto: phytoplankton concentration; Median: Q50 (second quartile of the sediment grain size); SI: sorting index calculated based on first and third quartile ratio ($\sqrt{Q25}/Q75$, where Q25 and Q75 denote the first and the third quartiles of the sediment grain size, respectively); *Ulva*: stranded *Ulva* biomass; Waves: wave height; Memx: temporal variable x generated dbMEM eigenfunctions, x: centroid for SBs

Variation partitioning also revealed the significant importance of spatial variables in explaining the variation observed for univariate variables calculated from macrofaunal data. Along-shore variations of the primary community variables and diversity indices were due to changes in the relative abundance (recruitment) of key species such as Donax vittatus and Owenia fusiformis. These changes in the spatial variation of the univariate variables of the benthic community are consistent with the findings of James & Fairweather (1996), who highlighted significant along-shore variation in the abundance of individual taxa. More recently and at smaller spatial scales (transects about 10 m apart), Veiga et al. (2014) also found variability in the abundance, diversity, and structure of macrobenthic assemblages. Our study argues in favour of small spatial (hundreds of meters) along-shore structuring of macrotidal SB macrofauna. Taking into consideration along-shore variation through entire open sandy beaches is thus crucial for ecological studies aiming at understanding the functioning of such systems at the mesoscale.



Fig. 10. Conceptual model of the effects of green tides on macrobenthic characteristics of sandy beaches. GT: green tide; Env.: environmental; var.: variable; +: stimulated; -: stifled; SWT: seawater temperature; S: species richness; H', D: Shannon and Simpson indices; A, B: abundance and biomass; Waves: wave height; Sal: salinity; Org: total organic matter

Also, temporal variables influenced abundance, biomass, and species richness at SBA. These observations are in accordance with other studies in comparable environments (Leber 1982, Degraer et al. 1999), although such studies often do not take small temporal scale into consideration. Our results highlight real seasonal patterns (Morrisey et al. 1992) in the benthic community as we sampled intensively (monthly) during each season. The seasonal variations observed are mainly due to recruitment of the dominant species during summer (Guillou 1982, McLachlan & Brown 2006) as well as mortality and migrations offshore due to lower temperature and storms during winter (McLachlan & Brown 2006). Furthermore, temporal changes in the benthic macrofauna structure may be modified by variations in the food supply and by trophic interactions within the zoobenthos (Defeo & McLachlan 2005). Our results emphasize the importance of covering the small temporal scale (month).

Our third hypothesis, regarding changes occurring in the presence of *Ulva* macroalgal mats, was verified (Fig. 10). Our results support the hypothesis that patterns and differences in macrofaunal community structure observed arose from an *Ulva* accumulation gradient on sandy shores rather than from other environmental factors. Indeed, most of the environmental variables (wave height, seawater temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, slope, and phytoplankton) did not show any significant differences among the 3 beaches or over time. Median grain size and sorting were significantly different, but the effect sizes are negligible when placed in an ecological context: both characterized fine and well-sorted sediments (Blott & Pye 2001). Furthermore, organic matter content differed among the 3 SBs due to temporal variations (see Fig. S5 in the Supplement at www.int-res.com/ articles/suppl/m522p097_supp.pdf) that may be linked to the presence of Ulva stranded ashore. Indeed, accumulations of drifting macroalgae cause direct shading of sandy shores, which limits the growth of microphytobenthos (Corzo et al. 2009). A loss of microalgal mats may thus result in a decrease in total organic matter content, and since microphytobenthos support grazing trophic levels (Miller et al. 1996), it may also affect deposit-feeding macrofauna. The 3 beaches are part of the same regional macrohabitat (Glémarec 1969), but despite being located in the same regional water body and showing high similarities in terms of physical characteristics, the 3 beaches showed different nutrient concentrations in the inshore water (Dussauze & Ménesguen 2008). Due to agricultural activity in the catchment area, coastal rivers draining at SB_B and SB_C bring nutrients. There, the eutrophication and the associated Ulva blooms originating from nutrient inputs remain highly local, i.e. hundreds of meters from the shore at the mouths of coastal rivers (Ménesguen & Piriou 1995).

In the present study, the rarefied richness differed among beaches. The beach where a gradient in Ulvastranding occurred (SB_B) exhibited higher rarefied species richness than was found at SB_A which did not harbour green macroalgal blooms. Where Ulva mats occurred homogeneously along the shore (SB_C), the rarefied species richness was lowest (Fig. 4). The observed patterns are in line with what would be expected from the benthic successional paradigm on the effects of increasing organic-matter inputs on communities of benthic macrofauna (Pearson & Rosenberg 1978). This model shows an increase in benthic species richness, numerical abundance, and biomass with moderate perturbation and then a decrease when disturbance increases, which is in accordance with the concept of intermediate disturbance (Connell 1978).

While it is well recognized that accumulation of macroalgae has strong negative effects on sedentary infauna (Raffaelli et al. 1998, Grall & Chauvaud 2002), such as widespread mortality in zoobenthic communities (Everett 1994, Norkko & Bonsdorff 1996, Bolam et al. 2000), our results contradict this paradigm for the intertidal flats of exposed macrotidal sandy beaches (Fig. 10). In our study, in the highest eutrophic conditions, i.e. under very dense Ulva mats (~1.5 kg m^{-2} , Fig. 1), the community response was not as evident as one would have expected. The subtle response of SB macrofauna to eutrophication by Ulva accumulation is probably due to the highly dynamic nature of SBs. To highlight these elusive, but real, changes in SB communities, classical ecological and statistical approaches have proved to be inefficient. Our study shows that the combination of a fine spatial-temporal monitoring of the benthic community reaching a high number of samples together with novel statistical approaches (dbMEMs and variation partitioning; Borcard & Legendre 1994, Dray et al. 2006, Legendre & Gauthier 2014) were essential in understanding the changes occurring in SB systems under eutrophication stress.

Following the approach described above, our results also suggest that increasing coverage of stranded Ulva along the shores induces a homogenization of the forces driving the swash-zone benthic community structure (Fig. 10). Compared to a state with no Ulva, a large and homogeneous coverage of Ulva along the shore induces a significant decrease of overall β -diversity and, eventually, a complete lack of spatial pattern in community composition. Where no green tides occurred, 3 main drivers [T, S, and E] influenced the responses of the benthic community, while where algal mats stranded homogeneously along the shore (SB_C) , community structure was mainly driven by time and environment, including the macroalgae. To fully distinguish ecological patterns observed at macrotidal sandy beaches where green tides have occurred, a longer time-span of the sampling would be required.

Furthermore, a stepwise regression model for univariate diversity indices supports the idea that in regions where no green tide occurred, the main retained environmental variables were physical factors, which is in accordance with previous studies (e.g. Defeo & McLachlan 2005, McLachlan & Dorvlo 2005, McLachlan & Brown 2006). However, our results also highlight the increasing contribution of biological factors along a gradient of increasing coverage of stranded *Ulva*, which corroborates the hypothesis that sandy beach community structure is not only driven by physical factors but also explained by factors linked to general coastal processes (Lastra et al. 2006), competition (Dugan et al. 2004), predation (McLachlan & Brown 2006), and other biological interactions at small spatial and temporal scales.

While our results highlight the subtle nature of the responses of sandy beach systems to strong eutrophication, community composition varied among the 3 beaches considered in this study. Fewer species dominated where green tides occurred, an observation that may be linked to 'biotic homogenization'. defined as the process by which human-induced disturbances increase the taxonomic or functional similarity of environments (Smart et al. 2006). In this respect, our results are consistent with other studies showing the effects of algal accumulation on benthic communities (e.g. Hull 1987, Raffaelli et al. 1998, Bolam et al. 2000). The species-dominance patterns also changed with increasing algal cover (Fig. 10). The densities of Donax trunculus were lower where green tides occurred. Because this species is of commercial importance (Augris et al. 2005, McLachlan & Brown 2006), the green tides also negatively influence human use and value of the beaches. Moreover, sandy beaches are essential habitat for flatfish recruitment and nursery (Besyst et al. 1999, Rabaut et al. 2013). Spionids are considered essential polychaete prey and important trophic support in fish nursery grounds (Speybroeck et al. 2007). Considering that spionid abundance is impacted negatively by the presence of Ulva mats, the nursery-area function of SBs could be affected. Consequently, questions may arise about the potential indirect cascading effects of the presence of algal mats on clam and flatfish fisheries.

Our results also suggest that the high abundance of *Donax vittatus*, which is not a commercial species, at SB_B and SB_C , is probably linked to the presence of *Ulva* mats. In the early 1980s, the recruitment was defined as 'very important', with densities reaching 1000 ind. m⁻² at SB_C (Guillou 1982). Similar densities for *D. vittatus* recruits were found at lle d'Oléron (French Atlantic coast) over the same period (Ansell & Lagardère 1980). Thirty years later, when green tides are common at eutrophic SBs (Ye et al. 2011), we found densities comprised 1800 to 5000 ind. m⁻² at each of the 6 sites influenced by green tides. The abundance peak of *D. vittatus* may be attributable to the influence of macroalgal mats on local hydrodynamics favouring higher recruitment, as suggested by Hull (1987). In addition, because the presence of *Ulva* mats induced a decrease in *D. trunculus* (Table 4) and because interspecific competition for space exists between the 2 Donacidae species (Guillou 1982), *D. vittatus* could find an available niche at SBs where macroalgae get stranded. Also, macroalgal mats induce a decrease of the foraging success of juvenile flatfish (Nordström & Booth 2007) and of the biomass of polychaete predators (Table 4; results in line with Weston 1990); consequently, predation pressure on *D. vittatus* recruits decreases, which may explain the high abundance of the bivalve where green tides occurred.

Besides these shifts in benthic community composition, changes in terms of biomass dominance of species within SBs where detached Ulva was washed ashore indicated changes in community biological trait expression (Table 4). For example, animals defined as surface-deposit feeders or suspension feeders dominated the community at SBs with green tides, while predators consistently contributed to SB communities where no green tide occurred. These results, although subtle, are in line with the biomass profile drawn by Weston (1990) showing the relative dominance of the major polychaete trophic groups with increasing organic matter inputs. More explicit hypotheses of shifts in marine community functioning could be tested using appropriate tools, such as stable isotopes and biological trait analysis (Grall et al. 2006, Törnroos & Bonsdorff 2012).

The effects of macroalgae accumulation on highly dynamic systems such as macrotidal sandy beaches are poorly known, but our results are in line with those from Hull (1987) and with those on wrack (i.e. stranded near-shore macroalgal and seagrass accumulations) subsidies studies at upper-shore regions (Soares et al. 1997, Colombini et al. 2000, Dugan et al. 2003). For example, Gonçalves et al. (2009) discussed possible effects of detritus accumulation on the structure of sandy beach benthic communities and the influence of exogenous supply on the structure of macrofauna assemblages. To our knowledge, our work is the first to demonstrate that detritus/macroalgal deposits influence lowshore communities.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study demonstrates that swash-zone macrobenthic community structure in macrotidal

sandy beaches is dependent on both location along the shore (at small spatial scale, hundreds of meters apart) and on seasonal variations (monthly). Our results thus clearly recommend taking into account interacting spatial and temporal variation in the study of SB macrofauna assemblages. Moreover, our work highlights the importance of biotic interactions in environments characterized by strong physical forces and fluctuating conditions. This result is important because sandy beach ecology often considers that biological processes do not play a fundamental role in explaining macrofaunal community structure, in contrast to physical factors. Furthermore, our study shows that the presence of Ulva mats induces (1) an homogenization of the community living at lower-shore of SBs, (2) a stimulation of the recruitment of some dominant species, and (3) a decrease in the abundance of species assuming key roles for the functioning of SB ecosystems. However, the responses of macrofauna to Ulva accumulation are dependent on the type of green tide stranded along the shore (with a gradient in biomass of stranded macroalgae or not) and were not as distinct as in more sheltered systems. The effects of strong eutrophication on benthic community structure vary considering hydrodynamics, from a distinct deterioration (sheltered environments) to more subtle impacts (open systems). Thus, our study highlights the context-dependency of effects of macroalgal mats on coastal ecosystems. Habitats such as open macrotidal sandy beaches should therefore be taken into account in the development of indices and regulation of eutrophication by macroalgal mats. Finally, our conclusions raise questions regarding the functioning of such dynamic systems under eutrophication stress.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the great group of students, technicians, engineers and researchers who helped in sampling and hand-sieving a huge amount of sand; in sorting hundreds of samples; and who braved the (in)famous Breton weather conditions. Foremost, we thank S. Castay, C. Jouan, M. Maguer, V. Le Garrec, S. Laurand, G. Schaal and D. Zeppilli. We also thank S. Ballu (CEVA) for providing us with the Ulva mat dataset and precious information about green tide processes in Brittany. O. Defeo and 3 anonymous reviewers provided helpful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. This work was carried out as part of the PhD dissertation of N.Q. for Université de Bretagne Occidentale and Åbo Akademi University. This study was supported by the national interdisciplinary Ecosphère Continentale et Côtière EC2CO-INSU MAVERIQ, the Région Bretagne and the Université de Bretagne Occidentale. E.B. and M.C.N. are supported by the Åbo Akademi University Endowment and O.G. by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) research grant.
LITERATURE CITED

