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AT A GLANCE

This work has been performed in the Inserm unit 995 “Inflammation: Regulatory Mechanisms and Interactions with Nutrition and Candidosis”, particularly in team 1 “Digestive Inflammatory Diseases: Pathophysiology and Development of Therapeutic Targets. Different themes and approaches have been the subject of intense research in the 995 unit. In particular, this work addresses multiple axes related to the homeostasis of the intestine and the skin. Intestinal and skin physiologies are quite similar as far as the homeostasis in both depends on complex interactions between the microbiota, the epithelium and the host immune system. Diverse regulatory mechanisms cooperate to maintain the equilibrium, and a breakdown in these pathways may precipitate pathological conditions. Hence the title of this thesis: INTESTINAL AND SKIN HOMEOSTASIS: TARGETING INFLAMMATION AND CARCINOGENESIS.

First, we addressed the expression pattern of “Glucagon-like Peptide 2 Receptor” (GLP-2R). Glucagon-like peptide 2 (GLP-2) has been recently demonstrated as an intestinal hormone displaying intestinotrophic cytoprotective and neuroprotective properties. The apparent intestinal specificity of GLP-2 properties, unlike other growth factors, renders this peptide attractive for clinical use in conditions of intestinal dysfunction. Notable intestinal actions of GLP-2 analogs have been demonstrated in animal models and they are currently considered in clinical trials for intestinal diseases. Despite the advanced stages of clinical studies evaluating GLP-2 analogs, our knowledge concerning the exact distribution of GLP-2R is for far incomplete. According to our knowledge, no study has ever investigated the expression pattern of GLP-2R receptor along the intestinal tract. Moreover, nothing so far is known about the potential regulation of GLP-2R expression during pathological and inflammatory conditions. Hence, to enhance our knowledge about the potential functions of GLP-2 analogs, a better understanding for GLP-2R expression is considered necessary. We therefore realized a panel of GLP-2R expression in mice tissues and in several human, murine and rat cells lines. Given the therapeutic utility of GLP-2 analogs in intestinal disorders, we investigated the intestinal expression of GLP-2R in inflammatory bowel disease patients and in mice models of chemically-induced colitis.
The second research axis within the context of intestinal homeostasis, deals with the anti-neoplastic role of 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) and its signaling pathway through peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARy). In fact, epidemiological reports and case control studies have shown that the chronic use of 5-ASA has chemopreventive effects on the development of colorectal cancer (CRC). Furthermore, several studies have demonstrated a potent anti-tumoral role for 5-ASA in animal models of colon cancer. However, the mechanisms sustaining the putative anti-neoplastic property of 5-ASA are still under investigation. On the other hand, PPARy recently characterized as 5-ASA receptor, is a nuclear receptor with anti-inflammatory and anti-neoplastic functions. Recently, our laboratory has demonstrated that PPARy mediates 5-ASA-induced anti-inflammatory effects in the colon epithelium in mice and human cultures colonic biopsies. In this study, we hypothesized that the anti-neoplastic effects of 5-ASA are as well mediated via PPARy. We have employed several in vitro and in vivo models to test the effect of 5-ASA on cell growth, proliferation and apoptosis. We have also examined the anti-neoplastic role of 5-ASA in three different animal models. The involvement of PPARy was regularly controlled by blocking this receptor.

The biological function of PPARy is not confined to the gastrointestinal tract; it rather extends to several physiological and pathophysiological conditions, notably in the skin. PPARy is a subtype of PPARs (peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors) which play a relevant role in mammalian physiology and are currently believed to represent a major therapeutic target in metabolic, inflammatory, and skin diseases. Of note, PPARs have been shown to be involved in key physiological functions in the skin such as cell proliferation and differentiation, and inflammatory responses; and their malfunctioning has been associated with major disorders. These new functions identify PPARs and corresponding ligands as potential targets for the treatment of various skin diseases. Because of that, several pharmaceutical companies have engaged in research into the identification of selective ligands for each PPAR subtype. However, new drug approval is a lengthy process employing a time frame of several years and spans a vast array of disciplines. Minor delays within individual disciplines may easily add to the cost of drug development and widen the gap
between the discovery and the request of valuable patent exclusivity. Pharmaceutical companies work hard to improve the decision making process and to make project phases more efficient by applying novel technologies. In this study, we have used a combined application of imaging and genetic engineering technology to generate Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Responsive Element-Luciferase (PPRE-luc) Reporter Mice. In this mice model, luciferase expression is under the control of a PPAR-inducible promoter in all organs. Using PPRE-luc mice, we have performed in vivo selective activation of PPAR subtypes using specific ligands and showed that these reporter mice could provide a powerful tool to verify the effects of drug candidates and to streamline the process of drug development from its preclinical to clinical phases.

This work addressed multiple axes related to the homeostasis of the intestine and the skin with the aim of elucidating the roles of endogenous factors displaying important role in inflammation and carcinogenesis. This study provides as well the basis for pharmacological profiling of drugs targeting skin disorders using a novel reporter mouse.
INTESTINAL HOMEOSTASIS – SECTION 1

Glucagon-Like Peptide 2 Receptor Expression is Not Restricted to the Gastrointestinal Tract and is Modulated by Inflammation

PREFACE

In the gastrointestinal tract, cellular and acellular components such as immune cells, epithelial cells, endothelial cells, extracellular matrices, cytokines, growth factors, adhesion molecules...etc interact with each other in a dynamic continuous fashion. When these interactions occur properly, the result is intestinal homeostasis. Each of these components would represent alone a subject of intense research and investigation. We are particularly concerned by the intestinal hormone Glucagon-like peptide 2 (GLP-2).

GLP-2 is a 33 amino acid peptide, secreted from intestinal endocrine cells in the small and large intestine. The function of GLP-2 was unrecognized for many years. The story starts with the observation that several human subjects with glucagonomas exhibit small bowel growth. In 1996, GLP-2 administration in mice was shown to markedly increase small bowel growth in only a few days, mainly due to hyperplasia of the villus epithelium. Later, the potential therapeutic role of GLP-2 and its complex interplay has begun to be elucidated, particularly in terms of proliferative actions on the gut. Peripheral GLP-2 action is essential for maintaining intestinal homeostasis, improving absorption efficiency and blood flow, and promoting immune defense; and producing efficacy in treatment of gastrointestinal diseases. Thus, GLP-2 is so far characterized as growth factor and anti-inflammatory agent. This has stimulated a considerable interest in the development of GLP-2 analogs. Practically, current clinical studies are revealing promising beneficial effects for GLP-2 analogs in the treatment and/or prevention of an expanding number of intestinal diseases. GLP-2 is therapeutically attractive in diseases to enhance absorptive capacity, restore mucosal health and reduce inflammation. On the other hand, the potential GLP-2 side effects following long-term treatment, notably enhanced risk of carcinogenesis, should not be underestimated. Functional studies have shown that GLP-2 analogs will likely require chronic administration given that their growth-promoting effects in humans and in mice are
reversal of treatment. Hence, the therapeutic benefit of GLP-2 analogs is limited by concern that it predisposes patients to gastrointestinal cancers, or their re-occurrence in cancer patients.

Although the development of in vitro systems that recapitulate the in vivo setting would allow for accelerated discoveries; to date, exhaustive information about the localization of Glucagon-like peptide-2 receptor (GLP-2R) is still lacking. There is general agreement that GLP-2R is predominantly expressed in the gastrointestinal tract, particularly in the stomach and proximal small intestine. However, some studies have reported extra-intestinal expression of GLP-2R with unknown biological significance. The cellular distribution of GLP-2R expression remains controversial, and studies using different techniques have detected GLP-2R-immunoreactive protein or GLP-2R transcript in different cell types in and outside the intestinal compartment. The lack of conclusive studies describing the exact localization of is probably due from one side to the characteristic cell-specific location of this receptor and from the other side to the lack of specific tools to assess this particular expression.

Despite the advanced stages of clinical studies evaluating GLP-2 analogs in digestive disorders, the therapeutic utility of GLP-2 is limited by various concerns, notably potential extra-intestinal GLP-2 actions. Therefore, to ensure that GLP-2 analogs could be characterized as ‘first-in-class’ treatment for intestinal disorders, studies involving GLP-2 necessitate elucidation of the exact signaling pathways by which GLP-2 acts, the localization, and of the regulatory mechanisms of GLP-2R expression. In this study, we evoked the importance of the expression pattern of GLP-2R, not extensively explored so far. Our aim is to better understand the in vitro expression pattern and the in vivo tissue localization of GLP-2R, a safety endpoint for further evaluation of GLP-2 trophic actions in the bowel.
(A) ABSTRACT

**Background:** The dual function of glucagon like peptide 2 (GLP-2) as growth factor and anti-inflammatory agent, has led to its consideration as a therapeutic potential in various digestive diseases. However, the localization of GLP-2 receptor (GLP-2R) remains controversial. **Experimental methods:** Here, we examined the expression of GLP-2R in various primary and transformed cell lines. We realized a panel of GLP-2R mRNA expression in murine tissues and we assessed its expression in human and murine intestinal tissues in inflammatory conditions. **Results:** The expression of GLP-2R was detected in human colonic myofibroblasts (CCD-18Co) but not in intestinal epithelial cell lines, lymphocytes, monocytes, and umbilical vein endothelial cells. GLP-2R was expressed in murine (GLUTag), but not human (NCI-H716) enterocordrine cells. We also detected GLP-2R expression in primary culture of rat enteric nervous system but not in enteric glial cells. The screening of GLP-2R mRNA in mice organs revealed an increasing gradient of GLP-2R toward the distal gut and a detectable expression in the central nervous system, mesenteric adipose tissue, mesenteric lymph nodes, bladder, spleen, and in the liver, particularly in hepatocytes. Using two experimental mice models of colitis, we showed that expression of GLP-2R mRNA was decreased in the colon of mice with TNBS- and DSS-induced colitis. Also, GLP-2R mRNA was significantly downregulated in intestinal tissues of IBD patients, notably in inflamed areas. **Conclusion:** Previous hypotheses limiting GLP-2R expression and function to proximal bowel needs to be revisited, and further studies should address the extra-intestinal biological function of GLP-2 as safety endpoints.
(B) BACKGROUND

I- Glucagon-Like Peptide 2 (GLP-2), a Multifaceted Intestinal Growth Factor

    I-A. Synthesis and Secretion

    The intestinal epithelium is a highly dynamic tissue that serves an important role both as a barrier to the external environment and as the ultimate site for nutrient digestion and absorption. These critical functions are maintained through constant tissue renewal, driven by a high rate of crypt cell proliferation that is carefully balanced against apoptosis and exfoliation, thus maintaining the size and integrity of the epithelium. This process is highly regulated; the tropic status, or growth, of the epithelium remains relatively constant in the healthy adult but is altered by developmental stage, enteral nutrition, injury, and disease. The mechanisms regulating these processes are not wholly understood; however, nutrients, humoral factors, intrinsic factors, and pathogenic/commensal organisms are clearly involved in determining crypt cell proliferation rates and the tropic status of the epithelium. The role of humoral factors is particularly interesting, as the intestine both produces and is responsive to a wide variety of regulatory peptide hormones that function through endocrine and paracrine pathways to affect intestinal growth. Of particular interest is the hormone glucagon-like peptide-2 (GLP-2) and its multifaceted role in the regulation of intestinal growth and function in physiological and pathophysiological states [18, 24].

    Glucagon-like peptide-2 is a 33-amino acid member of the pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating peptide/glucagon superfamily [29]. GLP-2 is encoded within the proglucagon gene, which in mammals, gives rise to a single mRNA transcript that is expressed in the alpha (α) cells of the endocrine pancreas, in the enteroendocrine L cells of the intestine and in the hypothalamus and brainstem in the central nervous system (CNS). Proglucagon mRNA is translated into a single 160 amino acid precursor protein, producing several biologically active proglucagon-derived peptides via tissue-specific posttranslational processing. In pancreatic α cells, proglucagon is cleaved by prohormone convertase (PC)–2 to form glucagon, the major glucagon fragment and intervening peptide (IP)–1. In the GI tract and in the brain, the processing of proglucagon, which is operated by PC1/3, results in GLP-1, GLP-2, IP-2, oxyntomodulin and glicentin formation [30].
GLP-2 is secreted by enteroendocrine L cells, most of which are located in the distal ileum and colon. Nutrient intake is the primary stimulus for GLP-2 secretion. In vivo animal studies and human data have determined dietary fibers and short chain fatty acids, carbohydrates and fats to be potent stimulators of GLP-2 secretion, whilst protein has almost no effect [31]. GLP-2 is secreted in a biphasic pattern, with an early peak followed by a longer second phase after ingestion of nutrients [32]. It is likely that the early phase of GLP-2 secretion is due to the stimulation of L cells by various neural and endocrine factors, in contrast with the second or late phase, which is caused by direct stimulation of intestinal L cells by digested nutrients [31]. After ingestion of nutrients, plasma levels of GLP-2 increase 2- to 5-fold, depending on the size and nutrient composition of the meal [31]. GLP-2 diffuse across the subepithelial lamina propria to activate afferent nerves and/or enter the circulation; thus it may act as paracrine agent as well as endocrine hormone. The mechanisms by which nutrients induce the release of GLP-2 from the enteroendocrine cells are not yet elucidated.

GLP-2^{1-33} (33 amino acids) is secreted from enteroendocrine L cells into circulation, after which GLP-2 is degraded by ubiquitously expressed proteolytic enzyme
dipeptidylpeptidase (DPP)-IV by cleavage of N-terminal histidine and alanine to the inactive metabolite GLP-2$_{3-33}$ (31 amino acids) (Figure 2) [31, 33, 34]. Once in the plasma, the kidney provides the major route of clearance for GLP-2 [35]. To date, there are two different successful strategies in mitigating the issue with the short half-life of GLP-2. The first is the use of mimetics of GLP-2 that are resistant to inactivation by DPP-IV, thus prolonging and enhancing the effect of the hormone. The most prominent example is teduglutide (GATTEX; NPS Pharmaceuticals, Bedminster, NJ, USA). Teduglutide was developed by replacing alanine with glycine in position 2 of GLP-2, providing a molecule with a half-life of 3–4 h [36, 37]. The second strategy involves inhibition of DPP-IV, prolonging the effect of endogenously secreted GLP-2 with drugs like vildagliptin (Galvas; Novartis Pharmaceuticals, East Hanover, NJ, USA) and sitagliptin (Januvia; Merck & Co., Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA).

\[ \text{GLP-2}_{1-33} \]
\[ \text{DPIV} \]
\[ \text{GLP-2}_{3-33} \]

**Figure 2. N-terminal post-translational processing of GLP-2$_{1-33}$ to the biologically inactive GLP-2$_{3-33}$ [24].**

**I-B. Physiological Role and Biological Function**

GLP-2 is a pleiotropic hormone, affecting multiple facets of intestinal physiology. It would be important to state that several studies demonstrated that exogenously administered GLP-2 is trophic for the small intestine and, to a lesser extent, the colon, implying that most of the proliferative and cytoprotective properties of GLP-2 were detected in the small intestine [5, 38]. Generally, GLP-2 acts by suppressing gastric secretion [39] and gastric motility [40], stimulating intestinal nutrient transport [41, 42], intestinal blood flow [43, 44] and crypt cell proliferation [45, 46], whilst enhancing gut barrier function.
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[47], and inhibiting crypt cell apoptosis [48, 49] (Figure 3). These effects will lead to enlarged crypts and villi and, hence, an enhanced absorptive surface area, digestive enzyme activity, and nutrient absorption [41, 50, 51]. Moreover, a physiological role for GLP-2 appears to be the restoration of epithelial growth following periods of fasting [52].

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 3.** The actions of glucagon-like peptide 2 in the gastrointestinal mucosa [18].

II-B.1 GLP-2 in Animal Studies

Normal mice and rats treated with GLP-2 demonstrate increased small intestinal weight in association with enhanced mucosal thickness, mucosal surface area, crypt-depth, villus height and crypt cell proliferation (Figure 4). These effects are not limited to the small intestine, as the colon also responds weakly to GLP-2 with increased crypt depth and proliferation [5, 53]. Importantly, GLP-2-induced growth appears to be relatively specific for the intestinal epithelium, as there have been only limited reports of growth in the intestinal muscularis [54] and no indication of growth in any other organs [5]. Furthermore, GLP-2 enhances intestinal function in parallel with this growth, such that nutrient digestion and
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absorption, as well as barrier function are all increased in normal mice following chronic administration of GLP-2 [41, 50, 51]. The trophic effect of GLP-2 treatment is also due to inhibitory effects on apoptosis. Studies in rodents and pigs have shown that GLP-2 decreases the percentage of apoptotic cells in both the crypt and villus tips of the small intestine [46, 48, 52, 55].

![Image of intestinal epithelium from control and GLP-2 injected mice](image)

Figure 4. Histological appearance of small intestine epithelium from control and GLP-2-injected (10 days) mice [5].

Studies in pigs and rodents have also demonstrated that GLP-2 increases mesenteric blood flow and inhibits gastrointestinal motility, thus providing another mechanism to facilitate digestion and absorption of nutrients [43, 44, 56]. Within the context of nutrient absorption, treatment of mice and hamsters with GLP-2 has been linked to increased plasma triglyceride and cholesterol levels, through a CD36-dependent mechanism following an oral fat load [57]. Besides, GLP-2 was shown to enhance epithelial barrier capacity through decreasing transcellular and paracellular permeability, as well as accelerating wound closure following injury. The effects of GLP-2 to increase barrier function have been confirmed in murine models of both type 1 and type 2 diabetes, and the mechanism whereby these effects are transduced, has begun to be elucidated [58, 59]. Administration of a prebiotic to ob/ob mice not only promoted GLP-2 synthesis, but also resulted in GLP-2-
dependent upregulation of the tight junction proteins, zonula occludens-1 and occludin [58]. Furthermore, blocking the GLP-2 receptor signaling completely abolished the major features of the prebiotic treatment [58].

Excitingly, GLP-2 has recently been shown to produce anti-inflammatory effects, independent of its proliferative actions [55]. In two models of trinitrobenezene sulfonic acid (TNBS)-induced ileitis and dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced colitis, GLP-2 treatment, whether given immediately or delayed until inflammation was established, resulted in significant improvements in animal weights, mucosal inflammation indices (myeloperoxidase levels, histological mucosal scores), and reduced levels of inflammatory cytokines [55].

Beside its effect on epithelial cells, several studies have suggested that GLP-2 may also regulate intestinal stem cells (ISCs), For example, an instrumental study examining the effects of GLP-2 on ISCs revealed that pretreatment of mice with GLP-2 protects progenitor/ISCs from radiation damage [60]. GLP-2 administration for 10 days also increases the number of putative ISCs and absorptive progenitor cells, as determined by a somatic mutation-progenitor assay [61]. Chronic administration of GLP-2 to mice also increases the number of musashi-1-positive cells within the crypt base and the enriched stem cell zone [53]. Although musashi-1 is not a definitive ISC marker, these results collectively imply that GLP-2 exerts a positive effect on early progenitor cells. Furthermore, GLP-2 activates Wnt signaling in intestinal crypt cells, a pathway known to be critical in the regulation of ISC proliferation [62]. Together, these findings suggest that GLP-2 positively regulates the proliferation of both ISC and transit-amplifying cells, although it remains unknown whether these effects are context specific and/or are different in healthy gut compared with an injury model. Although it has been suggested that GLP-2 preferentially increases the number of absorptive enterocytes rather than goblet cells, others have reported an increase in the number of mucin-positive cells following chronic GLP-2 administration [54]. Thus, in addition to lengthening of the villi and crypts through stimulation of progenitor and/or stem cells in the crypt, GLP-2 treatment may alter the distribution of differentiated epithelial cells, although this effect may be model specific.
Few studies have been conducted to elucidate the roles of GLP-2 in the central nervous system (CNS), and an in-depth understanding of the complex neurobiology of preproglucagon-derived peptides in general is lacking [63]. Consistent with a general cytoprotective effect of GLP-2 within the GI mucosa, few studies have suggested that activation of GLP-2Rs can protect neurons from excitotoxic damage [64-66]. More specifically, GLP-2 has been reported to reduce glutamate-induced cell death in cultured hippocampal cells [64], enhance survival of primary rat enteric neurons, and to stimulate the proliferation of rat astrocytes [65-67]. Antidepressant-like effects of GLP-2 that occur via monoamine pathways have also been noted in mice, but this has yet to be confirmed [68].

II-B.2 GLP-2 in Human Studies

Since GLP-2 has a relatively short half-life (7 min), there has been a growing interest in the development of protease-resistant analogs such as Gly²-GLP-2 and teduglutide (GATTEX) which would extend the half-life of GLP-2 and improve its efficacy in the context of pharmacological delivery of GLP-2. Notable intestinal actions of GLP-2 have also been demonstrated in humans. Chronic administration of either GLP-2 for 6 wk or teduglutide for 3 wk (0.03 – 0.15 mg/kg/day) to subjects with short bowel syndrome (SBS) increases crypt-villus height and mitotic index and reduces fecal output in association with increased absorption of enteral nutrients [38, 69, 70]. A pilot study investigating the effects of teduglutide (0.05 – 0.2 mg/kg-day) in patients with Crohn’s disease is also suggestive of beneficial effects to increase intestinal mucosal mass and/or mucosal healing, as assessed through measurements of plasma citrulline concentration [71]. Furthermore, the findings suggested that both the low (0.05 mg/kg/day) and the high (0.2 mg/kg/day) dose of teduglutide were more effective than the intermediate dose (0.1 mg/kg/day). Although the reasons for the apparent discrepancies in teduglutide effectiveness are not clear, previous studies in normal mice have indicated that the intestinotropic effects of GLP-2 are dose dependent [46]. Hence, further studies in humans determining the exact relationship between the dose of teduglutide and clinical effectiveness are required. On the other hand, the growth-promoting effects of GLP-2 or teduglutide and their effects on nutrient absorption in patients with SBS are reversed upon withdrawal of treatment [36, 46]. Thus teduglutide will likely require chronic administration to maintain the beneficial effect.
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Finally, Because of the beneficial effects of GLP-2 on the gastrointestinal growth and function, teduglutide (GATTEx) has recently completed clinical trials (phase 3) for the treatment of short bowel syndrome (SBS), is currently under investigation for Crohn’s disease (phase 2), and is also in preclinical development for gastrointestinal mucositis and pediatric indications [38, 69, 71] (discussed in details later). Indeed, unlike other mitogenic factors, the apparent intestinal specificity of GLP-2 renders this peptide attractive for use in conditions of intestinal dysfunction.

II-B.3 A Modest Physiological Role for Endogenous GLP-2

Interestingly, although exogenously administered GLP-2 produces a robust effect on gut growth and function, the tropic actions of the endogenously produced peptide appear to be relatively more modest but sufficient. It is important to state that the doses administered in experimental studies in animals were largely supraphysiological, often being approximately $10^3$-fold greater then physiological concentrations. The importance of endogenous GLP-2 has largely been elucidated through the use of a GLP-2R antagonist (e.g., the GLP-2 metabolite, GLP-2$^{3-33}$), the GLP-2R knockout mouse model, or immunoneutralization techniques. Of note, although the GLP-2 metabolite, GLP-2$^{3-33}$ acts as an antagonist at lower concentrations, it also functions as a partial agonist at increased doses, with significant tropic effects observed in both the small and large bowel following administration of higher amounts of GLP-2$^{3-33}$ [72]. Hence, caution must be taken in interpreting the results of studies using the GLP-2R antagonist, and appropriate controls must always be included. Nonetheless, administration of GLP-2$^{3-33}$ for either 24 h or 4 wk demonstrated a physiological role for GLP-2 in basal intestinal growth, reducing small bowel weight and decreasing crypt-villus height; these changes occurred in the absence of any detectable changes in proliferation but, at least in the 24-h model, were associated with increased intestinal epithelial cells apoptosis [52]. Although these findings stand in contrast to the report of normal intestinal weight, crypt-villus height, and proliferative index in the GLP-2R null mouse, it is possible that chronic adaptation occurs in these animals to maintain basal intestinal growth [73]. Furthermore, endogenous GLP-2 has been implicated in intestinal adaptation in rodent models of resection. Hence, studies by Dahly et al. and Martin et al. demonstrated that intestinal resection is followed by a marked increase in
intestinal proliferation, an adaptation that is strongly associated with elevated plasma levels of GLP-2 [74, 75]. Also, endogenous GLP-2 also plays a role in the adaptive intestinal growth that occurs in mice and rats in response to oral refeeding after a period of nutrient deprivation, as demonstrated with use of both the GLP-2 antagonist and GLP-2R null mice [52, 76, 77]. Finally, immunoneutralization of GLP-2 reduces the adaptive intestinal growth that is associated with experimental type 1 diabetes in rats, although these findings were not recapitulated in streptozotocin-diabetic GLP-2R knockout mice [73, 78]. Hence, the tropic effects of endogenous GLP-2 appear to be related to adaptation of the intestine in response to varying nutrient intake, although the relative importance of this role appears to vary with the species and/or the model utilized.

II- Glucagon-Like Peptide-2 Receptor (GLP-2R), a Curious Receptor for GLP-2

The integrative gastrointestinal responses to GLP-2 are mediated via the GLP-2 receptor, which is a G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) belonging to the class B glucagon-secretin receptor family [79].

II-A. Arguable Expression Pattern

The localization of GLP-2R has been described as curious and raises important questions regarding the mechanisms underlying GLP-2-induced intestinal growth. GLP-2R expression is restricted to the gastrointestinal tract and central nervous system, with limited expression in lung, cervix, and vagal afferents [43, 80-82]. However, the exact cellular localization of the GLP-2R, particularly in the intestine, has been a source of some controversy. The vast majority of studies pretended that the location of the receptor in the bowel is region-specific, where expression appears to the highest in the stomach and ileum. Variable reports have demonstrated GLP-2R expression in enteroendocrine L cells, enteric neurons, and/or subepithelial myofibroblasts (SEMFs) of mice gastrointestinal tract. A summary of cellular GLP-2R expression in the intestine is summarized in Table 1. Similar findings have been reported for humans, where GLP-2 receptor expression is localized to enteroendocrine cells of the stomach, small bowel and colon; to subepithelial myofibroblasts, and to enteric neurons [82]. Also, extra-intestinal expression of GLP-2R has
been reported notably in central nervous system, lung, and pancreas in rodents and humans, notably in pancreatic alpha cells and in astrocytes [66, 80, 82, 83].

