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In this thesis we focus on the development of methods and techniques to infer affect from visual information. We focus on facial expression analysis since the face is one of the least occluded parts of the body and facial expressions are one of the most visible manifestations of affect. We explore the different psychological theories on affect and emotion, different ways to represent and classify emotions and the relationship between facial expressions and underlying emotions.

We present the use of multiscale Gaussian derivatives as an image descriptor for head pose estimation, smile detection before using it for affect sensing. Principal Component Analysis is used for dimensionality reduction while Support Vector Machines are used for classification and regression. We are able to employ the same, simple and effective architecture for head pose estimation, smile detection and affect sensing. We also demonstrate that not only do multiscale Gaussian derivatives perform better than the popular Gabor Filters but are also computationally less expensive to compute.

While performing these experiments we discovered that multiscale Gaussian derivatives do not provide an appropriately discriminative image description when the face is only partly illuminated. We overcome this problem by combining Gaussian derivatives with Local Binary Pattern (LBP) histograms. This combination helps us achieve state-of-the-art results for smile detection on the benchmark GENKI database which contains images of people in the "wild" collected from the internet. We use the same description method for face recognition on the CMU-PIE database and the challenging extended YaleB database and our results compare well with the state-of-the-art. In the case of face recognition we use metric learning for classification, adopting the Minkowski distance as the similarity measure. We find that $L_1$ and $L_2$ norms are not always the optimum distance metrics and the optimum is often an $L_p$ norm where $p$ is not an integer.

Lastly we develop a multi-modal system for depression estimation with audio and video information as input. We use Local Binary Patterns - Three Orthogonal Planes (LBP-TOP) features to capture intra-facial movements in the videos and dense trajectories for macro movements such as the movement of the head and shoulders. These video features along with Low Level Descriptor (LLD) audio features are encoded using Fisher Vectors and finally a Support Vector Machine is used for regression. We discover that the LBP-TOP features encoded with Fisher Vectors alone are enough to outperform the baseline method on the Audio Visual Emotion
Challenge (AVEC) 2014 database. We thereby present an effective technique for depression estimation which can be easily extended for other slowly varying aspects of emotions such as mood.

**Keywords:** Affect Sensing, Facial Image Analysis, Automated Facial Expression Analysis.
RÉSUMÉ

Cette thèse a pour sujet le développement de méthodes et de techniques permettant d’inférer l’état affectif d’une personne à partir d’informations visuelles. Plus précisément, nous nous intéressons à l’analyse d’expressions du visage, puisque le visage est la partie la mieux visible du corps, et que l’expression du visage est la manifestation la plus évidente de l’affect. Nous étudions différentes théories psychologiques concernant affect et émotions, et différentes façons de représenter et de classifier les émotions d’une part et la relation entre expression du visage et émotion sousjacente d’autre part.

Nous présentons les dérivées Gaussiennes multi-échelle en tant que descripteur d’images pour l’estimation de la pose de la tête, pour la détection de sourire, puis aussi pour la mesure de l’affect. Nous utilisons l’analyse en composantes principales pour la réduction de la dimensionnalité, et les machines à support de vecteur pour la classification et la régression. Nous appliquons cette même architecture, simple et efficace, aux différents problèmes que sont l’estimation de la pose de tête, la détection de sourire, et la mesure d’affect. Nous montrons que non seulement les dérivées Gaussiennes multi-échelle ont une performance supérieure aux populaires filtres de Gabor, mais qu’elles sont également moins coûteuses en calculs.

Lors de nos expérimentations nous avons constaté que dans le cas d’un éclairage partiel du visage les dérivées Gaussiennes multi-échelle ne fournissent pas une description d’image suffisamment discriminante. Pour résoudre ce problème nous combinons des dérivées Gaussiennes avec des histogrammes locaux de type LBP (Local Binary Pattern). Avec cette combinaison nous obtenons des résultats à la hauteur de l’état de l’art pour la détection de sourire dans le base d’images GENKI qui comporte des images de personnes trouvées "dans la nature" sur internet, et avec la difficile "extended YaleB database". Pour la classification dans la reconnaissance de visage nous utilisons un apprentissage métrique avec comme mesure de similarité une distance de Minkowski. Nous obtenons le résultat que les normes $L_1$ and $L_2$ ne fournissent pas toujours la distance optimale; cet optimum est souvent obtenu avec une norme $L_p$ où $P$ n’est pas entier.

Finalement, nous développons un système multi-modal pour la détection de dépressions nerveuses, avec en entrée des informations audio et vidéo. Pour la détection de mouvements intra-faciaux dans les données vidéo nous utilisons de descripteurs de type LBP-TOP (Local Binary Patterns -Three Orthogonal Planes), alors que nous utilisons des trajectoires...

**Mots-clés:** Perception de l’état affectif, Analyse d’image du visage, Reconnaissance d’expression du visage.
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INTRODUCTION

The transition of computing environments from being computer-centered to being human-centered requires a major transformation in how humans interact with computers. The human-centered computing paradigm entails that the interaction between humans and computers should be natural and similar to human social interaction. Effective human social interactions pivots on the successful interpretation of a variety of nonverbal communicative cues such as facial expressions, body language, gestures, postures among other things. The ability to correctly perceive and interpret these nonverbal cues is often associated with emotional intelligence. In the future the "naturalness" and ease of human-machine interaction will depend on how well machines would be able to observe and interpret these previously mentioned nonverbal cues. A context-aware system which could adapt to its user’s needs by inferring the affective state of a person would make human-machine interaction more convenient for the user, reduce the cognitive load and make the interaction more intuitive.

A logical step towards the development of an efficient, naturalistic and context-aware human-machine interaction system would be to build technologies to automate the inference of the affective state of a person [13].

1.1 PROBLEM ADDRESSED IN THE THESIS

In this thesis we investigate the use of computer vision and machine learning techniques for inferring the affective state of a person. An affective state is psycho-physiological construct i.e. it has both psychological and physiological components to it and is a result of a humans’ interaction with stimuli. The affective state is manifested in a variety of physiological signals: facial expressions, vocal prosody, heart rate variability, blood volume pulse, galvanic skin response among others.

Facial expressions and gestures play a vital role in social interaction. The face is one of the most visible and least occluded parts of the body. For effective human-computer interaction it is important that computers be equipped with the ability to recognize facial expressions and possibly infer the underlying affective state. Therefore our aim has been to develop techniques for the extraction of visual information from images and videos and for the inference of the affective state using this visual information.
1.2 APPROACH ADOPTED

We adopt a global appearance-based approach for capturing visual information from the facial region. Alternative approaches for facial image analysis include facial keypoint tracking methods [67, 66] and facial model fitting techniques such as Active Appearance Models (AAM) [18] and Active Shape Models (ASM) [17]. A major advantage that appearance based approaches have over model fitting based approaches is that they are computationally less expensive. Keypoint detectors and trackers on the other hand are prone to detection failures and initialization problems. Architectures employing global image appearance techniques can easily be adapted for versatile applications as we demonstrate in this thesis. An architecture developed for a task such as a head pose estimation can easily adapted for another task such as smile detection keeping the general structure intact.

A simplified architecture for an appearance based system for facial image analysis is shown in figure 1. The input is in the form of an image or a video. In the next step the face is located, this is commonly done using the Viola-Jones face detector [111]. Additionally, facial landmarks such as the eyes and the nose are located. The region of interest containing the face is then normalized followed by descriptor calculation over the normalized image. Image features are often concatenated to produce a feature vector which may be reduced in dimensions using feature selection techniques or dimensionality reduction techniques such as principal component analysis (PCA) [55]. PCA is a statistical technique for projecting possibly correlated data to a new coordinate system such that the first coordinate accounts for the highest variance, the second coordinate has the second highest variance and so on.

The final step involves the use of a discrimination or regression method to produce the predicted label or values. Support Vector Machine (SVM) [109, 19] is a popular maximum margin classifier that handles the problem of linearly non-separable classes by projecting the data into a higher dimensional space using the kernel trick which entails the implicit projection of inner products onto a higher dimensional space. The radial basis kernel is the most widely used kernel in the machine learning community.
1.3 Methodology Adopted

In our experiments we use the OpenCV implementation of the Viola-Jones face detector for locating the face in images and videos but we do not use any form of facial landmark detection and the normalization step only consists of transforming the facial region into an image of fixed size using translation and scaling.

Gaussian derivatives have long been used for a wide variety of applications such as object recognition [124, 94], age estimation [44], image tracking [106, 120]. We explore the use of multiscale Gaussian derivatives [20, 69] for scale invariant image description in our experiments on head pose estimation, smile detection and affect sensing. Multiscale Gaussian derivatives have been shown to efficiently describe image neighborhood appearance and techniques such as the half-octave Gaussian pyramid [22] provide a cost effective way to compute the derivatives.

We test our approach for head pose estimation [48] on the Pointing04 [39] and CMU-PIE [102] databases. The Pointing04 database contains images of 15 subjects in 93 different head poses with images having been collected by asking the subjects to look at markers placed in a room. Using PCA for dimensionality reduction and SVMs for classification we compare our results to the state-of-the-art. The SVM classifier is replaced by a SVM regressor and the approach is tested for continuous poses.

For smile detection we test our approach [50] on the GENKI-4K [118] database containing 4000 images of people collected from the web. Nearly half the images contain a smiling person while the rest contain a person with any other expression except for smile. As with our experiments on head pose estimation, we use PCA for dimensionality reduction and SVM for classification. The classifier trained on the GENKI data is also used for smile intensity estimation on image sequences from the Cohn-Kanade database [59].

The Cohn-Kanade and FEED [113] databases contain image sequences of subjects displaying Ekman’s six basic emotions. The Cohn-Kanade database contains posed data while the FEED database contains spontaneous expressions. Instead of trying to recognize the six basic emotions, we map the six basic emotions to a 2D affect space and relabel the data. Using the exact same architecture as the one used for smile detection, we compare our results with results produced using Gabor Filters [49].

We also formulate a new descriptor using a combination of Gaussian derivatives and Local Binary Patterns (LBP) [81, 82]. Local Binary Pattern features are powerful yet simple to compute descriptors for image texture analysis. They have been used in a rich variety of applications such as face and gender recognition [123, 79, 98], human action recognition [60], lip reading [129] and moving-object detection [42, 43]. In our
experiments on smile detection and face recognition we calculate Gaussian derivatives of five orders: $I_x$, $I_y$, $I_{xx}$, $I_{yy}$ and $I_{xy}$ and then calculate Local Binary Patterns over the derivative images.

We re-perform our experiments on smile detection using the new descriptor and also investigate the effect of head-pose in smile detection [51]. Apart from accuracy we use the balanced error rate (BER) metric to compare our results with other methods such as Gabor filters and LBP features calculated over Gabor derivative images.

Using the same combination of Gaussian derivatives and LBP features, we conduct experiments for face recognition. We test our approach on the CMU-PIE and the YaleB [37] extended database. Both these databases contain facial images under a variety of lighting conditions and poses. Employing very simple 1-nearest neighbor method for assigning identity to test images we compare our results with the state-of-the-art which includes descriptors which are explicitly developed for face recognition and handle illumination explicitly.

We combine Local Binary Patterns-Three Orthogonal Planes (LBP-TOP) features [128] with Gaussian derivatives by computing LBP-TOP features over Gaussian derivative image sequences. We use the same five derivatives that we use in our experiments on smile detection and face recognition. The new descriptor is tested on the image sequences from the Cohn-Kanade dataset. The dimensionality of the feature vectors is very high in comparison to the number of observations, therefore a linear SVM is used for classification. The recognition accuracy is compared with the accuracy obtained using standard LBP-TOP features.

We use dense trajectory features developed by [116] for capturing macro level movements in the videos of the Audio Visual Emotion Challenge (AVEC) 2014 database while LBP-TOP features are used to capture the dynamic texture of the facial region [52]. Since the videos are of different durations and for the Depression Sub-challenge a complete video has one Beck-II inventory score [9] for depression, the descriptor information has to be encoded to a feature vector of set size. We perform feature encoding over the visual features extracted using dense trajectories and LBP-TOP features and the precomputed audio LLD features using Fisher Vectors [92]. The depression severity is estimated using a linear Support Vector Machine regressor.

1.4 INSIGHTS

Head pose estimation

We find that even though the Pointing04 database contains discrete head poses, if the SVM classifiers are replaced by SVM regressors, we attain
high correlation between the predicted values and the ground truth. These findings indicate that continuous head pose estimation can be performed even when the training data contains purely discrete head poses.

**Smile detection**

Support Vector Machines produce a probability estimate of the prediction made. The SVM classifier trained on the GENKI-4K smile database is cross-tested on the image sequences of "happiness" in the Cohn-Kanade database [59] and it is found that the probability estimates represent smile intensity.

**Gaussian derivatives and Illumination**

It has been discovered that images in the GENKI-4K database with extreme lighting conditions are often misclassified. The combination of LBP features and Gaussian derivatives reduces this problem leading to a higher accuracy over the database. The use of Gaussian derivatives with LBP features also provides more invariance to pose as compared to standard LBP features.

**Minkowski Distance**

In the experiments on face recognition we observe that varying the distance metric in 1-nearest neighbor classification improves the accuracy i.e. instead of using a fixed metric such as $L_1$ or $L_2$, if a generalized metric $L_p$ is used with $p$ chosen through cross validation, we can achieve higher accuracy.

**LBP-TOP features calculated over Gaussian derivatives**

Using a combination of Gaussian derivatives and LBP-TOP features we obtain a slightly better accuracy at expression recognition than the accuracy with standard LBP-TOP features, however the feature vector produced by our method is five times the size of the feature vector obtained using LBP-TOP features. The trade-off for a marginal increase in accuracy is longer prediction time and higher cost of feature computation.

**Early fusion of features in depression estimation**

In our experiments on the AVEC 2014 database, we use an early fusion scheme for combining features and it is found that LBP-TOP features
contribute most to depression estimation. The predicted values using just LBP-TOP features outperform the baseline. If the challenge database had more observations, late fusion might have been feasible which could have changed the contribution of the three descriptors, LBP-TOP, dense trajectories and audio LLD features, to depression estimation.