- 📡 Addicott JF, Aho JM, Antolin MF, Padilla DK, Richardson JS, Soluk DA (1987) Ecological neighborhoods: scaling environmental patterns. Oikos 49:340-346
 - Allaby M (2010) A dictionary of ecology, 4th edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- 📡 Anderson MJ, Gribble NA (1998) Partitioning the variation among spatial, temporal and environmental components 🍃 in a multivariate data-set. Aust J Ecol 23:158-167
- 📡 Ansell AD, Lagardère F (1980) Observations on the biology of Donax trunculus and Donax vittatus at Ile d'Oléron 🎽 Defeo O, de Alava A (1995) Effects of human activities on (French Atlantic Coast). Mar Biol 57:287-300
 - Augris C, Ménesguen A, Hamon D, Blanchet A and others (2005) Atlas thématique de l'environnement marin de la baie de Douarnenez (Finistère). Ed. Ifremer; Plouzané
- 🎽 Beyst B, Cattrijsse A, Mees J (1999) Feeding ecology of juvenile flat fishes of the surf zone of a sandy beach. J Fish Biol 55.1171-1186
- ▶ Blanchet FG, Legendre P, Borcard D (2008) Forward selection of explanatory variables. Ecology 89:2623-2632
- 🎽 Blott SJ, Pye K (2001) GRADISTAT: a grain size distribution 🎽 Degraer S, Mouton I, De Neve L, Vincx M (1999) Commuand statistics package for the analysis of unconsolidated sediments. Earth Surf Process Landf 26:1237-1248
- 🎽 Bolam SG, Fernandes TF, Read P, Raffaelli D (2000) Effects of macroalgal mats on intertidal sandflats: an experimental study. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 249:123-137
- Bombelli V, Lenzi M (1996) Italy. The Orbetello lagoon and the Tuscany coast. Ecol Stud 123:331-337
- 🎽 Borcard D, Legendre P (1994) Environmental control and spatial structure in ecological communities: an example using oribatid mites (Acari, Oribatei). Environ Ecol Stat 1:37 - 61
- 📡 Botsford LW, Castilla JC, Peterson CH (1997) The management of fisheries and marine ecosystems. Science 277: 509-515
- >>> Brown AC, McLachlan A (2002) Sandy shore ecosystems and the threats facing them: some predictions for the year 2025. Environ Conserv 29:62-77
 - Carcedo C, Fiori S, Bremec C (2014) Macrobenthic surf zone communities of temperate sandy beaches: spatial and temporal patterns. Mar Ecol (Berl) 35:1-11
 - Carriço R, Zeppilli D, Quillien N, Grall J (2013) Can meiofauna be a good biological indicator of the impacts of eutrophication caused by green macroalgal blooms? An aod—les cahiers naturalistes de l'Observatoire marin 2: 9 - 16
 - CGDD (Commissariat Général an Developpement Durable) (2014) Les proliférations d'alques sur les côtes métropolitaines. Le point sur, no. 180. Commissariat général an developpement durable. Service de l'observation et des statistiques. www.developpement-durable.gouv.fr/IMG/ pdf/LPS180.pdf (accessed 24 Apr 2014)
 - Charlier RH, Morand P, Finkl CW, Thys A (2007) Green tides on the Brittany coasts. Environ Res Eng Manage 41: 52 - 59
- Scloern JE (2001) Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 210: 223 - 253
- 🎽 Colombini I, Aloia A, Fallaci M, Pezzoli G, Chelazzi L (2000) Temporal and spatial use of stranded wrack by the macrofauna of a tropical sandy beach. Mar Biol 136: 531-541
- Connell JH (1978) Diversity in tropical rain forests and coral reefs. Science 199:1302-1310

- 🃡 Corzo A, van Bergeijk SA, Garcia-Robledo E (2009) Effects of green macroalgal blooms on intertidal sediments: net metabolism and carbon and nitrogen contents. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 380:81-93
 - Da Silva PSR, das Neves LP, Bemvenuti CE (2008) Temporal variation of sandy beach macrofauna at two sites with distinct environmental conditions on Cassino Beach, extreme southern Brazil. Braz J Oceanogr 56:257-270
- de Juan S, Hewitt J (2014) Spatial and temporal variability in species richness in a temperate intertidal community. Ecography 37:183-190
- long-term trends in sandy beach populations: the wedge clam Donax hanleyanus in Uruguay. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 123:73-82
- 📡 Defeo O, McLachlan A (2005) Patterns, processes and regulatory mechanisms in sandy beach macrofauna: a multiscale analysis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 295:1-20
- 🎽 Defeo O, McLachlan A, Schoeman DS, Schlacher TA and others (2009) Threats to sandy beach ecosystems: a review. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 81:1-12
 - nity structure and intertidal zonation of the macrobenthos on a macrotidal, ultra-dissipative sandy beach: summer-winter comparison. Estuaries 22:742-752
 - Dray S (with contributions of Legendre P and Blanchet G) (2013) packfor: forward selection with permutation (Canoco p.46). R package version 0.0-8/r109, available at http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/sedar/
 - Dray S, Legendre P, Peres-Neto PS (2006) Spatial modelling: a comprehensive framework for principal coordinate analysis of neighbour matrices (PCNM). Ecol Model 196: 483-493
- Drav S. Pélissier R. Couteron P. Fortin MJ and others (2012) Community ecology in the age of multivariate multiscale spatial analysis. Ecol Monogr 82:257-275
- Dugan JE, Hubbard DM, McCrary MD, Pierson MO (2003) The response of macrofauna communities and shorebirds to macrophyte wrack subsidies on exposed sandy beaches of southern California. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 58: 25 - 40
 - Dugan JE, Jaramillo E, Hubbard DM, Contreras H (2004) Competitive interactions in macroinfaunal animals of exposed sandy beaches. Oecologia 139:630-640
 - Dussauze M, Ménesguen A (2008) Simulation de l'effet sur l'eutrophisation côtière bretonne de 3 scénarios de réduction des teneurs en nitrate et phosphate de chaque bassin versant breton et de la Loire. Ifremer RST DYNECO/EB/08-08/AM
- Everett RA (1994) Macroalgae in soft-sediment communities: effects on benthic faunal assemblages. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 175:253-274
- 🎽 Franz DR, Friedman I (2002) Effects of a macroalgal mat (Ulva lactuca) on estuarine sand flat copepods: an experimental study. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 271:209-226
 - Glémarec M (1969) Les peuplements benthiques du plateau continental Nord-Gascogne. PhD dissertation, Université de Bretagne Occidentale
 - Gonçalves C, Anasta PM, Pardal MA (2009) Sandy beach macrofaunal communities on the western coast of Portugal—Is there a steady structure under similar exposed conditions? Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 81:555-568
- 🎽 Gotelli NJ, Colwell RK (2001) Quantifying biodiversity: procedures and pitfalls in the measurement and comparison of species richness. Ecol Lett 4:379-391

- Grall J, Chauvaud L (2002) Marine eutrophication and benthos: the need for new approaches and concepts. Glob Change Biol 8:813–830
- - Gray JS, Elliott M (2009) Ecology of marine sediments. From science to management, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Guillou J (1982) Variabilité des populations de *Donax trun*culus et *Donax vittatus* en Baie de Douarnenez. Neth J Sea Res 16:88–95
 - Hénaff A (1998) Morphologie dynamique et budget sédimentaire des estrans meubles de la façade occidentale de la Presqu'ile de Crozon, de l'anse de Combrit et de l'anse du Pouldu. PhD dissertation, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest
- Hewitt JE, Thrush SE, Cummings VJ (2001) Assessing environmental impacts: effects of spatial and temporal variability at likely impact scales. Ecol Appl 11:1502–1516
- Hull SC (1987) Macroalgal mats and species abundance: a field experiment. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 25:519–532
- James RJ, Fairweather PG (1996) Spatial variation of intertidal macrofauna on a sandy ocean beach in Australia. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 43:81–107
 - Jeffrey DW, Madden B, Rafferty B, Dwyer R, Wilson J, Arnott N (1992) Dublin Bay water quality management plan. Technical report 7. Algal growths and foreshore quality. Environmental Research Unit, Dublin
- Jost L (2006) Entropy and diversity. Oikos 113:363–375 Kindt R, Coe R (2005) Tree diversity analysis. A manual and software for common statistical methods for ecological and biodiversity studies. World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF), Nairobi
- Lastra M, de La Huz R, Sánchez-Mata AG, Rodil IF, Aerts K, Beloso S, López J (2006) Ecology of exposed sandy beaches in northern Spain: environmental factors controlling macrofauna communities. J Sea Res 55:128–140 Leber KM (1982) Seasonality of macroinvertebrates on a temperate, high wave, energy sandy beach. Bull Mar Sci
- 32:86–98 Legendre P, Anderson MJ (1999) Distance-based redun-
- dancy analysis: testing multispecies responses in multifactorial ecological experiments. Ecol Monogr 69:1-24
 Legendre P, Gallagher E (2001) Ecologically meaningful
- transformations for ordination of species data. Oecologia 129:271–280
- Legendre P, Gauthier O (2014) Statistical methods for temporal and space-time analysis of community composition data. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 281:20132728
 - Legendre P, Legendre L (2012) Numerical ecology, 3rd edn. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam
 - Legendre P, De Càceres M (2013) Beta diversity as the variance of community data: dissimilarity coefficients and partitioning. Ecol Lett 16:951-963
 - Legendre P, Borcard D, Blanchet G, Dray S (2013) PCNM: MEM spatial eigenfunction and principal coordinate analyses. R package version 2.1-2/r109. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. Available at http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/sedar/
- Lercari D, Defeo O (1999) Effects of freshwater discharge in sandy beach populations: the mole crab *Emerita brasiliensis* in Uruguay. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 49: 457-468

- McLachlan A (1983) Sandy beach ecology—a review. In: McLachlan A, Erasmus T (eds) Sandy beaches as ecosystems. Dr W. Junk Publishers, The Hague, p 321–380
- McLachlan A, Brown AC (2006) The ecology of sandy shores. Academic Press, Burlington, MA
- McLachlan A, Dorvlo A (2005) Global patterns in sandy beach macrobenthic communities. J Coast Res 21: 674–687
- Ménesguen A, Piriou JY (1995) Nitrogen loadings and macroalgal (Ulva sp.) mass accumulation in Brittany (France). Ophelia 42:227–237
 - Miller DC, Geider RJ, MacIntyre HL (1996) Microphytobenthos: the ecological role of the 'secret garden' of unvegetated, shallow-water marine habitats. II. Role in sediment stability and shallow-water food webs. Estuaries 19: 202–212
- Morrisey DJ, Underwood AJ, Howitt L, Stark JS (1992) Temporal variation in soft-sediment benthos. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 164:233–245
- Nel R, Campbell E, Harris L, Hauser L and others (2014) The status of sandy beach science: past trends, progress, and possible futures. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 150:1–10
 - Nordström M, Booth DM (2007) Drift algae reduce foraging efficiency of juvenile flatfish. J Sea Res 58:335–341
- Norkko A, Bonsdorff E (1996) Rapid zoobenthic community responses to accumulations of drifting algae. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 131:143–157
 - Oksanen J, Blanchet GF, Kindt R, Legendre P, O'Hara RB (2011) vegan: community ecology package. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, available at www. cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html (accessed 12 May 2014)
- Ouisse V, Riera P, Migné A, Leroux C, Davoult D (2011) Freshwater seepages and ephemeral macroalgae proliferation in an intertidal bay: I. Effect on benthic community structure and food web. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 91: 272–281
 - Pearson TH, Rosenberg R (1978) Macrobenthic successions in relation to organic enrichment and pollution of the marine environment. Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev 16: 229–311
 - R Development Core Team (2013) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, available at www.Rproject.org
- Rabaut M, Audfroid Calderon M, Van De Moortel L, Van Dalfsen J, Vincx M, Degraer S, Desroy N (2013) The role of structuring benthos for juvenile flatfish. J Sea Res 84: 70–76
 - Raffaelli DG, Raven JA, Poole LJ (1998) Ecological impact of green macroalgal blooms. Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev 36:97–125
- Resh VH, Rosenberg DM (1989) Spatial-temporal variability and the study of aquatic insects. Can Entomol 121: 941–963
- Rodil IF, Lastra M, Sánchez-Mata AG (2006) Community structure and intertidal zonation of the macroinfauna in intermediate sandy beaches in temperate latitudes: North coast of Spain. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 67:267–279
- Rundle HD, Jackson DA (1996) Spatial and temporal variation in littoral-zone fish communities : a new statistical approach. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 53:2167–2176
- Schlacher TA, Thompson L (2013) Spatial structure on ocean-exposed sandy beaches: faunal zonation metrics and their variability. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 478:43–55