**Table 1. Reported cellular localization of the GLP-2R in the intestine.** IHC, immunohistochemistry; ISH, in situ hybridization; LCM, laser-capture microdissection; RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction; WB, Western blot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Type</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Method(s) Used</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enteroendocrine cells</strong></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>IHC, ISH</td>
<td>[43, 82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pig</td>
<td>IHC, ISH, LCM/RT-PCR</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>IHC, WB</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>IHC, ISH</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enteric neurons</strong></td>
<td>Pig</td>
<td>IHC, ISH, LCM/RT-PCR</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>ISH</td>
<td>[81, 84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>ISH, RT-PCR</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subepithelial myofibroblasts</strong></td>
<td>Human, rat, mouse, marmoset</td>
<td>IHC, ISH</td>
<td>[42, 84]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The expression pattern of GLP-2R continues to the subject of ongoing debate and disagreement in the literature. GLP-2R was shown by Lovshin *et al.* to be expressed in hypothalamic and extrahypothalamic regions in mice but was completely absent from the central nervous system in the work of Drucker D.J. [80, 82]. In addition, de Heer *et al.* have localized GLP-2R on alpha cells of both human and rat pancreas but Yusta *et al.* failed to detect GLP-2R transcript in pancreatic mouse tissue and rat insulinoma cell lines [82, 83]. The latter group has also reported GLP-2R expression in rat but not in mouse lungs. While an explanation for the discrepancies between the studies remains elusive, it is nonetheless evident that crypt epithelial cells do not express the GLP-2R. This has led to the hypothesis that the actions of GLP-2 are thought to be mediated indirectly through the cells that express the receptor through a paracrine mechanism, to induce its effects on intestinal growth.

**II-B. Downstream Signaling Pathways**

Mechanistic studies have shown that signaling through GLP-2R activates several downstream signaling pathways such as mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), cyclin
adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), protein kinase A (PKA), cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB), and activator protein-1 (AP-1) [85, 86]. The GLP-2R can initiate anti-apoptotic responses through the cAMP pathway involving both PKA-dependent and independent mechanisms [87]. This anti-apoptotic effect appears to involve inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3). A distinct feature of this receptor is the mechanisms by which it undergoes desensitization.

A major obstacle in the study of the GLP-2R has been the lack of cell lines that demonstrate endogenous GLP-2R signaling. It is therefore notable that the majority of studies addressing the mechanisms of GLP-2R signaling have utilized cell lines transfected with the GLP-2R, including 293-EBNA [79], COS [43], BHK [87], fibroblasts, DLD-1 colon cancer cells [88, 89], and HeLa cervical cancer cells [88]. Only a few cell lines have been identified that endogenously express the GLP-2R, including CCD-18Co intestinal myofibroblasts and FHC fetal colon cells [90]. In CCD-18Co cells the endogenous GLP-2R shows limited activity with slight activation of MAPK. This paucity of robust cell models is relevant, as there may be significant differences between the signaling activities of the transfected and endogenous GLP-2R. For instance, the endogenous GLP-2R from hypothalamus [91], pituitary [91], intestinal mucosa [92], intestinal muscularis [52], and fetal intestine [53] produces a reproducible GLP-2 dose response curve, in which peak cAMP accumulation occurs at moderate concentrations (10^{-10} to 10^{-9}M) but is reduced with higher levels of GLP-2, reminiscent of an “inverted U-shaped” dose-response. The mechanistic explanations for such a phenomenon are unknown but may potentially result from the unique nature of GLP-2R desensitization [88] or from dose-dependent coupling to alternate G proteins [86]. Nevertheless, this characteristic dose-response is not observed in cells transfected with the GLP-2R, which demonstrate a maximum “plateau” of cAMP accumulation and only a slight reduction at concentrations of 10^{-6} M or greater, suggesting that these cells may not appropriately reflect the true nature of GLP-2R signaling in situ. Therefore, one major issue in the field is to determine which signaling mechanisms are relevant to the endogenous GLP-2R, especially as they relate to the intestinal growth effects of GLP-2, and to determine the intracellular pathways underlying the biological actions of GLP-2 with the use of relevant cell types.
**II-C. Downstream Mediators**

Although there is a wealth of information about the ultimate effects of GLP-2 on intestinal physiology and on the signaling mechanisms initiated by the GLP-2R, there are relatively few studies addressing how these two events are functionally connected. Unraveling the mechanisms behind this has been a difficult task, which is understandable given the multiple interrelated actions of GLP-2 and the complex nature of the GLP-2R. It is clear that the diverse effects of GLP-2 require an indirect mechanism, likely involving not one but multiple indirect signals, interacting in a complementary fashion resulting in different intestinal responses (i.e., proliferation, apoptosis, absorption, barrier function, blood flow, anti-inflammation). Several studies have now provided important mechanistic data illustrating several of the indirect pathways of GLP-2 action as shown in Figure 5 and discussed in detail below.

*Figure 5. Proposed model for the indirect mechanisms of glucagon-like peptide-2 (GLP-2) action in the intestine. Expression of the GLP-2R in intestinal endocrine cells (A), intestinal subepithelial myofibroblasts (B) and enteric neurons (C), suggests that GLP-2 acts indirectly to produce its diverse actions in the intestine. Intermediate mediators include: IGF-1, KGF, NO, and VIP...and potentially undiscovered yet agents, which would be responsible for cytoprotective, proliferative and anti-inflammatory effects of GLP-2 in the intestinal epithelium. [20].*
II-C.1 Insulin Growth factors

The insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) have recently been implicated in the intestinal growth effects of GLP-2. The role of insulin growth factor-1 (IGF-1) in GLP-2 action was first hypothesized following the observation that GLP-2 increases the expression and secretion of intestinal IGF-I [53]. Later, IGF-1 has been characterized as a major mediator released from SEMFs and through which GLP-2 increases intestinal growth, specifically by inducing small intestinal crypt cell proliferation. This observation was supported primarily by studies of IGF-1 knockout mice, which demonstrate marked impairments in small and large intestinal growth in response to GLP-2, compared with wild-type littermates, despite normal responses to other intestinal growth factors [53]. Indeed, the effect of GLP-2 on crypt cell proliferation is completely lost in the absence of IGF-1. In normal mice, GLP-2 increases the rate of proliferation in the upper half of the intestinal crypts, thus expanding the number of cells responsible for populating the epithelium. This occurs in concert with a potential effect on the epithelial stem cells, as GLP-2 also increases the number of cells expressing musashi-1, a putative stem cell marker [53]. Furthermore, GLP-2 was shown to act as a novel activator of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in the intestinal crypt, through a mechanism requiring IGF-1 signaling through the IGF-1R [62]. The Wnt/β-catenin signaling system represent of the most important mediators regulating crypt cell proliferation (Figure 6). The activation of β-catenin transcriptional signaling by IGF-1 occurs through a phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K)-dependent pathway, involving Ras activation and GSK-3 inhibition [93]. One role of β-catenin in the intestine is to drive the transcription of genes required for proliferation, such as c-myc [94] while also inhibiting genes involved in terminal cell differentiation [95]. This maintains cells within the active cell cycle, thereby increasing the overall numbers of proliferating cells. These observations provide a mechanistic basis for IGF-1 as a mediator for GLP-2-induced proliferation and serves to link the GLP-2-IGF-1 signaling system to other regulators of intestinal crypt cell fate, through common effects on β-catenin.

Although intestinally derived IGF-1 is the likely mediator of GLP-2-induced proliferation in a paracrine fashion, it is unclear whether GLP-2 might also depend upon non-intestinal, endocrine IGF-1. This is an important issue as the GLP-2R is expressed in both hypothalamus and pituitary [80]. As studies in whole body IGF-1 knockout mice do not
differentiate between local and endocrine IGF-1, a model of tissue-specific IGF-I ablation would be needed to isolate a specific paracrine role for SEMF derived IGF-1.

The essential role of IGF-1 in GLP-2-induced crypt cell proliferation is contrasted by the limited impact of the loss of IGF-2, in which the enhancement of intestinal growth by GLP-2 is only partially blunted [53]. IGF-2 signals through several receptors, including the IGF-1R and isoform A of the insulin receptor, offering a potential explanation as to why the effects of IGF-2 and IGF-1 might differ. Indeed, the role of endogenous IGF-2 in the regulation of intestinal growth is unique; IGF-2 initiates a process known as crypt fission, in which whole crypts divide, resulting in an increase in the overall number of crypts [96]. These additional crypts therefore become available to respond to other growth factors. This raises the possibility that, when faced with the absence of IGF-2, the intestine is unable to
fully respond to GLP-2 due to the resulting impairment in crypt fission. This hypothesis would represent yet another level of complexity in the actions of GLP-2.

II-C.2 Keratinocyte Growth Factor

Keratinocyte growth factor (KGF) has also been implicated in GLP-2-induced colonic growth. KGF is a member of the fibroblast growth factor family, expressed in SEMFs throughout the gastrointestinal tract, and is a tropic factor for epithelial cells [97]. KGF colocalizes with the GLP-2R in SEMFs, and immunoneutralization of KGF in mice prevents the effect of GLP-2 on colonic weight and mucosal area without affecting colonic crypt depth or small intestinal growth [84]. This is in contrast to the more marked effect of IGF-1 ablation on both small and large intestinal growth responses to GLP-2. Moreover, unlike IGF-1, KGF treatment produces differential effects to those of GLP-2, affecting mainly colonic growth and the differentiation of goblet cells [98, 99]. Indeed, Ørskov et al. found that the GLP-2-induced increase in mucin expression was blocked by KGF antibodies, suggesting that the specific role of KGF may be to promote colonic goblet cell differentiation in response to GLP-2 [84]. Nonetheless, it is possible that immunoneutralization may be insufficient to block small intestinal KGF, and therefore additional studies, utilizing a knockout model, would be helpful to determine whether this effect of KGF is truly restricted to the colon. Furthermore, a more detailed study of the role for KGF in crypt cell proliferative responses would help to determine whether the KGF effect extends beyond that on the goblet cells and whether KGF may interact with the proliferative actions of IGF-1.

II-C.3 ErbB Ligands

In addition to the IGFs, ErbB ligands have recently generated interest as downstream mediators of GLP-2-induced intestinotrophic actions. The ErbB network is a potent proliferative system that contributes to the maintenance of intestinal mucosal growth and function [100]. The ErbB ligands epiregulin and neuregulin were found to be upregulated in the murine small intestine 1 and 4 h after GLP-2 treatment [101]. Similarly, administration of GLP-2 or epidermal growth factor (EGF) increased mRNA expression of the ErbB ligands,
amphiregulin, epiregulin and Heparin binding-epidermal growth factor (HB-EGF), as well of the immediate early genes, c-fos, egr-1, and phlda-1 within full-thickness intestinal sections. Furthermore, the ErbB receptors were shown to be required for the chronic intestinal proliferative and growth effects of GLP-2, as determined through the use of a pan-ErbB inhibitor. More recently, EGF administration was also found to rescue the lack of adaptive regrowth in the refed GLP-2R knockout mouse [76]. In contrast, using the ErbB receptor inhibitor gefitinib, others have reported that the positive effects of GLP-2 on intestinal weight and crypt-villus length are independent of ErbB signaling [102]. Nonetheless, collectively, the data suggest the involvement of an ErbB ligand-Erb signaling pathway in the proliferative actions of GLP-2.

II-C.4 Nitric oxide & Vasoactive Peptide

Several studies have uncovered an exciting role for GLP-2R signaling in submucosal enteric neurons. Functioning through a nitric oxide (NO)-dependent mechanism, GLP-2 acutely and dose-dependently increases intestinal blood flow by activating endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) [43, 44]. GLP-2 has been also shown to reduce intestinal damage and the levels of inflammatory cytokines in a rat model of IBD through a mechanism requiring vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP)-expressing submucosal enteric neurons [55]. One of the curious findings of this report was that, in a counterintuitive manner, GLP-2 administration reduced the rate of epithelial proliferation in this setting; given that inflammation itself induces crypt cell proliferation, it would seem that this effect of GLP-2 may be an indirect result of a VIP-dependent anti-inflammatory action [55, 103]. It is thus possible that NO or VIP signaling may affect GLP-2-induced intestinal growth through several indirect mechanisms.

II-C.5 The List Keeps Growing

These studies have only begun to uncover the mechanisms through which GLP-2 induces growth in the normal intestine. Although proliferative responses in the small intestinal crypt appear to require IGF-1, it is unknown how GLP-2 alters apoptosis, permeability, or nutrient digestion or absorption in the villus epithelium. Cheeseman
reported that GLP-2-mediated epithelial glucose uptake, through the sodium-dependent glucose transporter, occurred through a PI3K-dependent mechanism [41]. Furthermore, the activation of Akt in the intestinal mucosa has been implicated in the antiapoptotic actions of GLP-2 [104]. The PI3K-Akt pathway is a common signaling effector for multiple growth factors and cytokines, including IGF-1. However, although IGF-1 activates Akt signaling in the intestinal mucosa, it appears that, unlike the response observed with β-catenin in the crypt, IGF-1 signaling is not strictly required for the activation of Akt by GLP-2 [62]. This suggests that, in addition to the requirement of IGF-1 for proliferation, other factors exist and mediate the diverse biological actions of GLP-2. The SEMFs, for example, express and secrete a wide range of different growth factors and cytokines in addition to the IGFs and KGF that may participate in some of the effects of GLP-2 [97].

**III- Clinical Indications: lessons from animal models**

The spectrum of GLP-2 actions in the intestine suggests that GLP-2-based therapy may be promising in multiple clinical settings, including short bowel syndrome (SBS), inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and intestinal injury. In fact, the clinical evidence for benefit in conditions requiring longer term treatment with GLP-2 receptor agonists, for example short bowel syndrome and inflammatory bowel disease. Benefits of short-term GLP-2 treatment are emerging in pre-clinical models, such as post-operative ileus, gastrointestinal mucositis and conditions of altered intestinal permeability. The therapeutic utility of GLP-2 receptor agonists is limited by concern that it predisposes patients to gastrointestinal cancers, or their re-occurrence in cancer patients. This affects the types of diseases treated and, possibly, the duration of dosing.

**III-A. Short Bowel Syndrome**

Short bowel syndrome (SBS) typically arises from multiple or extensive bowel resections that can involve the small or large intestines, where the remaining bowel is insufficient to meet the digestive and absorptive needs of the body. During the first 12 - 24 months following resection, an intestinal adaptive response to the loss of mucosal surface area occurs. This adaptive response increases absorptive capacity by structural hyperplasia,
absorptive cell hyperplasia, increased transporter expression, and the slowing of GI transit, and is thought to be regulated by nutrients and growth factors [105]. In many cases, parenteral nutrition is required to supplement enteral nutrition. Thus, a major goal in the management of SBS is to improve patient nutritional status and quality of life by eliminating or reducing dependence on parenteral nutrition. With this in mind, the effects of several growth hormones as well as GLP-2 on intestinal adaptive responses have been studied extensively in preclinical models [106]. While many of these factors have shown efficacy in animals, to date human growth hormone (Zorbtive; somatotropin) is the only treatment approved for SBS in patients requiring specialized nutritional support.

In SBS patients the maximum increase in serum GLP-2 levels following a meal is much lower than in healthy volunteers [107]. Administration of native GLP-2 or GLP-2 analogs has been shown to be effective in improving clinical, laboratory and histological outcomes in SBS. An additional benefit in humans is that GLP-2 increases mesenteric blood flow which enhances nutrient uptake [20, 56]. A synthetic recombinant human GLP-2 analog is being developed for the treatment of SBS. Teduglutide (GATTEX\textsuperscript{TM}, ALX-0600; NPS Allelix Corp.) has increased resistance to enzymatic degradation by dipeptidyl peptidase-IV compared with native GLP-2 due to an N-terminal substitution at the second position of glycine for alanine and the engineered variant has an increased half life of hours, rather than minutes. The actual elimination half life of teduglutide in patients is influenced by body weight and is approximately 3 h in 90 kg male patients [108]. The clinical efficacy of teduglutide in SBS was evaluated in an open-label, Phase II, pilot study published in 2005 and in a multicenter, multinational, randomized, placebo-controlled, Phase III study published in 2011 [36, 69]. In the Phase II, metabolic balance study, 16 SBS-patients received three different doses (0.03mg/kg/day, 0.10 mg/kg/day, and 0.10 mg/kg/day) of teduglutide for 21 days. Compared with baseline, 21 days of treatment with teduglutide increased absolute intestinal wet weight absorption in 15 out of 16 SBS patients. The average increase in wet weight absorption was 743 ± 477 g/day and the overall increase in the relative wet weight absorption was 22 ± 16%. The fecal wet weight decreased significantly by 711 ± 734 g/day and the urine weight increased by 555 ± 485 g/day compared with baseline. In addition to the metabolic balance studies, the study also examined the possible histological changes in bowel biopsies obtained from the patients. In
the jejunum biopsies an increase in villus height (38 ± 45%), an increase in crypt depth (22 ± 18%), and an increase in mitotic index (115 ± 108%) were demonstrated. The general observation at follow-up 3 weeks after termination of the treatment was that teduglutide showed intestinal trophic properties in humans, possibly as a result of increasing the absorptive surface area of the intestine. However, most changes in intestinal absorption and histology related to teduglutide treatment had reversed at follow-up. This indicates that long-term treatment with teduglutide will be necessary, but also that treatment does not lead to irreversible structural changes [36]. Later, a Phase III multicenter, doubleblind, international clinical trial has been completed with 83 SBS patients on total parenteral nutrition (TPN) receiving daily subcutaneous injections of teduglutide (0.05 or 0.10 mg/kg/day) or placebo for a period of six months. Positive results were reported from this study where the clinical efficacy endpoint of the study was a reduction in TPN of 20% compared with baseline to weeks 16 - 24. Surprisingly, patients receiving the lower, but not the higher, dose responded with a statistically significant difference compared with placebo[109]. The urine volume increased by ~ 350 ml/day and the parenteral volume was decreased by ~ 350 ml/day in the 0.05-mg/kg/day teduglutide dose group, whereas the oral fluid intake decreased by ~ 350 ml/day and the parenteral volume decreased by ~ 350 ml/day in the 0.10-mg/kg/day teduglutide dose group. In fact, these parameters reflect the fluid composite effect which is the sum of increase in urine production, decrease in parenteral support, and reduction in oral fluid intake. The fluid composite effect is an indication of the increase in intestinal fluid absorption and the concomitant reduction in diarrhea. Analysis also showed a significant increase in small bowel villus height, plasma citrulline (a biomarker of enterocyte mass in SBS patients) concentration and lean body mass in both teduglutide dose groups compared with placebo. Of note, three teduglutide-treated patients were completely weaned off parenteral support.

Regarding the safety and tolerability, teduglutide was well-tolerated by patients with SBS. No withdrawals of participants were related to adverse events. Four patients reported serious adverse events (dehydration, sepsis, catheter-related infection), none of which were linked to the study drug as judged by the investigators. Common adverse effects included lower extremity edema (44%), localized swelling of the jejunostomy nipple (70%), headache (25%) and abdominal pain and symptoms of bowel obstruction (19%). Three patients had
minor injection site reactions. No clinically significant abnormal laboratory values were identified in relation to teduglutide treatment, and no antibodies to teduglutide were detected. Data on kinetics and metabolism of teduglutide are not yet available in the public domain. So far, no metabolites of teduglutide have been identified. Bioavailability after subcutaneous administration is 87 ± 14%. It is taken into systemic circulation with a median Tmax of 4 h, and the mean terminal elimination half-life is 3.2 h (based on the dose 0.12 mg/kg). It has a distribution similar to blood volume. Overall, Teduglutide was shown safe, well tolerated, intestinotrophic and suggested pro-absorptive effects facilitating reductions in parenteral support in patients with SBS with intestinal failure [20, 69].

In pediatric patients, necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) is a common neonatal GI disease that affects approximately 10% of premature infants with 20 - 40% mortality. Bowel resection is often required, and survivors may have malabsorption and failure to thrive. In preterm infants that required bowel resection, endogenous circulating GLP-2 levels were correlated with tolerance of enteral feeding. After a feed, infants with GLP-2 levels greater than 15 pmol/l were able to be weaned from TPN, whereas infants who had GLP-2 levels less than 15 pmol/l failed to survive or remained on TPN for at least one year [110]. Thus, in preterm infants endogenous GLP-2 levels may have a predictive value on chance of/ability to be weaned off TPN, with a lower level (< 15 pmol/l) having a negative prognostic value. In a preclinical model of necrotizing enterocolitis in neonatal pigs fed a formula that induced mucosal dysfunction and bacterial overgrowth, administration of trophic factors, including GLP-2 had limited effects [111]. Although further studies are necessary to confirm whether GLP-2 supplementation is of benefit in infants with SBS, it is encouraging that teduglutide was recently evaluated in a Phase I clinical study for safety and pharmacokinetic profile in pediatric patients with SBS who have undergone resection for NEC, volvulus, or intestinal atresia [112]. In a rodent model of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, the surgically treated animals had elevated systemic levels of GLP-2 in the days following surgery [113]. This early increase of endogenous GLP-2 appears to act as a compensatory mechanism that helps to drive intestinal adaptation after intestinal resection. Furthermore, in a rat model of intestinal resection with TPN, GLP-2 administration during the first week after surgery resulted in greater bowel weight crypt cell proliferation, and nutrient transporter expression (sodium/glucose cotransporter 1 (SGLT-1), glucose transporter (GLUT)-2, GLUT-5) [114].
Therefore, timing the administration of a GLP-2 receptor agonist to a period soon after intestinal resection may improve outcome.

**III-B. Inflammatory Bowel Disease**

Both cytoprotective and neuroprotective properties have been attributed to GLP-2 suggesting that it may have an important role in intestinal adaptation and repair during inflammatory events. In animal models, mice subjected to Dextran Sulfate Sodium (DSS)-induced colitis for 10 days in drinking water were given twice daily injections of 750 ng or 350 ng Teduglutide in 0.5 ml saline [27]. The weights and lengths of the small bowel and colon were significantly greater in mice receiving Teduglutide and DSS compared to mice receiving DSS alone. Improvements were also noted in histological morphology and crypt cell proliferation. In the HLA-B27 rat model of colitis, a 14-day systemic infusion of Teduglutide at a dose of 50 mg/kg/day decreased histological lesions by 90% in both the small and large intestine in rats treated with GLP-2 [115]. Furthermore, expression of the inflammatory cytokines, interferon (IFN)-γ and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α was decreased by 100% in GLP-2 treated rats. In an almost identical study by Arthur et al., HLA-B27 rats received 50 mg/kg/day of the GLP-2 analog for 14 days via an intraluminal pump or an intravenous injection [116]. In rats treated with GLP-2, there were significant improvements in stool consistency as well as histological inflammation scores compared to control groups. Sigalet et al. have as well investigated the therapeutic potential of GLP-2 in mice with TNBS-induced ileitis or DSS-induced colitis [55].

The protective effects of GLP-2 in both models of intestinal inflammation and damage were indicated by increased in crypt depth, villus height and proliferative activity of crypt cells. Consistent with the findings of Alavi et al., there was a significant reduction in inflammatory markers such as interleukin (IL)-1β, IFN-γ and TNF-α as well as a reduction in neutrophil activity [115]. The authors proposed that GLP-2 may have exerted its anti-inflammatory action via the GLP-2R expressed on enteric neurons. By using an antagonist of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP), they suggested that VIP mediated the anti-inflammatory properties of GLP-2 [55]. Specifically, GLP-2 in the mucosa may contribute to an endogenous beneficial response since there are increased numbers of GLP-2-positive
enteroendocrine cells during ileitis and after resolution of TNBS-induced colitis [117]. Interestingly, increases in epithelial 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) were noted in colon when inflammation was present in ileum, suggesting that GLP-2 can mediate a pan-enteric response to inflammation and release mediators controlling vascular perfusion and motility at site(s) distant from the insult [118]. In a study by Ivory et al., using the IL-10 knock-out (IL-10−/−) mouse model of spontaneous colitis, it was demonstrated that the anti-inflammatory actions of GLP-2 may have been IL-10 independent [119].

Overall, studies in rodent models of intestinal injury have shown that teduglutide ameliorates mucosal damage, intestinal lesions, and inflammation and, in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. [27, 116, 120]. As an alternative to Teduglutide, the capacity for inhibitors of DPPIV activity to increase physiological concentrations of biologically active GLP-2 was investigated. There was no observed difference in the development and resolution of DSS-induced colitis between wild-type and DPPIV gene knock-out mice [121]. However, DPPIV inhibitors were significantly able to reduce disease activity in wildtype mice and crypt cell proliferation was greater in mice treated with DPPIV inhibitors during the recovery phase, indicating an increased bio-activity of GLP-2 [122].

As for human studies, analysis of circulating active and non-active GLP-2 in human subjects with either CD or UC revealed that patients with active disease had elevated levels of bio-active GLP-2 compared to healthy controls [123]. The authors suggested that this may have been an innate compensatory mechanism to the intestinal injury associated with IBD. In contrast, Schmidt et al. reported no difference in either tissue or plasma concentrations of meal stimulated GLP-2 between patients with IBD and non-IBD controls [124]. Further studies are required to characterize the innate adaptive GLP-2 response during human intestinal inflammation and damage. Concerning exogenous GLP-2 analogs administration, in an eight week dose-ranging study of teduglutide (0.05, 0.10, or 0.20 mg/kg daily) in 71 patients with moderate-to-severe Cohn’s Disease, remission was induced after 8 weeks of treatment in over 55% of study participants at the highest dose, compared to only 33% of those receiving placebo [71]. These results provide compelling evidence for the use of Teduglutide in the treatment of intestinal diseases associated with compromised repair of the intestinal mucosa. However, further studies are required to fully investigate
the effects of exogenously administered GLP-2 into the gastrointestinal environment. However, the authors suggested that teduglutide could be successful in Phase III clinical trials in Crohn’s disease. If these are completed successfully, teduglutide could be considered a ‘first-in-class’ because, unlike the approved therapies, it is not expected to be directly immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory.