1.5 Thesis Summary

Chapter 2

Chapter 2 presents theories on emotion and emotion classification along with a discussion on mood and depression. The first theories on emotion presented in this chapter fall under the category of phenomena based theories. These theories focus on the physiological side to emotions and posit that emotional experience is secondary to physiological changes produced when an organism is presented with stimuli. James-Lange’s feedback theory[53, 63] and theories in opposition to his theory are presented. This initial discussion provides a glimpse of the evolution of human understanding of emotions.

The concepts following the discussion on early theories of emotion in chapter 2 are more relevant to the domain of affective computing and have been put to use in later chapters. Paul Ekman, inspired by Darwin’s belief that some emotions may be more primitive and basic than others, conducted a series of studies in the 1970’s which led him to postulate that six emotions [29, 28]: happiness, sadness, disgust, fear, anger and surprise are not only basic and primary but are also expressed universally across different cultures with the same facial display rules.

A large section of psychologists working on emotions do not agree with the concept of some emotions being more basic or primitive than others. They argue that all emotions are produced by the same neurological system and processes and therefore all emotions are on a level playing field. Russell and Mehrabian presented a circumplex model of emotions [90] where most human emotions can be represented in a 2D space with one dimension representing the positivity and negativity of the emotion while the other dimension stands for how activated or sleepy the person is. There is not enough consensus on the third dimension but there are emotions which need a third dimension to differentiate themselves from other emotions [91].

Plutchik’s model of emotions [87], although failed to find favor with the psychological community, draws a parallel between colors and emotions. His ”wheel” of emotions contains eight basic emotions which mix with each other to produce emotional compounds. The cone model proposed by him has a vertical axis that stands for intensity of emotion; the hue
axis in the HSV (hue-saturation-value) color space has an analogous in his model in the form of a similarity/dissimilarity axis i.e. emotions similar to each other are adjacent while the emotions which are the most dissimilar are placed diametrically opposite.

Chapter 2 also contains a section on mood. Mood is an affective state like emotion but is more longer lasting and has a less intense emotional experience than emotions. Mood can influence emotional response and Picard [86] has hypothesized a model to link emotions with mood and temperament. A persistent bad mood can be a sign of depression.

Depression is a serious mental illness and depression intensity is often measured using the Beck-II [9] self-report inventory. The Beck-II inventory is the most popular scale adopted by psychologists to quantify depression severity. However self-reports may not be the best way to appraise depression severity.

Chapter 3

Chapter 3 presents our experiments on static image data for sensing affect. We develop an architecture that is applicable for head pose estimation, smile detection and affect sensing using multiscale Gaussian derivatives for image description, principal component analysis for dimensionality reduction and Support Vector Machines for classification or regression. Our results on head pose estimation are better than the state-of-the-art results reported for global appearance based methods.

Experiments on smile detection are performed on the GENKI-4K database containing images collected from the "wild" unlike other smile databases which contain images collected in controlled environments. The SVM classifier trained on Gaussian derivative features calculated on the GENKI database is shown to perform better than the SVM classifier trained on Gabor features. Our smile detector is tested on the image sequences in the Cohn-Kanade database and it is found that the probability estimates produced by the SVM classifier reflect smile intensity. It is observed that our smile detector fails to detect smile correctly when the face is partially illuminated. Leveraging the illumination-invariant property of Local Binary Patterns, we develop a novel descriptor which is constructed by computing LBP features over Gaussian derivative images.

In case of smile detection with the new descriptor we achieve better results than the ones obtained using LBP features and Gaussian derivative features alone. Additionally we compare our results with Gabor features and LBP features computed over Gabor features. Our method performs better than all the other techniques. It is also noted that our descriptor is the most invariant to head pose.
Cohn-Kanade and FEED [113] databases contain image sequences of subjects experiencing or pretending to experience the six basic Ekman emotions. Mapping these emotions to the 2D affect space, we relabel the databases with affect labels. We employ the same architecture that we developed for head pose estimation and smile detection and compare our results to the results obtained using the technique presented in [23]. Not only do we obtain a higher accuracy but our descriptor takes less time to compute and our feature vector is smaller in size as well.

In the final experiment of chapter 3, the descriptor developed by combining Gaussian derivatives and LBP features is employed for face recognition. Simple 1-nearest neighbor classification with Minkowski distance as the distance metric is used for assigning identity to test images. We outperform the state-of-the-art on the CMU-PIE database and the performance on the YaleB database is at par with the state of the art. It is worthwhile to note that the state-of-the-art includes descriptors developed exclusively for face recognition and handle illumination explicitly while our method, using a very simple classification technique, performs better than other methods which employ much more complex discrimination techniques.

Chapter 4

Chapter 4 contains two set of experiments on affect sensing in videos. The first set of experiments is motivated by our success with the combination of Gaussian derivatives and LBP features. In chapter 4, we propose a novel descriptor created by computing LBP-TOP features over image sequences composed of Gaussian derivative images. It can be considered as an extension of our descriptor from chapter 3 to the temporal domain for capturing dynamic texture. The descriptor is tested on the image sequences in the Cohn-Kanade database. We obtain marginally better results than the ones obtained using the conventional LBP-TOP descriptor but because we use image sequences of Gaussian derivative images of five different orders instead of the original image sequence, our concatenated histogram’s size is five times the size of the histogram generated using standard LBP-TOP features.

The second set of experiments in chapter 4 involve the development of a new technique for depression intensity estimation. The AVEC 2014 database [107] contains videos of different durations of subjects experiencing symptoms of depression and the ground truth for the Depression Sub-challenge (DSC) consists of Beck-II inventory scores which represent the severity of depression. We capture video features using two descriptors: LBP-TOP features to capture micro-movements such as intra-facial movements and the dynamic texture of the facial region and dense
trajectories for capturing macro movements such as head movements. These video features along with the precomputed audio Low level descriptor (LLD) features are encoded using fisher vectors. The encoding is necessary since videos can be of different durations and whole videos are associated with a unique score. The encoded features are passed to a Support Vector Regressor to obtain the predicted depression intensity. This technique developed for estimating depression intensity should also be applicable for other slowly varying affective states.

Chapter 5

Chapter 5 is the final chapter of the thesis. The chapter contains a brief summary of chapters 2-4 followed by a section highlighting the lessons learned from our experiments. A section is dedicated to the presentation of the probable contributions of the present work to the domain of affective computing. The chapter ends with some ideas on how the current work could be extended.
This chapter summarizes different conceptions associated with emotions. A large part of the discussion is dedicated to the different theories and concepts of emotion representation.

Early psychologists studying emotions concentrated on understanding the processes behind emotion elicitation. Focusing on the physiological aspect of emotions, these psychologists believed that the emotional experience occurs after the physiological reaction to external stimulus. Such theories of emotion fall under the category of phenomena based theories [103]. A brief discussion on these theories is provided in section 2.1.

Models of emotion that are more relevant to affective computing and human-computer interaction described in section 2.2 can be broadly divided in two categories: one conception suggests that some emotions are more fundamental as compared to others and this primary set of emotions is common throughout cultures and races. The other category of theories posit that emotions can be represented in a continuous dimensional space. A major point of disagreement between the two conceptions is that proponents of basic (primary) emotions believe that different emotions arise from separate neural systems while the advocates of dimensional theories are of the opinion that a common and interconnected neurophysiological system is responsible for all affective states. The most popular taxonomy for describing human facial movements according to their appearance is discussed in section 2.3.

In sections 2.4 and 2.5 we describe mood as an emotional phenomenon distinct from emotions and plays the role of a background process in emotion elicitation. Apart from the description of depression section 2.5 also provides a brief description of the BDI-II inventory which is the most commonly used scale for quantifying depression in humans.

2.1 FEEDBACK THEORIES ON EMOTION

The first feedback theory on emotion was proposed by the American philosopher and psychologist William James [53] and Carl Lange [63] a Danish physician, almost at the same time in the 19th century. The James-Lange theory posits that emotion is secondary to physiological responses which in turn are caused by a stimulus. In other words the theory postulates that bodily changes are the primary feelings and are not the result but a necessary precursor to felt emotions. The theory was challenged by
Walter Cannon and he proposed an alternative theory known to us as the Cannon-Bard theory [12].

The Cannon-Bard theory is based on Cannon’s understanding of thalamic processes. Cannon and Bard conducted studies on animal physiology. Through these experiments they theorized the role of the brain in the synthesis of physiological responses and emotions. According to their theory, a stimulus causes impulses to travel to the cortex which in turn activates the thalamic processes. Once the thalamic processes are activated they are ready to discharge. When this discharge occurs the physiological response and the emotional experience occur simultaneously but independently. This point in Cannon-Bard’s theory is in direct opposition with the James-Lange theory which states that physiological responses occur before the emotional experience.

A new form of feedback theory titled "Facial Feedback Hypotheses" was proposed by McIntosh in 1996 [74] presenting a new relation between the face and emotions. McIntosh raises four questions in his discussion on the hypotheses:

1. Do Facial Actions Correspond to Emotions?
2. Does Facial Movement Modulate Emotions?
3. Can Facial Action Initiate Emotions?
4. Are Facial Actions Necessary for Emotions?

McIntosh’s theory is at odds with the James-Lang theory. He hypothesized that facial expressions and not visceral changes could be a possible factor in emotion elicitation.

At the moment the scientific community does not agree on whether bodily feedback is sufficient or even necessary in the elicitation processes of emotions. This lack of consensus on feedback theories and their insufficient explanation of emotion elicitation prompts us to investigate alternate theories to conceptualize emotions.

2.2 Basic Emotions vs. Dimensional Theories of Affect

There are two viewpoints on the classification of emotions. The first is that emotions are discrete and fundamentally different constructs while the second viewpoint asserts that emotions can be represented using continuous dimensional models. Lang [11] has shown that self-reports of emotion across subjects are more reliable with respect to dimensions than with respect to discrete emotion categories. There are also ways to map basic emotions to multi-dimensional affect spaces as we will discuss later.
Among the proponents of the discrete emotion theory, there are two distinguishable beliefs underlying the assumption that emotions can be divided into categories of primary (basic) and secondary emotions [84]. From the psychological perspective basic emotions are held to be the basic building blocks of secondary emotions while from the biological viewpoint there is a belief that there could be neurophysiological and anatomical substrates corresponding to the basic emotions.

Picard [86] believes that the representation of emotions as discrete categories or as continuous dimensions is a matter of choice much like light which can be described using wave and particle theories, the choice of theory depends on what is being tried to explained. Similarly colors can be described in terms of their component RGB values or by their names, the choice depends on the application.

2.2.1 Basic Emotions

Charles Darwin in his book "The Expressions of the Emotions in Man and Animals" first postulated the theory that emotions are universal. Darwin believed that emotion had an evolutionary history that could be traced across cultures and species. A number of researchers since then, prominently Ekman and Friesen [29], through their studies found that certain emotions are expressed via the same facial actions across cultures. Ekman’s research challenged the popular belief that emotions expressed by the face are cultural specific and governed by behavioral-learning processes.

Although there are several definitions for basic emotions, the most widely used definition is the one by Ekman [28] who supports the view that not only are the six emotions: joy, sadness, anger, disgust, fear and surprise universal but also basic. Basic or fundamental emotions are defined as biologically primitive, measurable, physiologically distinct and "irreducible constituents of other emotions" [84] as opposed to secondary emotions which can be reduced to a sum of basic emotions. In [54] the authors suggest that a large number of words in the English vocabulary describing emotions can be based on one or more of the five basic emotions: fear, anger, sadness, happiness and disgust.

From the affective computing perspective, the debate on the existence of basic and secondary emotions is not as important as the question whether we are better of representing emotions as discrete categories or otherwise?
2.2.2 Dimensional Theories of Emotions

Several theories exist for defining emotions in a continuous space. Most theorists agree on three dimensions out of which two dimensions are agreed to be "arousal" (excited vs. sleepy) and "valence" (positive vs. negative). Wundt [88] believed that the third dimension should represent strain vs. relaxation while Schlosberg [95] believes that it should be attention vs. rejection.

The most commonly used continuous model for emotions used in the affective computing community is the circumplex model [90] introduced by Russell. This model represents emotions in a two-dimensional circular space with two dimensions: arousal and valence. The vertical axis is arousal while the horizontal axis is valence. The center of the circle represents a neutral valence and a medium level of arousal.

![Circumplex model of emotions](image)

The problem with the circumplex model arises when one is asked to examine the difference between "anger" and "fear" in the 2D circumplex space since both the emotion words would be represented by high unpleasantness and high levels of arousal. A third dimension is required to discriminate between these two emotions which the authors in [91] call dominance. With the use of this third dimension: dominance, anger and fear become distinguishable because anger ranks high in dominance whereas fear is submissive placing it at the other end of the dominant-submissive spectrum.

In the same paper [91] the authors present a table of emotion words along with their corresponding co-ordinates in the 3D PAD (Pleasure, Arousal and Dominance) space. In this table of emotion words, one can find the six basic emotions and their corresponding values in the 3D space.
However using these co-ordinates is not very easy since they are only mean values of a distribution pattern and have a high standard deviation associated with them essentially making each emotional word a multi-variate Gaussian distribution in the PAD space. Also, the authors of [91] have noted that the dimension of dominance has a high correlation with the other two dimensions, raising the question: "Could we find a third dimension that is more orthogonal to the other dimensions?"