- Schlacher TA, Dugan J, Schoeman DS, Lastra M and others (2007) Sandy beaches at the brink. Divers Distrib 13: 556–560
- Schlacher TA, Schoeman DS, Dugan J, Lastra M, Jones A, Scapini F, McLachlan A (2008) Sandy beach ecosystems: key features, sampling issues, management challenges and climate change impacts. Mar Ecol (Berl) 29:70–90
- Schoeman DS, Richardson AJ (2002) Investigating biotic and abiotic factors affecting recruitment of an intertidal clam on an exposed sandy beach using a generalized additive model. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 276:67–81
 - Short AD (1996) The role of wave height, slope, tide range and embaymentisation in beach classification: a review. Rev Chil Hist Nat 69:589–604
- Smart SM, Thompson K, Marrs RH, Le Duc MG, Maskell LC, Firbank LG (2006) Biotic homogenization and changes in species diversity across human-modified ecosystems. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 273:2659–2665
- Soares AG, Schlacher TA, McLachlan A (1997) Carbon and nitrogen exchange between sandy beach clams (*Donax* serra) and kelp beds in the Benguela coastal upwelling region. Mar Biol 127:657–664
- Speybroeck J, Alsteens L, Vincx M, Degraer S (2007) Understanding the life of a sandy beach polychaete of functional importance *Scolelepis squamata* (Polychaeta: Spionidae) on Belgian sandy beaches (northeastern Atlantic, North Sea). Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 74:109–118
 - Sundbäck K (1994) The response of shallow-water sediment communities to environmental changes. In: Krumbein E, Paterson DM, Stahl LJ (eds) Biostabilisation of sediments. Bibliotheks- und Informationssystem der Carl von

Editorial responsibility: Omar Defeo, Montevideo, Uruguay Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg BIS-Verlag, Oldenburg, p 17–40

- Thiel M, Watling L (1998) Effects of green algal mats on infaunal colonization of a New England mud flat—longlasting but highly localized effects. Hydrobiologia 375-376:177-189
- Törnroos A, Bonsdorff E (2012) Developing the multitrait concept for functional diversity: lessons from a system rich in functions but poor in species. Ecol Appl 22: 2221–2236
- Turner RK, Subak S, Adger WN (1996) Pressures, trends, and impacts in coastal zones: interactions between socioeconomic and natural systems. Environ Manage 20: 159–173
- Veiga P, Rubal M, Cacabelos E, Maldonado C, Sousa-Pinto I (2014) Spatial variability of macrobenthic zonation on exposed sandy beaches. J Sea Res 90:1–9
- Veloso VG, Cardoso RS (2001) The effect of morphodynamics on the spatial and temporal variation of the macrofauna of three sandy beaches on the Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 81:369–375
- Weston D (1990) Quantitative examination of macrobenthic community changes along an organic enrichment gradient. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 61:233–244
- Ye N, Zhang X, Mao Y, Liang C and others (2011) 'Green tides' are overwhelming the coastline of our blue planet: taking the world's largest example. Ecol Res 26:477–485
- Ysebaert T, Herman PMJ (2002) Spatial and temporal variation in benthic macrofauna and relationships with environmental variables in an estuarine, intertidal soft-sediment environment. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 244:105-124

Submitted: June 19, 2014; Accepted: December 2, 2014 Proofs received from author(s): February 21, 2015

Effects of macroalgal accumulations on the variability of zoobenthos of high-energy macrotidal sandy beaches

Nolwenn Quillien^{1, 2, 3*}, Marie C. Nordström², Olivier Gauthier^{1, 3}, Erik Bonsdorff², Yves-Marie Paulet^{1, 3}, Jacques Grall³.

 ¹Laboratoire des Sciences de l'Environnement Marin (LEMAR), UMR CNRS 6539, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Plouzané, France.
 ²Åbo Akademi University, Department of Biosciences, Environmental and Marine Biology, Turku, Finland.
 ³Observatoire des Sciences de la mer et de l'univers, UMS 3113, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Plouzané, France.

*Corresponding author : nolwenn.quillien@univ-brest.fr

Supplement. Additional information regarding MEMs, variation partitioning, variation of univariate variables and organic matter content at each site per beach.



Fig. S1. All dbMEMs (upper panel) and decomposed dbMEMs (individual curves below) eigenfunctions generated based on the number of sampling occasions and the number of days between sampling occasions.



Values <0 not shown

Fig S2. Venn diagram illustrating the result of variation partitioning of the biomass time series at SBA with respect to environmental ([E]), spatial ([S]) and MEM ([T]) explanatory variables. The fractions of variation displayed in the diagram are computed from adjusted r. Circles are not drawn to scale.



Fig S3. Mean (+ sd) abundance, biomass, species richness, numbers equivalent Shannon's entropy and Simpson's index (after Jost 2006) in the period April 2012 to February 2013 at each site for the three beaches (SB_A, SB_B, SB_C). GT = Green tides.



Fig S4. Principal component analysis (PCA) plots (scaling 1) of sites 1 (circles), 2 (triangles), and 3 (squares), based on Hellinger transformed abundance of benthic community sampled at SB_A , SB_B and SB_C from April 2012 to February 2013 (excluding December 2012 for balanced design) with superimposed indicative clusters for spatial (continuous [sites 1], loose-dotted line [sites 2] or stippled-line [sites 3] polygons) and/or temporal structuring (grey boxes for autumn/winter dots, highlighting the difference to spring/summer dots).



Fig S5. Boxplots of organic matter content time series at each SBs, WS = winter storm, GT = presence of stranded *Ulva* onshore. A clear seasonal variation with increasing of organic matter content until winter storms was observed at SB_A while highly variable organic matter contents in time were measured at SBB and SBC, both harbouring green tides.



Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 477 (2016) 92-102

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jembe

Opportunistic basal resource simplifies food web structure and functioning of a highly dynamic marine environment





Nolwenn Quillien ^{a,b,c,*}, Marie C. Nordström ^b, Gauthier Schaal ^a, Erik Bonsdorff ^b, Jacques Grall ^c

^a Laboratoire des Sciences de l'Environnement Marin (LEMAR), UMR CNRS 6539, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Plouzané, France

^b Åbo Akademi University, Environmental and Marine Biology, Turku, Finland

^c Observatoire des Sciences de la mer et de l'univers, UMS 3113, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Plouzané, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 October 2015 Received in revised form 8 January 2016 Accepted 15 January 2016 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Trophic web Macrotidal sandy beach Ulva Zoobenthos Isotopic metrics

ABSTRACT

Carbon flow through ecosystems is determined by the nature, availability and incorporation of basal resources (BRs) to higher trophic levels of food webs. The occurrence of abundant supplementary BR often diversifies trophic niches within food webs. Blooms of opportunistic macroalgae, which may act as additional BRs, have increased in intensity, and are expected to amplify further because of global change. Understanding the effects of high biomasses of opportunistic BRs on the functioning of naturally unvegetated coastal ecosystems is thus crucial. We set out to assess whether and how green algae (opportunistic BR) modifies macrotidal sandy beach trophic structure and functioning. We also investigated whether these effects propagate up through the web, looking at different scales and trophic levels (i.e. primary producers, specific primary consumers, and the general higher-consumer assemblage). To achieve these objectives, we used a high-resolution field sampling (over 1200 individual stable isotope-, and 180 biodiversity samples) at pristine and macroalgal-bloom-affected macrotidal sandy beaches, together with recent and novel analytical approaches (biomass-weighted isotope signatures, Isotopic Functional Indices). We found that the opportunistic BR, both directly and indirectly affects the entire beach food web across several trophic levels, by inducing shifts in trophic interactions. Overall, we found that the dominance of a BR in the form of opportunistic algae simplifies the entire food web over time. Our findings challenge the paradigm that addition of BR inherently diversifies trophic interactions within an ecosystem, and have implications for the interpretation of shifts in dynamic system food webs and eutrophicated environments.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Within ecosystems, basal resource composition and availability to consumers determine carbon flow (Fisher and Likens, 1973; Pingram et al., 2012). Changes in primary producer assemblages, such as those resulting from plant invasion, habitat fragmentation, or conversely habitat restoration, modify trophic interactions and affect carbon pathways within food webs (Layman et al., 2007; Nordström et al., 2015). The addition of organic material, in the form of macroalgae and plants, within naturally sparsely vegetated systems, may diversify energy pathways within food webs (Hyndes and Lavery, 2005; Layman et al., 2012). For instance, in created salt marshes in the Venice Lagoon, a successional establishment of vascular plants induced local feeding processes in the benthic macroinvertebrate assemblage, resulting in a diversity of distinct, habitat-specific trophic niches for the consumer (Nordström et al., 2015). Conversely, removal of basal resources through anthropogenic activities induces a loss of carbon pathways, and concurrently a

simplification of the trophic network (McClelland and Valiela, 1998). As an example, studying fragmentation in mangrove habitats and tidal creeks, Layman et al. (2007) found that the food web was constrained to a single basal resource pool (microalgal mats) in fragmented systems, and that carbon passed through fewer intermediate consumers compared to unfragmented systems. Such a homogenization of trophic pathways, shown by a narrowing of the predator stable isotope niche, resulted in simplification of the entire food web (Layman et al., 2007). In instances where processes of anthropogenic disturbance also include establishment of additional basal resources, one can ask if the food web structure and functioning of these systems would diversify, or whether they would become simplified.

A major and worldwide human-induced pollution threat is the escalating nutrient enrichment of coastal waters, which drives the eutrophication of marine systems (Cloern, 2001). One direct symptom of eutrophication is the massive development of fine and fragile opportunistic macroalgae (Cloern, 2001; Korpinen and Bonsdorff, 2015; Schramm, 1999), which are easily detached and transported by coastal currents to form large mats of drifting algae along beaches or in shallow bays (Grall and Chauvaud, 2002). When free-living algal mats mainly consist of Chlorophyta, they are called green tides (GT). Such notable green algal blooms are increasing in

^{*} Corresponding author at: Laboratoire des Sciences de l'Environnement Marin (LEMAR), UMR CNRS 6539, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Plouzané, France.

E-mail address: nolwenn.quillien@univ-brest.fr (N. Quillien).

frequency and intensity worldwide (Liu et al., 2013; Ye et al., 2011), and in Brittany, France, GT affect more than fifty sandy beaches spread over thousands of kilometres of coastline (CGDD, 2014: Charlier et al., 2007; Quillien et al., 2015b). Such macroalgal accumulations modify several compartments of the soft-sediment ecosystems: biochemistry of the water column (for example through high uptake of carbon during photosynthesis; Van Alstyne et al., 2015), primary producers, and primary & secondary consumers, in terms of diversity and community structure, both in micro- and non-tidal systems (see Arrovo and Bonsdorff, 2015; Grall and Chauvaud, 2002; Raffaelli et al., 1998 for reviews), as well as within highly dynamic macrotidal systems (Quillien et al., 2015a). In comparison, few studies have investigated the effects of opportunistic macroalgal blooms on community-wide food web structure and species-specific trophic relationships, and most of them have taken place within sheltered system (e.g. Ouisse et al., 2011), non- or micro-tidal systems (e.g. Carlier et al., 2008), or have been addressed by experimental studies (e.g. Nordström and Booth, 2007), which infers some constraints when trying to appreciate ecosystem scale responses. Even fewer studies - to our knowledge none - have investigated the effects of the accumulation of opportunistic macroalgae on macrotidal and open system food webs following in situ approaches.

A central aspect in ecology is to understand ecosystem functioning and responses to environmental and anthropogenic pressures, to enable prediction of functional shifts under environmental perturbations (Borja, 2014; Hooper et al., 2005). Assessment of the functioning of systems relies on community ecology through investigation of species richness and community composition (Hooper et al., 2005, and references therein), which has, more recently, been expanded to include biological traits of the species (e.g. Törnroos and Bonsdorff, 2012). In the field of ecosystem ecology, fluxes of energy and matter through ecosystems are used to elucidate ecosystem functioning (Lindeman, 1942). However, a discrepancy exists between these two main approaches (Thompson et al., 2012). A way to combine community and ecosystem ecology is to quantitatively study food web dynamics within a system (Thompson et al., 2012; Woodward, 2009). The study of trophic webs over time and space, tracking changes in species biomass, provides opportunity to investigate energy pathways based on the structure and dynamics of species' feeding relationships.