**III-C. Diabetes**

The GI tract contributes to glucose homeostasis of the organism primarily by the release of GLP-1 and glucose-dependent insulinotrophic peptide (GIP), both of which stimulate insulin secretion. The endogenous release of GLP-1 may be insufficient in type 2 diabetic (T2DM) patients and GLP-1 receptor agonists are approved for use in T2DM [125]. Increasing the endogenous level of GLP-1 via inhibition of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 has also been found to be effective in patients with T2DM [126]. In contrast to the GLP-1, GLP-2 does not modulate insulin secretion and little attention was paid to its potential effects on other metabolic hormones [127]. However, GLP-2 receptor immunoreactivity and mRNA transcripts were detected in rat (but not mouse) pancreatic alpha cells [73, 83]. In mice null for GLP-2 receptor, Bahrami and colleagues could not find evidence for a role for endogenous GLP-2 in the control of glucose homeostasis or islet function under normal or diabetic conditions [73]. However, GLP-2 stimulated glucagon secretion in rats, which is consistent with data in healthy human volunteers, where native GLP-2 increased circulating glucagon levels, although plasma glucose levels were unchanged [83, 128]. The possibility remains that in diabetic patients, pancreatic α-cells exhibit inappropriate glucagon responses to GLP-2 receptor activation. In type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) patients, GLP-2 stimulated the release of glucagon without endogenous insulin production during maintenance of stable glucose concentrations [129]. Thus, GLP-2 could contribute to excessive postprandial glucagon levels in T1DM patients. It is therefore of concern that GLP-2 agonists in development for treatment of SBS could have potential deleterious effects on glucose tolerance via increased glucagon-induced hepatic glucose production.

Obese and diabetic mice display enhanced intestinal permeability and metabolic endotoxemia. GLP-2 peptide treatment reduces mucosal bacteria adherence and
translocation and enhances intestinal epithelial barrier function potentially by altering the expression of tight junctional proteins [58, 130]. Thus, GLP-2 treatment would limit the increased gut permeability that promotes bacterial translocation, specifically the endotoxemia and inflammation associated with metabolic dysfunction. Indeed, GLP-2 treatment reduced inflammation in ob/ob mice fed a high-fat diet, whereas a GLP-2 receptor antagonist reversed many of the beneficial metabolic actions of a prebiotic [58, 79]. Further support for this notion is evidence that microbiota modulate gut permeability through a GLP-2 mechanism [131]. Acute pancreatitis is also associated with increased intestinal permeability, and teduglutide treatment significantly decreased intestinal permeability associated with taurocholate and trypsin induced pancreatitis in rats [47]. Overall, the inter-relationship between GLP-2 the intestinal microbiome with respect to bacterial translocation and inflammatory conditions including metabolic syndrome warrants further attention.

**III-D. Post-operative ileus**

Postoperative ileus (POI) continues to be a significant clinical problem following open abdominal surgery, extending the length of hospital stay and contributing to postoperative morbidity and complications. Disturbance to the bowel during abdominal surgery elicits multifactorial responses within the bowel wall that inhibit intestinal smooth muscle contractility. In POI a cellular inflammatory response is initiated via the activation of resident macrophages and the production of chemokines that recruit neutrophils, monocytes and mast cells to the intestinal muscularis and mucosa. The onset of cellular inflammation correlates temporally with the decline in intestinal smooth muscle contractility, with both inflammatory cell numbers and the degree of bowel stasis reaching their peak 24 h postoperatively. The dysmotility associated with inflammation is thought to be due to the release of cytokines (IL-6, IL-1β), NO and prostaglandins. These mediators impair neuromuscular communication, inhibit smooth muscle contractility, and enhance central inhibitory neural reflexes. Intestinal dysmotility is exacerbated by post-operative opioid analgesic use, which has a direct inhibitory effect of neuromuscular communication [132, 133].
Extraintestinal GLP-2R expression and effect of inflammation

Alvimopan, a mu-opioid receptor antagonist that acts locally within the GI tract (Enterex, Adalar Corp., Exton, PA) is the only FDA-approved treatment for accelerating recovery time following partial large or small bowel resection surgery. The mechanism for this enhanced recovery is the blockade of opioid receptors, primarily on neural reflexes that are inhibited by administration of opioid analgesics and by local release of endogenous opioids [134]. While alvimopan addresses the opioid-induced inhibitory effects on GI motility, it does not alter the mechanisms by which inflammation suppresses gastrointestinal motility. The administration of GLP-2 peptide is expected to delay gastric emptying and slow intestinal transit [135]. Surprisingly, when a GLP-2 peptide analog was administered chronically for 10 days in mice, upper gastrointestinal transit was enhanced [136]. This finding, plus the fact that the initiation and maintenance of POI involves immune cell and neural interactions raised the question whether GLP-2 receptor agonism could be beneficial in POI.

A peptide analog of GLP-2 linked to the Fc portion of an immunoglobulin (GLP-2/IgG) was constructed, which dramatically increased the half life of native GLP-2 from minutes to days. In a mouse model of POI, the prophylactic administration of GLP-2/IgG 24 h before intestinal surgery attenuated inflammation associated with POI and accelerated recovery from bowel stasis [136]. GLP-2 receptor agonism mediated these beneficial effects shortly after intestinal manipulation by decreasing the expression of pro-inflammatory genes and enhancing the expression of genes involved in maintaining mucosal integrity and repair. Surgical manipulation of the bowel adequate to induce POI has also been shown to impair mucosal barrier function [137]. Although transient in duration, this increase in mucosal permeability is sufficient to allow passage of gut derived bacterial cell wall components, enterotoxin and intact bacteria, contributing to the postoperative inflammatory response. Mediators that enhance mucosal barrier function have the potential to modify inflammation. GLP-2/IgG treatment resulted in a modest increase in occludin gene expression involved in the enhancement of the trans-epithelial resistance [136]. Thus the protective effect of GLP-2 receptor agonism may in part be due to an effect on mucosal integrity.
Interestingly, induction of GLP-2 receptor gene expression is also observed in the mucosa following abdominal surgery, with levels peaking six hours after bowel manipulation in the same mouse POI model [136]. Similar observations were made in a rat model of 90% intestinal resection, where increased GLP-2 receptor mRNA was noted in full thickness ileal segments 3 days after sham surgery or bowel resection, with the greatest increase seen in the resected animals [114]. This indicates that relatively minor disturbances to the bowel can lead to a rapid increase in GLP-2 receptor gene expression, and that the magnitude of expression is dependent on the degree of disturbance to the bowel. Systemic GLP-2 protein is also elevated in the hours and days following surgery, thus GLP-2 is positioned to affect GI function via upregulation of its receptor [113]. Although subpopulations of mucosal epithelial cells and neuronal elements within the GI are known to express the GLP-2 receptor, it is not known whether these or other cell types are the site of receptor upregulation. Both exogenous GLP-2 and abdominal surgery leads to activation of a subpopulation of neurons of the submucosal plexus, and it is well established that neural reflexes within the submucosal plexus play an important role in regulating mucosal blood flow as well as secretory and absorptive function [114]. How GLP-2 modulates the function of these various cell types to generate its various protective and adaptive effects is yet to be elucidated.

**III-E. Chemo and Radiation Induced Gastrointestinal Mucositis**

GI mucositis is a frequent complication of cytoreductive cancer chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Patients have difficulty digesting and absorbing nutrients from an oral diet which contributes to the malnutrition or cachexia associated with cancer chemotherapy. Symptoms can be so severe as to prevent patients from completing their treatment schedule. GI mucositis is most prominent in the small intestine, but also occurs in the esophagus, stomach, and large intestine [138]. Approximately 10 - 30% of patients who receive multi-cycle standard dose chemotherapy undergo severe GI mucositis [139]. To our knowledge, there are no currently approved treatments for GI mucositis. A recent review of intestinal growth factors has highlighted the role of GLP-2 in its capacity to reduce chemotherapy-induced intestinal mucositis in preclinical models, leading to reduced mortality and weight loss, increased crypt survival, crypt death, and villus height [140]. In
addition, we found that GLP-2 receptor agonism suppressed the increased mucosal mRNA expression of early growth response gene (EGR)-1 which has been linked to increased intestinal epithelial cell injury and reduced barrier function [141]. Mucositis increases the risk of infection in myelosuppressed patients and the risk of infection-related death. Therefore improving GI barrier function and nutrient absorption would be beneficial for these patients.

**III-F. Wound Healing**

Hormones such as GLP-2 that increase crypt cell proliferation may have a beneficial effect on recovery from intestinal resection. Changes in cytokine or growth factor expression can affect anastomotic healing, which is a complicated series of events which proceeds similarly to skin wound healing [142]. Growth hormone and IGF-1 have been shown to have positive effects in rodent models [143, 144]. The question of whether GLP-2 treatment would affect anastomotic strength and wound healing was recently addressed in a rat model of colonic healing. Neither GLP-2 peptide nor the long acting analog GLP-2/IgG affected the anastomotic bursting pressure under normoxic, and hypoxic conditions [145]. These data combined with the effects on crypt cell proliferation and cytokine levels suggest that GLP-2 analogs used perioperatively in humans with intestinal resection would not hinder intestinal anastomotic and wound healing.

**III-G. Therapeutic Potential**

The therapeutic potential of modulating GLP-2 receptor signaling in GI diseases is based on the multiplicity of indirect effects in the gut of locally released GLP-2 from intestinal enteroendocrine L cells. The resulting paracrine and endocrine responses of GLP-2 combine to meet the nutrient absorptive requirements of the individual by enhanced mesenteric blood flow, enhanced mucosal absorption, enhanced barrier function and coordination of GI propulsion. Thus, it is an attractive therapeutic option in conditions where in patients cannot meet their nutrient requirements without TPN which may be further compounded by perturbed inflammatory responses. This would include conditions such as SBS and NEC in infants, as well as damage to mucosal epithelial cells resulting from
chemotherapy or radiation-induced mucositis. So far, the real promising effects of GLP-2 analogs, especially teduglutide, was revealed in patients with SBS after phase III clinical trials was completed. However, the optimal duration and concentration requirements for GLP-2 or teduglutide to induce beneficial effects on intestinal secretion, motility, morphology, and, most importantly, absorption, are not known. Future studies have to show if a more frequent administration, higher dose, or longer duration of treatment will further improve intestinal function. It is clear that optimal dosage and administration to SBS patients may eventually result in long-term improvements in nutritional status and independence of parenteral nutrition (PN) in a fraction of SBS patients. However, because the effects of GLP-2 and teduglutide are reversed following withdrawal, lifelong administration is likely required to maintain sustained response.

IV- Proliferation of Normal and Neoplastic Tissue?

The therapeutic advantage of the intestinal-specific actions of GLP-2 makes this hormone an attractive candidate over other gut growth factors that also exhibit extraintestinal tropic actions, such as IGF-1. However, as with any growth factor, the potential for carcinogenic effects of chronic GLP-2 treatment must not be overlooked. Specifically, in chemotherapy-induced mucositis the proliferative and cytoprotective actions of GLP-2 are of concern because of the potential to support the growth and survival of tumor cells. Also, GLP-2 growth-promoting effects could be deleterious in IBD patients, who already possess an increased risk of gastrointestinal cancer due to chronic inflammation.

The role of GLP-2 in carcinogenesis is controversial. GLP-2 did not stimulate cell growth or attenuate cycloheximide-, LY294002-, indomethacin- or chemotherapy-induced cytotoxicity in several intestinal cancer cell lines and had no effect on the growth of human colon cancer cell xenografts in nude mice [146]. Moreover, GLP-2 did not modulate tumor growth in APCmin/+ (adenomatous polyposis coli; multiple intestinal neoplasia) mice, which have an activating mutation in APC causing increased cWnt signaling and are, thus, a model of familial colon cancer [146]. However, after azoxymethane treatment, aberrant mucin-depleted crypts and adenocarcinomas developed in GLP-2-treated mice suggesting that chronic treatment with GLP-2 may enhance colon carcinogenesis. Furthermore, the
promoting-effect on ACF was prevented by treatment with GLP-2^{3-33}, implicating endogenous GLP-2 in the development of colonic dysplasia [147]. Moreover, methylating carcinogen (dimethylhydrazine)-induced colonic tumors were increased in mice treated with long-acting Gly^{2}-GLP-2 compared with untreated control groups. Interestingly, Gly^{2}-GLP-2 specifically increased the number of small, medium, and large polyps in these animals, with medium and large polyps characterized as pedunculated nonmalignant adenomas, whereas native GLP-2 only increased the number of small polyps, none of which were malignant [148]. Together, these findings suggest that GLP-2 increases tumor initiation as well as progression in the dimethylhydrazine and azoxymethane models of sporadic murine colon cancer. Although the mechanism of this action has not been established, one possibility is the regulatory relationship between the cWnt and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways, both of which have been implicated in the pathogenesis of colorectal cancer [149]. In addition, the GLP-2 receptor was found to be expressed at low levels on some cervical cancer cell lines as well as a subset of epithelial cells in normal cervix and cervical cancer. Exogenous GLP-2 also inhibited apoptosis in a cervical cancer cell line transfected with the GLP-2 receptor [86]. Thus, concerns remain that GLP-2 receptor signaling engages pathways that could promote tumor cell growth or survival in the intestine or other organs.

The risk of enhanced growth and survival of intestinal tumor cells, due to proliferative and cytoprotective actions of GLP-2 might be mitigated by short-term treatment for acute disorders, such as POI. But whether patients with colon cancer requiring intestinal resection may be predisposed to relapse for colon carcinogenesis due to a short term prophylactic dose of GLP-2 agonists is unknown. If exogenous GLP-2 in pediatric SBS patients is sufficient to wean them from TPN, then it is of special concern in this patient population whether this could predispose infants to intestinal carcinoma.

Overall, the greatest challenge for the field is the satisfactory demonstration of a lack of GLP-2-enhanced risk of carcinogenesis after chronic or short-term treatment with longer-acting analogs. Thus, despite the beneficial effects of GLP-2 and its long-acting derivative, teduglutide, in the treatment of gastrointestinal disease, the potential for GLP-2 to induce carcinogenesis, albeit controversial, is an important issue that warrants further long-term investigation. Until sufficient long-term surveillance studies of a marketed
therapeutic agent have been completed to address these safety concerns, the extent to which GLP-2 analogs can be developed for treatments beyond orphan indications such as SBS will be limited. The orphan drug status of teduglutide in SBS patients requiring TPN will provide an avenue to assess many of these potential liabilities over a long-term course of treatment. Furthermore, if teduglutide shows proven clinical efficacy in chronic inflammatory disorders, in the absence of enhanced risk of carcinogenesis, then it is an attractive option as a ‘first-in-class’ treatment for IBD employing a very different mechanisms of action to restore mucosal integrity in the absence of direct immune-suppression.
(C) AIM OF THE WORK

GLP-2 is a pleiotropic intestinotrophic hormone that has been shown therapeutically attractive in digestive diseases. But to date, several essential questions in this field remained unanswered. First, the mechanisms underlying the regulatory actions of GLP-2 and its signaling pathways have not yet been sufficiently elucidated. For instance, nothing so far has been reported about the signaling of GLP-2 in enteroendocrine cells. Second, the expression pattern and the regulatory mechanisms of GLP-2R are not thoroughly explored. For example, the sites expression of GLP-2R and their biological significance inside and outside the gastrointestinal tract are not well defined. Also, potential regulation of GLP-2R expression under pathophysiological conditions has never been estimated. Third, major discrepancies in GLP-2 action have been revealed between animal models and clinical studies. The findings suggested that both the low and the high dose of teduglutide were more effective than the intermediate dose whereas studies in normal mice have indicated that the intestinotropic effects of GLP-2 are dose dependent. Thus, extending GLP-2 findings in animal models to humans seems to be complicated. Fourth, potential GLP-2 treatment side effects, notably extra-intestinal effects and enhanced carcinogenesis warrants further investigation.

We believe that the field of GLP-2 research has disregarded fundamental information about GLP-2/GLP-2R action and expression, and was mostly concerned by the therapeutic management and the drug development of GLP-2 analogs. In this study, we underlined the importance of elucidating the cellular expression and the tissue distribution of GLP-2R, as safety endpoint for further functional and clinical studies. To this aim, the expression of GLP-2R transcript was examined in different human, mouse and rat cell lines. We investigated as well the expression and distribution of GLP-2R in 19 different mouse organs and tissues. To reveal potential regulation of GLP-2R in inflammatory states, we studied the intestinal expression of GLP-2R in two mice models of chemically-induced colitis and also in colonic and ileal biopsies from IBD patients. Enhanced knowledge about the sites of expression of GLP-2R and its regulation would lead to a better comprehension of the biological functions of GLP-2 and therefore improved perception of drug management and development.
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ABSTRACT
The dual function of glucagon like peptide 2 (GLP-2) as growth factor and anti-inflammatory agent, has led to its consideration as a therapeutic potential in various digestive diseases. However, the localization of GLP-2 receptor (GLP-2R) remaining controversial, we examined its expression in various cell lines, in murine tissues, and in human and murine intestinal samples in inflammatory conditions. The expression of GLP-2R was detected in human colonic myofibroblasts (CCD-18Co) but not in intestinal epithelial cell lines, lymphocytes, monocytes, and umbilical vein endothelial cells. GLP-2R was expressed in murine (GLUTag), but not human (NCI-H716) enteroendocrine cells. We also detected GLP-2R expression in primary culture of rat enteric nervous system but not in enteric glial cells. The screening of GLP-2R mRNA in mice organs revealed an increasing gradient of GLP-2R toward the distal gut. Unexpectedly, a significant expression was detected in the central nervous system, mesenteric adipose tissue, mesenteric lymph nodes, bladder, spleen, and in the liver, particularly in hepatocytes. Using two experimental mice models of colitis, we showed that expression of GLP-2R mRNA was decreased in the colon of mice with TNBS- and DSS-induced colitis. Also, GLP-2R mRNA was significantly downregulated in intestinal tissues of IBD patients, notably in inflamed areas. In conclusion, previous hypotheses limiting GLP-2R expression and function to proximal bowel need to be revisited, and further studies should address the extra-intestinal biological function of GLP-2.

ABBREVIATIONS
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INTRODUCTION

Glucagon like peptide 2 (GLP-2) is a peptide hormone secreted by intestinal neuroendocrine cells and displaying intestinotrophic cytoprotective and neuroprotective properties. GLP-2 has mainly trophic effects on the intestine including enhancement of crypt cell proliferation, inhibition of apoptosis, and stimulation of nutrient digestion and absorption (25). GLP-2 regulates the size and integrity of the gut following insult, as well as in response to disease and altered nutrient status (6, 7). The apparent intestinal specificity of GLP-2 properties, unlike other mitogenic factors, renders this peptide attractive for clinical use in intestinal dysfunction conditions. Since GLP-2 half life is short (7 min), there has been a growing interest in the development of protease-resistant analogs such as Gly²-GLP-2 and teleglutide which would extend the half-life of GLP-2 and improve its efficacy. Notable intestinal actions of these long-acting GLP-2 analogs have been demonstrated in humans and they are currently considered in clinical trials for intestinal insufficiencies and diseases. Favorable effects were reported in recent clinical trials (phase 3) with Teduglutide (Gattex) in the treatment of short bowel syndrome (SBS) (13). It is currently under investigation for the treatment of Crohn’s disease (CD) (phase 2), and also in preclinical development for gastrointestinal mucositis in patients under chemotherapy (2, 13, 14).

The integrative responses to GLP-2 are mediated via the GLP-2 receptor (GLP-2R), which is a member of the glucagon/secretin G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) super-family (20). Precise tissue distribution of GLP-2R expression remains poorly known both in rodents and humans. It has been reported that GLP-2R expression is mainly confined to the intestinal tract and particularly to the stomach, duodenum and proximal small bowel (6). However, several studies have revealed weak expression of GLP-2R in the colon (23). Whether or not that may play a role in neoplastic development following exogenous GLP-2 administration remains unanswered (29). Also, extra-intestinal expression of GLP-2R has been reported notably in central nervous system, lung, and pancreas in rodents and humans (5, 18, 32). At the cellular level, GLP-2R is expressed in the enteroendocrine L cells, in intestinal subepithelial myofibroblasts, in enteric neurons of the submucosal and myenteric plexus, in pancreatic alpha cells and astrocytes in brain. (5, 11, 18, 31).

To enhance our knowledge concerning the potential functions of GLP-2 analogs, a better understanding for GLP-2R expression is considered necessary. We therefore realized a panel of GLP-2R expression in mice tissues and in several human, murine and rat cells lines. Given the therapeutic beneficial effects of GLP-2 analogs in intestinal disorders, we investigated the intestinal expression of GLP-2R in mice models of chemically-induced colitis and in inflammatory bowel disease patients.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

The human cell lines used in this study are intestinal epithelial cell lines (differentiated or undifferentiated) (Caco-2, HT-29, 5F7), colonic myofibroblast cell line (CCL-18Co), hepatocyte cell line (HepG2), T lymphocyte cell line (Jurkat), monocytic cell line (THP-1). The human enteroendocrine cell line (NCI-H716) was sympathetically offered by Pr. Bart Steals (U1011, Lille, France). Leukemia T cells were a generous gift from Pr. Bruno Quesnel (U837, Lille, France). Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were kindly provided by Dr. Eric Boulanger (EA 2693, Lille, France). Human primary hepatocytes and primary hepatic myofibroblasts were a precious gift from Dr. Filoména Conti (UPRES 1833, Paris, France) and Pr. sophie Lotersztajn (IMRB17, Paris, France). The embryonic intestinal epithelial cell line (FHC, CRL-1831) was an appreciated gift from Pr. Laurence Gamet-Payrastre (UMR 1089, Toulouse, France). The mouse enteroendocrine cell line (GLUTag) was kindly offered by Pr. Daniel Drucker (SLRI, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto). The rat cell lines primary culture of enteric nervous system (ENS), primary culture of enteric glial cells (JUG2) and immortalized glial cell line (CRL2690) were generously offered by Dr. Michel Neunlist (U 913, Nantes, France).

Isolation of hepatocytes

For hepatocytes isolation, mice were anesthetized by subcutaneous injection of ketamine (virbac, France)/xylazine (Ceva, France). A “U”-shaped incision was made through the skin of the lower abdomen to the lateral aspect of the rib cage and back to the skin over the chest. The cannula was inserted into the exposed vena cava, and an EDTA-containing wash medium was allowed to perfuse through the liver at 5ml/min for approximately 15 minutes. The wash medium was replaced by a collagenase type IV solution (Sigma-Aldrich, France) and a second perfusion was performed for 10 minutes. The entire liver was removed and dissociated on a petri dish-containing collagenase solution. Crude hepatocyte preparation was filtered through a gauze mesh filter (100 μm) and centrifuged at 50g for 2 min. The supernatant was discarded and the wash procedure was repeated three to four times. Hepatocytes were then cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen, France) + gentamycine (Invitrogen, France) + 100 nM dexamethasone (Invitrogen, France) with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. After 6-8 h, hepatocytes were adhered to the plate and culture medium was renewed. The viability (80.10⁶/liver) and purity (50%) of hepatocytes were verified using trypan blue and RAL555 staining.

Mouse tissue collection

For the evaluation of GLP-2R expression in mice tissues, a total of 8 mice were sacrificed and carefully dissected. Samples from nineteen different tissues and organs: brain, hypothalamus, heart,
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lung, esophagus, stomach, duodenum, jejunum, ileum, cecum, colon, rectum, mesenteric adipose tissue, mesenteric lymph nodes, spleen, liver, muscle, kidney and bladder were collected. Samples were snap-frozen immediately for subsequent RNA extraction.

Human tissue collection

Human tissue collection was conducted according to the National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Human Research and consent forms were signed by patients for the present study. Intestinal specimens were collected during surgery for Crohn's disease (CD) patients (n=19; 9 males, 10 females, average age 41 years) and ulcerative colitis (UC) patients (n=15; 8 males, 7 females, average age 50 years). Negative controls were patients operated on for isolated colorectal cancer (n=5; 3 males, 2 females, average age 53 years). Patients did not receive any treatment at time of surgery. Intestinal specimens were whole transparietal colon and ileum samples from healthy and inflamed regions. Healthy specimens were checked for absence of inflammation following macroscopic and histological examination. Intestinal samples from controls, Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis patients were always collected from distal ileum (20cm ahead of the cecum) and distal colon (25cm ahead of the rectum). Specimens from colorectal cancer patients were collected in the negative surgical margin.

Animal experimentation

Environmental conditions

Animal experiments were performed in accredited facilities at the Institut Pasteur (Lille, France) according to governmental guidelines. Animals were housed five per cage and had free access to standard mouse chow and tap water.

Trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid-induced colitis

C57bl6 mice, 9 weeks old, were anesthetized for 90–120 minutes and received an intrarectal administration of trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS, 40 µl, 150 mg/kg) dissolved in a 1:1 mixture of 0.9% NaCl with 100% ethanol. Control mice received a 1:1 mixture of 0.9% NaCl with 100% ethanol or a saline solution using the same technique. Animals harvest was performed 3 days after TNBS administration. Intestinal inflammation was evaluated macroscopically using the Wallace score. Colon samples were dehydrated and paraffin-embedded for histological analysis (Ameho score). For molecular analysis of GLP-2R expression, colon samples (2cm ahead of the rectum) were immediately frozen and stored at -80°C for RNA extraction.
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**Dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis**

Colitis was induced in 9 weeks BALB/c mice by one cycle of 5% dextran sulfate sodium (DSS) dissolved in sterile drinking water for 7 days. Animals were then harvested for clinical evaluation of colitis by assessing disease activity index including mice weight, stool consistency, bloody stool. Colon samples were dehydrated and paraffin-embedded for histological analysis. For molecular analysis of GLP-2R expression, colon samples (2 cm ahead of the rectum) were immediately frozen and stored at -80°C for RNA extraction.

**Primers design**

GLP-2R primers (Table 1) for quantitative PCR were designed according to the nucleotide sequences published in Genebank (Human GLP-2R NM_004246, Mouse GLP-2R NM_175681, Rat GLP-2R NM_021848). Primers efficiency test was performed using serial dilutions of complementary DNA. The efficiency of the primers obtained was ≈98% and the slope of the standard curve was equal to -3.2±0.1. The specificity of the primers was verified by sequencing the amplified product. Total RNA was isolated using NucleoSpin RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel) from human colonic myofibroblast cell line (CCD-18Co), mouse enteroendocrine cell line (GLUTag), and from primary culture of rat enteric nervous system (ENS) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. First strand cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription by means of random primers using the High capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). Complementary DNA was amplified using Taq DNA polymerase Native (Invitrogen) using specific oligonucleotides (Table 1). Amplified DNA was allowed to migrate on 3% agarose gel for 1 hour. Later, DNA bands were cut off from the gel and purified using Nucleospin PCR cleanup (Macherey-Nagel). DNA sequencing was performed by GenoScreen (Lille, France). Amplified sequences were 100% specific for the target gene.