In this thesis we only used the polarity of each of the six basic emotions in the circumplex space to re-label the data, which was labeled for basic emotions, with pleasure-arousal labels. For example, the emotional word "Happy" has a mean pleasure value of 0.81 and a mean arousal value of 0.51, we re-label the data, which previously had the happy, with the new labels $P = +$, $A = +$ and similarly for sadness with the new labels $P = -$ , $A = -$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Pleasure</th>
<th>Arousal</th>
<th>Dominance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surprise</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disgust</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Basic Emotions in the PAD space

2.2.3 Plutchik’s theory

Plutchik [87] devised a wheel of emotions with eight basic bipolar emotions in the form of dimensions: joy versus sadness; anger versus fear; trust versus disgust; and surprise versus anticipation. Additionally, he compared his concept of emotions with the three dimensional Hue-Saturation-Value (HSV) color space. Plutchik’s HSV-space like cone shaped model has the vertical axis representing intensity while the similarity/dissimilarity between the emotions is represented by the angle. His model does not have a well defined analogue to the saturation dimension in the color space.
Plutchik postulated that just like colors, emotions can have different intensities and emotions can mix with one another to form new emotions. In the exploded model (figure 4), Plutchik placed the eight basic emotions on the basis of bipolarity and similarity. Two adjacent basic emotions can combine to produce a "dyad" which is analogous to the mixing of primary colors.

Plutchik’s model has not found much favor with the affective computing community. He introduced the idea of basic dimensions, however these dimensions do not correlate with any of the fundamental emotions. Most dimensional theories of affect though, have bipolar dimensions just like the ones proposed by Plutchik.
2.3 FACIAL EXPRESSIONS AND THE FACIAL ACTION CODING SYSTEM

Picard [86] defines sentic modulation to be the physical means by which an emotional state is typically expressed. Facial expressions are one of the most easily controllable of the sentic modulations. Since the face is one of the most visible and least occluded parts of the body, we allot a lot of importance to facial expressions when we communicate. For a machine to be able to communicate like humans, machines would have to be endowed with the ability to recognize facial expressions even though facial expressions may not always represent the underlying emotion that generated it.

It has been shown that automated facial expression recognition is more accurate and robust on videos as compared to still images, this could be because images cannot capture the dynamics of facial motion as compared to videos. Psychological studies have also suggested that facial motion is essential to facial expression recognition since facial expressions are dynamic processes. Bassili in [7] has shown that humans are better at recognizing facial expressions from videos in comparison to images.

Most researchers in the field of automated facial expression analysis have treated emotions as discrete and subscribe to Ekman’s theory of six basic emotions and their link to facial expressions. Ekman and his colleagues developed the Facial Action Coding System (FACS) [29] as a taxonomy of human facial movements by their appearance on the face. FACS is a convenient system to deconstruct almost any anatomically possible facial expression into component muscle movements which are referred to as Action Units (AU’s). An AU can be defined as the relaxation or contraction of one or more muscles. The FACS manual [30] enumerates 46 Action Units, their location on the face, their appearance corresponding to their intensity and Ekman’s interpretation on their possible meaning. Apart from the AU’s the manual also lists Action Descriptors which are, like AU’s based on muscle movements, but their muscular basis has not been as precisely defined as for the AU’s. The relation between the six basic emotions and the AU’s is presented in the table 2 as proposed by Ekman.
Table 2: AU’s associated with basic emotions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emotion</th>
<th>Action Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>6+12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>1+4+15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surprise</td>
<td>1+2+5+26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>1+2+4+5+7+20+26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>4+5+7+23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disgust</td>
<td>9+15+16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A wide variety of image and video databases available today are coded for the presence of AU’s and the six primary emotions. Most of these databases are collected in controlled lab conditions. In most of these databases the facial expressions have been enacted by people without feeling the underlying emotion linked to those expressions. Some recent databases such as the SEMAINE database [76] contain spontaneous expressions and it is hard to put these expressions in any of the discrete categories. Not only are these expressions representative of "non-pure" emotions but it is often difficult to assign an emotion word to them strengthening the case for dimensional theories of affect being used for representing emotions associated with facial expressions. In this thesis we do not claim to recognize affect rather we attempt to infer affect by observing sentic modulations in the form of facial expressions.

2.4 MOOD

Mood is an emotional state which often lasts longer than emotions and has a more diffuse emotional experience. Picard in [86] states that mood can be considered as a process that is always running in the background. The concept of mood differs from emotions in the sense that it is lower in intensity and changes more slowly with time. Mood differs from other psychological aspects such as temperament and disposition in that mood is more transient in nature just as emotions are more transient in nature as compared to mood.

Certain dispositions and temperaments do however influence mood. People with a cheerful disposition are more likely to have a good mood at a given instant as compared to someone who, say, for example, has an anxious disposition. Similarly mood can bias people towards certain emotions. A bad mood could make it easier for negative-valenced emotions to be activated and vice-versa. The circumplex model of emotions with the two dimensions of pleasure and arousal can be used for an effective description of mood. We often think of mood as being positive, negative or
neutral but it can have more nuances for example a good peaceful mood would be low in arousal as compared to a good mood associated with something exciting. A bad mood due to anger would have a high level of arousal; a bad mood due to immense sadness marked by depression would have low arousal.

Picard presents an abstract and simplified function for emotion response and the role mood plays in it:

\[ y = \frac{g}{1 + e^{-(x-x_0)/s}} + y_0 \]  

Figure 5: Shift in emotional response due to mood

In this "sigmoidal" like function, \( x \) is the input, which may represent multiple possible stimuli, internal or external to the human being. The output \( y \) is the emotional response. The parameter \( s \) controls the gradient of the curve which is directly tied to the personality of the individual. The parameter \( g \) refers to the gain of the sigmoid, this parameter controls the increase or decrease in the amplitude of the sigmoid. This parameter could be related to the activation levels of a person, a person with a higher level of activation might be likely to experience a greater magnitude of emotion. The parameter \( x_0 \) is the offset i.e. it shifts the response to the left or right. This parameter is used to represent mood. If the function is used to represent the emotional response to a positive stimuli, a good mood will allow small inputs to trigger a response effectively shifting the sigmoid to the left. Finally the parameter \( y_0 \) shifts the sigmoidal curve up or down. This parameter could be used to model the cognitive expectation.
This representation for emotional response is able to take into account influences such as mood, temperament and cognitive expectation and the phenomenon of activation and saturation of emotional responses. It shows how mood acts as a threshold for activation of an emotional response. For example bad mood with a high degree of arousal could reduce the value of $x_0$ such that even a slightly negative event could activate a response. Similarly a good mood could lead to a higher value of $x_0$ which wouldn’t allow trivial negative events to create any response.

2.5 DEPRESSION

Depression is a serious mental disorder involving persistent bad mood, low self-satisfaction and lack of interest in normal pleasurable activities. Currently depression is diagnosed by a patient’s self report or through a mental status examination (MSE). A MSE entails the observation of a patient’s state of mind by a psychologist to assess aspects such as attitude, mood, affect and speech. An automated system to detect depression can help both the doctors and patients with diagnosis and treatment monitoring. Such a system will also help to overcome the problem of subjective bias associated with self-reports and MSE.

The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI, BDI-1A, BDI-II), designed by Aaron T. Beck [9], is one of the most widely used self-report questionnaires for measuring the severity of depression. It is a 21-item self-administered rating inventory that measures characteristic attitudes and symptoms of depression. Each item is a list of four statements arranged in increasing severity about a particular symptom of depression. Items are rated on a 4 point scale and the final score is obtained by adding up the ratings for all 21 items. Higher the score, higher the severity of depression.

The current version of the questionnaire, BDI-II, is designed for individuals aged 13 and over, and contains all major content dimensions of depression including sadness, suicidal ideation, experiences of crying, concentration difficulties, changes in appetite or weight, anhedonia, pessimism, self-dislike, self-criticalness, feelings of punishment, belief of being a failure, agitation, feeling of guilt, indecisiveness, lack of energy, change in sleep patterns, irritability, feeling of worthlessness, fatigue and change in sex drive.

The BDI-II scales and its predecessors have been translated into a large number of languages and have been extensively used in research and practice. BDI test is perhaps the most used psychological test to date.

In chapter 4 we present a multi-modal system for depression estimation using audiovisual features. The approach is tested on the Audio Visual Emotion Challenge Dataset which contains videos of people with varying levels of depression. The ground truth is in the form of BDI-II scores.
Feedback theories described in this chapter posit that emotions are a product of physiological response to external stimuli. These theories are not further followed in this thesis but they do provide a glimpse into how our understanding of emotions has evolved over time.

Plutchik’s theory in section 2.2.3 describes how basic emotions are analogous to basic colors and just like colors, basic emotions combine to form more complex emotions. Although the theory has not found much favour among the scientific community, most dimensional theories of affect use bipolar dimensions of affect just as in Plutchik’s theory of emotion.

In section 3.3.3 of chapter 3, we describe our experiments on inferring affect and its representation in a two-dimensional space using visual information from images. Ekman’s theory of basic emotions and their facial representations using Action Units is widely used by the affective computing community and we describe our experiments for recognizing these basic emotions using visual information from videos in section 4.3.1 of chapter 4. Section 4.3.2 of chapter 4 contains description of our experiments on depression assessment using audiovisual information from videos.

Questions on automated facial expression recognition faced by the affective computing community:

1. Some people are more expressive than others, would it be possible for future automated facial expression analysis systems to adapt to individuals according to their temperament?

2. Could a system be developed that would be able to recognize the underlying emotion when the facial expressions belie them and would such a system be able to tell the genuine expressions apart from the forced ones.

For the first question, current machine learning techniques allow for a high degree of generalization. It is possible that this property could allow affect recognition systems to adapt to new subjects.

If facial expressions are the only input to an affect recognition system, correct recognition would not be possible if the facial expressions do not correctly represent the underlying emotions. Even a system with multiple sentic modulations as inputs might not be able to recognize the affective state correctly because a computer can only have limited access to the human mind and body. It could perform better than people especially if the computer has access to biosignals such as heart rate and electromyographic signals but it would never have access to all the information that the human who experiences those emotions has.
This chapter presents the techniques developed for head pose estimation, smile detection, affect sensing and face recognition on static images.

Firstly we describe the image description methods used in section 3.1. The machine learning and statistical techniques used are described in section 3.2, while section 3.3 is dedicated to experiments using the methods and techniques described in the preceding two sections. We end this chapter with the summary and insights in section 3.4.

3.1 Image Description Methods for Still Images

We have used two major categories of descriptors for our experiments on static images: steerable filters and local binary patterns. There are advantages associated with each of the description techniques.

3.1.1 Steerable filter methods

The term steerable filters is used to describe a class of filters in which a filter of arbitrary orientation is synthesized as a linear combination of a set of "basis filters" [35]. The process by which the oriented filter is synthesized at any given angle is known as steering.

For our experiments we used two types of steerable filters: Multi-scale Gaussian Derivatives and Gabor Filters. We have compared the performance of these two descriptors for smile detection and head pose estimation. Apart from accuracy we have looked at the calculation and prediction times for these descriptors when a Support Vector Machine is used for classification or regression.

3.1.1.1 Multi-scale Gaussian Derivatives

Gaussian derivatives can efficiently describe the neighborhood appearance of an image for recognition and matching[61]. This can be done by calculating several orders of Gaussian derivatives normalized in scale and orientation at every pixel. The basic Gaussian function is defined as:

$$G(x, y; \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

Here $\sigma$ is the variance or scale and defines the spatial support. The basic Gaussian function in equation 2 measures the intensity of the neighbor-
hood and does not contribute to the identification of the neighborhood and can be omitted. The first order derivatives are of the form:

\[ G_x(x, y; \sigma) = \frac{\partial G(x, y; \sigma)}{\partial x} = -\frac{x}{\sigma^2} G(x, y; \sigma) \]  

(3)

\[ G_y(x, y; \sigma) = \frac{\partial G(x, y; \sigma)}{\partial y} = -\frac{y}{\sigma^2} G(x, y; \sigma) \]  

(4)

First order derivatives give information about the gradient (intensity and direction). The second order derivatives are given by:

\[ G_{xx}(x, y; \sigma) = \frac{\partial^2 G(x, y; \sigma)}{\partial x^2} = \left(\frac{x^2}{\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right) G(x, y; \sigma) \]  

(5)

\[ G_{yy}(x, y; \sigma) = \frac{\partial^2 G(x, y; \sigma)}{\partial y^2} = \left(\frac{y^2}{\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right) G(x, y; \sigma) \]  

(6)

\[ G_{xy}(x, y; \sigma) = \frac{\partial^2 G(x, y; \sigma)}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{xy}{\sigma^4} G(x, y; \sigma) \]  

(7)

Second order derivatives provide us with information about image features such as bars, blobs and corners. Higher order derivatives are only useful if the second order derivatives are strong and they are also sensitive to high spatial-frequency noise such as sampling noise.

The inverse-tangent of the ratio of first order derivatives at any image point can be used to determine the direction of maximum gradient.

\[ \theta_{max} = \arctan\left(\frac{G_y(x, y; \sigma)}{G_x(x, y; \sigma)}\right) \]  

(8)

It has been shown that Gaussian derivatives are steerable [35] i.e. the filter response can be calculated at any arbitrary orientation and by using appropriate trigonometric ratios the Gaussian derivatives can be rotated in the desired direction.

\[ G_\theta = G_x \cos(\theta) + G_y \sin(\theta) \]  

(9)

Normalizing Gaussian derivatives in scale is not a trivial task. Several methods have come up in the past addressing this problem. It was shown by Crowley in [20] that Gaussian derivatives be calculated across scales to obtain scale invariant features and then Lowe in [69] adopted Crowley’s method to define the intrinsic scale at a point \((x, y)\) as the value of
the scale parameter at which the Laplacian provides a local maximum. The computational cost of directly searching the scale axis for this characteristic scale can be relatively expensive. A cost-effective method for computing Multi-scale Gaussian derivatives is the Half Octave Gaussian Pyramid described in detail in [22] and an integer coefficient version of the same can constructed using repeated convolutions of the binomial kernel (1, 2, 1) [21]. The algorithm involves repeated convolutions with a Gaussian kernel in a cascaded configuration. An essential feature of this pyramid is it’s invariance to impulse response provided by keeping the sampling rate equal to the Gaussian support at each level of the pyramid.

A key feature of this algorithm is that for different levels of the pyramid the difference of adjacent image pixels in the row and column directions are equivalent to convolution with Gaussian derivatives.