Assessments of community trophic structure and dynamics can be conducted through examination of the relative positioning of consumers and resources in bivariate stable isotope space ($\delta^{13}C-\delta^{15}N$. Layman et al., 2012). The relative positioning of individuals, populations, and communities in bivariate isotope space represents occupancy of isotopic niche space. Several metrics for this 'isotopic niche' have been developed, enabling comparisons of e.g. trophic similarity (potential separation in isotopic niche location, and overlap of niches), niche width or trophic diversity (isotopic niche width/size) among communities or within assemblages over time (Jackson et al., 2011; Layman et al., 2012; Turner et al., 2010). Also, newly developed isotopic functional indices weighted with species biomass (IFI, Rigolet et al., 2015), based on functional indices developed by Villéger et al. (2008), allow a multifaceted (richness, evenness, and divergence) quantification of functional/trophic diversity and a better characterization of the structure of energy accumulation in food webs (e.g. Grall et al., 2006). Using the isotopic niche concept and indices constructed from it, it is possible to identify changes in fundamental food web attributes following anthropogenic or natural disturbances, such as shifts in major trophic pathways (visualized using biomass-weighted isotope signatures) or in niche width.

In order to elucidate the impact of an additional basal resource, present due to anthropogenic disturbance, on food web structure and functioning, we focus here on a naturally unvegetated ecosystem, such as open macrotidal sandy beaches. Sandy beaches (SBs) are well represented coastal habitats as they cover two thirds of the world's ice-free coastline (Bascom, 1980). These highly dynamic systems harbour a diverse and specialized fauna (McLachlan and Brown, 2006) and provide essential functions and services (filtration of large volumes for both saline and fresh water, provision of nursery and feeding habitats for species of commercial importance, protein supply through coastal fisheries) (Schlacher et al., 2008). However, SBs are severely affected by multiple threats, including blooms of opportunistic macroalgae (Defeo et al., 2009; Quillien et al., 2015a), yet still overlooked in stable-isotope based studies that focus on the influence of stranded algae on SB community-wide trophic structure and dynamics (but see Ouisse et al., 2011). Here, using recent and novel approaches and metrics for measuring community-wide and species-specific isotopic niches, we investigated potential alteration in coastal community trophic structure and functioning caused by the presence of an additional opportunistic food source (i.e. Ulva spp., hereafter Ulva) over time (4 seasons), using macrotidal sandy beaches located in Brittany (France) as a case study system. We explored potential indirect and direct influences of green tides on SB consumer communities by testing two main hypotheses: First, that the presence of free-living Ulva mats on the low shore of normally unvegetated sandy beaches modifies the isotope signature of trophic baselines, *i.e.* the two main food sources within unvegetated systems: suspended particulate organic matter (POM) and sedimentary organic matter (SOM). Second, that the presence of Ulva influences speciesspecific diets in the benthic consumer community. Also, our study aims to assess the knock-on effects of indirect and direct changes induced by high biomasses of free-living green algae on the structure and the functioning of community-wide trophic network. More specifically, this work was designed to answer one overarching question: are macrotidal sandy beach (SB) trophic networks diversified by the addition of an opportunistic basal resource within the system?

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study system

The Bay of Douarnenez (Brittany, France) is an open and a macrotidal system where sediments accumulate to form several kilometres long SBs. Two dissipative beaches (Short, 1996), which share the same characteristics and surrounding water body (Quillien et al., 2015a), were selected for this study (Fig. 1). Almost 3 km long, these two SBs show large areas that are bared up to 500 m at low tide during spring tides (mean tidal regime = 6.5 m). Since the tidal regime is macrotidal (≥ 4 m, Allaby, 2010) and because these beaches are open to the west, they are highly dynamic systems exposed to strong waves and currents (Augris et al., 2005), which are similar in terms of direction and strength for both beaches. The only distinction between the two sites is the presence of green tides as the result of local eutrophication of coastal waters at one of the beaches. One SB never has green tides because a wetland area located behind the beach filters continental water input, and urbanization in the catchment area is limited. Conversely, the other beach, which is located downstream of an agricultural catchment area (Fig. 1), has experienced annual Ulva bloom events (from spring to autumn) since the early 1980's (Charlier et al., 2007; Ménesguen and Piriou, 1995).

2.2. Sampling

To assess temporal variability of sediment-dwelling macrofauna and nematode communities in terms of abundance and biomass, sampling was conducted seasonally (May, July, September and November 2012) during low tide (swash-zone) at the two SBs. Macrofauna (larger than 1 mm) was sampled using a tube-corer (sampled surface = 300 cm²), and samples were sieved through mesh bags (1 mm mesh size) to separate the fauna from the sediment. The sampling was conducted monthly at three sandy beaches (Quillien et al., 2015a), although here we have made use of the abundance and biomass data collected at



Fig. 1. Location (Brittany, France) of the 2 study sites (circles) at the south of the two studied sandy beaches. Yellow areas along coastline represent sandy beaches within the study area. The site impacted by green tides is denoted by the green circle and is located downstream of an agricultural catchment area. A blue circle denotes the site that is never affected by accumulation of *Ulva*. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

two of those three, only at four times, in order to proceed to isotope weighting procedures (see Data analyses section below). Thus at both studied beaches, nine replicate samples were randomly taken at each sampling occasion (for methodological details, see Ouillien et al., 2015a). Macrofaunal samples were preserved in 4% buffered formalin for later sorting in the laboratory where macrofauna was identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level with the aid of a binocular magnifier, counted and weighted. Species nomenclature follows the "World Register of Marine Species" (http://www.marinespecies.org/). Biomass of each taxa was measured by weight loss after combustion at 450 °C for 4 h (ash-free dry weight). Abundance and biomass were converted to units per m². Meiofauna (animals smaller than 1 mm in length) was sampled using a tube-corer (sampled surface $= 60 \text{ cm}^2$), and freeze-preserved (-20 °C). Three replicates were taken at each beach at each sampling occasion. Meiofauna samples were first sifted through 1 mm mesh sieve (to remove macrofauna), undersize fraction was then sifted through 40 µm mesh sieve to get a sample composed of units whose size was between 40 and 1000 µm. Centrifugation was then applied to the samples (flotation method with Ludox, Nichols, 1979) in order to separate fauna from the sediment. The extracted meiofauna was fixed with 4% buffered formalin and stained with Rose Bengal (0.5 g L^{-1}) . Meiofauna was sorted using a Delfuss cuvette and a binocular magnifier. Nematodes were counted and measured (body length [L] and width [W]) with the aid of a stereomicroscope. Nematode biomass was calculated from the biovolume, which was estimated from all individuals per replicate using the Andrassy formula (V = L · W² · 0.063 · 10⁻⁵, expressed in µm³, (Andrassy, 1956). Ulva biomass stranded at each SB was estimated by CEVA (http://www.ceva.fr/fre)

through monthly aerial surveys (for estimating of surface covered by mats) and field sampling (for conversion into biomass).

2.3. Stable isotope analyses

At each sampling date, the low-shore community trophic structure of the two macrotidal SBs was assessed by sampling biota for stable isotope analyses (${}^{13}C$; ${}^{12}C$ and ${}^{15}N$; ${}^{14}N$). $\delta^{13}C$ enables identification of dietary carbon sources. Indeed, different primary producers (e.g. C3 vs. C4 plants, pelagic vs. benthic production) vary significantly in their δ^{13} C values (13C:12C expressed relative to a standard), and consumers of respective primary producers mirror values of the resources as δ^{13} C is largely conserved through trophic transfer (Bearhop et al., 2004; Post, 2002). Complementary to this, $\delta^{15}N$ (^{15}N : ^{14}N expressed relative to a standard) can be used to estimate trophic position as it shows a stepwise enrichment with trophic transfer (Hussey et al., 2014; Post, 2002). Particulate organic matter (POM) was collected from the water column (within the first metre) in polyethylene tanks, and filtered in the laboratory. Sedimentary organic matter (SOM) was sampled using a scraper to collect the first centimetres of sediment. Drifting Ulva was hand-collected from the water column at the impacted beach. Macrofauna was sampled using cores, forks and by sieving ~50 L of sediments (1 mm mesh-size). Meiofauna (mainly nematodes, Carriço et al., 2013) was sampled using cores and by scraping the uppermost layer of the sediment (ca. 1.5 cm), and was later sieved back in the laboratory. Flatfish and epibenthic crustaceans were caught using a beach trawl and a push net (8 mm mesh size each).

All samples were brought back to the laboratory for conservation. Sediments collected for SOM were re-suspended in filtered Milli-Q water using an ultrasonic bath. The supernatant was then filtered on pre-combusted (450 °C for 5 h) GF/F filters, six filters were taken at each sampling occasion, at each beach. Three filters were acidified (10% HCl), and the other three were not. δ^{13} C from acidified and $\delta^{15}N$ from untreated subsamples were later combined to obtain valid (i.e. undisturbed by calcium carbonate residues, neither by acidification) isotope signatures. Seawater collected for POM was pre-filtered using a net (90 um) to remove large detritus (*i.e.* pieces of macroalgae), then sieved on pre-combusted GF/F filters and guickly acidified. Ulva thalli were cleaned from any epiphytes and rinsed with Milli-Q water. All fauna collected were sorted in the laboratory and kept frozen $(-20 \degree C)$ until prepared for analyses. Fauna was identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level and measured (total length, or width of first setigers for polychaetes). Samples consisted of muscle tissue of large species (fish, bivalves, echinoderms, some polychaetes), or of pooled individuals (5-300 individuals, in order to reach the minimum weight needed for isotope analyses) for the smallest species. When pooling was required, gut contents were carefully removed by dissection. Species containing calcium carbonate, e.g. ophiuroids and cumaceans, were split into two subsamples: one was acidified (10% HCl) for δ^{13} C analysis, the other subsample was not acidified and was used for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analysis. All samples were rinsed using Milli-Q water, oven-dried (48 h at 60 °C) and ground into fine powder using a ball mill (fauna, macroalgae) or gently scrapped using a scalpel (POM and SOM collected on filters). Part of the powder (400 μ g), or the fragments (1 mg), was then packed into 5×8 mm ultra-clean tin capsules and sent to the isotope spectrometry platform (LIENSs laboratory, University of La Rochelle, France). Samples were analysed using an elemental analyser (Flash EA 1112) coupled to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Delta V Advantage with a Conflo IV interface). Results are expressed in the δ unit notation as deviations from standards (Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite for δ^{13} C and N2 in air for δ^{15} N) following the formula: δ^{13} C or δ^{15} N = [(R_{sample}/ R_{standard}) - 1] × 10³, where R is ${}^{13}\text{C}/{}^{12}\text{C}$ or ${}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{14}\text{N}$. Reference gas calibration was done using reference materials (USGS-24, IAEA-CH6, IAEA-600 for carbon; IAEA-N1, IAEA-N2, IAEA-N3, IAEA-600 for nitrogen). Analytical precision based on isotope values of the acetanilide (Thermo Scientific) used to estimate C and N content for each sample series was <0.1‰ both for carbon and nitrogen.

Separately, an experiment designed to assess the influence of Ulva mats on the isotope signature of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in vitro, during respiration (night) and photosynthesisdominated (day) times, was run in the laboratory. In a climatic room (17 °C), six large round-bottom flasks (2 L) filled with filtered seawater (5 µm) were placed on a rotating surface (regular movement). The round-bottom flasks received the same light amount (verification measures taken using a light-metre; in addition, the position of the round-bottom flasks was randomly shifted at each sampling occasion). Three of the bottles contained freshly collected Ulva thalli (20 g), while the three others acted as controls and only contained filtered seawater. Every two hours for twenty-six hours, water samples from the flasks were sampled for determination of δ^{13} C DIC by gently over-filling headspace vials (12 mL) with water. Vials were rinsed with sample water three times before sampling. A volume of 2 mL of a saturated HgCl₂ solution, which is a chemical inhibitor against oxidation forms, was added and the vials were capped and stored at room temperature until analysis. Dissolved oxygen, temperature and pH were measured using multi-parameter probes. In addition, relative growth rate of Ulva thalli was evaluated by weighting fresh algae before starting the experiment and two days after the end of the experiment, in order to check for possible degradation. Samples (only one replicate for each treatment analysed - with and without Ulva, respectively) for isotope analyses of DIC were sent to the Department of Analytical Chemistry (ANCH), Vrije Universiteit, Brussel, Belgium for carbon stable isotope analyses. Samples were analysed following the method described by Gillikin & Bouillon (2007), and using the elemental analyser Flash 1112 Series EA, coupled via a Conflo III to a ThermoFinnigan Delta b XL continuous flow (CF)-IRMS.