**Real-Time Polymerase chain reaction**

Total RNA from cell lines, from human and mice tissues was isolated using NucleoSpin RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA quantification was performed using spectrophotometry. First strand cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription of 1 μg RNA using the High capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems) as prescribed by the supplier. Complementary DNA was stored at -20 °C. Gene expression was quantified using SYBR green Master Mix (Applera, Courtaboeuf, France) with specific oligonucleotides (Table 1) in a GeneAmp ABIprism 7000 (Applera, Courtaboeuf, France). In each assay, calibrated and no-template controls were included. SYBR green dye intensity was analyzed using the ABIprism 7000 SDS software (Applera, Courtaboeuf, France). All results were normalized to the beta-actin.
Agarose gel electrophoresis

Total RNA was isolated using NucleoSpin RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA quantification was performed using spectrophotometry. First strand cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription of 1 μg RNA using the High capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems) as prescribed by the supplier and complementary DNA was amplified using Taq DNA polymerase Native (Invitrogen). Amplified DNA was allowed to migrate on 3% agarose gel for later visualization using Gel documentation system. The size of the amplified products (Human transcript: 150bp, murine transcript: 185 bp) was verified by co-electrophoresis of a 100 base pair nucleotide marker (GibcoBRL).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the Wilcoxon Test for two independent samples. Statistics were calculated using SPSS software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Differences were considered statistically significant if the p value was <0.05. Values were represented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM).
RESULTS

GLP-2R mRNA expression in rodent and human cell lines

The expression of GLP-2R was examined in different primary and transformed cell lines of human, murine and rat origin (Figure 1). We first investigated the expression of GLP-2R mRNA in intestine-derived cells. Among cell lines tested, the presence of GLP-2R mRNA was detected in human intestinal subepithelial myofibroblasts (CCD-18Co), in murine enteroendocrine cell line (GLUTag) and in primary culture of rat enteric nervous system (ENS). Noticeably, GLP-2R was expressed in colonic myofibroblasts (CCD-18Co) but not in human intestinal epithelial cell lines Caco-2, HT-29, and 5F7 whether they were in a differentiated or undifferentiated state. Regarding the intestinal endocrine system, GLP-2R mRNA was detected in the murine enteroendocrine cell line GLUTag but not in the human analogous cell line NCI-H716 even if differentiated on a matrigel. The expression of GLP-2R was found in the primary culture of the enteric nervous system (ENS) but not in primary (JUG2) and transformed glial cells (CRL2690).

Beside intestine-derived cells, we have also examined GLP-2R expression in cells of the immune system. Neither human primary T lymphocytes nor Jurkat and THP-1 cell lines express GLP-2R transcript. GLP-2R mRNA was as well absent from human umbilical vein endothelial cell culture (Figure 1).

GLP-2R mRNA expression in mouse tissues

We investigated the expression of GLP-2R mRNA by RT-PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis in nineteen different mice organs and tissues in a total of eight mice. The highest GLP-2R expression was unexpectedly detected in the distal gut (colon and rectum) and bladder (Figure 2A). Along the intestinal tract, the profile of GLP-2R mRNA expression followed an increasing gradient from the duodenum to the distal colon (Figure 2A, 3A). There was no detectable expression in the esophagus.

Our data also revealed a widespread extraintestinal expression of GLP-2R transcript. Beside previously reported expression in the brain of mice, particularly in the hypothalamus, an unexpected expression was observed in the bladder, mesenteric adipose tissue, mesenteric lymph nodes, and to a lesser extent in the spleen and liver. There was no detectable expression in muscle, lung, kidney and heart samples (Figure 2B). Surprisingly, we found significant expression of GLP-2R in the liver. We therefore decided to investigate this observation by identifying GLP-2R expressing cells in the liver. Figure 3B,C showed that GLP-2R transcript was present in human and mouse hepatocytes but not in hepatic myofibroblasts. Of note, GLP-2R was expressed in primary but not in the immortalized hepatocytes HepG2 and HuH7.
Differential expression of GLP-2R mRNA in mouse and human tissues according to inflammation

In order to assess the impact of the inflammatory environment on GLP-2R expression, we examined GLP-2R mRNA expression in the colon of mice with trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS)-induced colitis. As shown in figure 4 (A, B, C) macroscopic and histological examination showed that a significant inflammation was established in the colon of mice three days after intra-rectal administration of TNBS (histological score 4.52±0.22 vs 0.1 (p<0.001); macroscopic score 5.94±0.29 vs 0.2±0.13 (p<0.001)). The expression of GLP-2R transcript in the colon of TNBS-induced colitis was decreased by 58% (p<0.05) compared to controls (Figure 4D). To confirm the decreased colonic expression of GLP-2R under inflammatory conditions, another model of chemically-induced colitis was employed. Dextran sulfate sodium was administered to mice in drinking water for 5 days followed by animal harvest and colitis evaluation. The severity of intestinal inflammation was assessed by histological examination and clinical evaluation of disease activity (mice weight, stool consistency, and presence of blood in the stool). As shown in figure 5 (E, F, G), DSS treated mice had significant colonic inflammation (histological score 11.8±0.49 vs 0 (p<0.001); macroscopic score 9±1.45 vs 0 (p<0.001)). Similarly to TNBS-treated mice, the expression of GLP-2R mRNA in the colon of mice with DSS-induced colitis was decreased by 53% (p<0.05) compared to control mice without colitis (Figure 5I).

We extended the assessment to human samples and we examined the expression of GLP-2R transcript in ileal and colonic tissues of IBD patients. First, we compared the colonic versus ileal expression of GLP-2R in healthy controls, CD and UC patients. Colonic and ileal samples were collected 25 cm ahead of the rectum and 20 cm ahead the cecum in regions displaying no signs of inflammation following macroscopic and histological examinations. The expression of GLP-2R in the ileum of healthy controls was reduced by 60% compared to colonic expression (p<0.01). Interestingly, non-inflamed intestinal regions of IBD patients displayed similar expression pattern of GLP-2R with 60% (p<0.05) and 90% (p<0.001) decrease in the ileum of CD and UC patients compared to the colonic expression, respectively (Figure 5A). Thus, in line with the data observed in mouse intestine, the colon displayed higher GLP-2R expression than the ileum.

Regarding the expression of GLP-2R in intestinal samples of IBD patients compared to controls. The expression of GLP-2R in healthy colon of CD patients was decreased by 50% (p<0.01) compared to controls. This expression was further decreased by 80% in inflamed colon of CD patients (p<0.005). Similarly, both healthy and inflamed ileal samples from CD patients displayed 65% (p<0.01) and 85% (p<0.005) decrease in GLP-2R expression compared to controls (Figure 5B).
Extra-intestinal GLP-2R expression and effect of inflammation

Therefore, in CD patients, inflamed colonic and ileal samples displayed further reduced expression of GLP-2R compared to non-inflamed samples (61% and 67%; p<0.05 for colon and ileum respectively).

In UC patients, the expression of GLP-2R was decreased by 70% in inflamed colonic samples compared to controls. However, healthy colonic samples from UC patients displayed similar GLP-2R expression to samples from controls. Conversely, a decrease of 90% in GLP-2R expression was detected in healthy ileal samples from UC patients as compared to healthy patients (Figure 5C).

Overall, these data demonstrate first an enhanced expression of GLP-2R in the colon as compared to ileum in both healthy subjects and IBD patients, and secondly, a reduced expression of GLP-2R in normal tissues as well as in inflamed zones of colon and ileum of IBD patients.
DISCUSSION

Although there is a wealth of information about the ultimate effects of GLP-2 on intestinal physiology, conclusive data about the expression level and the localization of GLP-2R are still lacking. In this study we demonstrated that GLP-2R seems to be more widely expressed than it was estimated. Beside GLP-2R presence in the central nervous system as previously reported by Lovshin, J. et al. (18, 19), we have detected an unexpected expression of GLP-2R mRNA in the bladder, mesenteric adipose tissue, as well as in the mesenteric lymph nodes, spleen and liver (Figure 2). The expression pattern of GLP-2R has been the subject of ongoing debate and disagreement in the literature. GLP-2R was shown by Lovshin et al. to be expressed in hypothalamic and extrahypothalamic regions in mice but was completely absent from the central nervous system in the work of Drucker D.J. (18, 32). In addition, de Heer et al. have localized GLP-2R on alpha cells of both human and rat pancreas but Yusta et al. failed to detect GLP-2R transcript in pancreatic mouse tissue and rat insulinoma cell lines (5, 32). The latter group has also reported GLP-2R expression in rat but not in mouse lungs. Furthermore, Thulesen, J. et al. have reported positive accumulation of GLP-2 in rat liver but were not able to define whether this detection represented a specific receptor binding or a non-specific metabolic fate (28). On the other hand, the group of Drucker D.J. has shown by Northern blot that GLP-2R transcript was absent from mouse and rat liver tissues (32). Thus, an agreement on GLP-2R expression has not yet been established. The reasons behind these discrepancies would be from one side the characteristic cell-specific location of GLP-2R and from the other side the lack of specific tools to assess this particular expression. Aware of these difficulties and to reinforce our data, we opted for a particular strategy for GLP-2R primers design. The oligonucleotides were thoroughly designed and the amplicon was sequenced to confirm the specificity of the detection (see materials and methods). In our work, we demonstrated that GLP-2R mRNA was expressed in various organs displaying important physiological functions. Therefore, although pharmacological studies have characterized GLP-2 analogs devoid of noticeable extraintestinal actions, the concern that GLP-2 agonists could have potential effects on various physiological systems warrants further investigation as safety endpoints in clinical trials.

It was widely believed that GLP-2R is predominantly expressed in the stomach and proximal small bowel (5, 11, 32). Nevertheless, exhaustive quantification of GLP-2R distribution along the intestinal tract is still lacking. Our results showed that the expression of GLP-2R transcript in mice increased gradually while proceeding distally and appeared to be the highest in the colon and rectum (Figure 2A and 3A). More importantly, in man, the colonic expression of GLP-2R in human samples was as well significantly higher than ileal expression (Figure 5A). The predominant expression of GLP-2R in the distal bowel was in accordance with the known distribution in the colon of GLP-2R
expressing enteroendocrine L cells (32). Our observations were also in line with data by Taylor-Edwards C.C. et al. who have evaluated the expression of GLP-2R transcript in various intestinal compartments of ruminants. They have shown that there was a gradient of expression of GLP2R mRNA from forestomach tissues to the distal colon (27). Therefore, the expression of GLP-2R and the biological activities of GLP-2 in the colon should not be underestimated anymore. Also, whether there is a link between the high GLP-2R colonic expression and enhanced risk of colorectal cancer following exogenous GLP-2 administration warrants further investigation (29).

Preclinical studies in animal models of intestinal disorders and clinical trials in humans have suggested an important role for GLP-2 in intestinal adaptation and repair during inflammatory events (10, 13, 15). However, in these studies nothing has been reported about the potential regulation of GLP-2R expression under inflammatory conditions. Here, we demonstrated that the intestinal expression of GLP-2R was significantly decreased in experimental mice models of colitis and in IBD patients (Figure 4 and 5). We showed that, non-inflamed regions in IBD patients displayed reduced colonic and ileal GLP-2R expression compared to healthy controls. Whether or not this diminished expression is due to pre-existent background or is secondary to the active disease remains to be determined. Moreover, in inflamed zones of CD and UC patients, the expression of GLP-2R mRNA was further decreased compared to non-inflamed regions. Whether the downregulation of GLP-2R is a cause or a consequence of the inflammatory milieu warrants further exploration. In fact, the profile of intestinal inflammation in the two models of TNBS- and DSS-induced colitis employed in this study is quite different. Whereas TNBS induced a T-cell mediated response in the colon, DSS exhibited a direct toxicity on epithelial cells. Thus, the fact that GLP-2R expression was decreased in two different experimental models of colitis (and also in IBD patients), implies that this downregulation could be secondary to inflammatory signals. Indeed, severe intestinal inflammation is characterized by mucosa destruction which could result in potential loss of GLP-2R expressing cells (enteroendocrine cells and subepithelial myofibroblasts). Thus, until profoundly investigated, the decrease in intestinal expression of GLP-2R mRNA in IBD patients could explain the limited efficacy of GLP-2 analogs in the treatment of CD as compared to its recognized efficiency in short bowel treatment which is usually not in majority an inflammatory condition (2, 13).

At the cellular level, we showed as others that GLP-2R was not expressed in intestinal epithelial cell lines but prominently present in mouse intestinal myofibroblastic cell line, CCD-18Co and in rat primary culture of enteric neurons (ENS) (Figure 1). Within this context, It was proposed that GLP-2 exerts its intestinotrophic actions indirectly, via downstream mediators derived from GLP-2R-expressing cells (1, 11, 23, 32). GLP-2 signaling through subepithelial myofibroblasts and enteric
neurons induced the release of several growth and anti-inflammatory factors mediating the proliferative and cytoprotective effects of GLP-2 (8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 17, 21, 23, 26). Concerning the enteroendocrine system, immunostaining studies have well documented the presence of GLP-2R in neuroendocrine cells in human and porcine intestine (11). In our study, we showed that GLP-2R was expressed in the murine enteroendocrine cell line GLUTag cells but completely absent from the human analogous cells NCI-H716 (Figure 1). This suspects the initially documented endocrine functions of NCI-H716 cells (4). In fact, the classification of NCI-H716 as a human enteroendocrine cell line has been recently revisited. Several mediators (insulin, phorbol myristate acetate) known as regulators of proglucagon gene expression in primary rodent islet cell lines, had no effect on the human proglucagon gene expression. Also, human proglucagon promoter was shown to be transcriptionally inactive in NCI-H716 cells (3, 22, 24). Altogether, these data do not support the adequacy of NCI-H716 cells as a valid model of human enteroendocrine system.
CONCLUSION

Our work has shown that GLP-2R expression was expressed in the whole digestive tract, but predominantly in the distal bowel. We have also shown that GLP-2R was expressed outside the digestive tract including the bladder, liver, spleen, mesenteric lymph nodes. Therapeutic trials have shown that GLP-2 analogs will likely require chronic administration given that its growth-promoting effects were reversed upon withdrawal of treatment (14, 30). Thus, long-term surveillance studies are needed to weigh the benefits of GLP-2 treatment versus potential adverse outcomes.
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FIGURE 2: Glucagon-like peptide 2 receptor mRNA expression in mouse tissues.

A. Expression of GLP-2R mRNA/β-actin in mouse tissues. GLP-2R mRNA expression was expressed as relative expression with respect to the rectum (highest expression) normalized to 1. GLP-2R is predominantly expressed in the distal gastrointestinal tract. An unexpected expression was detected in the mesenteric adipose tissue, mesenteric lymph nodes, bladder, spleen and liver.

B. Agarose gel electrophoresis for GLP-2R transcript in mouse tissues. GLP-2R mRNA is not detectable in the kidney, lung, esophagus, muscle, and heart tissues (Abbreviations; MLN: mesenteric lymph nodes; jej: jejunum; duo: duodenum; mes fat: mesenteric fat; esoph: esophagus; HT: hypothalamus). Values are expressed as mean ± SEM in 8 samples.
FIGURE 3: Glucagon-like peptide 2 receptor mRNA expression in intestinal and hepatic samples.

A. Expression of GLP-2R mRNA/β-actin in mouse intestinal samples from the duodenum (Duo), jejunum (JeJ), ileum (Ile), proximal colon (Prox Col), medial colon (Med Col), and distal colon (Dis Col). The expression of GLP-2R mRNA increases gradually from duodenum to distal colon. GLP-2R mRNA expression was expressed as relative expression with respect to the duodenum normalized to 1.

B. Agarose gel electrophoresis for GLP-2R transcript in human total liver, primary culture of hepatocytes, primary culture of hepatic myofibroblasts and in HepG2 and HuH7 cell lines. GLP-2R is expressed in human liver and only detectable in primary hepatocytes.

C. Agarose gel electrophoresis for GLP-2R transcript in mouse total liver and primary culture of hepatocytes. GLP-2R is also expressed in murine primary hepatocytes.
FIGURE 4: Glucagon-like peptide 2 receptor mRNA expression in experimental colitis. A-D Model of TNBS-induced colitis (n=60 mice). Intestinal inflammation was induced by intra-rectal administration of TNBS. The severity and extent of intestinal inflammation was assessed at day 3 post TNBS by (A,B) histological and (C) macroscopic score. D. Relative expression of GLP-2R mRNA/β-actin in colonic tissues from TNBS-induced colitis. GLP-2R mRNA was expressed as relative expression with respect to control mice (no colitis) normalized to 1. Values are expressed as mean ± SEM, p<0.05. E-H Model of DSS-induced colitis (n=60 mice). Intestinal inflammation was induced by administration of DSS in drinking water for 7 days. The severity and extent of intestinal inflammation was assessed at day 7 by (E,F) histological and (G) disease activity index. H. Relative expression of GLP-2R mRNA/β-actin in colonic tissues from DSS-induced colitis. GLP-2R mRNA was expressed as relative expression with respect to control mice (no colitis) normalized to 1. Values are expressed as mean ± SEM, p<0.05.
FIGURE 5: Glucagon-like peptide 2 receptor expression in intestinal tissues of inflammatory bowel disease patients. **A.** Expression of GLP-2R mRNA/β-actin in healthy colon and ileum samples (n=10 for each condition) from controls, Crohn’s disease and Ulcerative colitis patients. GLP-2R mRNA was expressed as relative expression with respect to colonic samples from healthy controls normalized to 1. **B and C.** Expression of GLP-2R mRNA/β-actin in healthy (healthy colon: HC; healthy ileum: HI) and inflamed (inflamed colon: IC; inflamed ileum: II) intestinal samples from CD (B) and UC (C) patients compared to samples from healthy controls. GLP-2R mRNA was expressed as relative expression with respect to colonic samples from healthy controls normalized to 1. Values are expressed as mean ± SEM.
Extra-intestinal GLP-2R expression and effect of inflammation

**TABLES**

**Table 1: List of primers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human primers</strong></td>
<td>(5’ → 3’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>F - TCACCCACACTGTGCCCATCTACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R - CAGCGGAACCGCTCATGCAATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP-2R</td>
<td>F - CTGGTCTCATTCCCTTATGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R - GGCAAAACCATACTGCAAGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mouse primers</strong></td>
<td>(5’ → 3’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>F - CCATGTCCGTCAGTTGATAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R - GAATGGGTCAGAAGGACTCCTATGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP-2R</td>
<td>F - TGCTCGAGAAACCTTCTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R - CTCCTGCTTCTGCAAGTCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rat primers</strong></td>
<td>(5’ → 3’)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>F - AGCCATGTACGTCACCCATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R - CTCTCAGCTGTGGTGAGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLP-2R</td>
<td>F - CAGTGCCCAGTAGATGCAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R - TGGTGGAGTAGGAGGAGGAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(E) CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

Since the original report of the intestinotrophic actions of GLP-2 by Drucker and colleagues [5], a number of studies have demonstrated the therapeutic efficacy of GLP-2 and the protease-resistant GLP-2 analogs in several animal models as well as in patients with intestinal dysfunction [150]. Currently, there is now mounting clinical evidence that GLP-2 can provide a new therapeutic strategy in SBS patients in whom it may pave the path towards reducing parenteral nutrition dependence [69].

However, there are still several gaps in our knowledge regarding the intestinal response to GLP-2. This is mainly due to the lack of information about the expression pattern and the regulation of GLP-2R. To enhance our knowledge concerning the potential functions of GLP-2 analogs, a better understanding for GLP-2R expression is considered necessary. In this work, we showed that the expression of GLP-2R is not by any means restricted to the proximal bowel as it was believed. We showed that at least six organs out of the gastrointestinal tract exhibit detectable expression of GLP-2R including, the bladder, mesenteric adipose tissue, mesenteric lymph nodes, central nervous system, spleen and liver. These observations suggest that the intestinal functions of GLP-2 may be functionally linked to currently unidentified whole body actions. Indeed, recent studies have identified bone as a target of GLP-2 action, although how these effects are mediated is completely unknown [151]. Thus, even though clinical studies have so far identified GLP-2 analogs as therapeutically attractive in gastrointestinal diseases, hypotheses pretending that GLP-2 or GLP-2 analogs are devoid of extra-intestinal effects need to be revisited. Clinical trials have demonstrated that GLP-2 appears safe and well tolerated in the short and medium term. Nevertheless, this would not exclude the appearance of adverse outcomes following long term treatment in the intestine or others organs carrying the receptor. This observation is particularly important since it has been shown that GLP-2 analogs will likely require chronic administration given that the growth-promoting effects of teduglutide in patients with SBS, and those of GLP-2 in mice also, are reversed upon withdrawal of treatment [36, 46]. Thus, future studies will have to consider the full range of GLP-2 effects, as well as all the sites of GLP-2R expression, to elucidate the multiple mechanisms of GLP-2 action.
On the other hand, this study showed that the maximal GLP-2R expression in the gastrointestinal tract was observed in the distal bowel (colon and rectum). There was a gradient of GLP-2R expression increasing from the duodenum toward the distal colon of mice. Similarly, healthy and IBD patients displayed significantly higher GLP-2R expression in the colon than in the ileum. Logically, GLP-2 actions would be therefore more pronounced in the colon than in the proximal bowel. The high colonic expression of GLP-2R might reveal undefined yet interesting therapeutic potentials for GLP-2 in the colon. But this therapeutic benefit is limited by concern that it predisposes patients to gastrointestinal cancers, or their re-occurrence in cancer patients. This may be especially important in IBD patients, who already possess an increased risk of gastrointestinal cancer due to chronic inflammation as well as in patients with chemotherapy-induced mucositis. Thus, long-term colonic surveillance studies must be considered to weigh the benefits of GLP-2 treatment versus the enhanced risk of carcinogenesis.

Most importantly, the absence of studies addressing the potential regulation of GLP-2R under states of intestinal dysfunction and/or inflammation is intriguing. Effectively, the presence of unrevealed GLP-2R regulation might redirect the therapeutic use of GLP-2 analogs in several digestive diseases. In the study, we showed that the intestinal expression of GLP-2R was significantly decreased in experimental mice models of colitis and in IBD patients. Since this is the first work showing a dysregulation GLP-2R expression in IBD, it would be interesting to determine whether this decrease is a consequence of pre-existing genetic background or secondary to disease activity. However, since this observation was consistent in two mice models of colitis, differentially mediated, as well as in IBD intestinal tissues; it’s more likely that the inflammatory milieu in the intestine influence the expression of GLP-2R. What support this hypothesis is that inflamed colonic and ileal samples from IBD displayed a further reduced GLP-2R expression compared to non-inflamed zones. Thus, beside the potential of GLP-2-induced increased carcinogenesis in IBD patients (who are already at high risk) the biological effect of GLP-2 in these patients might be overestimated. Overall, this observation warrants further exploration and could explain the limited efficacy of GLP-2 analogs in the treatment of CD as compared to its recognized efficiency in short bowel treatment which is usually not in majority an inflammatory condition [69, 71].
It has become clear that GLP-2 functions through multiple interrelated pathways that defy simple definition. Each new discovery in this field raises important new questions, both for the actions of GLP-2 and for the integrated physiology of the intestine. Therefore, with the aim of accelerating mechanistic discoveries of the proglucagon and its derived peptides (GLP-1, GLP-2), several intestinal endocrine cell lines have been developed. Of note, NCI-H716 is the most commonly used human enteroendocrine cell line. In fact, these cells were classified as enteroendocrine based on their ability to secrete the glucagon in a regulated manner. However, we have shown that these cells do not express the GLP-2R mRNA, an observation that contradicts the classification of NCI-H716 as a human enteroendocrine cell line. Besides, many studies have already questioned the relevance of NCI-H716 as an human enteroendocrine system since these cells exhibit a transcriptionally inactive promoter and altered responses to well-recognized control factors of the rodent proglucagon [152-154]. Thus, further studies need to address the function of the GLP-2R in intestinal endocrine cells, although it is tempting to hypothesize a role in the regulation of intestinal peptide hormone and/or serotonin secretion.

Finally, the optimal duration and concentration requirements for GLP-2 to induce beneficial effects on intestinal secretion, motility, morphology, and, most importantly, absorption, are yet not known. What add a further level of complexity, are the major discrepancies in GLP-2 action between animal models and clinical studies. The findings suggested that both the low (0.05 mg/kg/day) and the high (0.2 mg/kg/day) dose of teduglutide were more effective than the intermediate dose (0.1 mg/kg/day) whereas previous studies in normal mice have indicated that the intestinotropic effects of GLP-2 are dose dependent [36, 38]. Therefore, extending GLP-2 findings in animal models to human seems to be complicated. Thus, future studies have to show if a more frequent administration, higher dose, longer duration of treatment, or possibly co-administration with other growth factors will further improve intestinal function. However, because the effects of GLP-2 and teduglutide are reversed following withdrawal, lifelong administration may be required.

Overall, a major goal of future research should therefore be to discover not only novel therapeutic usages of GLP-2 but also to exactly determine the sites and the levels of
GLP-2R expression as well as the unrevealed GLP-2 actions yet. This will enhance our understanding about the complex paracrine and neurocrine signaling induced by GLP-2 and help avoiding potential adverse outcome.
INTESTINAL HOMEOSTASIS – SECTION 2

The 5-Aminosalicylic Acid (5-ASA) Anti-neoplastic Effect in the Intestine is Mediated by Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Gamma

PREFACE

Our interest in the intestinal homeostasis was not restricted to the field Glucagon-like peptide 2 and its appropriate receptor. Another research focus that currently represents a hot topic with intense clinical relevance is the promising preventive role of 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) in colorectal cancer associated with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).