The pyramid is very easy to access, derivative values can be determined for every image position by using bilinear interpolation and derivatives between scale values can be computed using quadratic interpolation between adjacent levels of the pyramid.

The following sets of equations explain how different order of derivatives can be calculated using difference of adjacent image pixels in the row and column directions:

\[
\frac{\partial p(x, y, k)}{\partial x} = p \ast G_x(x, y; 2^k \sigma_0) \approx p(x + 1, y, k) - p(x - 1, y, k) \quad (10)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial p(x, y, k)}{\partial y} = p \ast G_y(x, y; 2^k \sigma_0) \approx p(x, y + 1, k) - p(x, y - 1, k) \quad (11)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 p(x, y, k)}{\partial x^2} = p \ast G_{xx}(x, y; 2^k \sigma_0)
\approx p(x + 1, y, k) - 2p(x, y, k) + p(x - 1, y, k) \quad (12)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 p(x, y, k)}{\partial y^2} = p \ast G_{yy}(x, y; 2^k \sigma_0)
\approx p(x, y + 1, k) - 2p(x, y, k) + p(x, y - 1, k) \quad (13)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 p(x, y, k)}{\partial x \partial y} = p \ast G_{xy}(x, y; 2^k \sigma_0)
\approx p(x + 1, y + 1, k) - p(x + 1, y - 1, k) - p(x - 1, y + 1, k) + p(x - 1, y - 1, k) \quad (14)
\]

In the above equations at the \(k_{th}\) level of the pyramid the support is defined by \(\sigma_k = 2^k \sigma_0\) and the image at the same level is defined by \(p(x, y, k)\).
3.1.1.2 Gabor Filters

Gabor filters are a category of bandpass filters which can be used for feature extraction and image description. The $G_{\text{abor}}(x, y)$ function can be interpreted as a Gaussian filter modulated by an oriented complex sinusoid:

$$G_{\text{abor}}(x, y) = s(x, y)G(x, y)$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $s(x, y)$ is a complex sinusoid, known as the carrier, and $G(x, y)$ is a 2-D Gaussian function [77], known as the Gaussian envelope. The complex sinusoid shifts the Gaussian envelope in the frequency domain resulting in a band-pass filter. Such filters are used for image processing to enhance image structures at specific orientations and scales.

The $G_{\text{abor}}(x, y)$ function is characterized by three parameters: a) the $\sigma$ and b) the orientation $\theta$ of the Gaussian kernel $G(x, y)$ and c) the frequency $f$ of the complex sinusoid $s(x, y)$. The $\sigma$ sets the resolution of the filter, the $\theta$ the orientation of the filter and $f$ the length of the edges that will be captured. The size of $\sigma$, so consequently the size of $G(x, y)$, depend on the size of $f$.

The response of the real and imaginary components can be combined with the $L_2$-norm to give a Gabor Energy filters (GEF). Such filters respond to an edge or a corner with the local maxima centered exactly at the edge or the corner. While Gabor wavelets and Gabor Energy functions are now widely known to be effective for facial image analysis, their implementation cost has proven to be a barrier for real time applications on mobile computing platforms. Also, the belief that Gabor filters are a convenient way to compute localised spatial frequency filters has gradually transformed into an widely repeated claim that the Gabor function is the exact function computed in the mammalian visual cortex [26], leading the community to ignore other less expensive mechanisms for computing localised band-pass spatial frequency filters.

3.1.2 Local Binary Patterns

Local binary patterns (LBP) operator is a simple yet powerful descriptor for texture analysis [81]. It requires limited computational power and is ideal for real-time applications. Its robustness to monotonic gray-scale changes make it suitable for applications such as facial image analysis where variations in illumination can have major effects on appearance.

Many variations over the original LBP operator have been proposed. One extension allows LBP operator to use neighborhoods of different sizes [82]. Another modification introduced in [82] is the Uniform LBP
which can be used to reduce the length of the feature vector by using a smaller number of bins and is also invariant to rotation.

A general algorithm for computing LBP features over a facial image is as follows:

*Step 1*: Divide the image into blocks of pixels.

*Step 2*: For each pixel in a block, compare the pixel value to each of its neighbors, the neighborhood size is controllable. The comparison is performed either clockwise or counter-clockwise.

*Step 3*: If the pixel value of the neighbor is greater than the value of the center pixel a "1" is generated otherwise a "0" is generated. For a 3 X 3 neighborhood, an eight bit pattern will be generated, this pattern can be converted to a decimal value for convenience.

*Step 4*: A histogram is calculated for the block which contains the frequency of occurrence of the patterns generated for each pixel. This histogram may or may not be normalized.

*Step 5*: Histograms for all the blocks in the image are concatenated to obtain the final descriptor for the image.

Figure 6: Computing LBP response from a pixel’s local neighbourhood.

Figure 7: LBP Histogram computation
3.2 MACHINE LEARNING METHODS

This section provides a brief description of the machine learning methods employed in our experiments. In our experiments Principal Component Analysis was used for dimensionality reduction which is discussed next. For classification and regression Support Vector Machines were widely used, K-Nearest Neighbor classification is used for our experiments on face recognition.

3.2.1 Dimensionality reduction and Principal Component Analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) is often used to omit correlated dimensions by transforming the original dimensions into new dimensions which are a linear sum of the original dimensions but are linearly uncorrelated. Then these new dimensions are ranked according to the variance i.e. the dimension which accounts for the most variability in the data gets the first rank and so on [55].

PCA is performed by eigenvalue decomposition of the data correlation matrix after normalizing the data for each dimension. PCA provides scores and loadings. The scores are the transformed values corresponding to each data point and loadings are the coefficients the original variable should be multiplied with to get the score.

Supposing that the data is in the form of a $m \times n$ matrix $X$ where $m$ is the number of observations and $n$ is their dimensionality. The mean is calculated along each of the $n$ dimensions ($i=1...n$) producing a row vector of means $\mu$.

$$\mu[i] = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} X[j, i]$$ (16)

The means are subtracted from every row of the observation matrix $X$ to produce a matrix $X_o$. The covariance matrix $C$ is computed using the equation:

$$C = \frac{1}{m-1} X_o^T \ast X_o$$ (17)

Finding the eigenvectors of the covariance matrix $C$, they are arranged in ascending order of corresponding eigen values. The first $K$ eigenvectors form the set of basis vectors over which the original observations are projected. The value $K$ is usually chosen such that the variance in the projected data is not below a certain fraction of the variance of the original data.
3.2 Machine Learning Methods

3.2.2 Kernel Methods and the Support Vector Machine

Support Vector Machines (SVM) belong to a family of generalized linear classifiers and can be interpreted as an extension of the perceptron [109]. The basic idea behind the SVM algorithm is the search for an optimal hyperplane for separating points belonging to different classes, the optimal hyperplane is one which has the maximum distance from the data points. If the points are not linearly separable then the points are projected to a new space using a kernel function. An important feature of kernel functions and kernel space is that the inner product between two vectors in a higher dimensional space can be computed without explicitly projecting the the vectors in the higher dimensional space.

![Figure 8: SVM classifying linearly separable data](image)

The most popular kernel used with SVMs is the radial basis kernel, represented by the following equation:

$$K(x_i, x_j) = e^{-\frac{||x_i - x_j||^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

The SVM employed in our experiments was a soft margin SVM, soft margin SVMs are used when the classes are not separable even after transforming the data to a higher dimension. The condition for the optimal hyper-plane can be relaxed by including an extra term $\xi$ [19]:

$$y_i(X_i^TW + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, (i = 1, ..., m)$$

(19)
For minimum error, $\xi_i$ should be minimized as well as $\|W\|$, and the objective function becomes:

$$
\min W^T W + C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i^k \\
\text{subject to } y_i(\chi_i^T W + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \text{ and } \xi_i \geq 0; (i = 1, ..., m)
$$

(20)

Here $C$ is a regularization parameter that controls the trade-off between maximizing the margin and minimizing the training error. $1/\gamma$ or $\sigma$ is the width of the radial basis kernel. The optimum $C$ and $\gamma$ are chosen through exhaustive grid search over reasonable limits.

Figure 9: SVM with data mapped to a higher dimensional space using kernel

### 3.2.3 K-Nearest Neighbors method

K-Nearest Neighbor method (k-NN) is a non-parametric technique for clustering that can be used for classification and regression [10]. In the case of classification, the output is a class label. An instance is classified using a majority vote over it’s neighborhood, the instance being assigned the label of the majority class in the $k$ nearest neighbors ($k$ is a positive user defined integer, typically small). If $k = 1$, then the instance is simply assigned the label of the nearest neighbor.

Most often $L_1$ and $L_2$ metrics are used for distance measures but in section 3.3.4.2 we have shown that the generalized $L_p$ metric also known as the Minkowski distance, where $p$ may or may not be a integer, helps us achieve better face recognition accuracy than the accuracy obtained with $L_1$ or $L_2$ metrics.
3.3 EXPERIMENTS

3.3.1 Head Pose Estimation

We explore the use of Multi-scale Gaussian derivatives combined with Principal Component Analysis and Support Vector Machines for Head Pose Estimation. The approach is evaluated on the Pointing04 [39] and CMU-PIE [102] data sets.

3.3.1.1 Head Pose Estimation and the Pointing04 data set

The problem of head pose estimation involves inferring the orientation of the head from static images or video. It is assumed that the human head has three degrees of freedom, however we estimate only two degrees of freedom namely pan and tilt and the problem is treated as a multi-class classification problem.

The problem of head pose estimation has been approached by the computer vision community in broadly two ways: keypoint-tracking based approaches and appearance based approaches. In keypoint-based approaches facial fiducial points such as eyes, eyebrows, nose, lips etc. have to be located and tracked and then the pose is estimated according to the relative position of these key points [36, 45, 117]. In holistic or appearance based approaches an image descriptor is used to represent the image and a feature vector is assembled using the descriptor values. Then a suitable machine learning technique is used for discrimination between different poses [80, 104].

Stiefelhagen in [104] used horizontal and vertical image derivatives of the first order and used neural networks for discrimination between different poses and applied this approach on the Pointing04 data set. A comprehensive survey on head pose estimation methods [78] shows that Stiefelhagen achieved the best results so far on the Pointing04 data set.

The approach that we present in the following sections was also tested on the Pointing04 data set [46]. This data was collected by Gourier et al. [39] at INRIA Grenoble Research Center where 15 people were asked to gaze successively at 93 markers that cover a half-sphere in front of the person. The head pose database consists of 15 sets of images. Each set contains of 2 series of 93 images of the same person at different poses. There are 15 people in the database, wearing glasses or not and having various skin colors. The pose, or head orientation is determined by 2 angles (pan, tilt), which vary from -90 degrees to +90 degrees.

To solve the problem of head pose estimation by an appearance based method, one needs to select an appropriate descriptor to extract features from the image and then a pattern recognition algorithm is required to discriminate between the different poses. We employed Multi-scale Gaus-
sian Derivatives (MGD) and Support Vector Machines (SVM) for head pose estimation on the Pointing04 dataset [39] and show that our choice of descriptor gives better results than those obtained so far.

![Pan Angle](image1.png)

Figure 10: A small sequence from the Pointing04 dataset.

![Tilt Angle](image2.png)

Figure 11: How the Pointing04 database was collected.

We use two support vector machines for discriminating between different poses; one is trained for pan and the other for tilt.

3.3.1.2 Experimental Procedure and Results

We used 80 percent of the data for training, 10 percent for validation and the rest for testing. Face detection was then performed on the images in the dataset using the OpenCV face detector [111]. Following that a Half-octave Gaussian pyramid was constructed over a normalized imagette of the face which is of the size 24 X 36 pixels. The feature vector is generated
using first and second order Gaussian derivatives obtained from the pyramid. SVMs were employed for both classification and regression. Cross-validation was used to choose the best hyperparameters for the SVMs.

Figure 12: (a) Graph of Correlation Coeff. vs. C-parameter and $1/\gamma$ for pan and (b) Graph of Correlation Coeff. vs. C-parameter at $1/\gamma = 11$ for pan

Figure 13: (a) Graph of Correlation Coeff. vs. C-parameter and $1/\gamma$ for tilt and (b) Graph of Correlation Coeff. vs. C-parameter at $1/\gamma = 6$ for tilt

The data was split several times and the accuracy calculated for every split and finally the average was calculated. The results of the Mean absolute error (MEA) are shown in the table 3 and they are better than the state of the art reported in [78].

Our mean absolute errors of 6.9, 8.0 degrees for pan and tilt respectively are much lower than the best error achieved so far by Stiefelhagen [104] which was 9.5, 9.7 degrees for pan and tilt respectively.
The accuracy achieved for the discrete poses: 64.51, 62.72 for pan is much higher than the accuracy reported by Stiefelhagen: 52, 66.3. Our accuracy for tilt is less than the accuracy achieved in [104] because the authors of that paper considered only 7 out of the 9 poses for tilt in the Pointing04 data set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEA</th>
<th>pan</th>
<th>tilt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>our approach</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>state-of-the-art</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Our MEA as compared with the state-of-the-art

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accuracy%</th>
<th>pan</th>
<th>tilt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>our approach</td>
<td>64.51</td>
<td>62.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>state-of-the-art</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>66.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Our accuracy over discrete poses as compared with the state-of-the-art

For continuous poses the correlation coefficients for pan and tilt were found to be 0.95, 0.87 for pan and tilt respectively showing that the proposed system can work well even for continuous poses even though it is trained on a dataset containing only discrete poses. Table 5 and 6 show the confusion matrices for pan and tilt respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>-90</th>
<th>-75</th>
<th>-60</th>
<th>-45</th>
<th>-30</th>
<th>-15</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-90</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Confusion Matrix for Pan, true values are in the first column, predicted values in the first row
Table 6: Confusion Matrix for Tilt, true values are in the first column, predicted values in the first row

Table 7 shows the prediction times with and without using PCA and we can see that the PCA speeds up the prediction time by a factor of around 200.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prediction time(sec)</th>
<th>SVM with PCA</th>
<th>SVM without PCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>20.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Comparison of prediction time with and without using PCA

After training the SVM’s on the Pointing$04$ dataset, they were tested on the CMU-PIE database [102]. Although the CMU-PIE database is not labeled for pose and hence does not let us perform a mathematical analysis, we could see that the predicted values of our SVM’s were in agreement with the general orientation of the head in the database.