2.4. Data analyses

In order to test if the presence of an abundant additional food source (*Ulva* mats) induces changes in isotope signatures of naturally unvegetated SB basal resources (POM and SOM), and to test if the effect of green tides differs depending on the sampling time (interaction of both factors 'Season' and 'GT'), two-way ANOVAs were used.

To evaluate effects of *Ulva* accumulations on community trophic structure and dynamics of sandy beaches, we tested for changes in isotopic niche attributes for 'shared' (*i.e.* common to the two SBs) consumers between beaches over time. We applied quantitative metrics using a Bayesian approach (Jackson et al., 2011) to estimate the amount of δ -space filled by the community at the two beaches. The assemblage overlap was assessed based on standard ellipse areas corrected for small sample sizes (SEAc) using the SIBER metrics (Jackson et al., 2011). Comparing the two SB ellipses over time, we expressed per cent overlap in relation to the surface of the smallest ellipse. In addition, we assessed differences in community and species-specific position within δ -space (centroid location), trophic diversity (distance to centroid) and trophic redundancy (nearest neighbour distance) using nested linear models and a residual permutation procedure (Euclidean distance, 9999 permutations) (Turner et al., 2010).

Also, to determine the influence of an abundant additional food source on the trophic functioning of highly dynamic systems, we assessed patterns of biomass. We weighted the isotope signature of every species that was part of the SB food webs using values of biomass per square metre (individual ash-free dry weight × abundance per square metre) within the two beaches and over time to identify changing pathways of trophic transfer. We visualized this by setting the area of circles to reflect the biomass of each species. In order to identify possible differences in the structure and functioning of the two systems, of which one harbours an additional food source, we used indices recently developed to measure three independent facets of functional diversity: functional richness (amount of functional space filled by the community), functional evenness (regularity of abundance/biomass distribution in the functional space), and functional divergence (location of abundance/biomass at the borders vs. close to the centre of gravity) (Villéger et al., 2008) in δ -space, as proposed by Rigolet et al. (2015). Statistical differences between the isotopic structural (MDC = mean distance to centroid, MNN = mean distance to nearest neighbour), and functional indices (IFRic = isotopic functional richness, IFEve = isotopic functional evenness, IFDiv = isotopic functional divergence) were tested using Bayesian methods (Turner et al., 2010), and Wilcoxon tests (as data did not fill all conditions required for parametric tests), respectively.

All analyses were performed within the R environment (R Development Core Team, 2013), using the FD (Laliberté et al., 2014) and SIAR (Parnell and Jackson, 2013) packages, as well as R scripts made available by A. L. Jackson and T. F. Turner (http://www.tcd.ie/ Zoology/research/research/theoretical/Rpodcasts.php and http://www. esapubs.org/archive/ecol/E091/157/suppl-1.htm, respectively).

3. Results

We found changes induced by the presence of green tides on primary producer level and consequent propagation of effects up through the web. Indeed, looking at species-specific responses, we found significant shifts in species diets, directionally consistent with changes seen at basal resource level. We also showed that the induced changes, both at primary producer and primary consumer levels, affect the overall higher-level consumer assemblages, altering the entire food web structure, and ultimately its functioning.

Table 1

3.1. Baselines of SB food webs: temporal variation and influence of GT

Temporal patterns were revealed for both basal resources within sandy beaches (i.e. POM and SOM). Also, the presence of green tides significantly affected baseline isotope signatures. Isotopic signatures of organic matter sources (POM, SOM and Ulva) showed wide ranges of stable isotope composition, with mean δ^{13} C values ranging from $-25.1\pm0.5\%$ for particulate organic matter sampled in may at pristine SB, to -16.7 + 0.3% for *Ulva* sampled in November at impacted SB; and with mean $\delta^{15}N$ values ranging from 5.0 \pm 0.1‰ for sedimentary organic matter sampled in September at pristine SB, to $11.9 \pm 2.5\%$ for *Ulva* sampled in July at the impacted SB (Fig. 2). Values of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N of sources varied over the production season. The POM showed significant temporal variability both in δ^{13} C and in δ^{15} N within the two sites, while an effect of 'Time' was significant only for δ^{15} N of SOM (Fig. 2, Table 1). Different green tide effects for POM (δ^{13} C) and SOM (δ^{15} N) were highlighted by the analysis. Particulate organic matter was significantly more ¹³C-enriched where green tides occur than on the pristine SB, while there was no significant influence of GT on nitrogen isotopic signature of POM (Fig. 2, Table 1). Conversely, $\delta^{15}N$ of sedimentary organic matter was significantly affected by the presence of GT and varied over time, which was not the case considering $\delta^{13}C$ (Table 1).

Possible indirect effects of high biomasses of *Ulva* on the isotope composition of organic matter sources, through the modification of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) isotope signature, was tested in the laboratory (data not shown). The $\delta^{13}C$ of DIC in the control flask remained stable for the whole experiment (night and day) with a mean value of -1.1 ± 0.5 %. Conversely, in the flask where *Ulva* were floating, $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ decreased from 0.8 to -3.6% during night and increased by more than 8% to reach 4.6% when light became available. High growth rates of *Ulva* thalli were measured during the time of experiment

Results of 2-way ANOVAs testing the effects of time (factor 'Month') and the presence of
reen tides (factor 'GT'), and the interaction of both factors (Month \times GT), on isotope sig-
nature of potential food sources (SOM and POM) sampled from May to November 2012 at
wo macrotidal sandy beaches. Total number of stable isotope signatures was 48.

-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Treatment	df	MS	F	p-value						
Particulate organic matter										
δ ¹³ C										
GT	1	8.66	14.76	1.44.10 ⁻³	**					
Month	3	17.3	29.52	9.32.10 ⁻⁷	***					
$GT \times Month$	3	0.38	0.64	0.59						
Res.	16	0.59								
δ ¹⁵ N										
GT	1	0.08	0.26	0.61						
Month	3	1.32	4.37	0.02	*					
$GT \times Month$	3	0.10	0.33	0.80						
Res.	16	0.30								
Sedimentary organic matter										
GT	1	0.22	0.82	0 38						
Month	3	0.36	1 36	0.29						
GT × Month	3	0.41	1.55	0.24						
Res	16	0.27	1.55	0.21						
δ ¹⁵ N		0.27								
GT	1	1.14	4.75	0.04	*					
Month	3	1.03	4 31	0.02	*					
GT × Month	3	0.32	1 34	0.29						
Res	16	0.24		0.25						
	.0	0.24								

Significant values at $p \le 0.05$ are shown in bold.

[∓] p ≤ 0.05.

** p ≤ 0.01.

. *** p ≤ 0.001.

 $(9.85\pm2\%$ increase in biomass), suggesting that no significant degradation occurred. Consequently, the results of the experiment indicate that the presence of Ulva, at least at small scales, changes values of $\delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}}$, creating a potential for knock-on effects influencing the $\delta^{13}C$ of other basal resources.



Fig. 2. Mean (±SD) isotope signatures ($\delta^{13}C$ [upper panels] and $\delta^{15}N$ [lower panels]) of particulate organic matter (POM, a, d), sedimentary organic matter (SOM, b, e), and the green macroalgae Ulva spp. (c, f) sampled in May, July, September and November at pristine (blue) and impacted (green) sandy beaches. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

3.2. Shifts in species-specific diets in the presence of GT

Changes in food web structure and functioning were also analysed at species level, assessing changes in mean isotope signature (δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N, mean \pm SD) for different size classes (small, medium, large) of three consumers of different trophic levels (primary and secondary consumers). The three species are also among the six most dominant species in terms of biomass, within this system (Quillien et al., 2015a). In total, 80 Donax vittatus, 65 Owenia fusiformis, and 18 Acrocnida brachiata, sampled in autumn were included in this analysis. The specific pattern of trophic niches depended on the species and on the beach where they were sampled (Fig. 3). The mean location in stable isotope space (i.e. all size classes pooled) of each primary consumer differed significantly from conspecifics in the presence of green tides (p = 0.002, 0.0001, and 0.001, for D. vittatus, O. fusiformis, and A. brachiata, respectively). These differences were due to the changing δ^{13} C of all three species sampled where green tides occurred (Fig. 3). Across size classes, the stable isotope signature of consumers got heavier. Isotopic space occupied by small, medium and large D. vittatus at both SBs (with and without green tides) overlapped (Fig. 3a), although medium and large individuals showed higher δ^{13} C at SB where green tides occur. Carbon signatures of individuals of O. fusiformis differed markedly between the two SBs (GT/no-GT) with no overlap in δ -space (Fig. 3b). The isotope signature of O. fusiformis sampled where green tides occurred showed considerably heavier δ^{13} C than individuals from pristine SB. There were large shifts in δ^{13} C between individuals of the ophiuroid A. brachiata that were sampled at pristine vs. GT-impacted SBs. From primary consumers (D. vittatus) to top-consumers (A. brachiata), the δ^{13} C values of organisms sampled at SB impacted by green tides were higher compared to δ^{13} C of organisms at the pristine site.

The location of the Donacidae in δ -space is right above both SOM and POM signatures. Within the pristine environment, trophic linkages between POM and small *O. fusiformis*, and between SOM and medium individuals of this species are apparent (Fig. 3c). Where detached green macroalgae occurred, *O. fusiformis* isotope signatures occurred above and close to SOM and *Ulva* locations (Fig. 3b), while the ophiuroid *A. brachiata* was positioned above the others in δ -space.

3.3. Dynamic community-wide isotopic niche changes induced by GT

Among the sixty-seven species in the low-shore SB zoobenthic communities of the study area (Quillien et al., 2015a), forty-three species of benthic invertebrates (accounting for 99% of the total biomass), four species of flatfish, two species of demersal fish, and one meiofaunal compartment (nematodes), were sampled and analysed for isotopic composition (1144 individual stable isotope samples).

The isotopic niches of benthic assemblages (benthic consumers shared by both SBs), estimated as SEAc (Fig. 4), consistently overlapped in May and July (58% and 68% niche overlap, respectively) showing a high similarity in δ -space of communities sampled at sites with and without green tides (Fig. 4a, b). The overlap of the two sandy beaches ellipses decreased in September (20%) and was almost non-existent (<1%) in November, indicating a progressive shift in isotopic niche space between the two beaches over time during the season (Fig. 4c. d). The mean location of the consumers differed significantly between the two beaches in September and November (p < 0.001 at bothdates), but not in May and July (p = 0.18 and p = 0.13, respectively). In addition, the size of community isotopic niches at SB where green tides occur was smaller than of SEAc calculated for pristine SB assemblages (in September and November) (Fig. 4c, d; probabilities that SEAc for impacted beach is smaller than SEAc for pristine beach are 0.85 and 0.81, respectively). Also, significant differences in mean distance to centroid (MDC) and mean distance to nearest neighbour (MNN) between the two SBs were found in September (Table 2), confirming greater occupancy of isotopic niche space, as well as highlighting sparser species packing in that niche space, for the consumer assemblage at the pristine SB at that time.

3.4. Seasonal influence of GT on trophic functioning

By weighting the mean isotope signature of every taxon in the food web (food sources, primary and secondary consumers, except flatfish) by their respective mean biomass per square metre, major trophic pathways were visually identified. Two different pictures emerged, revealing an influence by GT on SB dynamics (Fig. 5). The ophiurid A. brachiata, the bivalves Angulus tenuis, Donax trunculus and D. vittatus, the amphipod Urothoe poseidonis, the polychaete Glycera convoluta, as well as the nermetean Lineus acutifrons constituted most of the benthic biomass (89.8 to 97.1% of the total biomass) in the pristine community on all sampling occasions (Fig. 5a, b, c, d). In the green-tide SB community, the brittle star A. brachiata, the nemertean L. acutifrons and the polychaetes O. fusiformis and Nephtys assimilis made up 4.5 to 35.5% of total biomass considering all sampling dates. The bivalve D. trunculus represented the largest biomass (60.3 and 67.6%) in May and July, while D. vittatus constituted most of the total biomass (90.3 and 91.9%) in September and November (Fig. 5e, f, g, h). The combination of consumer biomass and isotope signature showed that most of the biomass (up to 95%) is channelled into the bivalve primary consumer



Fig. 3. Stable isotope bi-plots illustrating species-specific size-related isotopic niches of three primary consumers in autumn at sandy beaches impacted (green) and not impacted (blue) by green tides. *Donax vitatus* values are denoted by filled squares, light colour squares refer to small individuals ($L_t = 7-15$ mm) less than one year old, mid-colour squares refer to medium individuals ($L_t = 16-22$ mm) that were one year old, and dark colour squares refer to a serie to be step individuals ($L_t = 23-30$ mm) that were two years old. *Owenia fusiformis* values were denoted by filled diamonds, light colour diamond-shapes refer to small individuals (width of second setting I = 0.25-1 mm) that were two years old. *Owenia fusiformis* values are denoted by filled circles, light colour diamond-shapes refer to small individuals (width of second setting I = 0.25-1 mm). Acrocnida brachiata values are denoted by filled circles, light colour green), circles refer to small individuals (dist diameter [L_t] = 7-9 mm) one to two years old, mid-colour circles refer to medium individuals ($L_t = 10-11$ mm) three version of this article.)