Less than two decades ago, inflammatory bowel diseases including – Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) – were described as ‘important and disabling diseases, still under-researched. Since then, whilst the etiology remained unknown, the understanding of the molecular mediators and mechanisms of tissue injury have greatly advanced, and certain features of these diseases have suggested several areas of possible importance, such as genetic, infectious, and immunological factors. Histological observations of affected tissue from IBD patients have revealed lymphocytic and neutrophilic infiltration, the hallmarks of inflammation. Medical therapy for IBD has advanced dramatically in the last decade with the introduction of targeted biologic therapies, the optimization of older therapies, including drugs such as immunomodulators and 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA), as a result of a better understanding of the mucosal immune system and the genetics involved in the pathogenesis of IBD. Since then and for years, the treatment of IBD has been mainly limited to 5-aminosalicylic acid, corticosteroids and tumor necrosis factor blockers, empirically employed to control the inflammatory activity of IBD.

Because of chronic inflammation, IBD patients especially those with UC, are threatened by a higher risk of colorectal cancer (CRC) compared to normal population. Indeed, relationship between inflammation and cancer has been suggested for a long time. Since B. J. Marshall and J. R. Warren, who discovered Helicobacter pylori and reported its
infection closely associated with gastric cancer development, won the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine in 2005, there have been an increasing number of reports as to the relationship between inflammation and carcinogenesis in a variety of tissues. In terms of the large bowel, it has been found that the risk of colorectal cancer increases in relation to the degrees of inflammation and the disease duration. Therefore, chemopreventive measures are highly recommended in IBD patients. Regular colonoscopic surveillance aiming at early detection of dysplasia is relatively a limited technique since only 20-50% of neoplasms are detected by endoscopic surveillance. On the other hand, epidemiological studies have suggested that IBD patients, who were also regular users of the anti-inflammatory drug 5-ASA, displayed reduced risk of CRC. Since then, an emerging chemopreventive role for 5-ASA has been addressed and later subjected to further investigations. Molecular and pharmacological studies have thoroughly examined the anti-neoplastic properties of 5-ASA and several mechanisms have been proposed, notably regulation of cell proliferation and induction of apoptosis. However, to date, the receptor the 5-ASA anti-tumorigenic effects remains undetermined. In the present work, we examined whether the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma (PPARγ), which mediated the anti-inflammatory effects of 5-ASA, would also mediates its anti-neoplastic properties. To this aim, we investigated the anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic effects of 5-ASA in vitro as well as in two animal models of intestinal carcinogenesis. The involvement of PPARγ was assessed by the addition of a specific antagonist for this receptor.
### (A) ABSTRACT

**Background:** Epidemiologic evidences suggest that long-term 5-ASA therapy may prevent the development of colorectal cancer in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). The mechanism by which 5-ASA may exert this protective effect is unknown. **Aim:** To evaluate if PPARγ mediates *in vivo* and *in vitro* the anti-neoplastic effects of 5-ASA. **Methods:** In vitro, HT-29 and Caco-2 cells were treated either by 5-ASA, rosiglitazone or etoposide in the presence or not of the specific PPARγ antagonist GW9662. Epithelial cell growth, proliferation and apoptosis were assessed by cell count, Ki-67 staining and TUNEL assay respectively. In vivo, the anti-neoplastic effect of 5-ASA was evaluated in a xenograft tumor model in SCID mice and in azoxymethane (AOM)-induced colon carcinogenesis in A/JOlalHsd mice. In both models, the role of PPARγ was examined by administration of GW9662. **Results:** In vitro, compared to untreated cells, incubation of HT-29 or Caco-2 cells for 24, 48 and 72h with 5-ASA resulted in a 60±8 % inhibition of cell growth (p<0.001). Similar results were obtained with the two positive controls rosiglitazone and etoposide. Growth inhibitory activities of 5-ASA and rosiglitazone were abolished by administration of GW9662. In comparison to untreated cells, the Ki67 immunostaining of HT-29 cells treated by 5-ASA for 48h showed an inhibition of 63% of cell proliferation. This effect was blocked following GW9662 treatment. Similarly, the pro-apoptotic capacity of 5-ASA induced in 75±5 % of cells was also abolished by GW9662. In the xenograft model of HT-29 cells, daily treatment with 5-ASA induced a decrease of about 80% of tumor weight and volume in SCID mice after 3 weeks compared to untreated mice. Moreover, 5-ASA suppressed colon carcinogenesis by significantly decreasing the number of aberrant crypt foci (75%) and aberrant crypts (22%) induced by AOM treatment. The anti-tumorigenic effect of 5-ASA was completely abolished by simultaneous administration of GW9662 to mice. **Conclusion:** 5-ASA exerts *in vitro* and *in vivo* potent anti-neoplastic effects which are mediated by PPARγ. These data reinforce the clinical interest of 5-ASA as a chemopreventive agent in patients with IBD.
(B) BACKGROUND
I- Inflammatory Bowel Disease
   I-A. Overview

Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) refers to a group of idiopathic inflammatory disorders affecting the gastrointestinal tract [155]. It is typified by Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), and can affect both adults and children [156]. IBD currently has a worldwide prevalence rate of approximately 40–100/100,000 people [157]. Furthermore, patients with longstanding IBD may be predisposed to the development of colorectal cancer (CRC) [158]. The significant community impact indicates an acute need for the development of novel treatment strategies for IBD. CD, in contrast to UC, can affect any region of the gastrointestinal tract, extending from the mouth through to the anus [159]. Gastrointestinal symptoms depend on the location, extent and severity of involvement of the damaged region (Figure 1).
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**Figure 1.** Crohn’s disease can affect the entire gastrointestinal tract, extending from the mouth through to the anus while ulcerative colitis engages the colon only.

Generally, symptoms can present as abdominal pain, early satiety, nausea, epigastric pain and dysphagia [155, 159]. In its most severe forms, IBD may result in anorexia, diarrhoea and weight loss. While CD displays transmural pattern of inflammation, UC is
typified by superficial mucosal inflammation which consists of continuous ulceration, edema, and haemorrhage of varying severity (Figure 2). The most consistent physical presentation of UC is the presence of blood and mucus mixed with the stool, accompanied by lower abdominal cramping [159].

Figure 2. Comparison of the appearance of normal, Crohn’s, and ulcerative colitis mucosa; gross (top); endoscopic (bottom). Updated from (http://www.hopkins-gi.org)

Although the cause of IBD is yet to be determined, it is believed to be a complex interplay of genetic and environmental factors, leading to inflammation of the gut mucosa [160]. This inflammation results from a persistent immune response, driven by gut bacterial flora. Current treatments for IBD include aminosalicylates, corticosteroids, antibiotics, immunosuppressants and biological therapies [161]; however, relapse rates of up to 50% for both UC and CD patients were reported. These treatment strategies are also often associated with a number of adverse and undesirable side effects [162]. Thus, there is an acute need for the development of new treatment strategies effective not only at reducing inflammatory episodes, but also at repairing the often extensive damage to the intestinal mucosa, ideally with minimal effects on quality of life.
I-B. History

Crohn’s disease was first seen by German surgeon Wilhelm Fabry in 1623 [163], and was later described by and named after the US physician Burril B Crohn [164]. Ulcerative colitis was first described by the British physician Sir Samuel Wilks in 1859 [12].

I-C. Epidemiology

The highest incidence rates and prevalence of ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease have been reported from northern Europe, the UK, and North America, where the rates are beginning to stabilize. Rates continue to rise in low-incidence areas such as southern Europe, Asia, and most developing countries. The incidence of IBD reported in North America ranges from 2.2 to 14.3 cases per 100,000 person/year for UC and from 3.1 to 14.6 cases per 100,000 person/year for CD, and, in Europe, respectively, from 8.7 to 11.8 (UC) and from 3.9 to 7.0 (CD) cases per 100,000 person/years. UC is more prevalent than CD, irrespective of the geographic area considered, with an estimated number of 780,000 individuals having UC and as many as 630,000 suffering from CD in the United States [165].

In North America, prevalence rates of Crohn’s disease for Hispanic (4.1 per 100,000) and Asian people (5.6 per 100,000) is much lower than those for white individuals (43.6 per 100,000) and African-American people 29.8 per 100,000) [166]. The importance of race and ethnic origin in risk for inflammatory bowel disease are lent further support by a study showing racial differences in disease location and extraintestinal disease complications.
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[167]. IBD are more prevalent in Jewish people than in any other ethnic group, and important epidemiological differences exist between Jewish people living in Israel and those living elsewhere [168, 169]. This change in prevalence after migration has also been shown for other ethnic groups (eg, Chinese people living in Hong Kong compared with mainland China) [170]. The observation that geographic variability in incidence parallels the gradient in economic growth and the rise in the pro capite income, together with the change observed in prevalence in some ethnic groups after migration to other geographic areas, further support the theory that environmental factors and lifestyle play a role in disease occurrence [165].

Long before genome-wide analysis studies [171], familial clustering of cases and twin studies had already established the role of genetic factors in the occurrence of these disorders, and a positive family history is still the most important independent risk factor [172]. Cigarette smoking affects these two diseases in a different way: smokers are at an increased risk of CD and tend to present more severe clinical course whereas former smokers and non-smokers are at greater risk of UC [173]. Finally, the incidence of both CD and UC appears to follow a bimodal age distribution with signs and symptoms frequently manifesting in early adulthood as well as from 50 to 70 years of age.

I-D. Etiopathogenesis

Despite all the advances in our understanding of the pathophysiology of inflammatory bowel disease, we do not know the cause. It is now becoming increasingly accepted that dysregulated immunity, genetic predisposition, and environmental factors play an important role in the predisposition, modulation, and perpetuation of IBD.

I-D.1 Genetic predisposition

In IBD, several studies have shown the presence of a disorder of immune tolerance to luminal antigens [174-176]. The conditions leading to dysregulation of the immune response toward the microbiota remain unknown. However, following the initial experimental studies showing the presence of a loss of immune tolerance, genetic studies
revealed an increased risk of CD or UC associated with polymorphisms in genes encoding components of innate and adaptive immune response. In CD, a meta-analysis of three CD genome wide association studies (GWAS) has identified more than 30 loci associated with the disease, with odds ratio ranging from 1.08 to 3.99 [177]. Prominent among these genetic studies, for the strength of the association and the insights into the mechanism of the disease, are those related to polymorphisms in genes related to adaptive immunity (IL-23R, Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 (STAT-3)) [178] or to genetic mutations related to a disturbed surveillance of bacteria of the microflora by the intestinal mucosa (Nucleotide Oligomerization Domain (NOD2)) [179-182], or to deficient autophagy (Autophagy-related protein 16-1 (ATG16L1), Immunity-related GTPase family M protein (IRGM)) [183-185]. It is important to stress here that these variants do not appear to be either unique or necessary for the disease to express. Attempts have been made to link the associated genetic variants with the classic clinical CD sub-phenotypes. So far, the association of NOD2 variants with ileal disease location has been described [186, 187] but data from a recent study indicate a poor relationship between the genetic based subgroups and the clinical sub-phenotypes used [188].

I-D.2 Environmental factors

a) Geographic, temporal, and seasonal variability

The highest incidence rates and prevalence for ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease are reported from North America and northern Europe. The lowest incidence rates are reported from South America, Southeast Asia, Africa (with the exception of South Africa), and Australia [165]. Although these data suggest that a gradient exists from north to south, they could also indicate variation in access to, and quality of, health care as well as different extents of industrialization, sanitation, and hygiene. Different incidence rates could also result from different genetic backgrounds of the residents of these parts of the world. More important factors, however, seem to be other environmental ones. This hypothesis is supported by increasing incidence rates among immigrants from low-incidence regions moving to developed countries.
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Several large epidemiological studies in North America and Europe have shown an accumulation of cases of inflammatory bowel disease in urban compared with rural communities. As with the north to south gradient, this pattern probably does not implicate geographical as much as other environmental factors, such as industrialization, sanitation and hygiene, or differences in access to specialized health care. Early epidemiological studies from the USA and Scandinavia suggested that ulcerative colitis is more common during the autumn and winter seasons [189, 190]. These data are contradicted by more recent studies from the USA and Italy [191, 192]. Since all studies examined hospital admission rates, their results are difficult to interpret and might indicate patients’ seasonality of access to health care.

b) Lifestyle and nutrition

Breastfeeding confers immunity while the child’s intestinal immune system is still developing [193]. A recent meta-analysis of 17 studies of breastfeeding and the risk of developing inflammatory bowel disease showed heterogeneous results, probably because of poor design and recall bias of mothers. However, a subgroup analysis of high quality studies for Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis showed the pooled odds ratio was 0.45 (95% CI 0.26–0.79) for Crohn’s disease and 0.56 (0.38–0.81) for ulcerative colitis, lending support to the notion that breastfeeding provides protection against inflammatory bowel disease in off spring [194]. Breastfeeding itself does not contribute to disease exacerbation whereas drug cessation post partum during breastfeeding does [195].

The association between excessive consumption of carbohydrates and development of inflammatory bowel disease, especially Crohn’s disease, probably indicates the differences in sugar consumption in Asia compared with Western Europe and North America rather than a true contributing factor [196]. Other studies have found an association between an increased intake of polyunsaturated fats or margarine with an increased risk of inflammatory bowel disease [197]. Whereas in the past, lipid mediators derived from arachidonic acid were commonly perceived as proinflammatory, new data suggest otherwise [198]. Most dietary studies have weak methods and poor patient compliance or recall, which makes interpretation of findings difficult. No final conclusions
about the role of nutrition or dietary intervention in inflammatory bowel disease can yet be made.

c) Hygiene hypothesis

The traditional low incidence of inflammatory bowel disease and other chronic inflammatory disorders in developing countries, which is now on the rise, might be related to socioeconomic changes affecting hygiene [199]. For example, a lower risk of developing inflammatory bowel disease, particularly Crohn’s disease, has been reported for lower birth rank, absence of tap water, absence of hot water, large or poor families with several children, crowded living conditions, or consumption of contaminated foods [200-202]. Excessive sanitation might limit exposure to environmental antigens and impair the functional maturation of the mucosal immune system and induction of immune tolerance, which ultimately result in inappropriate immune responses when re-exposed to these antigens later in life.

d) Smoking

Smoking cigarettes is associated with less frequent exacerbations of ulcerative colitis. By contrast, in Crohn’s disease, smoking aggravates the course of the disease, promotes formation of fistulas and strictures, increases the rates of exacerbations and the need for corticosteroids, and accelerates the need for surgery after surgically induced remission [173]. Smoking cessation seems to be an effective therapeutic intervention in Crohn’s disease, but nicotine patches or enemas failed to show efficacy in the management of ulcerative colitis [203, 204]. Experimental studies suggest that the beneficial effects of nicotine in ulcerative colitis is due to increased mucus production, decreased production of proinflammatory cytokines and nitric oxide, and improvement of the intestinal barrier function, whereas nicotine’s detrimental effects in Crohn’s disease seem to be related to an increased influx of neutrophils into the intestinal mucosa [173]. The data for passive smoking are contradictory, with some reports showing a reduced risk of ulcerative colitis when exposed to smoke in childhood, and others showing that such exposure results in an increased risk of both ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease.
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e) Appendectomy

Epidemiological studies suggest that appendectomy might be protective against ulcerative colitis, with one study finding significantly reduced risks of colectomy or the need for immunosuppressive therapy in patients who had undergone appendectomy before diagnosis [205, 206]. The opposite is true for Crohn’s disease, with appendectomy being associated with an increased risk of developing strictures [207, 208]. Potential explanations for these contrasting findings might relate to the handling of microbes by the mucosal immune system, the hygiene hypothesis, and a failure to develop immune tolerance after appendectomy.

f) Infection

Inflammatory bowel disease is more common after gastrointestinal infections and people with the disease generally have higher concentrations of mucosal bacteria than do healthy people. Mucosal bacteria concentrations increase progressively with the severity of disease in both the inflamed and non-inflamed colon. Adhesive bacteria might predominate, although no single species is exclusively causative [209-211]. Indeed, the evidence does not provide unequivocal support for any microbe being a causative agent in inflammatory bowel disease. However, these data underscore the impaired handling of microbial antigens by the intestinal immune system in inflammatory bowel disease. On the other hand, the absence of exposure to intestinal parasites (helminthes) appears to be an important environmental factor contributing to development of IBD. Helminths interact with both host innate and adoptive immunity to stimulate immune regulatory circuitry and to dampen effector pathways that drive aberrant inflammation.

g) Others

Several case-control studies have reported a weak association of the disease with contraceptive use (insignificant differences) [212]. Moreover, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs were shown to exacerbate inflammatory bowel disease [213]. Psychological stress has anecdotally been reported to increase activity of inflammatory
bowel disease. Adverse life events, chronic stress, and depression seem to increase the likelihood of relapse in patients with quiescent disease [214]. New experimental evidence suggests involvement of direct interactions of the nervous and immune systems.

I-D.3 Immunobiology

Increasing evidence suggests that IBD results from an inappropriate inflammatory response to intestinal microbes in a genetically susceptible host [28, 215]. Evidence for the validity of this hypothesis comes from the observation that almost all the experimental murine models of mucosal inflammation depend upon the presence of the microflora. In fact, inflammation does not occur if the mice are reared in a germfree environment. This is mainly due to defects in T-cell effector function and defects in regulatory T-cell function [216]. The importance of microflora is also confirmed by findings in humans with IBD. In these patients, the disease can be ameliorated by the administration of antibiotics and is abrogated by avoiding the enteric stream coming into contact with the area of inflammation [174]. This inappropriate inflammatory response can occur as a result of excessive activation and differentiation of immune effector T-cell subsets against harmless antigens (so called type 1 helper T cells (Th1) and Th17 for CD; Th2 and Th17 for UC) [175, 176, 217-219] and/or defective counter-regulation by regulatory T cells (Tregs) [220-222].

a) Intestinal Microbiota

An enormous number of micro-organisms are known to colonize the intestine and form complex communities or microbiota. The host-microbiota associations usually evolve into beneficial relationships. Bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract supply key nutrients and prevent colonization by opportunistic pathogens. Furthermore, they contribute to the anatomical development and function of the mucosal immune system [223]. However, the microbiota has the potential to exert both pro- and anti-inflammatory responses, and the composition of the bacterial communities, in the gut, is related to the correct functioning of the immune system [26]. Thus, the alterations in the development or composition of the microbiota (known as dysbiosis) disturb the partnership between the microbiota and the
human immune system, ultimately leading to altered immune responses that may underlie various human inflammatory disorders (Figure 4).
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**Figure 4. The composition of the microbiota can shape a healthy immune response or predispose to disease.** Many factors can contribute to dysbiosis, including host genetics, lifestyle, exposure to microorganisms and medical practices. Host genetics can potentially influence dysbiosis in many ways. An individual with mutations in genes involved in immune regulatory mechanisms or pro-inflammatory pathways could lead to unrestrained inflammation in the intestine. Diet and stress also have the potential to influence the microbiota. Birth in the sterile environment of hospitals can protect from exposure to dangerous pathogens, but can also prevent early exposure to health-promoting bacteria. Overuse of vaccination and antibiotics, which do not distinguish between pathogenic or symbiotic microorganisms, could adversely alter the microbiota. ATG16L, autophagy-related gene 16-like; IGRM, immunity-related GTPase family, M; IL23R, interleukin-23 receptor; NOD2, nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain 2; \( T_{h} \) T helper; \( T_{reg} \) regulatory T [26].

Several important effects of the microbiota on the host immune system have been determined by studies of gnotobiology, which is the selective colonization of germ-free (sterile) animals. Germ-free animals show extensive defects in the development of gut-associated lymphoid tissues, and in antibody production, and have fewer and smaller Peyer’s patches and mesenteric lymph nodes (MLNs) compared with animals housed under specific pathogen free (SPF) conditions [26]. Together with various morphological tissue defects that are observed in the intestines of germ-free animals, it seems that the entire ultrastructural development of the gut is intimately connected to intestinal bacteria. For
example, intestinal epithelial cells (IECs), which line the gut and form a physical barrier between lumenal contents and the underlying cells of the immune system, have altered patterns of microvilli formation and decreased rates of cell turnover in germ-free animals compared with wild-type animals [224]. Furthermore, gut bacteria have been shown to direct the glycosylation of lumenally exposed surface proteins of IECs [225]. Evidence for the involvement of gut bacteria in intestinal inflammation is provided by studies of animal models. Pre-treatment of mice with antibiotics has been shown to alleviate subsequent intestinal inflammation in several animal models [226]. HLA-B27-transgenic rats, IL-10- and IL-2-deficient mice raised in conventional conditions spontaneously develop chronic colitis, whereas they do not develop intestinal inflammation if raised in germ-free conditions [227, 228]. In a model of colitis induced by the adoptive transfer of pathogenic T cells into immunodeficient recipient mice, colonization of animals with intestinal pathogens such as *Helicobacter hepaticus* was found to exacerbate inflammation [229]. Moreover, colitis can be induced in healthy animals through the adoptive transfer of T cells that are reactive against specific commensal organisms [230].

Dysbiosis has been as well implicated in IBD in humans, with several studies showing a significant alteration in the microbiota of patients with IBD. Specifically, the microbiota of IBD patients showed abnormal microbial composition that was characterized by depletion of two phyla of bacteria, the Firmicutes and Bacteriodetes, which are both prominently represented in non-IBD controls. These observations were associated with early postoperative recurrence in patients with CD [231, 232]. Thus, if some bacteria are actively shaping a healthy immune system, does the absence of these organisms lead to disease? It has recently been proposed that the total information encoded by the mammalian genome is not sufficient to carry out all functions that are required to maintain health and that products of our microbiome are crucial for protection from various diseases [233]. On the other hand, other studies have shown that a subset of patients with CD harbors a potentially proinflammatory “adherent-invasive” strain of *Escherichia coli* in the small intestine [234]. However, whether these modifications contribute to the disorder or merely reflect secondary changes caused by the inflammation remains to be established.
Regardless of the primary or aggravating role of the alteration of microbiota in the pathogenesis of IBD, the interactions observed between the microbiota and the mucosal immune system stress the importance of the mucosal response to commensal microorganisms and how genetic factors may affect this response. In “non-inflammatory” conditions, the mucosal immune response to the microbiota is controlled by the cooperation between the innate and adaptive immune response. This cooperation results in a state of “immunological equilibrium” defined as tolerogenic response [235] (Figure 5).

**Figure 5.** a. A healthy microbiota contains a balanced composition of many classes of bacteria. Symbionts are organisms with known health-promoting functions. Commensals are permanent residents of this complex ecosystem and provide no benefit or detriment to the host. Pathobionts are also permanent residents of the microbiota and have the potential to induce pathology. b. In conditions of dysbiosis there is an unnatural shift in the composition of the microbiota, which results in either a reduction in the numbers of symbionts and/or an increase in the numbers of pathobionts [26].

**b) Immunohomeostasis in the healthy gut**

Cells and their soluble products belonging to the innate response and the epithelial barrier represent the first line of host-luminal bacteria interactions. Specialized cells (globet cells and Paneth cells) within the epithelial layer, which is sealed by the tight junctions, produce mucus and antimicrobial peptides (i.e. defensins) that limit the entry of bacteria into the intestinal mucosa [211, 236]. At the same time, the epithelial cells are able to “sense” microbial components through the pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which can be located either on both the cell surface and the intracellular compartment (Toll like
receptors (TLRs) or exclusively in the intracellular compartment (nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (NOD) proteins)). These receptors recognize evolutionary conserved microbial components. The same receptors are present on dendritic cells (DCs) in the lamina propria and, restricted to the expression of some TLRs, on T cells [237, 238]. These microbial sensors are functionally intersected, as NOD may affect TLR signaling [239, 240], and they cooperate in the autophagy pathway [185, 241] (Figure 6).

In the steady state, epithelial cells produce mainly molecules and cytokines (IL-10, IL-25, transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) and probably retinoic acid (RA)) [242-247] that promote in the human lamina propria macrophages and DCs (i.e. professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs)), a default state of hypo-responsiveness to several inflammatory stimuli, including commensal components [248, 249]. Such APCs conditioning is likely to be related to commensal signaling in intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) through PRRs. This type of conditioning is further reinforced by the phagocytosis of apoptotic cells (epithelial cells, lymphocytes) that represent an important potential source of bioactive TGF-β capable of enhancing TGF-β production from DCs and macrophages [250, 251]. All these factors are combined in generating the tolerating milieu that normally characterize the intestinal mucosa, and consistently, lamina propria T cells show a constitutive reduced proliferation upon polyclonal stimulation and a high activation-induced apoptosis rate compared to the circulating T cells [252, 253]. DCs loaded with antigens migrate in secondary lymphoid organs (Peyer’s patches and mesenteric lymph nodes (MLNs)) where they trigger an adaptive response by presenting antigens to naïve T cells. At these inductive sites of immune response, APCs have been increasingly thought to possess flexibility in their function because of their ability to modulate differentiation of CD4+ T-cell subsets with opposite function and characteristic cytokine profiles either inducible T regulatory cells (iTregs) or effecter helper T cells (Th1, Th2, and Th17) depending on the local signals received (either regulatory or inflammatory) [254] (Figure 6).
Figure 6. Intestinal immune system in healthy state. The intestinal immune system represents a complex network of different lymphoid and non-lymphoid cell populations and humoral factors. Luminal antigens, such as commensals, pathogens, and nutrients, are sampled by professional and nonprofessional antigen-presenting cells. Although nonprofessional antigen-presenting cells, such as intestinal epithelial cells, might interact with naïve T cells (Th0) through major histocompatibility complex II receptors, they do produce co-stimulatory signals in the absence of inflammation, thereby suppressing or inducing anergy in mucosal T cells. Dendritic cells control both the adaptive immune response, such as the balanced differentiation of naïve T cells into effector T cells (Th1, Th2, Th17) required to fight off pathogens and regulatory T cells. In the presence of commensals and absence of inflammation, a balance between effector and regulatory immune subpopulations is maintained through a tightly controlled cytokine network. Th=T helper cells. Th0=naive T cell. Th, Th1, Th2, Th17=effector T cells. Tr, Th3=regulatory T cells. B=B cell. B(PC)=plasma cell. NK=natural killer cell. NKT=natural killer T cells [28]
For a long time, T helper responses have been defined according to the relevant cytokine production as Th1 (IL-12 driven-interferon (IFN)-γ production) or Th-2 (IL-4-production in the absence of IL-12) [255]. Few years ago, an additional subset of T helper cells producing IL-17 (Th17) has been characterized [256, 257]. This subset is believed to differentiate from naïve T cells in the presence of TGF-β and the inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-6 and be dependent upon IL-23 for expansion [258-261]. Human Th17 response is generally recognized (and currently defined) as characterized by the production of several proinflammatory cytokines namely IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-6, IL-21, IL-22, and TNF-α involved in acute inflammatory response as well as in chronic autoimmune response and, in some circumstances, in regulatory responses. Indeed, the Th17 response is characterized by a high degree of plasticity, because IL-17 producing cells can co-express, under different circumstances, the regulatory cytokine IL-10 or the inflammatory cytokine IFN-γ [255, 262].