Two representative images from the PIE dataset are given below along with the results obtained from our SVM’s.
3.3.2 Smile Detection

Smiles, like other facial expressions, play an important role in human-human interaction. In terms of affect measurements, smiles indicate positive valence which may consequently imply happiness, appreciation or satisfaction. Since smiles can be indicative of a positive mental state, smile detection has various applications ranging from patient monitoring to product rating. We propose two methods for smile detection based on global image appearance. The first experiment on smile detection uses Multi-scale Gaussian derivative features while the second experiment uses a combination of Multi-scale Gaussian derivatives and Local Binary Patterns.

3.3.2.1 Related Work

Even though a lot of research has been done on automated facial expression analysis, very few published works have explicitly dealt with smile detection. In [73] McDuff et al. used smile intensity to predict how much a viewer likes a particular video. Smile detection is an integral part of emotional state estimation in humans. It also has a variety of applications in consumer surveys, gaming and user interfaces.

A major issue in facial expression analysis is that most of the research is validated on posed databases. In [108] authors have argued that spontaneous expressions are different from posed expressions in both appearance and timing therefore systems developed for recognizing posed expressions might not work well on real world expressions. Spontaneous expressions are much more subtle and complex than posed expressions.

Most smile detection systems in the past have been trained on these posed databases. Deniz et al. in [27] presented a smile detection system based on finding keypoints on the face and tested their method on the
DaFex [8] and JAFFE [70] databases which both contain posed smiles. Others in [101, 47, 62] have all experimented on posed databases.

The GENKI-4K database presented by Whitehill and others in [118] contains 4000 images with a wide range of subjects, facial appearance, illumination, geographical locations, imaging conditions and camera models. The images are annotated for smile content (1 = smile, 0 = non-smile). The difference between this database and other facial expression databases is that this database was compiled from images on the internet rather than being captured in a controlled environment.

Shan in [99] presented a comprehensive study on smile detection and proposed his own method which was faster than the state-of-the-art but not more accurate than Gabor filters combined with SVM’s.

Figure 16: Examples of (top two rows) real-life smile faces and (bottom two rows) nonsmile faces, from the GENKI-4K database.
3.3.2.2 Experiments using Multi-scale Gaussian Derivatives

We used 3577 out of the 4000 images in the GENKI-4K dataset removing ambiguous cases and images with serious illumination problems like partial lighting of the face, 60 percent of the data for training and the rest for testing.

Face detection was then performed on these images using the OpenCV face detector [111]. Following that a Half-octave Gaussian pyramid was constructed over a normalized imagette of the face which is of the size 64 X 64 pixels, this size of 64 X 64 pixels for the normalized region was chosen after extensive experimentation where normalized images of 64 X 64 pixels gave better results at smile detection as compared to other sizes. The imagette was divided into cells of 4 X 4 pixels and the feature vector contained the mean and standard deviation of the descriptor values (first and second order Gaussian derivatives obtained from the pyramid) for each cell of 4 X 4 pixels.

Using PCA the dimensionality of the feature vector was reduced to 61 from the original dimensionality of 3920. A SVM was used for classification, the optimum hyperparameters of which were found using cross validation.

![Figure 17: Imagette divided into cells of 4 X 4 pixels](image)

![Figure 18: Graph of classification accuracy vs. C-parameter and 1/γ and (b) Graph of accuracy vs. C-parameter at 1/γ = 81](image)
The data was split several times and the accuracy calculated for every split and finally the average was calculated. We achieved a classification accuracy of 92.97% using PCA for dimensionality reduction. Our results are superior to the state of the art Gabor Energy Filters as shown in the table 8. The following settings were used for the Gabor filter: the sinusoidal spatial frequency used three values \((\pi/2, \pi/4, \pi/8)\) while the orientation used six values \((k\pi/6, k \in \{0...5\})\). So effectively we have a filter bank with \(3 \times 6 = 18\) filters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GEF</th>
<th>MGD with PCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy%</td>
<td>90.78</td>
<td>92.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Our accuracy using Multi-scale Gaussian Derivatives (MGD) compared with the accuracy obtained using Gabor Energy Filters (GEF)

Figure 19 shows the ROC for the SVM trained on the GENKI-4k dataset.

![ROC curve for our smile detector](image)

Figure 19: ROC curve for our smile detector

Table 9 shows the prediction times with and without using PCA, as we can observe the use of PCA speeds up the prediction time by a factor of over 60.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SVM with PCA</th>
<th>SVM without PCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prediction time(sec)</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>17.133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Comparison of prediction time with and without using PCA
The SVM trained over the GENKI-4K database is used for smile detection on images sequences of the Cohn-Kanade database. It was found that the probability estimates of smile detection for the frames in the image sequence are representative of the smile intensity as seen in image 20.

![Figure 20: Smile intensity using probability estimates](image)

During our experiments on smile detection we discovered that Gaussian derivatives are not invariant to extreme lighting variations. Some examples of these extreme illumination issues from the GENKI-4K database are shown in figure 22. The next section describes our proposed solution to these illumination problems using a combination of Gaussian derivatives and LBP. The classification accuracy obtained in the experiments in this section and the next cannot be compared because of different training set vs. testing set split ratios.

![Figure 22: Examples from the GENKI-4K database illustrating the illumination problems](image)
3.3.2.3 Experiments with Local Binary Patterns Calculated Over Gaussian Derivatives

In order to combine Gaussian derivatives with LBP, Gaussian derivative images were produced from the normalized input images by using the Half-octave Gaussian pyramid [21] which allows for the fast calculation of Gaussian derivatives.

First and second order derivative images of the following order were used: \( I_x, I_y, I_{xx}, I_{yy}, I_{xy} \) from the base of the pyramid (\( \sigma = 1 \)). Next these derivative images were divided into grids of 4 X 4 local regions with 43.75% overlapping areas from which uniform LBP features were calculated. The local histograms were concatenated to obtain the final feature vector. Since we had 5 derivative images and 16 grids with each grid producing a uniform LBP histogram, we obtained a feature vector of \( 5 \times 16 \times 59 = 4720 \) dimensions.

Unlike the method introduced by the authors of [89], we did not use tensor mathematics and the number of Gaussian features used by us is much lower than the number used in [89]. We followed the hill-climbing algorithm and started adding Gaussian derivatives starting from the base of the pyramid and stopped there because adding derivatives from the level above did not lead to an improvement in accuracy. On the other hand in [89] the authors used 6 levels of the pyramid.

The grid size of 4 X 4 and 43.75% of overlap area was chosen by means of cross-validation. Images were normalized to 66 X 66 pixels, this size was also chosen through cross-validation.

Figure 23: Creating the features: a) original image, b) Gaussian derivative images, and c) concatenation of resulting histograms after applying LBP.
We performed our experiments again on the GENKI-4K dataset using 80 percent of the images for training, 10 percent for cross-validation and the remaining 10 percent for testing. We used SVMs with a radial basis kernel to compare the accuracies obtained by different descriptors. The images were not aligned using facial features such as eyes and the location of the nose. A ten fold cross validation procedure was adopted to obtain the final results. Apart from measuring the accuracy we also measured the Balanced Error Rate (BER).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Truth</th>
<th>Prediction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Class-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class-1</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class+1</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10: Confusion matrix for 2 class classification

The balanced error rate is the average of the errors on each class: 
\[ BER = 0.5 \times \left( \frac{b}{a + b} + \frac{c}{c + d} \right) \]. Where a, b, c, d stand for: true negatives, false positives, false negatives and true positives respectively.

![Figure 24: Accuracy(%) of different descriptors over the GENKI-4K database](image)

The proposed technique achieved the highest accuracy of 92.3602% with the lowest BER of 0.0702.
3.3 EXPERIMENTS

Figure 25: BER of different descriptors over the GENKI-4K database

It is surprising to see that LBP calculated over Gabor features actually perform worse than both Gabor features and LBP alone. This could be because of the curse of dimensionality since we are using Support Vector Machines with a radial basis kernel and the feature vector of LBP calculated over Gabor features has a dimensionality of nearly 17000 whereas the number of training instances is less than 3600.

Figure 26: Proportion of images with different pose

We divided the GENKI-4K database into 3 subsets according to the head pose (only yaw). The proportion of images that fall into the three sets is shown in figure 26.
As expected all the three techniques produce the best results for head pose close to frontal i.e. < 15 degrees, slightly lower for 15 to 30 degrees and lowest for yaw above 30 degrees except for Gaussian derivatives which perform slightly better at yaw above 30 degrees than they perform at yaw between 15 and 30 degrees. The most interesting aspect of the results is that Gaussian derivatives and LBP features calculated over Gaussian features are less susceptible to pose variation than LBP alone explaining why our method performs better than traditional LBP.

3.3.3 Affect Sensing

The appearance based technique we developed for head pose estimation and smile detection is extended to affect sensing. The emotional state inferred from facial expressions is represented in the affect space.

3.3.3.1 Related Work

Facial expressions are a mirror to human emotions and an important component of human to human interaction. Human computer interaction requires the same ability to read emotions from facial expressions.

In [28] Ekman presented the Facial Action Coding System (FACS), a taxonomy to describe facial expressions in terms of individual muscle movements which we have described in detail in section 2.3. FACS based approaches have been adopted in a variety of vision systems such as the Computer Expression Recognition Toolbox (CERT) [68]. Such systems are trained to estimate the Action Unit (AU) intensities which can then be used to assign one of the six basic emotion labels to that image or frame. The problem arises when the expression in the image is not associated with any of the six basic emotions.
An alternative to such a structured approach is to represent the underlying emotions in a multidimensional emotion space. Shin in [100] used component analysis techniques to recognize emotions and map them to the affect space. Another method was presented by Dahmane and Meunier in [23]. The authors used Gabor wavelets and Support Vector Machines on the Semaine database [76] and they use 4 dimensions (Activation, Expectation, Power and Valence) to represent the emotions that underlie the facial expressions.

In [91] the authors argue that three dimensions are enough to represent any emotion. In our experiments we use the affect space model developed by Russell and Mehrabian and compare our results for Pleasure and Arousal with the results from the technique presented in [23].

Two common ways to describe image features are: appearance based methods and geometric feature based methods. The latter involves detection and tracking of facial keypoints such as the lip corners, nostrils and eyes. This detection and tracking is done with the help of computationally expensive vision techniques and are not very robust.

The approach we present here does not involve identification of any landmarks on the face and just like the appearance based technique discussed in [23], the image filters are applied to the entire face to obtain the feature vector.

3.3.3.2 Datasets Used in Experimentation

Our approach was tested on the Cohn-Kanade [59] and FEED [113] databases. The FEED database from the Technical University of Munich is an image database containing facial images of subjects experiencing the six basic emotions as defined by Ekman [28]. The database has been generated as part of the European Union project FG-NET (Face and Gesture Recognition Research Network).

One of the major problems in working with facial expression databases is that most of the databases contain posed expressions. Thus one of the underlying motivations for the FEED database was to let the observed people react as natural as possible. The emotions were elicited by playing video clips and recording the reactions of the subjects. The recordings were made using a camera mounted on top of the computer screen used to display the video clips.

The database contains image sequences from 18 subjects. Each emotion is elicited three times from every subject. The images were acquired using a Sony XC-999P camera equipped with a 8mm COSMICAR 1:1.4 television lens. A BTTV 878 frame-grabber card was used to grab the images with a size of 640x480 pixels, a color depth of 24 bits and a frame-rate of 25 frames per second. However the images were down-sampled to
320X240 pixels and the color depth reduced to 8 bits before the database was uploaded.

Figure 28: Example Images from the FEED dataset

The Cohn-Kanade (CK) database contains 486 sequences from 97 subjects. A sequence begins with a neutral expression progressing to a peak expression. The peak expression for each sequence is FACS coded and given a basic emotion label. The emotion label refers to what expression was requested rather than what may actually have been performed.

The subjects were 18-30 years old. Sixty-five percent of which were female; 15 percent were African-American and three percent Asian or Hispanic. The subject sat on a chair while two Panasonic WV3230 cameras, each connected to a Panasonic S-VHS AG-7500 video recorder with a Horita synchronized time-code generator recorded the facial expressions. One camera captured the frontal pose of the subject while the other was located at an angle of thirty degrees from the front. Only the image data from the frontal camera is available in the database.

Subjects were instructed by an experimenter to perform a series of facial displays each beginning with a neutral or near neutral face. Six were based on descriptions of prototypical emotions (i.e., joy, surprise, anger, fear, disgust, and sadness). These six tasks were annotated by certified FACS coders. Seventeen percent of the data was comparison annotated. Inter-observer agreement was quantified with kappa coefficient, which is the proportion of agreement above what would be expected to occur by
chance. The mean kappa for inter-observer agreement was 0.86. Image sequences from neutral to target display were digitized into 640 by 480 or 490 pixel arrays with 8-bit precision for grayscale values.

![Figure 29: Example Images from the CK database](image)

We mapped the basic emotions to the Pleasure-Arousal space as shown in table 11 in accordance with the Pleasure-Arousal values provided by Mehrabian [91]. Instead of using numerical values we assigned class labels (+P -P, +A -A) to perform binary classification.

![Figure 30: Basic emotions in the affect space](image)
Table 11: Labels for the 6 basic emotions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emotion</th>
<th>P Label</th>
<th>A Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joy</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surprise</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disgust</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Cohn-Kanade and FEED databases were re-annotated with these class labels. The Cohn-Kanade database was used for training and validation while the FEED database was used for testing.

3.3.3.3 Experiments and Results

Employing the same procedure for feature vector generation and dimensionality reduction as described in 3.3.2.2 we used SVMs for classification.