N. Quillien et al. / Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 477 (2016) 92-102



Fig. 4. Standard ellipses (SEAc) illustrating the isotopic niches of shared species between communities at sandy beaches harbouring green tides (green ellipses) or not harbouring green tides (blue ellipses) over time: a) May, b) July, c) September, and d) November 2012. Biomass of *Ulva* spp. stranded on the impacted beach is reported in grey boxes. Overlap between species is expressed as per cent. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

compartment, made up by *D. trunculus*, *D. vittatus* and *A. tenuis*, both in pristine and impacted SBs. In addition, positioning of flatfishes in this δ -space revealed that juveniles of *Pegusa lacaris*, *Pleuronectes platessa*, *Schophthalmus maximus* and *S. rhombus* were found lower in the web compared to other predators such as the nemerteans *L. acutifrons* and *Cerebratulus roseus*, the polychaetes *Scoletoma impatiens* and *G. convoluta*, and the brittle star *A. brachiata* (Fig. 5).

Also, the isotopic functional indices (IFI) were used to depict and quantify functional characteristics of the food webs (Rigolet et al., 2015). IFI calculated for the two food webs across seasons based on mean isotope signatures of consumers (Table 2) indicated no significant changes between the two communities in trophic structure and functioning in May and July. Conversely, the mean distance to the centroid and the mean nearest neighbour, as well as the functional divergence showed significant differences between the two food webs in September, indicating a shift in trophic structure. In November, significant differences between the two isotopic niches were expressed within two facets of functional diversity: the functional richness and the functional divergence (Table 2). The functional richness index (IFRic), which represents the amount of isotopic space filled by the community, was significantly lower for the assemblage where GT occurred (p = 0.02). The functional divergence, which helps to quantify whether higher biomasses are close to the borders (i.e. distant to the centre of gravity) of the trophic niche (IFDiv), was significantly greater (p =0.0004) at SB where GT occurred, indicating that biomass was more distant to the centre of gravity there than at pristine beach. Finally, a

progressive alignment of isotope signatures of species composing the food web at the sandy beach where green tides occur over time was also clear (Fig. 5e-h).

4. Discussion

Whereas high biomasses of opportunistic macroalgae resulting from eutrophication of coastal waters have well documented effects on faunal biodiversity, such as species richness and community composition (see Arroyo and Bonsdorff, in press; Lyons et al., 2014, for reviews), changes in the trophic structure, and the subsequent energy flow through faunal communities, are seldom assessed simultaneously (Ouisse et al., 2011). Here we go further by analysing an extensive data set collected through high-resolution field sampling to study the effects of green tides on the dynamic trophic structure and functioning of the intertidal (low shore) sandy beach ecosystem. This study complements the work on community structure in Quillien et al. (2015a) by assessing trophic functioning, and evaluating part of the data on community structure specifically in a trophic context. The two SB communities studied were located within the same water body, and were comparable except for the presence of green tides due to local eutrophication on one of the beaches (Quillien et al., 2015a), which allowed direct comparison using stable isotopes. In addition, the findings presented in this study are consistent with the patterns (in diversity and species assemblages) significantly explained by the presence of high biomass of Ulva, found at larger spatial and temporal scales (Quillien et al., 2015a, 2015b), making the two

Table 2

Isotopic structural and functional indices (MDC = mean distance to centroid, MNN = mean distance to nearest neighbour, IFRic = isotopic functional richness, IFEve = isotopic functional evenness, IFDiv = isotopic functional divergence) calculated at the two sandy beaches (with and without green tides, GT and No GT, respectively).

	May			July		Sept.			Nov.			
Metric/Index	No GT	GT	р	No GT	GT	р	No GT	GT	р	No GT	GT	р
MDC	2.03	1.97	0.91	2.17	2.35	0.64	2.20	1.40	0.04	2.16	1.88	0.64
MNN	0.85	1.33	0.25	0.81	0.96	0.52	0.80	0.45	0.01	0.94	0.82	0.66
IFRic	1.32	0.96	0.29	3.03	2.90	0.60	4.53	3.67	0.08	3.40	1.57	0.02
IFEve	0.50	0.68	0.08	0.40	0.46	0.49	0.30	0.49	0.08	0.30	0.38	0.44
IFDiv	0.66	0.83	0.11	0.72	0.55	0.09	0.62	0.87	0.05	0.63	0.97	<0.001

Significant differences (p ≤ 0.05; Wilcoxon tests) between indices at beach 'No GT and beach 'GT' are shown in bold.



Fig. 5. Stable isotope bi-plots showing benthic consumers' isotope signatures weighted by biomass per square metre (filled circles), juvenile flatfishes' isotope signatures (denoted by fish symbols), and source isotope signatures (POM [plus sign], SOM [cross], and Ulva spp. [yellow star]) at the pristine sandy beach (blue, upper panels) and the sandy beach impacted by green tides (green, lower panels) over time; Nay (a, e), July (b, f). Spettmeber (c, g) and November 2012 (d, h). Each benthic consumer species is denoted by a number: 1 = Arcroida brachitar; 2 = Amphiporus langiaegeminus; 3 = Angulus tenuis; 4 = Aphroditidae; 5 = Arenicola marina; 6 = Aricidae; 7 = Balanoglossus arnoglosus; 8 = Bathyporeia pelagica; 9 = Cerebratulus roseus; 10 = Copepoda; 11 = Crangon crangon; 12 = Cumposis spp.; 13 = Diogenes pugliator; 14 = Donax trunculus; 15 = Donax vitatus; 16 = Echinocardium cordatum; 17 = Ensis siliqua; 18 = Eocurna dollfusi; 7 = Mactra stullorum; 28 = Magelona filiformis; 29 = Mysida; 30 = Nematoda; 31 = Nephtys assimilis; 32 = Nephtys cirrosa; (juveniles); 34 = Owenia fusiformis; 35 = Phyllodoce mucosa; 36 = Planaria; 37 = Pontocrates arenarius; 38 = Portumnus latipes; 39 = Scoletona impatiens; 40 = Sigalion mathildae; 41 = Spiophanes bombyx; 42 = Trachinus drac; 43 = Urothoe poseidonis; 44 = Venus gallina. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

study sites highly representative. Our study on the effects of an abundant additional food resource, in the form of opportunistic green macroalgae (*Ulva*), on whole-community trophic structure and functioning revealed a progressive simplification of the food web over time, with a narrowing of energy flows. Driving this simplification is both indirect and direct effects of green tides at several trophic levels within macrotidal SB systems, *i.e.* baselines (particulate and sedimentary organic matter), primary consumers, and secondary consumers. Below, we discuss them in detail.

4.1. High-biomasses of Ulva affect food web natural baselines

The isotope signatures of food sources, both particulate and sedimentary organic matter (POM and SOM, respectively), were significantly affected by the presence of green tides, thus supporting our Hypothesis 1. Despite the inherent great variability in stable isotope signatures of primary producers and basal resources (Rolff, 2000), we found a shift at sites where Ulva occurred. The direction of this shift was consistent over time (Fig. 2), and, most importantly, was persistent and traceable across higher trophic levels, also considering the community-wide trophic structure (see Sections 4.2, and 4.4, below). More precisely, we have found that the presence of large amounts of *Ulva* influenced δ^{13} C of POM, which was higher than the δ^{13} C of POM sampled at the pristine SB. High photosynthetic rates measured for Ulva (Johnson et al., 1974) lead to high uptake of carbon in the form of both CO_2 and HCO_3^- during the day (Van Alstyne et al., 2015). Selective assimilation of ¹²C causes remaining CO₂ to become enriched in ¹³C, leading to progressive ¹³C enrichment of phytoplankton (Rolff, 2000). An increase in δ^{13} C of DIC in the presence of Ulva was experimentally verified in our study, supporting this assumption. Furthermore, we found that the presence of green tides affects $\delta^{15}N$ of SOM, which was higher where Ulva accumulates compared to sediments in a pristine environment. This significant shift occurs during autumn (September and November), which corresponds to a period of senescence and decomposition of mats in temperate systems (Arroyo and Bonsdorff, in press). Algal decomposition is more rapid close to the sediment than in the water column, due to the high metabolic activity occurring close to the sediment (Salovius and Bonsdorff, 2004). Decaying macroalgae enter the detritus pool in the form of micro- or macro-detritus, thus gradually changing the composition of sediments and their isotope signature (Dubois et al., 2012). During senescence, bacterial activity stimulates organic matter recycling in sediments, which is known to cause a δ^{15} N enrichment of SOM (as discussed in Iken et al., 2001; Schaal et al., 2008). Also during macroalgal decay, organic molecules (e.g. polysaccharides that make up around 50% of dry Ulva spp., Lahaye and Robic, 2007) are released or broken down, and enter the sediment, thus affecting its composition. The release of biomolecules during senescence of macroalgal mats also probably affects the isotope signature of POM, although this was not evident in our study. Indeed, particles released in the water column after Ulva degradation can be quickly diluted by tidal currents, mitigating isotopic effects in POM. Furthermore, the isotope composition of POM is well known to be highly variable in marine systems (Lorrain et al., 2002). Our study also revealed seasonal variation of the isotope signature of basal resources occurring at both sandy beaches. Variation over time may be explained by several known causes of isotopic fluctuations (Rolff, 2000).

4.2. Species-specific diet modifications where green tides occur

Our hypothesis regarding the influence of green tides on speciesspecific diets was also verified, and the present study revealed direct and indirect influence of *Ulva* accumulation on macroinvertebrate trophic/isotopic niches. Three species from three different trophic levels of the low-shore SB food web, also dominant in terms of biomass within the benthic community, *i.e. D. vittatus*, *O. fusiformis*, and *A. brachiata*, were selected to test this hypothesis. The isotope signatures obtained within this study are in line with those measured in sandy systems within the same broad geographic area (*e.g.* Bodin et al., 2008; Colombini et al., 2011; Rigolet et al., 2014). Across size classes, the stable isotope signature of consumers got heavier, indicating either a diet shift or a metabolic accumulation of δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C. Comparison between consumers found at the two beaches showed species-specific and marked responses to the presence of green tides, which contradicts findings made for similar beaches in the same ecoregion (Ouisse et al., 2011).

Also, the direction of change within the species-specific trophic niches at sites with Ulva (δ^{13} C of organisms sampled at beach where green tides occurred was higher than δ^{13} C of organisms sampled at pristine site) is consistent with the findings at the primary producer level. As the δ^{15} N of *Ulva* isotope signature was more than 1‰ above the Donacidae signature, we suggest that this bivalve is not directly influenced by the presence of the green algae. Instead, our study confirms that D. vittatus, no matter its size, feeds on both SOM and POM, and that its position in the trophic web is indirectly driven by the presence of Ulva through the ingestion of isotopically modified organic matter. On the other hand, the present study highlights a direct way in which Ulva enters SB food webs. As isotope signatures of O. fusiformis are significantly different between the two beaches, and as it was similar to the Ulva isotopic composition, we hypothesize that this polychaete feeds directly on green macroalgae. This scenario is plausible since O. fusiformis feeds in two distinct ways, either by removing small suspended particles from the water column in a ciliary manner, or by taking up much larger particles with its labial palps (Phillips Dales, 1957), which could be a way to ingest wave-smashed Ulva. In addition, we found green algae fragments in the stomach content of O. fusiformis sampled at the impacted SB. Our study also highlights another possible way of direct integration of the green algae within low-shore sandy beach food webs through the feeding activity of A. brachiata. This ophiuroid takes on suspension feeding posture and likely feeds on macrodetritus, including dead animals (such as parts of Liocarcinus sp., pers. obs.) and algae, which are abundant in the form of Ulva within the impacted site. Ingestion of pieces of Ulva thalli and other organisms with modified isotope signature would thus explain the significant differences between A. brachiata isotope signatures between the two sites (impacted or not by green tides).