Increasing evidence suggests that different subsets of DCs are present in the gut-associated lymphoid tissue; in particular a subset expressing the surface marker CD103 has been demonstrated to preferentially induce the generation of regulatory Foxp3+ cells via a TGF-β and RA-dependent mechanism [263]. Tregs actively control autoimmunity and tissue homeostasis. TGF-β and IL-10 are the molecules associated with their development and function [244, 264]. Treg populations include thymic-derived natural Tregs (nTreg), responsible for maintaining self-tolerance, and inducible Tregs (iTreg) that are generated in the periphery to prevent inappropriate and exuberant immune responses to microbial or tissue antigens [265]. The hallmark of nTreg cells is the expression of the Foxp3 transcription factor, which is required for maintaining Treg cell function [266]. In the presence of TGF-β, Foxp3 can also be induced in naïve T cells in the periphery, and the resulting iTregs exhibit a suppressive phenotype similar to that in nTreg cells [267]. The most intriguing observation on Foxp3+ Treg cells is the increased evidence of their ability, in inflammatory conditions; to switch from a regulatory to an effector phenotype, mainly to IL-17-producing cells [268]. In particular, it has been reported, in in vitro studies and in animal models, that under lymphopenic and / or inflammatory conditions, Tregs may lose Foxp3 and / or acquire different effector functions, especially in the intestine, which may contribute to uncontrolled inflammation [269]. IL-23 appears to play a central role in orchestrating the mucosal immune response through modulation of both the inflammatory
and regulatory arms of Th cell response. Indeed, IL-23 is able to promote the expansion of Th17 cells to induce the co-expression of IFN-γ in Th17 lymphocytes and to directly inhibit Tregs expansion [270, 271]. Thus, the IL-23-Th17 axis and its relation with regulatory cells represent currently the focus point of research aimed at elucidating the genesis of inflammation. After priming in gut-associated lymphoid tissues, T cells enter the systemic circulation. During priming by DCs, T cells acquire the surface expression of enterotrophic molecules (a4b7) that guarantee the gut homing of primed lymphocytes once they enter the systemic circulation [272, 273]. These activated CD4+ T cells can, thereby, leave the circulation to enter the intestinal lamina propria, where they carry out their own specific functions. In the steady state, the reactivity to mucosal antigens is finely tuned by regulatory mechanisms so that effector cells producing IFN-γ (Th1 response) or IL-4, IL-5, IL-13 (Th2 response) or IL-17 (Th17 response) are controlled by regulatory cells (Figure 6). In IBD, this balance is broken, resulting in chronic inflammation.

c) Dysregulated immunity in IBD

The inflammatory response in IBD is characterized by an increased production of inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1β) sustained by T cell-derived cytokines (Figure 7). In CD, the IL-12 / 23-induced IFN-γ production is recognized as having the major role in sustaining the inflammation (Th1-mediated inflammation). In UC, increased IL-5 and IL-13 production have been reported to play a significant role in the generation and perpetuation of the inflammation (Th2-like-mediated inflammation) [217, 274, 275]. Irrespective of the pathway of inflammation (Th1 or similar Th2), both in CD and UC the local activation of anti-apoptotic pathways in pathogenic lamina propria T lymphocytes as a secondary consequence of inflammation leads to further expansion of these cells in the lamina propria and, consequently, further increase in inflammatory mediators, inhibition of the regulatory function and perpetuation of IBD [276, 277]. In CD and UC, an increased expression of IL-17 and IL-23 has been shown [218, 278, 279], although IL-23 appears to differently regulate the effector Th subsets balance in CD and UC, enhancing the production of the Th1 signature cytokine IFN-γ by lamina propria CD4+ T cells in patients with CD, and the production of IL-17 in UC [218]. Thus, in IBD, the increased production of IL-17 has been reported both in CD and in UC, but its role in the two diseases has not been completely clarified. Right after
experimental data have linked the Th17 response to human chronic inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, and the effect of IL-23 mainly to the Th17 response, the concept of IBD as a Th17 co-mediated disease and of “IL-23 / IL-17 axis” as central in this pathological condition has immediately emerged. This paradigm, although well fitting with the reported genetic association of both forms of IBD with variants in the IL-23R and STAT-3 (the predominant downstream mediator of IL-23 signaling), appears to require further confirmation. In animal models, IL-17-deficient T cells are not impaired in their ability to induce colitis, suggesting that Th17 cell responses are not essential for colitis [271, 280]. Moreover, IL-23 seems to directly inhibit the Foxp3+regulatory cells suggesting that, inhibition of regulatory cells, more than the increase in effector mechanisms, may account for the development of chronic inflammation [271]. Thus, the paradigm of the pathogenetic IL-23 / IL-17 mucosal axis might change to that of IL-23 / IFN-γ or IL-23 / Tregs axis. With regard to regulatory cells, studies performed in IBD did not show a reduction in the percentage of regulatory cells infiltrating the mucosa. On the contrary, it has been reported that regulatory Foxp3+ T cells are increased during the active phases of the diseases and are not different from controls during remission. Furthermore, in contrast to many mouse models of IBD, regulatory T cells in human IBD appear to be completely capable of suppressing effector T-cell proliferation [221]. It should be emphasized here that the ability of intestinal regulatory cells to suppress effector T-cell proliferation has been tested using, as effector cells, T cells from peripheral blood. T effector cells in an inflamed site might show a different response to suppression. Indeed, it has been shown that effector cells in active IBD and in experimental colitis present increased Smad7 expression (an intracellular inhibitor of TGF-β signaling) that might prevent the TGF-β-mediated suppressive effect of regulatory T cells [281, 282]. Thus, the possibility exists that regulatory function might be ineffective as a consequence of the presence, during the active phases of the disease, of effector cells resistant to inhibition by regulatory cells. In summary, the experimental findings obtained so far indicate an increased local production of pro-inflammatory cytokines from infiltrating lamina propria mononuclear cells in patients with IBD when compared to controls, but evidence of primary phenomena is still lacking. Recently, new data confirmed a previous observation that revealed the presence, in patients with CD, of a weak acute inflammatory response, resulting in the development of chronic inflammation because of partial immunodeficiency [283-285]. However, as the observations emerging
from those studies were obtained in patients with anatomical lesions, albeit in clinical remission, it is not possible to rule out the possibility that they were secondary to the presence of chronic inflammation.

**Figure 7. Intestinal immune system in IBD.** In inflammatory bowel disease, the well controlled balance of the intestinal immune system is disturbed at all levels. Luminal antigens gain access to the underlying mucosal tissue via a leaky barrier. Innate and adaptive immune cells express a different profile and number of molecular pattern-recognition receptors. Microbial antigens from commensals trigger and maintain an inflammatory response through several different pathways involving dendritic cells, epithelial cells and effector T cells. Overall, in active inflammatory bowel disease effector T cells (Th1, Th2) predominate over regulatory T cells. In Crohn’s disease, naïve T cells preferably differentiate into Th1 cells. In ulcerative colitis, these cells differentiate into Th2 cells. The proinflammatory cytokines secreted by activated effector T cells stimulate macrophages to secrete large amounts of TNF-α, IL-1, and IL-6. In addition to their failure to balance the adaptive immune response in inflammatory bowel disease, dendritic cells might also be responsible for a dysregulated innate immune response [28].
As a summary, several, not mutually exclusive, pathways, as shown in figure 7, might result in the inflammatory cascades observed in IBD and could underlie, at least partially, the pathogenesis described above:

1- The epithelial barrier is leaky in people with inflammatory bowel disease. Several studies have shown a lowered epithelial resistance and increased permeability of the inflamed and non-inflamed mucosa in Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis [286].

2- Second, people with inflammatory bowel disease have disturbed innate immune mechanisms of the epithelial layer. In these people, mucosal epithelial cells have a different pattern of TLR expression. TLR3 is significantly down regulated in active Crohn’s disease, but not in ulcerative colitis. By contrast, TLR4 is strongly upregulated in both diseases [287]. TLR5 signaling is as well suppressed in IBD; however, in the injured inflammatory bowel disease mucosa, flagellin—TLR5 ligand—can engage the receptor and thereby aggravate inflammation [288]. An upregulation of NOD2 in epithelial cells, which can augment itself further in a feedback loop, when the NFκB cascade is activated, has also been reported [289].

3- Antigen recognition and processing by professional antigen-presenting cells is disturbed in people with inflammatory bowel disease. Increased expression of TLR4 by myeloid dendritic cells in inflammatory bowel disease has been reported [290]. Studies animal models and in inflammatory bowel disease patients have shown mature activated dendritic cells, which prolong their survival thereby maintaining inflammation [290, 291].

4- Atypical antigen-presenting cells become potent effector-T-cell activators in people with inflammatory bowel disease. Non-professional antigen-presenting cells, such as epithelial cells, which normally induce anergy in CD4+ T cells, acquire an activated phenotype with increased histocompatibility molecule expression in the presence of inflammatory cytokines such as IFN-γ and TNF-α [292]. Intestinal epithelial cells from patients with inflammatory bowel disease also express alternative costimulatory
molecules, which might transform them into functional antigen-presenting cells [293].

5- Patients with inflammatory bowel disease have disturbed clearance of overreactive or autoreactive T-cell populations. Due to a failure of central (thymic) and peripheral tolerance, activated T cells persist and do not undergo apoptosis [277, 294].

6- Psychosocial stress might trigger or augment the inflammatory cascade through neuroimmunological interaction. Stress—ie, overactivation of the sympathetic nerve—has been shown in patients with ulcerative colitis and in turn, causes increased colonic paracellular permeability involving mast-cell degranulation, overproduction of IFN-γ, and altered the expression of tight junction proteins [295, 296]

I-E. Treatment of inflammatory bowel disease: “Bottom-Up” Approach

Current drug treatments aim to induce and maintain the patient in remission and ameliorate the disease’s secondary effects, rather than modifying or reversing the underlying pathogenic mechanism. Corticosteroids, aminosalicylates, and immunosuppressive agents, such as azathioprine, are routinely used. Other drugs, such as metronidazole and broad-spectrum antibiotics, are helpful in some cases, while colestyramine, sodium cromoglycate, bismuth and arsenical salts methotrexate, and fish oils provide alternative therapies [297]. A new approach for the treatment of IBD using humanized monoclonal antibody preparations has produced encouraging results and may eventually provide a welcome alternative to traditional treatments because these antibody treatments modify the affected biochemical inflammatory pathways. Some specific goals of pharmacotherapy in IBD include controlling acute exacerbation of the disease, maintaining remission, and treating specific complications such as fistulas. Specific drugs may be better suited to one or more of these aims. For example, steroids are the treatment of choice for moderate to severe flare-ups but are inappropriate for long-term use because of their side effects and inability to maintain remission. Other immunosuppressants, such as azathioprine, that require several weeks to achieve their therapeutic effect have a limited
role in the acute setting but are preferred for long-term management [298] (Figure 8). A more thorough appreciation of the intricacies of the inflammatory response and improved biotechnology has led to the development of biological agents that can target single steps in the immune cascade. Drug delivery to the appropriate site(s) along the gastrointestinal tract also has been a major challenge, and second-generation agents have been developed with improved drug delivery, increased efficacy, and decreased side effects.

![Current Standard Approach: “Bottom-Up”](image)

**Figure 8. Bottom-Up approach.** The current standard approach for treating IBD is the "Bottom-Up" approach. This involves assessing how severe a patient's symptoms are, and choosing treatment based on that severity (http://www.ibdetermined.org).

I-E.1. Immunomodulators

Several drugs initially developed for cancer chemotherapy or as immunosuppressive agents in organ transplants have been adapted for the treatment of IBD. Immunosuppressant drugs can be an invaluable adjunct therapy for the treatment of patients with intractable inflammatory bowel disease or complex, inoperable perianal
disease. Although immunosuppressant agents have significant side effects, they are safer and better tolerated than long-term corticosteroid therapy. However, these agents should not be used in young patients who are candidates for surgery or in patients who are noncompliant and refuse to return for periodic monitoring.

a) Azathioprine and mercaptopurine

Since the early 1970s, azathioprine and mercaptopurine have been used to treat IBD. These drugs are superior to the placebo, but their full effects may not become apparent for as long as three months. Azathioprine and mercaptopurine impair purine biosynthesis and inhibit cell proliferation. Both are prodrugs; azathioprine is converted to mercaptopurine, which is subsequently metabolized to 6-thioguanine nucleotides, which are the presumed active moiety [299]. Azathioprine and mercaptopurine are used to treat patients with severe IBD or those who are steroid-resistant or steroid-dependent and are beneficial in 50 to 70% of patients with intractable perianal CD [298]. These drugs effectively maintain remission in CD and UC; they may also prevent or delay recurrence of CD after surgical resection. Because of concerns of side effects, the decision to initiate immunosuppressive therapy depends on an accurate assessment of the risk to benefit ratio. Mild leukopenia suggests that the drug is effective and therefore more likely to benefit the patient. It is prudent to obtain a complete blood count every two weeks during the initial treatment phase in patients with active disease and every three months in patients on maintenance therapy [300]. Drug-induced pancreatitis occurs in 3 to 5% of the patients, invariably during the first six weeks of azathioprine or mercaptopurine therapy [301]. Pancreatitis is a contraindication for continued use of these agents.

b) Methotrexate

Methotrexate was engineered to inhibit dihydrofolate reductase, thereby blocking DNA synthesis and causing cell death. First used in cancer treatment, methotrexate was subsequently recognized to have beneficial effects in 70% of patients with severe IBD [302]. The response to methotrexate appeared to be more rapid than the response to mercaptopurine. As with azathioprine-mercaptopurine, methotrexate is generally reserved
for patients whose IBD is either steroid-resistant or steroid-dependent [298]. In CD, it both induces and maintains remission, generally with a more rapid response than observed with mercaptopurine or azathioprine. Only limited studies have examined the role of methotrexate in UC. Side effects are rare and include leukopenia and hypersensitive interstitial pneumonitis. Hepatic fibrosis is the most severe potential side effect of long-term therapy. Patients with concomitant alcohol abuse and/or morbid obesity are more likely to develop hepatic fibrosis and therefore should not be treated with methotrexate [303].

c) Cyclosporine

Cyclosporine is effective in treating fistulous complications of CD and in severe UC that has failed to respond adequately to glucocorticoid therapy. In such patients, intravenously administered cyclosporine is highly effective for rapid disease control, and it may allow patients to avoid surgery. However, after one year, 70 to 80% of these patients may still require surgery. Thus, in many patients, the role of cyclosporine is to change a risky emergency operation into a less urgent procedure [304]. Between 50 and 80% of these severely ill patients improve significantly (generally within 7 days) in response to intravenous cyclosporine (2 to 4 mg/kg daily), which sometimes allows them to avoid an emergent colectomy. Oral cyclosporine is less effective as a maintenance therapy in IBD, perhaps because of its limited intestinal absorption. In this setting, long-term therapy with a microemulsion formulation of cyclosporine with increased oral bioavailability may be more effective, but this has not been fully studied. Cyclosporine can be used to treat fistulous complications of CD. A significant, rapid response to intravenous cyclosporine has been observed; however, frequent relapses accompany oral cyclosporine therapy, and other medical strategies are required to maintain fistula closure. Thus, it is generally used to treat specific problems over a short term while providing a bridge to long-term therapy [305]. Cyclosporine is lipid-bound and thus is associated with an increased risk of seizures when it is administered to acutely ill, severely malnourished patients who have low serum cholesterol/lipid levels. Oral maintenance with cyclosporine has, at best, limited benefit, and the relapse rate is high. The drug has a significant side effect profile that includes renal insufficiency and hypertension [305].
I-E.2. Corticosteroids

The glucocorticoid properties of hydrocortisone and prednisolone are the mainstay of IBD treatment. The preferred steroid is prednisolone, administered orally, rectally or parenterally in emergency situations. Corticosteroids can be used either alone or in combination with a suitable aminosalicylates formulation to induce and maintain remission in inflammatory bowel disease [303, 306]. By binding to intracytoplasmic glucocorticoid receptors found in most cell types, glucocorticosteroids activate glucocorticoid-responsive elements (GREs), resulting in a broad spectrum of effects on the immune system including inhibition of the recruitment and proliferation of lymphocytes, monocytes and macrophages, migration of neutrophils to sites of inflammation, and decreased production of soluble inflammatory mediators including cytokines, leukotrienes, and prostaglandins [307].

The response to steroids in individual patients with IBD divides them into three general classes: steroid-responsive, steroid-dependent, and steroid-unresponsive. Steroid-responsive patients improve clinically, generally within one to two weeks, and remain in remission as the dose of steroids is tapered and discontinued. Steroid-dependent patients also respond to glucocorticoids but experience a relapse of symptoms as the steroid dose is tapered [308]. Steroid-unresponsive patients do not improve even with prolonged high doses of steroids. Approximately 40% of patients are steroid-responsive, 30 to 40% have only a partial response or become steroid-dependent, and 15 to 20% of patients do not respond to steroid therapy [309]. Steroids are sometimes used for prolonged periods to control symptoms in steroid-dependent patients. However, failure to respond to steroids with prolonged remission (i.e., a disease relapse) should prompt consideration of alternative therapies, including immunosuppressants and infliximab. Steroids are not effective in maintaining remission in either UC or CD; thus, their significant side effects have led to an increased emphasis on limiting the duration and cumulative dose of steroids in IBD [310]. Systemic corticosteroids have an extensive side effect profile. Acute side effects include acne and severe mood changes, which are particularly common in young patients. Adrenal insufficiency can be triggered by an intercurrent infection in patients who are receiving low doses of systemic corticosteroids or in patients who have been recently tapered off of
corticosteroids. Visual changes can occur because of steroid-induced hyperglycemia. Early cataract formation is another possible side effect. Aseptic joint necrosis, which is the most dreaded side effect, usually occurs in patients receiving long-term, high-dose corticosteroid therapy. The incidence of this complication is 4.3% [311].

Budesonide is an enteric-release form of a synthetic steroid that is used for ileoecal CD [312]. It is thought to deliver adequate steroid therapy to a specific portion of the inflamed gut while minimizing systemic side effects caused by extensive first-pass hepatic metabolism to inactive derivatives. Topical therapies (e.g., enemas and suppositories) are also effective in treating colitis that is limited to the left side of the colon. While the topical potency of budesonide is 200 times higher than that of hydrocortisone, its oral systemic bioavailability is only 10%. In some studies, budesonide was associated with a lower incidence of systemic side effects than prednisone, although the data also indicate that systemic steroids are more effective in patients with higher CD activity index scores [313].

I-E.3. Biological agents - Tumor necrosis factor-α blockers

TNF-α is a proinflammatory cytokine with a central role in the pathogenesis of CD [314]. Infliximab is a chimeric monoclonal antibody directed against TNF-α which was introduced into clinical practice in the USA almost 12 years ago. Infliximab was the first TNF-blocker used for the treatment of moderately to severely active CD and UC in patients who do not respond despite complete and adequate therapy with a corticosteroid or an immunosuppressive agent. In the case of non-response to the standard three infusions at time 0, 2 and 6 weeks, further treatment with infliximab is not recommended. If remission is achieved, an attempt to withdraw or taper any concomitant corticosteroid therapy is appropriate. Treatment with corticosteroids, Azathioprine or mercaptopurine prior to and concomitantly with infliximab can reduce the formation of antibodies against infliximab. After successful remission, maintenance therapy is recommended, comprising an infusion every 8 weeks. In the case of a loss of effect, these intervals should be shortened up to 4 weeks, and if the patient is still therapy refractory, an increase in dose daily can be considered [315]. The use of infliximab is also recommended for the treatment of CD with fistulas. Before the administration of infliximab, delineation of the fistula anatomy is useful
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to exclude the presence of an abscess [316]. Abscesses should be drained adequately before treatment with infliximab. Due to an increased risk of infection under immunomodulatory therapy with infliximab, it is contraindicated in patients with active tuberculosis and other serious infections or opportunistic infections.

Two additional anti-TNF molecules are currently used to treat IBD: adalimumab and certolizumab pegol [317]. To date, evidence for efficacy presented in clinical trials has been more robust for infliximab than for the other anti-TNFs. Sequential use of different anti-TNF agents in patients who have responded well to a first agent is applicable. Further clinical data is needed to decide whether early with TNF antibodies is generally efficient.

I-E.4. Antibiotics

There are experimental and clinical data demonstrating that colonic bacteria may either initiate or perpetuate the inflammation of IBD [318]. The standard antibiotics used for inducing remission in CD are ciprofloxacin and metronidazole. Ciprofloxacin is the antibiotic of choice and Metronidazole may be particularly effective for the treatment of perianal disease [319, 320]. Other antibiotics used for CD include trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and tetracycline. Studies have reported that either ciprofloxacin or metronidazole has produced yearlong remission rates of approximately 70%. Patients with severe perianal inflammation have responded to metronidazole treatment, experiencing less pain and tenderness, eventually decreased erythema and swelling, and wound healing. Specific CD-related complications that may benefit from antibiotic therapy include intra-abdominal abscesses and inflammatory masses, perianal diseases, bacterial overgrowth in the small bowel secondary to partial small bowel obstruction, secondary infections with organisms such as *Clostridium difficile*, and postoperative complications. Antibiotics can be used as (1) an adjunctive treatment along with other medications for treatment of active IBD, (2) a treatment for a specific complication of CD, or (3) prophylaxis for disease recurrence in postoperative CD.
I-E.5. Aminosalicylates

Aminosalicylates can be used in combination with steroids to induce and maintain remission in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. The first-line therapy for mild to moderate UC generally involves 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) [3]. The archetype for this class of medications is sulfasalazine, which consists of 5-ASA linked to sulfapyridine by an azo bond. Given individually, either 5-ASA or sulfapyridine is absorbed in the upper gastrointestinal tract; the azo linkage in sulfasalazine prevents its absorption in the stomach and small intestine, and the individual components are not liberated for absorption until colonic bacteria cleave the bond (Figure 9). 5-ASA is the active therapeutic moiety while Sulfapyridine is absorbed and metabolized by hepatic acetylation or hydroxylation followed by glucuronidation [3]. Although 5-ASA is a salicylate, its therapeutic effect does not appear to be related to cyclooxygenase inhibition; indeed, traditional nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs may actually exacerbate IBD [321]. For patients with severe colitis, 5-ASA is of less certain value even though it is often used as an adjunct therapy to systemic glucocorticoids. Regardless of disease severity, this drug plays a useful role in preventing relapses once remission has been achieved [322].

![Figure 9. Hydrolysis of sulfasalazine (i) into 5-aminosalicylic acid (ii) and sulfapyridine (iii) [4].](image-url)
5-aminosalicylic acid suppresses colon carcinogenesis via PPARγ

Although it is not active therapeutically, sulfapyridine causes many of the same side effects observed in patients taking sulfasalazine. To preserve the therapeutic effect of 5-ASA without the side effects of sulfapyridine, several second-generation 5-ASA compounds have been developed. As a result, formulations based on the active moiety (ie, 5-ASA) have been evaluated and two main methods of 5-ASA delivery have been used (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic 5-ASA name</th>
<th>Commercial name</th>
<th>Dose per tablet, capsule or sachet, mg</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Site(s) of delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesalazine</td>
<td>Pentasa</td>
<td>500, 1000, 2000</td>
<td>Ethylcellulose-coated microgranules (slow, continuous release)</td>
<td>Duodenum to rectum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesalamine</td>
<td>Asacol</td>
<td>400, 800</td>
<td>Eudragit S-coated tablets (release at pH &gt;7)</td>
<td>Terminal ileum, colon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesalamine</td>
<td>Mezavant/Lialda</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>Eudragit S-coated multimatrix system</td>
<td>Terminal ileum, colon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesalazine</td>
<td>Salofalk</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Eudragit L-coated tablets</td>
<td>Mid to distal ileum, colon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesalazine</td>
<td>Ipocol</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>Enteric coated with Eudragit S</td>
<td>Terminal ileum, colon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfasalazine</td>
<td>Salazopyrin</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5-ASA linked to sulfapyridine by azo bond</td>
<td>Colon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-ASA linked to 4-aminobenzoyl-b-alanine by azo bond</td>
<td>Colon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balsalazide</td>
<td>Colazal</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>5-ASA dimer linked by azo bond</td>
<td>Colon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olsalazine</td>
<td>Dipentum</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The first class is azobonded compounds that are controlled-release and pH dependent (pH 6 to 7). These molecules are non-absorbable prodrugs that are cleaved in the colon by the bacterial enzyme azoreductase and released. Drugs that use this delivery strategy include balsalazide (Colazal, Salix Pharmaceuticals, USA) and olsalazine (Dipentum, Celltech Pharmaceuticals Inc, USA). The second class is a composite (pH-dependent combined with controlled release), in which the formulation contains a gastroresistant coating and a pH/transit-dependent controlled-release that prevents 5-ASA release until the drug reaches the distal ileum. Agents that use this strategy are delayed-release mesalazine tablets (Asacol, Procter & Gamble Pharmaceuticals, USA), Salofalk tablets and Salofalk Granustix (Axcan Pharma Inc). Pentasa (Shire Inc, USA), in contrast to the formulations described above, releases 5-ASA from the duodenum to the rectum, and is often used ‘off-label’ to treat CD in addition to its indicated use for UC [323, 324].