Figure 31: (a) Graph of classification accuracy vs. C-parameter and $1/\gamma$ for pleasure and (b) Graph of accuracy vs. C-parameter at $1/\gamma = 190$ for pleasure
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Figure 32: (a) Graph of classification accuracy vs. C-parameter and \(1/\gamma\) for arousal and (b) Graph of accuracy vs. C-parameter at \(1/\gamma = 280\) for arousal.

Figure 33 reiterates the process.

Figure 33: Schematic of our approach

We divided the Cohn-Kanade database into two, 70 percent of the images were used for training and the rest for validation. The database was split several times and the accuracy is calculated for every split and the average is calculated. The ROC for the two SVM’s used are shown in the figures below. The first ROC is for the SVM trained for detecting Pleasure and the second one for Arousal.

Figure 34: ROC of the classifier for Pleasure
The accuracy of our approach over the Cohn-Kanade set is 85.32, 82.06 percent for pleasure and arousal respectively. On the other hand the approach developed by Dahmane and Meunier [23] using Gabor filters with three spatial frequencies and six orientations i.e. a total of eighteen filters as discussed in section 3.3.2.2, achieves an accuracy of only 71.80, 74.94 percent for pleasure and arousal respectively.

We also see that it takes much less time to compute Gaussian derivatives using the Half-octave pyramid as compared to Gabor features because of the integer coefficient Half-Octave Pyramid used. Table 12 shows the time to calculate the features for the complete Cohn-Kanade database using the two techniques on the same machine(Intel Xeon Quad-Core 3GHz, 4GB RAM).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multi-scale Gaussian Derivatives</th>
<th>Gabor Energy Filters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calculation Time (sec)</td>
<td>5.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: Comparison of time required for calculating the two types of features

PCA reduces the prediction time by a factor of over 60, table 13 compares the prediction time with and without using PCA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SVM with PCA</th>
<th>SVM without PCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prediction time (sec)</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13: Comparison of prediction time with and without using PCA

Table 14 shows the prediction time of our technique versus the state of the art because our feature vector is much smaller.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multi-scale Gaussian Derivatives</th>
<th>Gabor Energy Filters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prediction Time (sec)</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14: Comparison of prediction time

Our approach is then tested on the FEED database and the accuracy for Pleasure-Displeasure is 70.73% while it is 70.08% for Arousal-Nonarousal.

![Accuracy](image)

Figure 37: Results on the FEED database
3.3.4  *Face Recognition*

The descriptor combination devised in 3.3.2.3 was employed for face recognition on the CMU-PIE [102] and YaleB [37] data sets. The results were produced using simple 1-Nearest Neighbor method. The experiments on face recognition discussed in the following sections are an illustration of the versatility of the descriptor developed for smile detection using Gaussian features and Local Binary Patterns.

3.3.4.1  *Related Work*

Face recognition involves the identification of individuals from an image or video frame. A major challenge in face recognition is to make the system invariant to illumination since the appearance of the face can change dramatically with changes in lighting conditions. Other problems include aging, occlusions, pose and facial expressions.

People have experimented with feature based techniques for face recognition using methods such as elastic graphs in [121] where the authors generated a graph using fiducial points labeled with Gabor filter responses and in [3] where Gabor filters were replaced by Histogram of Oriented Gradient features [24]. Holistic approaches are more popular involving descriptor calculation over the entire image rather than on local features of the face.

Li and Yin used the wavelet transform in conjunction with neural networks for face recognition[65]. In [2] the authors used the versatile descriptor LBP for face recognition over the FERET dataset. Ruiz-Hernandez et al. [89] combined LBP with Gaussian features maps and then generated a tensor which was then reduced in dimensions using Multilinear Principal Component Analysis and finally recognition was done with a Kernel Discriminative Common Vector method.

In [122] the authors dealt with the problem of illumination by dealing with the effects of illumination on large scale and small scale features explicitly, they achieve the best results by combining their illumination normalization technique with quotient images[116]. Meanwhile in [130] an illumination invariant descriptor was presented for face recognition which also claims to solve the bottleneck associated with heterogeneous lighting.

3.3.4.2  *Experiments with Face Recognition*

Using the protocol we used for smile detection described in 3.3.2.3. We tested our method on the CMU-PIE and the extended YaleB databases. The performance of our method was compared to the state of the art methods such as SQI [115], LTV [15], WF [114], Gradient Face [127], LGH
for both the databases and with the method used by Ruiz-Hernandez et al. in [89] on the YaleB database. Additionally we compared our performance to Gabor filters, LBP, Gaussian derivatives and LBP calculated over Gabor images for the CMU-PIE dataset.

We used a subset of the original CMU-PIE database, 1428 frontal images from 68 people under 21 variations of illumination conditions were selected. No feature alignment method was used.

The results are presented in figure 39. Only one image per individual is used as the reference image. All the 21 images taken under different lighting conditions are chosen as the reference images one at a time. We used the L1 distance as the similarity measure. The reference image closest to the test image decides the identity of the person in the test image.

Our approach achieved the highest accuracy of 100% with the image with frontal lighting. Only the performance of LBP calculated over Gabor
images comes close to our method. Gaussian derivatives and LBP alone
do not achieve very high recognition rates making the case for our method
which combines the two.

The maximum and average recognition rates for the different descrip-
tors are given in table 15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SQI</th>
<th>LTV</th>
<th>WF</th>
<th>GF</th>
<th>LGH</th>
<th>Ours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max. Acc%</td>
<td>98.82</td>
<td>95.81</td>
<td>99.71</td>
<td>99.93</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Acc%</td>
<td>89.77</td>
<td>80.78</td>
<td>89.52</td>
<td>96.93</td>
<td>98.19</td>
<td>99.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15: Maximum and average accuracy attained by different methods

The extended YaleB dataset contains images from 28 individuals cap-
tured under 64 different lighting conditions with 9 pose views. We only
used the images with frontal views in our experiments.

Researchers have divided the database into 5 subsets in increasing order
of complexity of lighting conditions. We used the image number A+000E+00
with the simplest lighting scenario as the reference image. Minkowski dis-
tance metric was used as the similarity measure. The p-Minkowski metric
between two points $a=(x_1,y_1)$ and $b=(x_2,y_2)$ can be given as:

$$d^p(a, b) = [|x_1 - x_2|^p + |y_1 - y_2|^p]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

The optimum value of $p$ varies from 0.75 to 1.25 on the YaleB database.
The reference image closest to the test image decides the identity of the
person in the test image. We compare the results of our technique with the
state of the art in table 16.
Our technique achieved the highest accuracy for the first 3 subsets, on the 4th subset it is beaten by SQI and LGH, which are both techniques that handle the problem of illumination explicitly.

It is interesting to see how the two components of our approach namely Gaussian derivatives and LBP alone match up against their proposed combination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Set 1</th>
<th>Set 2</th>
<th>Set 3</th>
<th>Set 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SQI</td>
<td>88.60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>85.75</td>
<td>87.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTV</td>
<td>87.28</td>
<td>99.78</td>
<td>66.67</td>
<td>45.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF</td>
<td>79.39</td>
<td>99.78</td>
<td>75.88</td>
<td>77.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF</td>
<td>94.74</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>83.33</td>
<td>75.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGH</td>
<td>94.74</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92.54</td>
<td>96.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruiz-Hernandez[89]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>60.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97.22</td>
<td>79.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16: Accuracy(%) over the 4 subsets using different methods

Using a combination of Gaussian derivative features and Local Binary Patterns, we perform new set of experiments on the GENKI-4k database for smile detection and on the CMU-PIE and YaleB database for face

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Set 1</th>
<th>Set 2</th>
<th>Set 3</th>
<th>Set 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gaussian Derivatives</td>
<td>97.53</td>
<td>93.52</td>
<td>62.65</td>
<td>25.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBP</td>
<td>99.38</td>
<td>99.38</td>
<td>55.86</td>
<td>33.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97.22</td>
<td>79.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17: Accuracy(%) over the 4 subsets using Gaussian derivatives, LBP and their proposed combination

3.4 CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY

We have presented simple yet effective techniques for static image analysis using the global appearance of images without using any form of key-point detection. We have managed to maintain a high degree of commonality between the architectures used for the different experiments described in section 3.3.

Through these experiments it is evident that not only do Multi-scale Gaussian Derivatives give better accuracy than Gabor filters but they are also computationally cheaper to calculate. In these experiments, we have only used three orientations for Gaussian derivatives whereas we used eighteen for Gabor filters, leading to a shorter feature vector size for Gaussian features.

Using a combination of Gaussian derivative features and Local Binary Patterns, we perform new set of experiments on the GENKI-4k database for smile detection and on the CMU-PIE and YaleB database for face
recognition and find that this union of descriptors gives better performance figures than the two component descriptors alone. Our proposed method is also more invariant to pose as compared to LBP and less sensitive to illumination changes than Gaussian derivative features.
This chapter presents the techniques developed for facial expression recognition and depression estimation.

Video description methods used in our experiments are described in section 4.1. Section 4.2 describes some commonly used encoding techniques for video features while section 4.3 describes our experiments using the methods and techniques described in the preceding two sections. The chapter concludes with the summary and short discussion about the results in section 4.4.

4.1 METHODS FOR VIDEO DESCRIPTION

In sections 4.1.1, 4.1.2 we provide a brief description of methods that were used for describing video features in the experiments. We also briefly describe space-time interest point (STIP) features 4.1.3 which are baseline features for action recognition. Dense trajectories have recently been shown to perform better than STIP features.

4.1.1 Local Binary Patterns-Three Orthogonal Planes

Zhao and Pietikainen in [128] cite the following six criteria for effective dynamic texture recognition:

1. Motion information combined with appearance information
2. Local processing to encapsulate transition information in space and time
3. Robustness to image transformations such as rotation
4. Invariance to change in lighting conditions
5. Computational simplicity
6. Multi-scale analysis

They address these criteria with the development of Local Binary Patterns-Three Orthogonal Planes (LBP-TOP) [128], which is an extension to the Local Binary Patterns image descriptor discussed in 3.1.2. LBP-TOP histograms are generated by concatenating the the co-occurrence statistics in the XY, YT and XT planes where the YT and XT planes contain space-time transition information.

LBP-TOP features are calculated over small local neighborhoods of the space-time volume which not only makes them invariant to transformations such as translation and rotation but also robust to illumination
variations. Since the radii in the three axes are defined over different distance metrics and can have different values the usual circular sampling employed in LBP calculation has been replaced with elliptical sampling. In [128] the authors also present a block-based approach to apply the LBP-TOP descriptor to videos of the face for applications such as face recognition or facial expression analysis. Results on the Cohn-Kanade dataset for expression recognition suggest that calculating LBP-TOP histograms over the entire facial region only encodes the occurrences of micro-patterns. Information about the location of these micro-patterns is absent. This problem is easily overcome by dividing the video into spatio-temporal volumes. LBP-TOP histograms are calculated over each of these volumes. These histograms contain the appearance and motion information associated with a particular location in space and time. Finally the histograms from all the blocks are concatenated to generate the global descriptor which contains information on micro-pattern occurrences and their relative locations.

4.1.2 Dense Trajectories

Trajectories encode the motion information in videos. Dense sampling on all spatial positions and scales assures coverage of foreground motion
and of the surrounding context [116]. Robust and efficient optical flow algorithms allow for the extraction of these trajectories.

Densely sampled feature points are tracked through the video except for homogeneous image areas lacking structure. After a dense optical field is computed using adjacent frames, the feature points can be tracked without any extra cost. Feature points from each spatial scale are tracked independently. Static trajectories and trajectories with sudden large displacements, having a large likelihood of being faulty, are eliminated during post processing.

The shape of the trajectory encodes local motion information. Besides this information, the following descriptors are calculated on the 3D space-time volume aligned with the trajectories to extract appearance and motion information.

(a) Motion Boundary Histograms: Optical flow represents motion from various sources including camera motion. It is important that camera motion be removed from the optical flow to perform action recognition. Motion Boundary Histograms (MBH) [25] are calculated by computing the horizontal and vertical derivatives separately on the optical flow. Since these derivatives encode the relative motion between pixels, constant camera motion is eliminated while the information pertaining to motion boundaries is retained.

(b) Optical and Gradient Histograms: Histogram of oriented gradients (HOG) and Histogram of flow (HOF) [24, 25] are computed along the dense trajectories, HOG encapsulates the appearance information whereas HOF encodes the local motion information. For both HOG and HOF, the orientations are quantized into 8 bins plus an additional zero bin for HOF.
Both the feature vectors are $L_2$ normalized and concatenated to obtain the fused feature vector.

![Figure 44: Dense Trajectory computation](image)

### 4.1.3 Space Time Interest Points

Points in an image with notable local variation of image intensities are often referred to as "interest points". They are "interesting" because they are rich in information and at the same time are relatively invariant to perspective image transformations.

Laptev in [64] extended the concept of interest points to the spatio-temporal domain. He demonstrated how the Harris interest point detector can be extended to the spatio-temporal domain by choosing separate scale parameters for time and space so that the image values of interest points have large variations in both spatial and temporal directions. Automatic scale selection was provided by defining a new differential operator that could simultaneously assume a maxima for both the spatial and the temporal scale for a particular space-time event.

For each interest point, a variety of descriptors can be calculated over the space-time neighborhood. HOG and HOF descriptors are the most widely used descriptors for STIP. The HOG and HOF histograms calculated over the space-time volume are concatenated and used for building a visual dictionary.

### 4.2 Encoding Techniques

Visual encoding techniques transform large amount of local image or video information into a compact representation which can be used as a visual signature for the image or video. The baseline method is to compute a spatial histogram of visual words which we describe in the following section while recent methods for visual encoding rely on soft assignment of features to mixture components as in the case of Fisher Vector encoding 4.2.3.
The techniques described in the following sections have been used for applications such as image classification [57], action recognition [33, 83], image retrieval [105], image re-ranking and object detection [1].

4.2.1 Bag of Visual Words

The bag-of-words model is an orderless document representation used in natural language processing and information retrieval. Bag-of-words can also be applied to image classification.