4.3. Macrotidal sandy beach food web structure and functioning

Our study also revealed the trophic structure, and ultimately some aspects of the functioning of benthic food web of low shore macrotidal SBs (i.e. energy flow through the intertidal community). At the pristine sandy beach, the whole isotopic niche was wide and several potential energy pathways emerged from the different bivariate plots (Fig. 4, 5). Biomass-weighted isotope signatures, within the isotopic space, visualize the diversity of energy pathways, which suggests that the pristine SB consumers feed on different food sources available over time. Approaches combining isotope signature with biomass distribution within benthic communities are rare in the literature (Grall et al., 2006; Rigolet et al., 2015). Using this approach, our study revealed that most of the biomass within SB food web is concentrated in the bivalve primary consumer compartment, made up by D. trunculus, D. vittatus, and A. tenuis, which are known to be suspension and deposit feeders (Levinton, 1971). This denotes the importance of both particulate and sedimentary organic matter as food sources for consumers in the macrotidal SB benthic community, and challenges the findings made for other dissipative beaches, where only POM was considered as the major trophic contributor to the food web (Bergamino et al., 2011). Hydrodynamics (macrotides, waves) occurring within the studied systems could explain these contrasted findings: wave action re-works sediment, and in turn sedimentary organic matter is re-suspended within the water/sediment interface (as discussed in Schaal et al., 2008).

4.4. Structural and functional trophic shifts occur where opportunistic algae develop

We also hypothesized that the presence of large amounts of detached *Ulva* on low shore of macrotidal SBs would modify the structure and the functioning of the trophic network. The significant shift in trophic structure and differences revealed by isotopic functional indices (Fig. 4; Table 2) support this hypothesis. We found a significant separation between pristine and impacted whole-community trophic niches as green tides decayed. This shift in δ -space may be linked to the input of *Ulva* that significantly affects isotope signature of baselines (Fig. 2; Table 1), and may also constitute a direct energy source for consumers (Section 4.2; Fig. 3), as observed in non-tidal systems (Nordström et al., 2006). This finding is supported by the coinciding direction of changes occurring at primary producer and consumer levels where green tides occurred.

The progressive change in food web structure over time logically suggests a lag in the response of benthic consumers to the addition of another basal resource within high-energy systems, i.e. lowshore macrotidal SBs. The separation of trophic niches between the two beaches was accompanied by a narrowing of the communitywide occupancy of δ -space where green tides occurred, suggesting a simplification of the food web where Ulva accumulate. The dynamic depiction of species-specific isotope signatures at SB impacted by green tides (Fig. 5) also highlights the simplification of the whole food web structure. Indeed, we saw a practically linear arrangement of food web components in November, suggesting a single trophic pathway. This contrasts with the pristine SB picture where benthic organisms consume different food sources available over time, as shown through the depiction of several trophic pathways. Interestingly, this finding contradicts the fact that addition of organic material in the form of macroalgae and plants inherently diversifies baselines within a system, and concurrently diversifies energy pathways within food webs (Hyndes and Lavery, 2005; Layman et al., 2012; Schaal et al., 2008). On the contrary, our findings support the hypothesis of simplification of macrotidal beach trophic webs where an opportunistic basal resource develops to become dominant. Also, interestingly, higher $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was observed at the whole community scale where green tides occurred. Such changes in the whole SB community trophic structure are explained by direct and indirect species-specific responses, as elucidated above. These findings contrast with previous studies conducted in sheltered and non-tidal systems highlighting an increase in δ^{15} N within eutrophicated food webs (Carlier et al., 2008; McClelland et al., 1997); enrichment of heavy nitrogen throughout whole food webs has been proposed as a eutrophication indicator, providing a detectable signal in biota of sheltered systems before eutrophication leads to major structural and functional changes in benthic communities (Carlier et al., 2008). Our findings might have some implication for the development of markers of eutrophication within highly dynamic ecosystems. Overall, this study has implications for the interpretation of shifts in food webs of general interest in ecology. Indeed, while to date effects of climate change on trophic networks have mainly been investigated within microcosms (O'Connor et al., 2009; Petchey et al., 1999), investigating complex responses generated in entire food webs in natural systems is crucial. This would improve the understanding of functional shifts within ecosystems under multiple stressors in a global change context. Furthermore, our study challenges an ecological paradigm (*i.e.* the addition of basal resource diversifies food-web structure), which has been demonstrated in several other ecosystems, including terrestrial systems (see for example Djigal et al., 2012).

Trophic functioning was also modified over time, as illustrated by contrasting energy pathways and biomass dominance between beaches impacted or not impacted by green tides (Fig. 5). The shift in SB food web functioning was progressive and significant during autumn, as the communities differed in two components of isotopic functional diversity at that time (Table 2). In addition, biomass distribution did not

follow the same pathway depending on beaches (impacted vs. nonimpacted). Although macrobenthic assemblages were similar in terms of taxon identity, biomass patterns were dominated by different groups of species depending on beaches. Quillien et al. (2015a) documented that the presence of detached macroalgae on macrotidal SB shores stimulates the recruitment of some dominant species, and represses the abundance of other species assuming key roles for the functioning of these highly dynamic ecosystems. Modification of community composition and development of fauna (abundance, biomass) alter trophic interactions in the food web by changing prey availability and affecting predation rates (Norkko and Bonsdorff, 1996; Raffaelli et al., 1998), which could explain the structural and functional shifts we found. Top-down control could explain the pattern found in our study as it has been shown that foraging efficiency of juvenile flatfish is affected by the presence of drifting macroalgae (Aarnio and Mattila, 2000; Nordström and Booth, 2007). Juveniles of flatfishes were positioned in the middle of macrotidal SB δ -space: stomach content analyses revealed that they mainly feed on deposit-feeding crustaceans (cumaceans and gammarids), and on suspension/deposit-feeding bivalves (Fabvre, pers. comm.). This finding also suggests a certain trophic plasticity of some of the macrotidal SB consumers when organic material in the form of macroalgae is added to the system, which is in line with conclusions made by Nordström et al. (2006) highlighting the large potential of macroinvertebrates to process/feed on opportunistic filamentous annual macroalgal material.

5. Conclusion

The addition of an opportunistic basal resource in the form of macroalgae within a naturally unvegetated and dynamic aquatic ecosystem induces an overall simplification of community-wide food web structure and functioning. This finding contrasts with other systems (including terrestrial), where the addition of new basal resource diversifies food webs, and thus challenges an essential ecological paradigm. This conclusion is supported by:

- Differences in other basal resources (*i.e.* particulate and sedimentary organic matter) isotope signature over time and between beaches,
- Species-specific responses highlighting direct (*i.e.* assimilation of additional basal resource [Ulva spp.]) and indirect (*i.e.* assimilation of modified particulate and sedimentary organic matter, respectively) effects of additional opportunistic basal resource on the sandy beach consumer community diet,
- Community-wide shift in δ¹³C over time, progressively splitting up into two distinct isotopic niches (*i.e.* no green tide vs. green tides), with a linear arrangement of trophic web components indicating a single carbon pathway where Ulva mats strand on shore.

Shifts observed in trophic structure and functioning within the studied system may affect higher trophic levels, *i.e.* top predators (shorebirds, geese, fish) of ecological and commercial significance, for which SB are crucial foraging areas (McLachlan and Brown, 2006; Schlacher et al., 2008). The observed and projected impacts of additional opportunistic basal resources on high-energy system trophic webs structure and functioning would thus make ecosystems at risk in a global change context (*i.e.*, potentially less robust to probable future changes induced by interactive effects of multiple stressors, both natural and anthropogenic).

Acknowledgments

We thank S. Castay who helped with the lab experiment, D. Zeppilli and R. Carriço who sampled meiofauna and measured nematodes, F. Dehairs and G. Guillou for stable isotope analyses, and S. Ballu for providing biomass of *Ulva* spp. data. Special thanks to M. Maguer, V. Le Garrec, C. Jouan, for their help with field and laboratory work. We thank the Observatoire Marin de l'Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer and the Laboratoire des Sciences de l'Environnement Marin for the use of their very good facilities. This study was supported by the National interdisciplinary Ecosphère Continentale et Côtière EC2CO-INSU 'MAVERIQ', the Région Bretagne and the Université de Bretagne Occidentale. This study was conducted as part of the PhD thesis of N. Quillien for Université de Bretagne Occidentale and Åbo Akademi University. EB and MCN were funded through the Åbo Akademi University Endowment. **[SW]**

References

- Aarnio, K., Mattila, J., 2000. Predation by juvenile *Platichthys flesus* (L.) on shelled prey species in a bare sand and drift algae habitat. Hydrobiologia 440, 347–355.
- Allaby, M., 2010. A Dictionary of Ecology. fourth ed. Oxford University Press. Andrassy, I., 1956. Die Rauminhalts- und Gewichtsbestimmung der Fadenwurmer (Nematoden). Acta 2001. 2, 1–15.
- (USA) S.P. (Ed.), Marine Macrophytes as Foundation Species (In Press).
- Augris, C., Ménésguen, A., Hamon, D., Blanchet, A., Le Roy, P., Rolet, J., Jouet, G., Véron, G., Delannoy, H., Drogou, M., Bernard, C., Maillard, X., 2005. In: Ifremer (Ed.), Atlas Thématique de l'Environnement Marin de la Baie de Douarnenez (Finistère).
- Bascom, W., 1980. Waves and Beaches: The Dynamics of the Ocean Surface (Revised an. ed). Bearhop, S., Adams, C.E., Waldron, S., Fuller, R.A., Susan, E.A., Fullert, R.A., Macleodj, H., 2004. Determining trophic niche width: a novel approach using stable isotope analvsis. I. Anim. Ecol. 73. 1007–1012.
- Bergamino, L., Lercari, D., Defeo, O., 2011. Food web structure of sandy beaches: temporal and spatial variation using stable isotope analysis. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 91, 536–543. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2010.12.007.
- Bodin, N., Le Loc'h, F., Caisey, X., Le Guellec, A.-M., Abarnou, A., Loizeau, V., Latrouite, D., 2008. Congener-specific accumulation and trophic transfer of polychlorinated biphenyls in spider crab food webs revealed by stable isotope analysis. Environ. Pollut. 151, 252–261. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.01.051.
- Borja, A., 2014. Grand challenges in marine ecosystems ecology. Front. Mar. Sci. 1, 1–6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2014.00007.
- Carlier, A., Riera, P., Amouroux, J.-M., Bodiou, J.-Y., Desmalades, M., Grémare, A., 2008. Food web structure of two Mediterranean lagoons under varying degree of eutrophication. J. Sea Res. 60, 264–275. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2008.10.006.
- Carriço, R., Zeppilli, D., Quillien, N., Grall, J., 2013. Can meiofauna be a good biological indicator of the impacts of eutrophication caused by green macroalgal blooms? An Aod,
- les Cah. Nat. l'Observatoire Mar. II, pp. 9–16 CGDD, 2014. Les Proliférations d'Algues sur les Côtes Métropolitaines.
- Charlier, R.H., Morand, P., Finkl, C.W., Thys, A., 2007. Green tides on the Brittany coasts. Environ. Res. Eng. Manag. 3, 52–59.
- Cloern, J.E., 2001. Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 210, 223–253. http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps210223.
- Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 210, 223–253. http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps210223.
 Colombini, I., Brilli, M., Fallaci, M., Gagnarli, E., Chelazzi, L., 2011. Food webs of a sandy beach macroinvertebrate community using stable isotopes analysis. Acta Oecol. 37, 422–432. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2011.05.010.
 Defeo, O., McLachlan, A., Schoeman, D.S., Schlacher, T.a., Dugan, J., Jones, A., Lastra, M.,
- Defeo, O., McLachlan, A., Schoeman, D.S., Schlacher, T.a., Dugan, J., Jones, A., Lastra, M., Scapini, F., 2009. Threats to sandy beach ecosystems: a review. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 81, 1–12. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2008.09.022.
- Djigal, D., Chabrier, C., Duyck, P.F., Achard, R., Quénéhervé, P., Tixier, P., 2012. Cover crops alter the soil mematode food web in banana agreecosystems. Soil Biol. Biochem. 48, 142–150. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.01.026.
- Dubois, S., Savoye, N., Grémare, a., Plus, M., Charlier, K., Beltoise, a., Blanchet, H., 2012. Origin and composition of sediment organic matter in a coastal semi-enclosed ecosystem: an elemental and isotopic study at the ecosystem space scale. J. Mar. Syst. 94, 64–73. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.imarsvs.2011.10.009.
- Fisher, S.G., Likens, G.E., 1973. Energy flow in Bear Brook, New Hampshire: an integrative approach to stream ecosystem metabolism. Ecol. Monogr. 43, 421–439. http://dx.doi. org/10.2307/1942301.
- Gillikin, D.P., Bouillon, S., 2007. Determination of δ¹⁸O of water and δ¹³C of dissolved inorganic carbon using a simple modification of an elemental analyzer-isotope ratio massspectrometer: an evaluation. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 21, 1475–1478.
- Grall, J., Chauvaud, L., 2002. Marine eutrophication and benthos: the need for new approaches and concepts. Glob. Chang. Biol. 8, 813–831.
- Grall, J., Le Loc'h, F., Guyonnet, B., Riera, P., 2006. Community structure and food web based on stable isotopes (§ 15 N and § 13 C) analysis of a North Eastern atlantic maerl bed. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 338, 1–15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2006. 06.013.
- Hooper, D.U., Chapin, F.S., Ewel, J.J., Hector, A., Inchausti, P., Lavorel, S., Lawton, J.H., Lodge, D.M., Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Schmid, B., Setälä, H., Symstad, A.J., Vandermeer, J., Wardle, D.A., 2005. Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus of current knowledge. Ecol. Monogr. 75, 3–35. http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/04-0922.Hussey, N.E., Macneil, M.A., Mcmeans, B.C., Olin, J.a., Dudley, S.F.J., Cliff, G., Wintner, S.P.,
- Hussey, N.E., Macneil, M.A., Mcmeans, B.C., Olin, J.a., Dudley, S.F.J., Cliff, G., Wintner, S.P., Fennessy, S.T., Fisk, A.T., 2014. Rescaling the trophic structure of marine food webs. Ecol. Lett. 17, 239–250. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ele.12226.
- Hyndes, G.A., Lavery, P.S., 2005. Does transported seagrass provide an important trophic link in unvegetated, nearshore areas? Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 63, 633–643.
- Iken, K., Brey, T., Wand, U., Voigt, J., Junghans, P., 2001. Food web structure of the benthic community at the Porcupine Abyssal Plain (NE Atlantic): a stable isotope analysis. Prog. Oceanogr. 50, 383–405.