Topical preparations of 5-ASA suspended in a wax matrix suppository or in a suspension enema are effective in active distal UC (Figure 10) [161]. They appear to be superior to topical hydrocortisone in this setting, with response rates of 75 to 90%. 5-ASA enemas should be used at bedtime and retained for at least eight hours; the suppository should be used two to three times a day with the objective of retaining it for at least three hours. Responses to local therapy with 5-ASA may occur within three to 21 days; however, the usual course of therapy is from three to six weeks. Once remission has occurred, lower doses are used for maintenance [3].
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Side effects of sulfasalazine occur in 10 to 45% of patients with UC and are primarily related to the sulfa moiety. Some side effects are dose-related, including headache, nausea, and fatigue. These reactions can be minimized by administering the medication with meals or by decreasing the dose. Other side effects include rash, fever, hepatitis, pneumonitis, hemolytic anemia, and bone marrow suppression. Sulfasalazine reversibly decreases the number and motility of sperm but does not impair female fertility. It also inhibits intestinal folate absorption; therefore, folate usually is given with sulfasalazine [321]. The newer 5-ASA formulations are generally well tolerated, and side effects are relatively infrequent and minor. Headache, dyspepsia, and skin rash are the most common side effects. Nephrotoxicity, although rare, is a more serious concern. 5-ASA has been associated with interstitial nephritis; while its pathogenic role is controversial, renal function should be monitored in all patients receiving these drugs. Both sulfasalazine and its metabolites cross the placenta but have not been shown to harm the fetus. Although they have not been studied thoroughly, the newer formulations appear to be safe also during pregnancy [161].

The mechanism of action of 5-ASA as an anti-inflammatory drug is diverse. It appears to act locally on colonic mucosa and reduces inflammation through a variety of anti-inflammatory processes. Several potential targets of 5-ASA action have been proposed. The current hypothesis is that 5-ASA activates a specific class of nuclear receptor, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)-gamma [325]. PPARγ, expressed in intestinal epithelial cells, was shown to mediate the effect of 5-ASA therapy in IBD by transrepressing several key target genes such as nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) and its downstream signaling pathway resulting in the inhibition of lipoxgenases and cyclooxygenases, and cytokine secretion. 5-ASA has also been recognized as a potent antioxidant and free-radical scavenger [326-330].

a) Potential role for Aminosalicylates in preventing colorectal cancer

After 5-ASA has been used for many years as an anti-inflammatory agent in the treatment of IBD, particularly UC, epidemiological studies have revealed that regular usage of 5-ASA by IBD patients was accompanied by decreased risk of colorectal cancer (CRC). In fact, colorectal cancer is considered the most serious complication in colonic IBD.
Furthermore, Patients with ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease are at increased risk for developing colorectal cancer, and this is believed to be a result of chronic inflammation. Therefore, in recent years, several studies have been conducted to dissect the mechanisms by which 5-ASA interferes with CRC cell growth and survival. Some mechanisms have been revealed and others were remained undetermined.

II- Chemopreventive Role for 5-ASA in Inflammatory Bowel Disease

II.A- Colorectal Cancer: A Serious Complication of Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Patients with IBD, (CD and UC) are at increased risk of developing CRC (Figure 11). This increase in risk is more likely to result from chronic inflammation of the gastrointestinal mucosa than from any clear-cut genetic predisposition. Most CRCs, in general, develop from a dysplastic precursor lesion. In sporadic CRC, the dysplastic precursor is usually the adenomatous polyp (adenoma), a discrete focus of neoplasia that is typically removed by endoscopic polypectomy. In contrast, patients with IBD develop dysplastic lesions that can be polypoid, flat, localized, or multifocal; these are markers of colon inflammation and increased risk for neoplasia, and often indicate the need for surgical removal of the entire colon and rectum. These differences in morphology and biological behaviors of lesions not only make it a challenge to screen for CRC among patients with IBD, but they raise the important question of how chronic inflammation contributes to development of CRC. The progression from adenoma to carcinoma that occurs during development of sporadic colorectal tumors appears to be an inflammation–dysplasia– carcinoma sequence in IBD-associated CRC [331].

![CRC in UC patients](image)
II-A.1. Incidence

Our understanding of the natural history of CRC in IBD has been gained largely from retrospective studies. The first CRC in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) was described by Crohn and Rosenberg in 1925 [332]. In 1994, some UK data were published showing patients with extensive colitis showed an 18-fold increase in CRC risk [333]. CRC-complicating UC and CD accounts for 1–2% of all cases of CRC in the general population, but in patients with IBD approximately 10–15% of deaths are related to cancer [334]. A meta-analysis in 2001 including 116 studies from a wide array of centers and geographic sites estimated the cumulative risk of CRC in UC to be 1.6% at 10 years, 8.3% at 20 years and 18.4% at 30 years [335]. More recent studies estimate the risk of CRC in IBD patients to be approximately half that reported in the Eaden’s meta-analysis. Bernstein et al. conducted a large population-based study in Manitoba, Canada, including 19,655 CD person-years and 21,340 UC person-years between 1984 and 1997. There was an increased incidence rate ratio of colon carcinoma for both CD patients (2.64) and UC patients (2.75) compared to the general population [336]. Rutter et al. from St. Mark’s Hospital in the United Kingdom reported on their 30 year experience with the longest prospectively collected database on surveillance for dysplasia and cancer in UC. The cumulative incidence of CRC in this referral population was 2.5% at 20 years, 7.6% at 30 years and 10.8% after 40 years of disease [337]. In a population-based study in Hungary, in which 723 patients diagnosed with UC were followed over 30 years, an annual incidence of CRC of 0.15% was estimated and the cumulative incidence of CRC was found to be 0.6% after 10 years, 5.4% after 20 years and 7.5% after 30 years of chronic UC [338]. The reasons for this observed change in incidence may be the more widespread use of surveillance colonoscopy, a chemoprotective effect attributable to the more widespread use of maintenance therapies, more aggressive surgical intervention, and/or dietary or environmental factors [339]. The statistics that made from CRC a threat to the lives of IBD patients is that more than 20% of IBD patients develop colitis-associated cancer (CAC) within 30 years of disease onset, and >50% of these will die from CAC [340].
II-A.2. Risk Factors

Different studies have demonstrated that several inherent factors of the disease and/or possibly genetic factors may influence the risk of developing CRC in IBD. Identifying these factors will allow to better define a target population more likely to require colonoscopic surveillance and/or chemoprevention. Overall, factors that appear to increase the risk of CRC of patients with IBD include duration, severity, and extent of IBD; family history of CRC; primary sclerosing cholangitis; age at UC diagnosis; degree of histologic/endoscopic inflammatory activity; and presence of dysplasia of any grade (indefinite, low, or high) [337, 341-343]. However, it may be difficult to compare the exact relative risks for these various factors because of differences in the patient populations and how the analyses were performed.

a) Age of onset and duration of IBD

Some studies indicate that patients for whom the onset of disease occurs at an early age have a higher risk of developing CRC. This is the case for UC, for which the risk of CRC 35 years after disease onset was estimated by Ekbom et al. at 40% among patients diagnosed before the age of 15, whereas it was estimated at only 25% for those aged from 15 to 39 years at diagnosis, but also for CD, for which the relative risk of CRC among patients with isolated colitis increased from 5.6 to 20.9 for those aged under 30 years at diagnosis [344]. The role of age at onset, alone, remains however controversial. Most authors agree that the increased incidence among patients having developed IBD early in life results probably, or at least partly, from a longer duration of disease: before 8 to 10 years of disease progression, CRC risk is generally assumed to be not higher than in the general population [335, 337]. It is only later that it increases by approximately 0.5 to 1% each year, reaching cumulative incidence rates. However, a recent study shows that a substantial proportion of CRCs may occur before 8-10 years of disease [345].
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*b) Disease extension*

Colonic extent of the disease has been identified as an independent risk factor. In UC, CRC occurs essentially in patients presenting with pancolitis or a history of colitis beyond the left angle of the colon (extensive colitis). When colitis does not affect beyond the left angle, the risk of CRC is low with a relative risk of 2.8 in the case of left-sided colitis versus 14.8 in the case of pancolitis, and very low when disease is limited to the rectosigmoid with a relative risk of 1.7, and virtually inexistent in the case of proctitis [344].

c) Chronic inflammation

The link between persistent inflammation and risk of CRC has long been controversial. Nevertheless, recent clinical and experimental data demonstrate that chronic inflammation is a key factor contributing to colon carcinogenesis in IBD. In addition, features indicative of previous severe inflammation, such as pseudopolyps, and features indicative of chronically active colitis, such as a shortened or tubular colon and stricture formation are all associated with a significant increase in the risk of CCR [346].

d) Family history of colorectal cancer

A family history of CRC increases the risk of CRC in UC and in CD [347, 348]. Conversely, the presence of a first-degree relative presenting with an IBD does not increase the risk of developing CRC among other healthy family members [347].

e) Presence of reflux ileitis

Heuschen et al. suggested that the presence of reflux ileitis may be an independent risk factor for CRC in UC [349]. These results were, however, not reproduced in a recent case-control study published by Rutter et al. [346].
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f) Association with primary sclerosing cholangitis

Many studies have demonstrated that patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) associated with UC have a higher risk of developing CRC [350-352]. For example, a case-control study conducted by *Broome et al.* observed a cumulated risk of CRC of 9% after 10 years of disease progression among patients presenting both diseases, of 21% after 20 years and 50% after 25 years, compared with 2%, 5% and 10% respectively among patients with UC, but not PSC [350]. Furthermore, studies have shown that this risk remains even after liver transplantation for PSC [352].

g) Dietary factors

Risk factors for CRC include obesity, lack of exercise, alcohol and tobacco consumption, and dietary factors, such the Western diet (large amounts of red meat and fat, low amounts of vegetables, fruit, and fiber) [353]. Several other compounds have been found to reduce CRC risk, including carbohydrates, unsaturated n-3 fatty acids, vitamins, minerals (calcium and selenium), and phytochemicals (resveratrol, curcumin) [354]. Although the molecular mechanisms of these compounds are unknown and probably numerous, there is evidence that they reduce inflammation and decrease the activity of oncogenic signaling pathways. For example, vitamin D reduces the amount of activated β-catenin and can therefore reduce expression of c-myc in certain cell lines [355, 356]. Butyrate, a derivate of inulin and oligofructose (carbohydrates) that is produced by certain colonic bacteria, seem to modulate TGF-β, cyclooxygenase-2 (COX2), and IFN-γ signaling by unknown mechanisms [357, 358]. Curcumin, resveratrol, and green tea polyphenols have a wide range of antitumor activities, affecting inflammation by reducing activation of NF-κB [359]. However, their beneficial activities might arise from their antioxidant properties [360].

II-A.3. Pathophysiology

Colitis-associated cancers develop in chronically inflamed mucosa and are believed to develop in a sequence of no dysplasia–indefinite dysplasia–low-grade dysplasia– high-
grade dysplasia–carcinoma (Figure 12). Tumor progression in patients can skip one or more of these steps [361]. Like sporadic CRC, colon carcinogenesis in patients with IBD occurs through a sequence of events, such as mutations in somatic cells followed by their clonal expansion. However, unlike sporadic CRC, which develops from dysplasia in 1 or 2 foci of the colon, cancer arising in colitic mucosa often develops from multifocal dysplasia, indicating a field change effect. Studies of patients with chronic UC that used repeated colonoscopic examination to follow aneuploid cells, a marker of genomic instability, indicated that the cell populations remained in the same locations of the colon, but spread over time, occupying larger areas of the mucosa [362]. Moreover, within a specific area of aneuploidy, subclones of aneuploid cells appeared to emerge from their predecessors. The subclones became increasingly dysregulated, with greater amounts of genomic instability, as they expanded at the expense of the normal surrounding epithelium. For the most part, in patients with IBD, neoplastic lesions arise within inflamed areas of the colonic mucosa. The observed re-epithelialization of large patches of colonic mucosa by abnormal clones is a consequence of the healing response to ulceration from chronic inflammation. Because aneuploidy is often more widespread than dysplasia, substantial genomic alterations must occur in colonic mucosa without disturbing morphology.

![Common steps of colon development](image)

**Figure 12. Common steps of colon development. Adapted from [1].**

a) Genetic instability

Perhaps not surprisingly, many of the cellular defects associated with development of sporadic colorectal carcinomas are associated with colitis-associated colon carcinogenesis
[363]. In colitis-associated cancer, the frequencies of chromosome instability and microsatellite instability (MSI) are 85% and 15%, respectively—approximately the same as in sporadic colorectal carcinomas [364]. Progression of sporadic colorectal cancer differs from that of IBD-associated CRC, however, in the timing and frequency of these alterations (Figure 13). For example, loss of adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) function, an early event in progression of sporadic colorectal carcinomas, is less frequent and usually occurs later in the development of colitis-associated dysplasias and carcinomas [365-367]. Mutations in APC are rarely, if ever, detected in cells of the colitic mucosa that are negative or indefinite for dysplasia, and <14% of tissues with low-grade dysplasia or cancer have mutations in APC [365, 366, 368]. Likewise, allelic deletion of APC occurs in <33% of colitis-associated neoplasms [368].

![Sporadic colon cancer](image1)
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**Figure 13.** Molecular pathogenesis of sporadic colon cancer and colitis-associated colorectal cancer. There are similarities between the pathways, including the development of aneuploidy, microsatellite instability, DNA methylation, activation of the oncogene k-ras, activation of COX-2, and mutation and eventual loss of heterozygosity of p53, and adenomatous polyposis coli (APC). However, the frequency and sequence of these events differs between the cancers [21].
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Loss of p53 function is an important step in progression of colitis-associated cancer. Allelic deletion of p53 was observed in approximately 50%–85% of colitis-associated tumors [369, 370]. Loss of heterozygosity at p53 correlates with malignant progression—it was detected in 6% of biopsy samples without dysplasia, 9% with indefinite dysplasia, 33% with low-grade dysplasia, 63% with high-grade dysplasia, and 85% with cancer [369]. p53 mutations are found in colitic colon tissue and often in mucosa that is non-dysplastic or only indefinite for dysplasia [369, 371]. In carefully mapped colectomy specimens, p53 mutation was determined to occur early in the process of tumorigenesis, before aneuploidy (Figure 13) [371]. In fact, p53 mutations were found in inflamed mucosa from >50% of UC patients who did not have cancer, indicating that chronic inflammation might cause these mutations [372].

DNA methylation also contributes to development and progression of colitis-associated cancer (Figure 13). Methylation of CpG islands in several genes precedes dysplasia and can be detected throughout the mucosa of patients with UC [373]. Among neoplastic samples from patients with colitis, hMLH1 hypermethylation was observed in 6 of 13 (46%) with high levels of MSI, 1 of 6 (16%) with low levels of MSI, and 4 of 27 (15%) without MSI, so it might cause MSI or contribute to its development [374]. Loss of expression of the cell cycle inhibitor p16INK4a is associated with sporadic CRC; this gene is frequently hypermethylated in neoplastic samples from patients with UC [375]. Approximately 10% of biopsy samples that do not have dysplasia have hypermethylation of the p16 promoter; the rate increases with higher grades of dysplasia, reaching 100% in tumor samples. p14ARF is an indirect regulator of p53; it is encoded by the same gene as p16INK4a. Loss of expression of p14ARF, via hypermethylation of the gene that encodes it, has been reported in 50% of adenocarcinoma, 33% of dysplastic, and 60% of mucosa samples without dysplasia, collected from patients with UC [376].

Little is known about the exact cellular origin of colon cancer. If mutations occur in a differentiated cell type that does not proliferate, the mutations will not be propagated. Transformed stem cells at the crypt base might therefore be responsible for the development and maintenance of early neoplastic lesions. Loss of APC specifically from
5-aminosalicylic acid suppresses colon carcinogenesis via PPARγ

colonic stem cells results in progressively growing neoplasms, whereas its loss from transit-amplifying cells (located near the crypt base) does not [377].

b) Oxidative stress

In addition to promoting chromosomal and microsatellite instability and CpG island methylation, inflammation contributes to colon carcinogenesis by producing oxidative stress. IBD has been considered to be an “oxyradical overload” disease, in which chronic inflammation increases the risk for cancer [378]. Oxidative stress causes cellular damage that contributes to pathogenesis of the colitis itself and to colon carcinogenesis (Figure 14). The initiation of tumor formation in chronically inflamed tissue might be mediated by reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (RONS), which are released by cells of the innate immune system. Inflamed tissues from patients with active UC or CD-associated colitis demonstrate increased expression of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) and RONS [379-381]. Furthermore, using glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase as a molecular marker, McKenzie et al. showed that oxidation of thiols in the active site of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, with subsequent inhibition of enzyme activity, occurred in colonic epithelial cells from inflamed mucosa of patients with CD or UC, but not from paired samples of unaffected mucosa [382]. Measurements of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine, a mutagen formed by the action of hydroxyl radical at the C8 position of deoxyguanosine DNA base, in mucosal biopsies of patients with UC were increased compared to normal controls, with levels even higher in UC patients who had dysplasia [383].

How does oxidative stress contribute to colorectal carcinogenesis? Free radicals can affect many metabolic processes, including those that regulate DNA, RNA, proteins, and lipids [378, 384]. When they affect genes or proteins that maintain colonocyte homeostasis, such as p53, dysplasia, and subsequent carcinoma arise. Hussain et al compared mutations at codons 247 and 248 of p53 in biopsy samples collected from inflamed colonic mucosa of UC patients with those of normal mucosa from individuals without UC (controls) [372]. They reported that >50% of the UC samples had a higher frequency of G-to-A transitions at the CpG site of codon 248, and a higher frequency of C-to-T transitions at the third base of codon 247, compared to controls. Moreover, in paired biopsies from UC patients, these
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mutations were only observed in inflamed (not in non-inflamed) mucosa. Increased NOS-2 activity was associated with these p53 mutations. In colon cancer cell lines, hydrogen peroxide inactivated the mismatch repair system, apparently by damaging the protein complexes that perform the DNA repair [385]. Oxidative stress might therefore disable the mismatch repair system to cause MSI. MSI has been detected in chronically inflamed mucosa from UC patients, even in those with short disease duration before the risk of neoplasia ostensibly increases [386]. However, MSI was not found in normal colon mucosa from healthy controls, from patients with other types of benign inflammatory colitis, or patients with CD [386, 387]. Therefore, only specific types of chronic inflammation appear to cause MSI.

![Diagram](image-url)

**Figure 14.** The sequence of events from inflammation to cancer in patients with inflammatory bowel disease [21].
c) The immune response

The interplay between cellular and acellular components that underlies and sustains the pathophysiology of colorectal cancer has been shown complex and multifaceted. It does not only involve almost all cell components but rather engages and exploits extracellular signals and nutrients to the benefit of the cancerous cell (Figure 15). The dissection of these pathways is beyond the scope of this section, it would rather discuss the major players involved in the pathogenesis of colitis-associated colorectal cancer.

![Figure 15. Scheme outlining the various intracellular signaling pathways in colorectal cancer epithelial cell [16].](image)

Like other solid malignancies, colorectal and colitis-associated tumors are infiltrated by various types of immune cells. Cells of the innate immune system, such as neutrophils, mast cells, natural killer (NK) cells, dendritic cells (DC), and tumor-associated macrophages can be easily detected in these tumors (Table 2) [388]. In addition, advanced tumors recruit specific myeloid subsets that represent phenotypically heterogeneous but a functionally similar population of CD11b+Gr1+ cells, called myeloid-derived suppressor cells [389]. These cells share some characteristic with monocytes, macrophages, neutrophils, and DC and help suppress antitumor immune responses and tumor angiogenesis [389]. Cells of the adaptive immune system are also recruited into colorectal and colitis-associated tumors, where they
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have either pro- or antitumorigenic roles. T cells, for instance, are required for inflammation, cancer development, and tumor progression, as well as for anticancer immunity [390-392].

| Table 2. Tumor-Promoting Immune Cells and Cytokines in Colon Cancer. DC, dendritic cells; EGF, epidermal growth factor; ERK, extracellular signal-regulated kinase; IL, interleukin; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; MDSC, myeloid-derived suppressor cells; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; NF-κB, nuclear factor-κB; NK, natural killer; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; TGF, transforming growth factor; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; Treg, regulatory T cells; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor [1]. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Function or mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T cells (CD4 and CD8)</td>
<td>Cytokine production (IL-6, IL-10, TNF, IL-21, IL-17, IL-22, IFN-γ, lymphotoxin, RANKL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treg cells</td>
<td>Cytokine production (IL-10, TGF-β)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophages, DC, MDSC, neutrophils</td>
<td>Cytokine production (IL-6, IL-1, VEGF, IL-23, TNF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK cells</td>
<td>Cytokine production (IFN-γ, IL-22, IL-17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cells</td>
<td>Cytokine production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial and tumor cells</td>
<td>IL-1, IL-6, TNF, EGF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine</th>
<th>Mechanisms/pathways in cancer and immune cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>Survival, activation, recruitment, growth. AP-1, MAPK and NF-κB activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>Survival, growth, T-cell survival and differentiation, myeloid cell recruitment. STAT3, ERK, and Akt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-11</td>
<td>Survival, growth. STAT3, STAT1, ERK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-23</td>
<td>T-cell differentiation (Th17) and interference with Tregs, production of IL-17 and IL-22 by immune cells.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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*(Continued Table 2)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokine</th>
<th>Mechanisms/pathways in cancer and immune cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-1α, IL-β</td>
<td>Survival, growth, cytokines, chemokines, T-cell activation and differentiation. NF-κB, MAPK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-22</td>
<td>Survival, mucosal integrity, chemokines. STAT3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-17A,F</td>
<td>Survival, chemokines, T-cell regulation, monocytes, and neutrophil recruitment. MAPK, NF-κB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGF</td>
<td>Survival, proliferation. MAPK, STAT3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>Anti-inflammatory, Treg stimulation. Unknown effects on cancer cells. STAT3, MAPK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the contribution of tumor immunosurveillance vs tumor-promoting inflammation in CRC and CAC? In CAC, the immune system seems to have a mostly protumorigenic role. In sporadic CRC, there seems to be a well-defined balance between immunosurveillance (executed by CD8+ T cells, NK cells, and CD4+ T cells) and tumor-promoting inflammation (executed by innate immune cells, B cells, and various subtypes of T cells) (Figure 16). In CRC and CAC, immunosurveillance could mediate the early detection and elimination of transformed cells and aberrant crypt foci, and also keep small tumors at dormant state. Immunosurveillance may be also important during metastasis, when small numbers or single metastatic cells travel and can be attacked by antitumor immune cells that are not inhibited by factors in the tumor microenvironment. In many other stages of colorectal and colitis-associated tumorigenesis, inflammation counteracts and outcompetes antitumor immunity by direct immunosuppressive effects, as well as by regulation of tumor-cell survival, proliferation, angiogenesis, and other hallmarks of tumorigenesis [1].
In CAC, chronic inflammation precedes colitis-associated tumor development. Chronic inflammation causes oxidative damage to DNA, induces genetic mutations and microsatellite instability (as discussed in the section of genetic instability). At the cellular level, several inflammatory factors have been identified as key players in colitis-associated cancer. For instance, NF-κB, TNF-α and IL-6 have been shown to promote and perpetuate inflammation and carcinogenesis in these settings. Most tumor-promoting cytokines are activated via NF-κB transcription factors or (along with other inflammatory stimuli) activate NF-κB signaling in premalignant cells and immune/inflammatory cells [393]. Aberrant NF-κB activation was detected in >50% of colorectal and colitis-associated tumors and mouse studies have established a role for NF-κB in CAC development [394, 395]. NF-κB activation can support tumorigenesis by increasing cell proliferation and angiogenesis, inhibiting cell
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death, and promoting cell invasion and metastasis [396]. The anti-apoptotic activity of NF-κB is mediated via its activation of Bcl2, Bcl-xL, and cFLIP, along with other genes [396]. It has been shown that loss of function of NF-κB function was characterized by decreased expression of different inflammatory cytokines and chemokines (IL-1, IL-6, TNF-α, COX-2) which are known to promote inflammation and carcinogenesis [397]. On the other hand, activation of NF-κB in intestinal tissue with chronic colitis has been reported to up-regulate netrin-1, which provides antiapoptotic signals to the intestinal epithelium, to promote tumor progression [398, 399]. There are therefore many mechanisms by which NF-κB promotes tumor development and progression (Figure 17). Interestingly, cancer cells with activated NF-κB are resistant to chemotherapeutics and ionizing radiation; inhibition of NF-κB activity greatly increases cell sensitivity to these agents [400]. IBD is associated with persistent NF-κB activation in myeloid and epithelial cells of the colonic mucosa [401].

Figure 17. Role of NF-κB in colon carcinogenesis. Tissue injury stimulates cytokine production by immune cells in the tumor microenvironment. Cytokines and growth factors in the tumor microenvironment activate NF-κB and STAT3 which regulate genes that control cell proliferation, tumor growth, angiogenesis, and tumor progression and invasion. Activation of NF-κB induces positive signaling loops that increase production of chemokines and cytokines that recruit inflammatory cells in the tumor microenvironment. Adapted from [1].
Most tumor-promoting cytokines are produced by lamina propria macrophages and DC during early states of CAC development or by T cells during late-stage tumor progression. TNF-α is released by activated macrophages and T cells; it binds to the TNF-receptor (TNF-R) and has been reported to promote inflammation and colitis-associated cancer. TNF-α can initiate carcinogenesis by promoting DNA damage, it can stimulate angiogenesis, and it can induce expression of COX-2, which also induces angiogenesis to promote tumor growth [402]. There are many downstream targets of TNF-R1 activation, but its activation of the transcription factor NF-κB is likely to contribute to carcinogenesis [403]. Loss of function of NF-κB function was characterized by reduced expression TNF-α, implying a dual role of TNF-α and NF-κB in perpetuating inflammation and carcinogenesis [397].

Beside TNF-α, IL-6 is a potent stimulator of colon cancer cell proliferation and tumor growth [404]. For instance, high levels of circulating IL-6 have been reported in patients with CRC [405]. Becker et al. showed that IL-6 signaling to Stat3 promoted growth of colitis-associated cancer [406]. Subsequent studies showed that IL-6 produced by lamina propria myeloid cells protected normal and premalignant intestinal epithelial cells from apoptosis, an effect that was mediated by Stat3; the authors of this study proposed that IL-6 production by immune cells promotes epithelial tumor cell development and tumor growth in the setting of inflammation, whereas IL-6 production by epithelial cells contributes to epithelial carcinogenesis in the absence of inflammation [407]. A recent study reported increased epithelial cell expression of IL-6 and Stat3 in tissues from patients with active UC (compared to those with inactive UC), as well as in dysplasia and cancer samples [408]. Taken together, these findings implicate IL-6 signaling via Stat3 as an important pathway in colitis-associated neoplasia (Figure 17).