In document classification, a bag of words is a sparse vector of occurrence counts of words i.e. a sparse histogram over the vocabulary. In computer vision, a bag of visual words is a vector of occurrence counts of a vocabulary of "visual words".

To use the bag-of-words (BoW) representation for image classification, images are treated as documents and "visual words" need to be defined. To achieve this, it usually includes following three steps: Feature detection, feature description and codebook generation. When using dense trajectories, the trajectories themselves are the detected features and the HOG/HOF and MBH descriptors calculated on the 3D volumes aligned with the trajectories are the feature descriptors used to generate the codebook and later transformed to a histogram representation.

In the case of STIP, the detected features are the space-time interest points detected using the 3D Harris detector. The HOG/HOF descriptors calculated over the space-time volumes serve as the input for codebook generation and once the codebook is generated, the HOG/HOF feature descriptors are represented as sparse histograms.

Codebook generation is generally performed using unsupervised learning methods such as k-means clustering. The clusters learned using k-means clustering are the "visual words". It is important that the training set used for learning these “visual words” is sufficiently representative so that the codebook learned is comprehensive enough.

Figure 45: K-means and codebook generation
Once the codebook is generated, the vector quantizer maps the feature vector to the closest “visual word” in the codebook. There is no perfect way to decide the size of the codebook, the optimum size is found empirically and varies from application to application.

4.2.2 Sparse Coding

Sparse coding involves the decomposition of a signal into a linear combination of basis signals. This set of basis functions is called a dictionary. Dictionary learning traces its roots back to wavelet based signal processing. Images were often decomposed using predefined dictionaries with the wavelets serving as basis functions. Recently, it has been shown that learning the dictionary instead of using a ready-made dictionary leads to much better signal reconstruction. Say we have a training set of signals $X = [x_1, x_2...x_n]$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, we optimize the cost function:

$$f_n(D) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l(x_i, D)$$ (22)

where $D$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ is the dictionary and each column represents a basis vector, $l$ is the loss function and should be small for a $D$ good at representing an input signal $x$. The signal dimension $m$ is usually small compared to the number of samples $n$ for typical image retrieval operations. Also the number of samples $n$ is much larger than the number of dimensions in the basis vector i.e. $k$. It is worth noting that in sparse coding, overcomplete dictionaries with $k > m$ are allowed.

The cost function $l(x_i, D)$ is defined as the optimal solution of the $l_1$ sparse coding problem:

$$l(x, D) = \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k} \frac{1}{2} \|x - D\alpha\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\alpha\|_1$$ (23)

In equation 23 $\lambda$ is the regularization parameter, $\alpha = [\alpha_1...\alpha_n]$ in $\mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ is the coefficient of sparse decompositions and $l_1$ regularization over $\alpha$ yields a sparse solution.

Most of the contemporary dictionary learning algorithms are second-order iterative batch processes, using the complete training set at each iteration in order to minimize a constrained cost function. These methods are faster than first order gradient descent methods but even they cannot handle “Big-data”. Only online dictionary learning methods such as the one described by Mairal et al. in [71] can address the problems associated with “Big data” and dynamic training.
Once the dictionary has been learnt the next step involves encoding each local descriptor into an N-dimensional vector by fitting a linear model with a $l_1$ sparsity constraint. Finally for a given image or video, the sparse vectors are pooled. It has been empirically found that max-pooling works better than average pooling. The pooled vector is finally normalized to generate the single signature sparse vector for the image or video.

### 4.2.3 Fisher Vector Encoding

An alternative to the Bag of Words approach is the Fisher Vector Encoding (FV) framework. Unlike Bag of Words and Sparse Coding where features are expressed as combinations of “visual word”, Fisher Vector encoding records the difference between the features and “visual words” [92].

A generative model in the form of a Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) is built over a subset of the samples, it can also be considered as a “probabilistic visual vocabulary”, now each sample can be characterized by its deviation from the GMM. The deviation is quantified in the form of gradients of the log-likelihood of the sample with respect to the GMM parameters.

In a mathematical sense, BoV coding is a special case of Fisher Vector Encoding where the gradient calculation has been limited to mixture weight parameters of the GMM. The improvement in accuracy of FV over BoV can be attributed to the additional gradient information incorporated in FV. Chatfield et al. in [14] reported that Fisher Vector encoding works better than a variety of encoding techniques at image classification on the PASCAL VOC challenge[32].

Although Fisher Vectors are not as sparse as BoV histograms, they perform well with linear classifiers whereas BoV histograms usually require specialized kernels such as chi-square kernels to perform efficient classification. In our experiments we used the VLfeat implementation [110] of Fisher Vector encoding which is available for free download from www.vlfeat.org.

### 4.3 Experiments

#### 4.3.1 Facial Expression Recognition

Most appearance based methods for automatic facial expression analysis use static descriptors such as Gabor filters, Gaussian derivatives and Local Binary Patterns. However as Bassili in [7] pointed out, the knowledge of facial movement is integral to accurate facial expression recognition. It follows that we look at spatio-temporal texture and not just static spatial
textures. Extending our work from 3.3.2.3 to the temporal domain, we present a new descriptor for videos that provides us with spatio-temporal texture using a combination of LBP-TOP features and Gaussian derivatives. The descriptor is tested on the CK database and the results presented in 4.3.1.2.

4.3.1.1 Related Work

A number of systems have been developed for recognizing the six prototypical emotional expressions. Two recent surveys [34, 126] provide a comprehensive list of facial expression recognition systems. Here we will only provide an overview of systems that use facial motion and dynamic texture information for expression recognition.

Dense optical flow has been used by researchers on local regions of the face as well as holistically for extracting facial motion. Lien et al. in [67] tracked facial feature points on the face using a pixel-wise optical flow algorithm, reduced correlation in the data using PCA and used Hidden Markov Models to recognize the facial expressions. Mase and Pentland in [72], Otsuka and Ohya in [85] and Yoneyama et al. in [125] used optical flow to track specific regions of the face to estimate facial motion.

Other authors have used motion models for facial motion extraction. These too have been applied both holistically and locally. In [31] Essa and Pentland presented 3D motion and muscle models which they stabilised using a Kalman filter. Apart from tracking low-level features using optical flow, methods have been developed for tracking higher level facial features [66]. One major issue with high-level feature tracking is that initialization is not always easy and prone to failure.

Local Binary Patterns provide a simple and effective way to describe texture and extending them to the temporal domain in the form of LBP-TOP allows for the extraction of dynamic texture. Baltrušaitis et al. in [6] presented a multi-modal system for affect recognition using LBP-TOP features and audio features. The authors combined Continuous Conditional Random Fields (CCRF) with Support Vector Machines for Regression (SVR) for modeling continuous emotion in dimensional space helping them attain the best results on the Audio Visual Emotion Challenge (AVEC) 2012 database. The successful use of LBP-TOP features for affect recognition and our own success with LBP features combined with Gaussian derivatives motivated us to try to further improve LBP-TOP features by combining them with Gaussian derivatives.

4.3.1.2 Procedure and Results

We extended the technique presented in the previous chapter for combining Gaussian derivative features with Local Binary Patterns to the tem-
poral domain. The Half-Octave Gaussian pyramid was used to produce Gaussian derivative images for each frame in the video. First and second order derivative images were extracted from the base of the pyramid ($\sigma = 1$): $I_x, I_y, I_{xx}, I_{yy}, I_{xy}$. Subsequently derivative images of the same order were used to produce space-time volumes over which the LBP-TOP operator is applied. Each of these space-time volumes were divided into overlapping spatial regions as presented in 3.3.2.3. LBP-TOP histograms were calculated for each local spatio-temporal volume which were then concatenated to obtain the joint histogram. The final histogram for a video was obtained by concatenating the histograms for the space-time volume of each derivative order.

Figure 46: LBP-TOP computed over Gaussian derivative images

For the Cohn-Kanade database the optimum grid size was found to be 4 X 4 with an overlap of 43.75% with images containing the facial region being normalized to 66 X 66 pixels. Since we had 5 order of derivatives and 16 grids with each grid producing three LBP histogram (one for xy plane, one for yt plane and one for xt), we had a feature vector of: $5*16*3*59=14160$ dimensions.

In terms of pre-processing of the database, only the first frame of the video was used to localize the facial region using the Viola-Jones face detector [111]. The same location of the face was used for subsequent frames in the video. No other pre-processing was performed in the experiments. Gaussian derivatives provide a degree of invariance to image rotation whereas LBP features are moderately invariant to illumination variations.
Table 18: Our accuracy compared to the accuracy obtained using conventional LBP-TOP features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emotion</th>
<th>LBP-TOP calculated over Gaussian derivatives</th>
<th>LBP-TOP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Happiness</td>
<td>98.14</td>
<td>96.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>95.01</td>
<td>93.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surprise</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear</td>
<td>86.33</td>
<td>85.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>84.91</td>
<td>83.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disgust</td>
<td>96.54</td>
<td>97.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>94.56</td>
<td>92.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the results obtained using standard LBP-TOP features are different from the reported results in [128] because of different experimental protocols adopted.

Given the high dimensionality of data a linear SVM was used for classification and the results (% accuracy) shown in table 18 are at par with the state-of-the-art. The results are however just marginally better than the results produced with LBP-TOP features. The increase in computational complexity and the increase in dimensionality of features by a factor of five while giving a marginal better accuracy does not justify the use of our descriptor.

4.3.2 Audio Visual Emotion Challenge 2014 Depression sub-challenge

Facial expressions, eye gaze and head motion are important visual features used by psychologists to gauge depression in patients. Advances in the field of computer vision allow us to automatically observe these visual features. However most research has focused on static images and posed facial expressions. Techniques that work well for posed emotions may not work well for spontaneous expressions [96]. In [5], the authors underline the importance of spatio-temporal information for affect sensing. In the work presented here, we extract spatio-temporal information using two different visual descriptors from videos of people with depression and use linear support vector machines to quantify the level of depression.
4.3.2.1 AVEC 2014 data

The AVEC 2014 database is a subset of the AVEC 2013 database. The AVEC 2013 database contains 150 videos of subjects interacting with a computer. The total number of subjects is 84 with their age ranging between 18 to 63 years. The mean age is 31.5 and the standard deviation is 12.3. The subjects were recorded between 1 to 4 times and the period between two recordings of the same subject was 2 weeks. One subject was recorded 4 times, 18 subjects were recorded three times, 31 subjects twice while the remaining 34 were only recorded once. The length of the recording varied from 20 minutes to 50 minutes (mean = 25 minutes). The total duration of the recordings was approximately 240 hours.

For the AVEC 2013 database, human-computer interaction involved 14 tasks which were presented to the subject using MS PowerPoint. The AVEC 2014 subset only includes 2 of these tasks. The two tasks are provided as separate videos and thus the database contains 300 videos in total. These two tasks were selected as the tasks performed by the largest number of subjects. Although the AVEC 2014 is a subset of the AVEC 2013 database, 5 new pairs of videos were added to replace 5 previous pairs which were deemed unfit for the challenge.

The two tasks selected are:

(a) Northwind: The participants read aloud a section of the fable "Die Sonne und der Wind" in German.

(b) Freefrom: Participants answered one question out of a set of questions of the form: "What is your favorite dish?"; "Discuss a sad childhood memory" again in German.

Although the original recordings were made at a variable sampling rate, the final audio was produced by resampling the originals to a 128 Kbps bitrate using the AAC codec. Similarly the videos were also recorded at different sampling rates but were finally resampled to 30 fps with a resolution of 640 X 480 pixels using the H.264 codec. Finally the Audio-Video recordings were packaged in a mp4 container.

The database is split into three partitions: training, development and test; each split containing 50 Northwind-Freeform video pairs. The data was split assuring that the partitions have similar distributions of age, gender and depression levels. There are no session overlaps between partitions i.e. multiple recordings from the same original clip will stay in the same partition. Labels are available only for the training and development sets.

4.3.2.2 Related Work

In [38], the authors looked at the change over time in severity of depression and facial expressions. Facial actions units were coded both manu-
ally and automatically and high agreement rates were achieved between the two approaches. It was found that facial expressions were consistent with the “social risk hypothesis” which states that patients with depression tend to withdraw from society. When the depression is severe, patients’ facial expressions are more likely to be associated with contempt while the frequency of smiling is reduced. When the patients feel better, they display social signals indicating their disposition to associate. This work validated the use of automated facial expression analysis for behavioral science and heralded the use of automatic facial expression analysis in clinical science.

Scherer et al. [93] recognized vertical head gaze, vertical eye gaze, smile intensity and smile duration as important nonverbal behaviors for sensing psychological disorders such as social anxiety and depression. They employed a multimodal sensor framework called Multisense which included a face tracker, a head tracker, a system for observing eye gaze and a Microsoft Kinect sensor for skeleton tracking and audio capture. They discovered that people with depression generally have a downward angle of gaze as compared to non-depressed people. It was also found that depressed people have lower intensity smiles and have shorter duration smiles, on average. The authors identified statistical differences in the nonverbal behavior of patients with depression and anxiety. These findings suggest that head pose and facial expressions are important visual cues for depression and related psychological disorders. However the authors were not able to find a suitable visual descriptor for capturing information from the fidgeting motion of patients.

In [16], the authors used FACS and Active Appearance Models (AAM) to distinguish between depressed and non-depressed subjects but they did not extend their framework to assess the level of depression. Without using subject specific AAM as in [16] and [75], Joshi et al. in [56] used LBP-TOP and STIP features in conjunction with Bag of words (BoW) encoding to detect depression. They experimented with a variety of feature fusion techniques and combine audio features such as loudness, pitch, intensity and Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC) to develop a multimodal depression sensing system.

The best performing system for depression estimation at AVEC 2014 was developed by Williamson et al. [119]. They developed a multimodal system where high-level features are extracted from low-level features which on the other hand are extracted from different modalities such as speech prosody and facial action unit activations. In [97] the authors use a two-model regression framework for depression level estimation using baseline video features and short-term acoustic features mapped to an i-vector space. Kächele et al. in [58] infer depression intensity using only meta-knowledge. This meta-knowledge is in the form of features such as
length of the video sequence, gender of the subject, semantic content of the video among others.