- Jackson, A.L., Inger, R., Parnell, A.C., Bearhop, S., 2011. Comparing isotopic niche widths among and within communities: SIBER – stable isotope Bayesian ellipses in R. J. Anim. Ecol. 80, 595–602. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2011.01806.x.
- Johnson, W.S., Gigon, A., Gulmon, S.L., Mooney, H.A., 1974. Comparative photosynthetic capacities of intertidal algae under exposed and submerged conditions. Ecology 55, 450–453. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1935235.
- Korpinen, S., Bonsdorff, E., 2015. Eutrophication and hypoxia: impacts of nutrient and organic enrichment. Marine Ecosystems: Human Impacts on Biodiversity, Functioning and Services, pp. 202–243.
- Lahaye, M., Robic, A., 2007. Structure and function properties of ulvan, a polysaccharide from green seaweeds. Biomacromolecules 8, 1765–1774. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ bm061185q.
- Laliberté, E., Legendre, P., Shipley, B., 2014. FD: measuring functional diversity from multiple traits, and other tools for functional ecology. R package version, pp. 1.0–112. Layman, C.A., Quattrochi, J.P., Peyer, C.M., Allgeier, J.E., 2007. Niche width Collapse in a restruction of the second second
- Layman, CA, Quattrochi, JP, Peyer, CM, Allgeier, JE, 2007. Niche width collapse in a resilient top predator following ecosystem fragmentation. Ecol. Lett. 10, 937–944. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01087.x.
- Layman, C.A., Araujo, M.S., Boucek, R., Hammerschlag-Peyer, C.M., Harrison, E., Jud, Z.R., Matich, P., Rosenblatt, A.E., Vaudo, J.J., Yeager, L.A., Post, D.M., Bearhop, S., 2012. Applying stable isotopes to examine food-web structure: an overview of analytical tools. Biol. Rev. 87, 545–562. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00208.x. Levinton, J.S., 1971. Control of fellinacean (mollusca: bivalvia) feeding behavior by preda-
- Levinton, J.S., 1971. Control of tellinacean (mollusca: bivalvia) feeding behavior by predation. Limnol. Oceanogr. 16, 660–662.
- Lindeman, R.L., 1942. The trophic-dynamic aspect of ecology. Ecology 23, 399–417.
 Liu, D., Keesing, J.K., He, P., Wang, Z., Shi, Y., Wang, Y., 2013. The world's largest macroalgal bloom in the Yellow Sea, China: formation and implications. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 129, 2–10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.05.021.
- Lorrain, A., Paulet, Y., Chauvaud, L., Savoye, N., Donval, A., Saout, C., 2002. Differential 13 C and 15 N signatures among scallop tissues : implications for ecology and physiology. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 275, 47–61.
- Lyons, D.A., Arvanitidis, C., Blight, A.J., Chatzinikolaou, E., Guy-Haim, T., Kotta, J., Orav-Kotta, H., Queirós, A.M., Rilov, G., Somerfield, P.J., Crowe, T.P., 2014. Macroalgal blooms alter community structure and primary productivity in marine ecosystems. Glob. Chang. Biol. 20, 2712–2724. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12644.
- Glob. Chang. Biol. 20, 2712–2724. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12644.
 McClelland, J.W., Valiela, I., 1998. Linking nitrogen in estuarine producers to land-derived sources. Limnol. Oceanogr. 43, 577–585. http://dx.doi.org/10.4319/lo.1998.43.4.0577.
- McClelland, J.W., Valiela, I., Michener, R.H., 1997. Nitrogen-stable isotope signatures in estuarine food webs: a record of increasing urbanization in coastal watersheds. Limnol. Oceanogr. 42, 930–937. http://dx.doi.org/10.4319/Jo.1997.42.5.0930.
- McLachlan, A., Brown, A., 2006. The Ecology of Sandy Shores. Ménesguen, A., Piriou, J.Y., 1995. Nitrogen loadings and macroalgal (Ulva sp.) mass accumulation in Brittany (France). Ophelia 42, 227–237.
- Nichols, J.A., 1979. A Simple Flotation Technique for Separating Meiobenthic Nematodes from Fine-Grained Sediments. Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc.
- Nordström, M., Booth, D.M., 2007. Drift algae reduce foraging efficiency of juvenile flatfish. J. Sea Res. 58, 335–341. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2007.08.001.
- Nordström, M., Bonsdorff, E., Salovius, S., 2006. The impact of infauna (*Nereis diversicolor* and *Saduria entomon*) on the redistribution and biomass of macroalgae on marine soft bottoms. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 333, 58–70. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe. 2005.11.024.
- Nordström, M.C., Demopoulos, A.W., Whitcraft, C.R., Rismondo, A., McMillan, P., Gonzalez, J.P., Levin, L.A., 2015. Food web heterogeneity and succession in created saltmarshes. J. Appl. Ecol. 52, 1343–1354.
- Norkko, A., Bonsdorff, E., 1996. Altered benthic prey-availability due to episodic oxygen deficiency caused by drifting algal mats. Mar. Ecol. 17, 355–372. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1439-0485.1996.tb00514.x.
- O'Connor, M.J., Piehler, M.F., Leech, D.M., Anton, A., Bruno, J.F., 2009. Warming and resource availability shift food web structure and metabolism. PLoS Biol. 7, 3–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000178.
- Ouisse, V., Riera, P., Migné, A., Leroux, C., Davoult, D., 2011. Freshwater seepages and ephemeral macroalgae proliferation in an intertidal bay: I effect on benthic community structure and food web. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 91, 272–281. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ecss.2010.10.034.
- Parnell, A., Jackson, A., 2013. siar: Stable Isotope Analysis in R. R package version 4.2. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=siar.
- Petchey, OL., McPhearson, P.T., Casey, T.M., Morin, P.J., 1999. Environmental warming alters food-web structure and ecosystem function. Nature 402, 69–72. http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/47023.

- Phillips Dales, R., 1957. The feeding mechanism and structure of the gut of Owenia fusiformis delle chiaje. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K. 36, 81–89. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/ S0025315400017082.
- Pingram, M.A., Collier, K.J., Hamilton, D.P., David, B.O., Hicks, B.J., 2012. Carbon sources supporting large river food webs: a review of ecological theories and evidence from stable isotopes. Freshw. Rev. 5, 85–103. http://dx.doi.org/10.1608/RFJ-5.2.476.
- Post, D.M., 2002. Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: models, methods, and assumptions. Ecology 83, 703–718.
- Quillien, N., Nordström, M.C., Gauthier, O., Bonsdorff, E., Paulet, Y.-M., Grall, J., 2015a. Effects of macroalgal accumulations on the variability in zoobenthos of high-energy macrotidal sandy beaches. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 522, 97–114. http://dx.doi.org/10. 3354/meps11151.
- Quillien, N., Nordström, M.C., Guyonnet, B., Maguer, M., Le Garrec, V., Bonsdorff, E., Grall, J., 2015b. Large-scale effects of green tides on macrotidal sandy beaches: habitat-specific responses of zoobenthos. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 164, 379–391. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ecss.2015.07.042.
- Raffaelli, D.G., Raven, J.A., Poole, L.J., 1998. Ecological impact of green macroalgal blooms. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev. 36, 97–125.
- Rigolet, C., Thiébaut, E., Dubois, S.F., 2014. Food web structures of subtidal benthic muddy habitats: evidence of microphytobenthos contribution supported by an engineer species. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 500, 25–41. http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps10685.
- Rigolet, C., Thiébaut, E., Brind'Amour, A., Dubois, S.F., 2015. Investigating isotopic functional indices to reveal changes in the structure and functioning of benthic communities. Funct. Ecol. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12444 (n/a-n/a).
- Rolff, C., 2000. Seasonal variation in ô13C and delta ô15N of size-fractionated plankton at a coastal station in the northern Baltic proper. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 203, 47–65. http:// dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps203047, Salovius, S., Bonsdorff, E., 2004. Effects of depth, sediment and grazers on the degradation
- Salovius, S., Bonsdorff, E., 2004. Effects of depth, sediment and grazers on the degradation of drifting filamentous algae (*Cladophora glomerata and Pilayella littoralis*). J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 298, 93–109. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2003.006.
- Schaal, G., Riera, P., Leroux, C., 2008. Trophic coupling between two adjacent benthic food webs within a man-made intertidal area: a stable isotopes evidence. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 77, 523–534. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2007.10.008.
- Schlacher, T.A., Schoeman, D.S., Dugan, J., Lastra, M., Jones, A., Scapini, F., McLachlan, A., 2008. Sandy beach ecosystems: key features, sampling issues, management challenges and climate change impacts. Mar. Ecol. 29, 70–90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ j.1439-0485.2007.00204.x.
- Schramm, W., 1999. Factors influencing seaweeds response to eutrophication: some results from EU-project EUMAC. J. Appl. Phycol. 11, 69–78.
- Short, A.D., 1996. The role of wave height, period, slope, tide range and embaymentisation in beach classifications: a review. Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat.
- Team, R.D.C., 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria (http://www.r-project.org/(accessed December 2013) [WWW Document]).
- Thompson, R.M., Brose, U., Dunne, J.A., Hall, R.O.J., Hladyz, S., Kitching, R.L., Martinez, N.D., Rantala, H., Romanuk, T.N., Stouffer, D.B., Tylianakis, J.M., 2012. Food webs: reconciling the structure and function of biodiversity. Trends Ecol. Evol. 27, 689–697. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.08.005.
- Tömroos, A., Bonsdorff, E., 2012. Developing the multitrait concept for functional diversity: lessons from a system rich in functions but poor in species. Ecol. Appl. 22, 2221–2236.
- Turner, T.F., Collyer, M.L., Krabbenhoft, T.J., 2010. A general hypothesis-testing framework for stable isotope ratios in ecological studies. Ecology 91, 2227–2233. http://dx.doi. org/10.1890/09-1454.1.
- Van Alstyne, K.L., Nelson, T.A., Ridgway, R.L., 2015. Environmental chemistry and chemical ecology of "green tide" seaweed blooms. Integr. Comp. Biol. 1–15. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/ich/cv035.
- Villéger, S., Mason, N.W.H., Mouillot, D., 2008. New multidimensional functional diversity indices for a multifaceted framework in functional ecology. Ecology 89, 2290–2301. http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/07-1206.1.Woodward, G., 2009. Biodiversity, ecosystem functioning and food webs in fresh waters:
- Woodward, G., 2009. Biodiversity, ecosystem functioning and food webs in fresh waters: assembling the jigsaw puzzle. Freshw. Biol. 54, 2171–2187. http://dx.doi.org/10. 1111/j.1365-2427.2008.02081.x.
- Ye, N.H., Zhang, X., Mao, Y., Liang, C., Xu, D., Zou, J., Zhuang, Z., Wang, Q., 2011. "Green tides" are overwhelming the coastline of our blue planet: taking the world's largest example. Ecol. Res. 26, 477–485. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11284-011-0821-8.

Version finale – Mai 2016