4.3.2.3 Experiments and results

The labels for the training and development set of AVEC 2014 are available to participants. To obtain the errors over the test set results are mailed to the organisers in order. Participants get 5 attempts to test their results on the test set. Each partition contains 50 Beck Depression Index-II labels. Each label corresponds to a pair of videos, Freeform and Northwind.

To extract the visual information from the videos we computed LBP-TOP features to capture the intra-face movement and dense trajectories to capture macro movements such as those of the head and the shoulders.

We split the videos into individual frames and performed face detection and alignment using Openimaj[41]. Openimaj normalized the detected face into an imagette of 80 X 80 pixels. Zhao and Pietikäinen [128] demonstrated that it is best to divide the imagette into overlapping spatial regions and calculate the LBP-TOP features separately for each spatial region over a time slice and finally concatenate the results from the different regions and time slices. This technique helps encode the occurrence of micro-patterns and their relative locations in the image.

Using cross-validation, it was seen that for an imagette of our size, spatial regions of 10 X 10 pixels work best with a 50% overlap. We computed LBP-TOP features for 2 different sizes of the temporal slices, $t = 3s$ and $t = 1s$.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed to reduce the dimensionality of the LBP-TOP feature vector and decorrelate the features. This reduces the computation time and also reduces the size of the Fisher Vectors as this is linearly dependent on the feature vector size [83]. We chose a dimensionality of $D = 64$, assuring that the variance in the projected data is at least 95% of the original data. A Gaussian mixture model was fit over a subset of reduced-dimensionality training features which was used to create one Fisher vector per video. The optimum number of clusters was chosen using cross-validation over the development set.

For dense trajectories we used the following settings: length of the trajectory was set to 15 frames, the stride for dense sampling feature points was set to 5 pixels and the neighborhood size for computing the descriptor was set to 32 pixels. A set of features (HOG, HOF and MBH) over the dense trajectories was generated for each video. Just as with the LBP-TOP features, PCA was performed followed by fitting of a GMM over a subset of projected trajectory features from the training set. Millions of trajectories were generated for the training set alone, a subset of $3.6 \times 10^5$ was used to fit the GMM. The fitted model was used to generate a Fisher vector for each video.
The low level descriptors (LLD) audio features provided with the AVEC 2014 database were reduced in dimensionality using PCA (\(D = 64\)) and a GMM was fit over the projected features followed by Fisher vector generation.

The LBP-TOP, dense trajectory and audio features having been transformed into Fisher vectors were concatenated for each pair of videos, Freeform and Northwind, and fed as input to a linear support vector machine (SVM). Linear SVM was chosen because in the feature matrix, the number of columns, was much more than the number of rows. A feature vector of \(D = 64\) produces a FV of 4096 columns, for each pair of videos there will therefore be \(2 \times 4096 = 8192\) columns whereas the number of samples in the training set is just 50. Figure 48 shows how the optimum number of clusters was chosen for fitting the GMM on LBP-TOP features. The minimum development error was achieved at 35 clusters; a similar analysis gave us a minima at 40 for dense trajectories and at 50 for LLD audio features.
4.3 EXPERIMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LBP-TOP</th>
<th>LLD</th>
<th>Dense Trajectories</th>
<th>LBP-TOP+Dense Trajectories</th>
<th>LBP-TOP+LLD</th>
<th>LLD+Dense Trajectories</th>
<th>LBP-TOP+LLD+Dense Trajectories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 19: Errors for different combinations of descriptors on the development set

We compare our results obtained using Fisher vector encoding with results produced using sparse coding in table 20 for LBP-TOP features on the development set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Encoding Technique</th>
<th>Fisher Vector Encoding</th>
<th>Sparse Coding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>6.9697</td>
<td>10.1785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>8.1674</td>
<td>11.9858</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 20: Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) for different encoding techniques

For sparse coding, we varied the dictionary size from 250-750 and the minima was attained at 550. We used max pooling in the final encoding step which has been shown to perform better than average pooling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Window Size</th>
<th>1 second</th>
<th>3 seconds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>7.5520</td>
<td>6.9697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>8.9025</td>
<td>8.1674</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 21: Errors for different sizes of time slice

It can be seen in table 21 that a time slice of 3 seconds works better than a time slice of 1 second on the development set.

Figure 48: Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) vs. number of clusters

We compare our results obtained using Fisher vector encoding with results produced using sparse coding in table 20 for LBP-TOP features on the development set.
In table 19 we see that the minimum errors are obtained by combining all the three descriptors: LBP-TOP, dense trajectories and LLD audio features. However using LBP-TOP features alone and encoding them using Fisher Vectors, we achieved error values very close to the error values attained by the combination of all three features. Given the computational effort required to generate and encode dense trajectories and LLD features, we opted to use LBP-TOP features alone for our results on the test set.

We only tested the early fusion technique because we only have 50 samples for training and another 50 for development. In case late fusion is performed, we would need two layers of regressors with the output of one layer forming the input for the second and the training data of just fifty samples getting split between the two layers.

Finally we compare our errors on the development and testing set with the baseline in table 22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Development Set</th>
<th>Test Set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Our Method</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE</td>
<td>6.9697</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td><strong>8.1674</strong></td>
<td>9.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 22: Comparison of errors with baseline

Our method performs better than the baseline method. It is worth noting that these results are produced using LBP-TOP features alone combined with Fisher Vector encoding. The baseline [107] uses LGBP-TOP features [4] which are LBP-TOP features calculated over several orders of Gabor images; LBP-TOP features are therefore computationally simpler to compute. In the baseline draft paper it is not mentioned how they encode the visual information to obtain a unique feature vector corresponding to each label hence we cannot compare the computational efficiency of our system with the baseline.

4.4 Conclusion

A dynamic appearance based descriptor is presented which performs at par with the state-of-the-art but arguably costs more to compute than the standard LBP-TOP feature while giving just a meagre improvement in accuracy.
We then present a multimodal system for automated depression evaluation. It allows to quantitatively estimate the likelihood of depression using visual features.

Our experiments show that dense trajectories and LLD features do not significantly improve the results when combined with LBP-TOP features. It is seen that LBP-TOP features alone combined with Fisher Vector encoding are enough to beat the baseline.

We believe that this novel framework for depression assessment can be easily extended for predicting other slowly changing labels such as mood.
In this thesis we have presented methods for inferring affect from visual information. In most of our experiments this information has been extracted from the facial region in images and videos. Computer vision techniques for capturing the global appearance of image regions have been employed. A common architecture has been presented that can be used for affect recognition and ancillary tasks such as head pose estimation and face recognition.

Chapter 2 provides a discussion on the different notions and concepts related to emotion. The chapter begins with a brief discussion on the early theories on emotion. Early psychologists working on emotions reckoned that emotional experience is secondary to physiological changes. Most modern researchers today do not agree with these early theories on emotions. Two popular representations for emotions that are currently popular among the affective community have been discussed in this chapter. The belief that emotions are discrete and some emotions are more "basic" than others has been propounded by one school of thought. Another group of psychologists believes that emotions can be represented in a multi-dimensional space and that all emotions are generated by the same neurological system and processes and therefore some emotions cannot be more primary than others. Plutchik proposed an emotion representation which is analogous to the HSV (hue-saturation-value) color model. He suggested that emotions can mix like colors and some basic emotions mix to provide complex emotions. We also discuss a popular taxonomy of facial movements and how Ekman’s basic emotions are manifested in facial expressions. We believe that as far as the human-computer interaction and affective computing communities are concerned, the question that "which form emotion representation is more correct?" is not as relevant as the question that "which form of emotion representation is more appropriate for our application?".

Chapter 2 also includes a discussion on mood. We define mood as an affective state more diffuse and longer lasting that emotions. Picard’s model that establishes a link between mood and emotional response is also described in the section on mood. The final section is dedicated to depression and the inventory currently employed by psychologists to quantify depression.

In chapter 3 we present the techniques developed for affect sensing from static image data. Our focus has been to develop methods which
utilize global image appearance without using any techniques for tracking keypoints on the face or fitting a model over it. We present a common architecture for head pose estimation, smile detection and affect sensing. We employ multiscale Gaussian derivatives for capturing the global image appearance. Using a combination of Gaussian derivatives, principal component analysis for dimensionality reduction and support vector machines for discrimination we obtain state-of-the-art results. We also devise a new image description method which involves the calculation of Local Binary Pattern (LBP) features over Gaussian derivative images. This descriptor is tested for two different applications: smile detection and face recognition. In the case of face recognition we use a simple 1-nearest neighbor method for classification. We outperform the state of the art at smile detection and our results are at par with the state-of-the-art for face recognition.

In chapter 4 we continue with our philosophy of using global image appearance for affect sensing. We present the techniques developed for sensing affect from video data. As with the experiments described in chapter 3, we do not use any facial keypoint detection or tracking methods and neither do we use any model fitting techniques such as Active Appearance Models (AAM) or Active Shape Models (ASM) in the experiments described in chapter 4. Local Binary Patterns calculated on Three Orthogonal Planes (LBP-TOP) are an efficient and computationally simple way to capture texture from video data. Motivated by our success in face recognition and smile detection using a combination of Gaussian derivatives and Local Binary Patterns, we combined Gaussian derivatives with LBP-TOP features to produce a new descriptor for capturing dynamic texture. The descriptor developed is tested on video sequences from the Cohn-Kanade database to recognize the six basic emotions. Although our results are marginally better than the results obtained using the standard LBP-TOP features, the dimensionality of the feature vector of our descriptor is five times the size of the feature vector obtained using LBP-TOP features. In chapter 4 we also develop a technique to estimate severity of depression in human subjects using audio-visual information. We use two descriptors to extract information from the visual component: dense trajectories to capture macro level movements and LBP-TOP features to capture intra-facial movements while precomputed Low Level Descriptors (LLD) features capture the audio information. Since the videos in the Audio Visual Emotion Challenge (AVEC) 2014 database are of different sizes, the audio-visual information extracted is also of different sizes. We employed state-of-the-art encoding techniques to transform the audio-visual information extracted from the videos into a global signature for the video. This signature allows us to use support vector regression for estimating the severity of depression. This multi-modal system developed outperforms the base-
5.1 LESSONS LEARNED

Head pose estimation

The Pointing04 database contains discrete head poses. Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifiers were used to discriminate between the different poses however when we used SVM regressors trained over the same data, a high correlation between the predicted values and ground truth was obtained. These results suggest that head pose estimation can be performed on continuous poses even with a training data exclusively containing discrete poses.

Smile detection

The SVM classifier trained on the GENKI-4K database produced probability estimates for each prediction. When this classifier was used on image sequences from the Cohn-Kanade database, it turned out that the probability estimates were indicators of smile intensity.

Gaussian derivatives and Illumination

While working on smile detection it was discovered that faces which are partially illuminated were often misclassified. Calculating Local Binary Pattern features over Gaussian derivative images leads to higher invariance to illumination issues. It was also found that the combination of LBP features and Gaussian derivatives is more invariant to pose than LBP features alone.

Minkowski Distance

While performing the experiments on face recognition it was discovered that the $L_1$ and $L_2$ norms may not always be the optimum distance metrics and sometimes the optimum metric is $L_p$ with $p$ as a variable. In the experiments on the YaleB database $p$ was a value between 0.25 and 2.

LBP-TOP features calculated over Gaussian derivatives

The combination of LBP-TOP features and Gaussian derivatives provides marginally better results than LBP-TOP features but produce a feature
vector five times the size of the feature vector obtained with LBP-TOP. This effectively leads to a reduction in prediction speed by a factor of five.

*Early fusion of features in depression estimation*

In our experiments on depression estimation, we concatenated the fisher vector encodings of LBP-TOP features, dense trajectories and LLD audio features. It was found that the accuracy obtained using the LBP-TOP features alone is only marginally lower than the accuracy obtained using all three features. Since our database was of a limited size and late fusion of features would have entailed splitting the training data into two smaller sections for training two layers of regressors, we could only test the early fusion approach.

5.2 Impact of the present work

We have presented an architecture than can be, without much change, used for diverse applications such as head pose estimation, smile detection and affect sensing from static image data. Since we only use global image appearance, this architecture is easily adaptable to mobile systems and other devices with limited computing power.

The combination of Gaussian derivatives and LBP features outperforms state of the art for smile detection without using any form of keypoint tracking or facial model fitting methods and the results are at par with the state of the art for face recognition. It is worthwhile to note that our descriptor competes with descriptors developed explicitly for face recognition which can handle complex lighting conditions.

We have shown that LBP-TOP features encoded with Fisher Vectors provide a unique signature to videos of uniform length even if the videos are of different durations. This has allowed us to work with audio-visual data where a single label is assigned to a whole video sequence. For example, if we have samples of a physiological signal, correlated with a slowly varying affective state such as mood, of different time durations and features are extracted from these samples, the quantity of features obtained from these two samples may be different. In order to compare the two samples one could employ Fisher Vector encoding to obtain a sample signature of uniform length as presented in our experiments.
5.3 FUTURE SCOPE OF THIS WORK

The AVEC 2014 database used for depression estimation only contains 150 samples, 50 each for training, validation and testing. These limited number of samples did not allow us to try different feature fusion techniques. A larger database would allow the testing of the late fusion scheme.

The descriptor developed in chapter 4 by the combination of Gaussian derivatives and LBP-TOP features performed better than standard LBP-TOP features but the feature vectors suffered from high dimensionality. Feature selection techniques could be explored to achieve results better than standard LBP-TOP features while keeping the dimensionality low.

Although we presented a novel technique for head-pose estimation in the present work, we have not used the information for inferring the affective state. There is evidence to suggest that head-pose and head movements are important modalities for affect sensing [40].

A logical extension to the work presented in this thesis is the development of a multimodal system for affect sensing. A single modality may not represent the underlying emotional state. However if a multimodal system has access to biosignals such as heart rate and glavanic skin response among others [112], it could be able to recognize the true affective state of the person instead of just inferring it.