COX2 is an inducible mediator of prostaglandin synthesis and an important factor in colorectal tumorigenesis [409]. COX2 expression is upregulated in the colorectal tumors and in experimental models of CAC [410, 411]. Selective inhibitors (such as celecoxib) of COX were shown to reduce CRC incidence [411]. The pro-tumorigenic effects of COX2 are mediated by its major end product, PGE2; and human colorectal tumors have increased levels of Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) [412, 413]. In treating patients with IBD or CAC, the situation is more complex because COX2 inhibitors can exacerbate colitis and intestinal
injury. The pro-tumorigenic mechanisms of COX2 include inhibition of apoptosis, by increasing expression of Bcl2 via the mitogen-activated protein kinase or phosphoinositide 3-kinase-AKT signaling pathways [414-416]. PGE2 also activates β-catenin-dependent signaling, which promotes survival and proliferation. COX2 can also stimulate tumor angiogenesis by inducing production of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and basic fibroblast growth factor, and increase tumor dissemination by altering the adhesive properties of cells and increasing matrix metalloproteinase activity [417, 418]. Lastly, in myeloid cells, PGE2 signaling reduces expression of anti-tumorigenic IL-12 and increases expression of pro-tumorigenic IL-23 [419].

In addition to the innate immune response, the adaptive immune response has a role in pathogenesis of colitis-associated cancer. It has been demonstrated that Th17 and Th1 cells might be involved in inflammation-induced cancer [420, 421]. In samples from patients with CRC, increased T-bet expression, the transcription factor which controls development of the Th1 cell lineage, correlated with longer survival time and reduced lymphovascular invasion of the tumor [422]. Regulatory T (Treg) cells have anti-inflammatory effects and protect against colitis and associated cancer. Erdman and Poutahidis proposed that the ability of Treg cells to inhibit cancer depends upon gastrointestinal bacteria and IL-10, which maintain the Treg response. However, under proinflammatory conditions, Treg cells may fail to perform this inhibitory role and could contribute to Th17-cell-induced carcinogenesis [423].

**d) Gastrointestinal microflora: lessons from animal models**

If the microbiota is involved in cancer development, the colon must be its major site of action—the human intestine contains >500 different types of micro-organisms and the colon contains >10^{13} bacterial cells [424]. Studies have shown that CAC development depends qualitatively and quantitatively on the intestinal microflora [425, 426]. Intestinal inflammation can result from an aberrant ratio of protective (tolerogenic) to aggressive (proinflammatory, damage-inducing, pro-tumorigenic) microflora (Table 3). Changes in the number, diversity, and stability of commensal bacteria (dysbiosis), particularly the *Clostridia* group, can alter normal physiological processes and lead to disease, including CRC [427]; the
quantity and quality of gastrointestinal microbiota also affects CAC development [425]. It is possible that only bacteria that induce a combination of tissue injury and inflammation appear to promote cancer, whereas inflammation without continuous cycles of injury and repair is insufficient for tumor induction. Other pathogens or conditional commensals also contribute to tumorigenesis. These can activate the immune system to produce either ROS, as in case of Enterococcus faecalis, or pro-survival and pro-angiogenic cytokines (IL-6, IL-8, IL-17), as in case of Streptococcus bovis, B fragilis, and other bacteria [428].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microbe</th>
<th>Mechanism of pathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides fragilis,</td>
<td>Activation of STAT3 in the colon, triggers injury and IL-17 production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enterotoxigenic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides vulgatus</td>
<td>MyD88 dependent signaling, possibly NF-kB activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacterium longum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium butyricum</td>
<td>Increased bacterial presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitsuokella multiacida</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli, invasive</td>
<td>Intracellular colonization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus faecalis</td>
<td>ROS production and DNA damage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germ-free condition</td>
<td>Resistance to colon cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus bovis</td>
<td>Production of IL-8, aberrant crypt formation, increased proliferation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The intestinal microbiota makes a significant contribution to the development of not only colitis, but also neoplasia. In several different rodent models of IBD, commensal bacteria or specific bacteria (such as H hepaticus) are required to initiate inflammation and for development of dysplasia or cancer [429]. Without these bacteria, neither colitis nor neoplasia develops. Under conventional conditions, IL-10-null mice develop spontaneous, generalized enterocolitis that requires IL-12 and the presence of enteric bacteria [430]. Under specific pathogen-free conditions, all the mice develop colitis after 3 months of age.
After 3 months and 6 months, 25% and 60% of the mice, respectively, develop adenocarcinoma. Administration of IL-10 improved the colitis and reduced tumor development by 50%, even after the colitis had been established [431]. Probiotics reduced mucosal inflammation and the number of IL-10-null mice that developed colon tumors [432]; whereas pure cultures of Enterococcus faecalis induced colitis and, after 20 weeks, dysplasia and cancer of the rectum [433]. Mice that are deficient in T-cell receptor β chain have defective intestinal mucosal immune systems and develop colitis that resembles UC. When raised under conventional conditions, T-cell receptor β and p53 double-knockout mice develop chronic inflammation (90%, most limited to ileocecum and cecum), dysplasia (50% - 70%), and adenocarcinoma (70%) [434]. Germ-free conditions prevent chronic inflammation and tumor formation.

Glutathione peroxidases (GPX) are intracellular antioxidant enzymes that reduce hydrogen peroxide and organic hydroperoxides by oxidizing glutathione. Mice with disruptions in Gpx1 and Gpx2 develop ileocolitis between 2 and 7 weeks of age; after 4 months of age, approximately 40% of animals develop tumors (28% adenocarcinomas) [435]. Higher rates of tumor development correlated with higher inflammation scores in the ileum but not the colon, indicating that inflammation is necessary but not sufficient to cause tumors. Tumor incidence was highest in colonies that were raised in non–specific pathogen-free conditions. Essentially no tumors developed in mice raised in germ-free or specific pathogen-free colonies, nor did tumors develop in animals that had at least one wild-type allele of Gpx1 or Gpx2. Because these mice have intact immune systems and mucosal barrier function, these findings indicate the importance of antioxidants in defense against inflammation and neoplasia.

Adoptive transfer of CD4+CD45RBhi T cells into Rag2−/− mice induces colitis. Colitis is prevented by co-transfer (or adoptive transfer) of CD4+CD25+ or CD4+CD45RBlo (Treg) cells, which inhibit intestinal inflammation. Colitis can also be induced in Rag2−/− mice by infection with the enteric mouse pathogen H hepaticus; these mice develop colon tumors, including sessile tubular adenoma, dysplasia, and adenocarcinoma (100%) [436]. However, if they are maintained in a H hepaticus–free environment, the mice do not develop colon tumors or colitis. Adoptive transfer of CD4+CD45RBlo (Treg) cells to Rag2−/− mice prevents not only
colitis but also neoplasia, because these cells produce IL-10, which inhibits inflammation and carcinogenesis [437]. Long-term administration of antibiotics to TRUC (T-bet−/−RAG2−/− ulcervative colitis) mice prevented colitis and neoplasia, indicating the role for commensal flora [421]. Likewise, transfer of Treg cells from *H. hepaticus*–infected donor mice to *APC*<sup>Min/+</sup> mice protected them against cancer and reduced production of the proinflammatory cytokines TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-17 [423].

Infections are among the best-understood activators of inflammation [1, 438]. Microbes might contribute to colon carcinogenesis by disrupting the balance between protective (tolerogenic) and aggressive (proinflammatory, protumorigenic) flora or by changing the number, diversity, and stability of commensal bacteria (dysbiosis). Toxins produced by commensal organisms might also be involved; enterotoxigenic *B. fragilis* colonizes *Min<sup>APC<sup>16+/−</sup></sup>* mice, causes colitis by activating Stat3 and Th17 cells, and increases colon tumor formation [420]. By contrast, nontoxigenic *B. fragilis* colonized mice but did not cause inflammation or neoplasia. *Bifidobacterium lactis* prevents acute colitis and reduces the number of colonic neoplasms in a mouse model of colon carcinogenesis [439].

Finally, many researchers have studied activation of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), particularly Toll-like receptors (TLRs), by microbial pathogens. TLR4 signaling might induce production of RONS. TLR4-deficient mice have smaller and fewer colorectal tumors following administration of carcinogenic agents [440]. TLR4 signals through myeloid differentiation primary response 88 (MyD88); in the absence of MyD88, colorectal tumors do not develop in IL-10 knockout mice following administration of carcinogenic molecules [425]. Because variants of NOD2, which mediates signaling by intracellular PRRs, have been associated with CD, along with variants in TLR4 (also with UC), studies are required to investigate the link between PRR signaling and human colon carcinogenesis.

**e) Colitis-associated cancer: a complex interplay**

Colitis-associated cancer is multifaceted process involving several factors and multiple steps. NF-κB seems to play a central role in the pathophysiology of colitis-associated cancer. Important roles have been also attributed to inflammatory cytokines in
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Promoting and perpetuating inflammation and therefore carcinogenesis. Furthermore, the development of CAC is greatly influenced by the intestinal microflora and the role of inflammation-derived oxidative damage. Although the role of the factors cited above has been well-documented in the pathogenesis of IBD-associated cancer, establishing the exact timing and the “cause/consequence” requires further investigations (Figure 18).

Figure 18. Initiation of colitis associated cancer. Reactive oxygen and species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen intermediates (RNI), produced by inflammatory cells, can cause DNA damage that in addition to other mutagens can initiate CAC. Cytokines from inflammatory cells can increase intracellular ROS and RNI in pre-malignant cells, resulting in epigenetic changes that silence tumor suppressors and promote tumor initiation. Evidences of signal perpetuation promoting carcinogenesis miRNA, microRNA; ROS, reactive oxygen species; RNI, reactive oxygen intermediates. Adapted from [1]

II-A.4. Colorectal Cancer Preventive Measures

The previously accepted increased risk of CRC in IBD has prompted medical society guidelines to endorse cancer prevention strategies. Today, prevention of CRC in IBD is principally based on endoscopic surveillance for the detection of dysplastic lesions. Nevertheless, preventive medical treatment, especially using 5-aminosalicylates (5-ASA), has also proved to be efficient in most studies.
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a) Endoscopic Surveillance

According to the consensus guidelines, screening colonoscopies should begin 8–10 years after the onset of IBD symptoms in patients with UC pancolitis. The exception to this rule is that patients with concomitant PSC should begin yearly surveillance colonoscopies at the time PSC is diagnosed. The timing of follow-up surveillance colonoscopies depends on the presence of dysplasia. If the initial colonoscopy in UC and CD pancolitis is negative for dysplasia, repeat surveillance colonoscopy should be performed in 1–2 years. Once a patient has 2 negative surveillance colonoscopies, further surveillance colonoscopies should be performed every 1–3 years. After 20 years of disease duration, surveillance colonoscopies should be repeated every 1–2 years. The recommended surveillance biopsies are 4 quadrant biopsies every 10 cm with jumbo forceps on macroscopically normal mucosa, as well as in areas of mucosal irregularity, areas with altered mucosal colour, raised plaques or polypoid lesions. The probability of detecting dysplasia naturally increases with the number of biopsies performed. On the other hand, the cost of the examination also increases proportionately [441, 442].

The evidence that colonoscopy reduces CRC mortality in UC comes from case-control studies. Choi et al. demonstrated that, in their series, surveillance enabled the detection of early-stage CRC in 80% of patients against 41% in unmonitored UC patients. In this study, the mortality of the two groups, 5 years after CRC diagnosis, was also significantly improved.
in the group of monitored patients (77% versus 36%, \( P = 0.026 \)) [443]. Other studies have reported globally similar results [444, 445]. In addition, other data strongly suggest that patients who comply with surveillance colonoscopy have CRC detected at an earlier stage [446].

However, analysis of surveillance results is difficult, particularly in view of the methodological demands of such studies, requiring the inclusion of large numbers of patients and long-term follow-up (15 to 20 years), and of the difficulty in maintaining patient compliance to the study program, possible refusal to undergo colectomy by patients for whom low-grade dysplasia or high-grade dysplasia has been detected. Also, this strategy is often difficult to implement since it is not only inconvenient for the patient, but is also costly and involves a rate of morbidity which is by no means insignificant, all of which reduce its long-term observance. Furthermore, surveillance programs have not substantially prolonged survival in IBD patients, due to the technical limitations of recognizing dysplastic lesions in flat, normal-appearing mucosa [339]. Thus, the ideal solution would be to identify other, more accessible, risk markers for neoplastic degeneration, hence enabling the number of patients involved in endoscopic surveillance to be further reduced. An interesting prospect has been reported, based on the detection of molecular markers in faeces, potentially providing, in the future, a more accurate selection of patients with a high risk of presenting with CRC [447-449].

\[ \textit{b) Chemoprevention} \]

Despite the relative protection afforded by surveillance colonoscopies in IBD, there are still patients who develop CRC despite seemingly optimal surveillance. This raises the issue of whether chemoprevention in the form of either medications or dietary supplements might help reduce the risk of CRC in IBD [450]. Epidemiological studies have revealed that IBD patients, who were also regular users of 5-aminosalicylic acid, had decreased risk of colorectal cancer. In the last several years, reports have been emerging which suggest that 5-ASA therapy is associated with a protective effect against the development of CRC in longstanding colitis.
II.B- An Emerging Chemopreventive Role for 5-Aminosalicylic Acid

5-ASA therapy is the treatment of choice in active mild to moderate UC. In addition to its efficacy and safety as an anti-inflammatory therapy, 5-ASA is also believed to have unique chemopreventive properties.

II-B.1. Clinical Evidence of 5-Aminosalicylic Acid Chemoprevention

Multiple studies have assessed the utility of 5-ASA in preventing dysplasia and cancer in patients with UC, whereas fewer studies have assessed this endpoint in patients with CD. Looking across the UC studies, a meta-analysis of 9 observational studies involving a total of 1932 patients reported a protective association between 5-ASA use and CRC (OR 0.51; 95% CI: 0.37–0.69) which equates to a 49% reduction in the risk of CRC or CRC/dysplasia with regular 5-ASA use [451]. The derivative studies generally reported similar findings, even though they were subject to their own unique limitations and strengths in how they were performed. In a UK community-based cohort study of 175 patients with UC (diagnosed between 1972 and 1981), compliance with sulfasalazine resulted in a significant reduction in the risk of CRC [452]. The crude proportion of patients who developed CRC was 3% (5 of 152) in the compliant group and 31% (5 of 16) in the noncompliant group (those not compliant with or advised to stop taking sulfasalazine), $P < 0.001$. In addition, Rubin et al. observed a 3.4-fold risk reduction of dysplasia and CCR in UC patients treated with 5-ASA at dosage $\geq 1.2$g/day [453]. Also, Eaden et al. in a retrospective, matched case control study showed that mesalasine reduced the risk of CRC by 81% in individuals suffering from UC [335]. Another UK case-control study of 102 patients with UC and CRC plus matched controls showed that CRC could be substantially reduced by taking 5-ASA on a regular basis compared with no 5-ASA use (OR 0.47; 95% CI: 0.22–1.00) [454]. Likewise, a nested case-control study involving 18,969 patients with IBD in the UK General Practice Research Database (1987–2001) showed that regular 5-ASA users (defined as $\geq 6$ prescriptions in the previous 12 months) had a significantly reduced risk of CRC compared with irregular 5-ASA users (adjusted OR 0.60; 95% CI: 0.38–0.96) [455]. In the latter 2 studies, regular mesalamine users achieved a reduction in CRC risk that was numerically greater than that achieved by regular sulfasalazine users.
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On the other hand, some retrospective studies did not support 5-ASA as protective in preventing CRC [456-459]. According to the authors, the absence of protective effect against colitis-related CRC was mainly due to the heterogeneity of the populations analyzed and the short period of exposure to 5-ASA. Furthermore, because of the design of retrospective studies, some key areas have not been sufficiently addressed such as included concurrent use of other medications and accurate data on dosing.

II-B.2. Insights into the Mechanisms of 5-Aminosalicylic Acid Chemoprevention

In the recent years, a large amount of molecular data has accumulated supporting the notion that 5-ASA biological effects interfere with colorectal cancer development. These molecular pathways are of special interest in the search for 5-ASA’s molecular target(s) and development of novel chemopreventive compounds.

a) Inhibition colon cancer cell growth and survival

The initial demonstration that 5-ASA can block the growth and promote apoptosis of CRC cells comes from ex vivo studies in patients with colonic adenoma. Reinacher-Schick et al. showed that 5-ASA administered orally to patients with sporadic polyps increased the apoptotic rate and decreased proliferation of cancer cells [460]. Bus et al. demonstrated that rectal administration of 5-ASA in patients with sporadic CRC enhanced apoptosis of tumor cells [461]. These observations were supported by studies in the mouse model of colitis-associated CRC, induced by administration of azoxymethane (AOM) followed by repeated oral administration of dextran sulfate sodium (DSS), which showed that 5-ASA reduced the number and size of neoplasms [462, 463].

5-ASA was demonstrated to directly regulate the activity of neoplastic cells. Indeed, it has been shown that 5-ASA inhibits the growth and enhances the apoptosis of several CRC cell lines in a time and dose-dependent manner [464]. 5-ASA-induced anti-proliferative effect is associated with modulation of replication checkpoints in CRC cells, which ultimately alter cell cycle progression. In this context, it has been shown that increasing concentrations of 5-ASA (5–30mM) cause a progressive accumulation of CRC cells in S phase, thereby
decreasing the percentage of cells in G2/M and G0/G1 [465]. 5-ASA-treated cells exhibit a reduced expression of the phosphatase Cell division cycle 25 A (CDC25A), but not CDC25B or CDC25C, and inactivation of Cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CDK2), a target of CDC25A [465]. Since CDK2 binds to either cyclin E or cyclin A and regulates the G1/S transition and S phase progression, respectively, 5-ASA-induced CDK2 inactivation could be responsible for cell cycle block in S-phase [466]. The exact mechanism by which 5-ASA down-regulates CDC25A expression is not yet known. It is unlikely that Serine/threonine-protein kinase (CHK) 1 and 2, two upstream kinases that phosphorylate and promote degradation of CDC25A are involved in the negative regulation of CDC25A, because 5-ASA downregulates CDC25A, expression in CHK1- or CHK2-deficient cells [465]. By contrast, cells treated with 5-ASA displayed enhanced ubiquitination and proteasome- dependent degradation of CDC25A [465]. These findings together with the demonstration that 5-ASA does not affect CDC25A RNA expression strongly suggest that CDC25A is post-transcriptionally down-regulated in 5-ASA-treated cells. These results are consistent with those published by Luciani and colleagues, who showed that exposure of CRC cells to 5-ASA causes a CHK-1 dependent reversible accumulation of cells in S-phase [467]. Further work by other researchers has confirmed the ability of 5-ASA to interfere with cell cycle progression and shown that CRC cells can accumulate in various phases of the cell cycle in relation to the dose and time of exposure to the drug [468, 469].

b) Improvement of replication fidelity

IBD-related CRC is characterized by two major types of genomic instability, namely, chromosomal instability (CIN) also termed above aneuploidy, and microsatellite instability (MSI) [470]. CIN or aneuploidy is characterized by atypical segregation of chromosomes and abnormal DNA content with consequent loss of whole (or part of) chromosomes and function of critical tumor suppressor genes (e.g., adenomatous polyposis coli, p53). MSI is characterized by an increased rate of point mutations and is dependent on defects in the mismatch repair system, a mechanism involved in repairing DNA base-pair mismatches, which occur in the normal DNA replication. During this process, frameshift mutations, called microsatellites, tend to accumulate. Microsatellites occur mainly in intronic DNA sequences thus resulting in no gene function alteration. However, when microsatellites are located in
exonic gene regions, there can be a shift in the codon reading frame which results in a loss of protein function [471]. Studies performed by Gasche and Campregher demonstrated that 5-ASA improves replication fidelity in cultured CRC cells independently of the presence of mismatch repair system [472, 473]. The mechanism by which 5-ASA inhibits the generation of frameshift mutations remains unknown, even though it could in part rely on the ability of the drug to slow down DNA replication and cell division, because cell cycle regulation is one of the defense mechanisms that allow cells to either repair DNA damage or eventually undergo apoptosis thus safeguarding the integrity of the genome [467, 474].

\[c) \text{Inhibition of Wnt/\(\beta\)-Catenin pathway}\]

An intriguing possibility that emerges from the available experimental data is that the anti-tumoral properties of 5-ASA reflect the ability of the drug to target several pathways that are both early and common in colorectal carcinogenesis. The Wnt/\(\beta\)-catenin pathway, which is constitutively activated in the majority of CRC, is one of such targets. In this pathway, Wnt binds to the transmembrane Frizzled receptor, leading to the activation of the cytoplasmic disheveled (Dsh) protein. Dsh forms a complex with the \(\beta\)-catenin degradation complex, which consists of the APC gene product, glycogen synthase kinase-3\(\beta\) (GSK-3\(\beta\)), axin, and \(\beta\)-catenin. In the absence of Wnt, GSK-3\(\beta\) phosphorylates \(\beta\)-catenin, thereby promoting its ubiquitination and degradation [475]. In response to Wnt signals, \(\beta\)-catenin is no longer targeted for degradation, and it accumulates in the cytoplasm and subsequently translocates to the nucleus, where it stimulates the expression of genes involved in tumor progression [475]. Bos and colleagues showed that 5-ASA inhibits the Wnt/\(\beta\)-catenin pathway in CRC cells by increasing \(\beta\)-catenin phosphorylation and consequently reducing nuclear accumulation of \(\beta\)-catenin and expression of Wnt/\(\beta\)-catenin target genes (e.g., cyclin D1, c-met, and c-Myc) [476]. The mechanism by which 5-ASA promotes \(\beta\)-catenin phosphorylation remains to be ascertained, but it is known that the drug inhibits the activity of the protein phosphatase (PP)2A, a known regulator of the \(\beta\)-catenin phosphorylation status in CRC cells [476, 477].
**5-aminosalicylic acid suppresses colon carcinogenesis via PPARy**

*d) Inhibition of epidermal growth factor receptor activation*

Another important target of 5-ASA in CRC cells is epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), the activation of which is followed by a range of intracellular events that eventually stimulate CRC growth and survival [478]. EGFR is overexpressed not only in sporadic CRC but also in the premalignant lesions of IBD-associated carcinogenesis [479, 480]. It has been demonstrated that 5-ASA suppresses EGFR phosphorylation/activation in CRC cells, as a result of its ability to enhance the activity of protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs) that control EGFR activation [481].

*e) Inhibition of cyclooxygenases*

Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) is a major target of CRC chemopreventive programs, as it is over-expressed in IBD-related CRC tissue and its activation is known to trigger and/or amplify biological pathways that sustain CRC growth [409, 418, 482]. It has been shown that 5-ASA inhibits the growth of HT-115, a CRC cell line that expresses a functionally active COX-2, and that the anti-mitogenic effect of 5-ASA is associated with a marked down-regulation of COX-2 at the protein and mRNA level [483]. Consistently, treatment of HT-115 cells with 5-ASA causes a significant reduction in secretion of prostaglandin (PG) E2, a product of COX-2 activity that positively regulates CRC cell growth. Moreover, mesalazine blocks the growth of DLD-1, a CRC cell line that does not express COX-2, which suggests that the effect of this drug on CRC cell growth is partially independent of inhibition of the COX-2/PGE2 axis [483].

*f) Scavenging reactive oxygen and nitrogen metabolites*

5-aminosalicylic acid is a very efficient scavenger of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and its inhibitory effects against free radicals have been described in the literature [484]. As inflammation and inflammation-driven malignancies are accompanied by accumulation of ROS, treatment with 5-ASA may effectively prevent these events, especially when applied in IBD. Although inflammation is an important biological risk factor for cancer development, only recently has severity of inflammation been linked to carcinogenesis [343, 485]. 5-ASA showed significant \( \cdot O_2^- \) scavenging effects [486]. Oxidized 5-ASA can regenerate by
endogenous compounds, e.g. ascorbic acid, cysteine, or glutathione, which may preserve the drug effects in tissues undergoing oxidative stress [487]. The antioxidant effect of 5-ASA was also shown on copper-mediated low-density lipoprotein oxidation [488]. Combined with another antioxidant N-acetylcysteine (NAC), 5-ASA reduces nitrate generation and suppresses nitric oxide synthase (NOS) activity [489]. The effects of 5-ASA on the expression and activity of haem oxygenase-1 (HO-1) have been evaluated in the colitis provoked by instillation of trinitrobenzene sulphonic acid (TNBS) [490]. HO-1 can modulate colonic inflammation by endogenous anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory moieties. Intraluminal administration of 5-ASA induced colonic HO-1 protein expression and stimulated HO-1 enzyme activity suggesting that 5-ASA may exert its colonic anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory effects in vivo in part through the upregulation of HO-1 enzyme expression and activity. Also, 5-ASA was shown to protect from radiation-induced ROS production and tissue damage [491]. The authors tested the radiation-protective effect of 5-ASA in mouse bone marrow. 5-ASA pre-treatment decreases death caused by radiation-induced gastrointestinal and haematopoietic syndromes. 5-ASA prevents radiation-induced depletion of antioxidant enzymes and lipid peroxidation, probably by quenching the hydroxyl radicals. Finally, beside its in vitro inhibition of NOS and NO production, treatment with 5-ASA induced a decrease in NOS expression and NO levels in a model of indomethacin-induced enteropathy [492, 493].

**g) Inhibition of nuclear factor-κB**

As detailed previously, the NF-κB signaling pathway has been shown to play a central role in promoting and perpetuating carcinogenesis in IBD-associated CRC. In human colon epithelial cells, 5-ASA inhibited the phosphorylation of Ser536 in the NF-κB subunit p65, the critical residue for transcriptional activity of NF-κB, and inhibited IL-1β-dependent activation of NF-κB [327, 494]. Furthermore, TNF-α-induced nuclear translocation of NF-κB was prevented by 5-ASA through inhibition of IκBα degradation [495].
5-aminosalicylic acid suppresses colon carcinogenesis via PPARγ

h) Anti-microbial activity

5-aminosalicylic acid has also been implicated in antimicrobial activity [496]. There is increasing evidence that *E. coli* organisms are important in Crohn’s disease pathogenesis [209, 234, 497]. Some adherent and adhesive mucosal *E. coli* isolates are able to invade colonic polyps and cancers resulting in activation of toll-like receptors, TNF, IL-8 and NF-κB signaling [498]. 5-ASA inhibits MAPK-dependent IL-8 release triggered by mucosal *E. coli* isolates in a dose-dependent manner [499]. Moreover, bacterial invasiveness was decreased by 5-ASA. Although the exact mechanism of 5-ASA antibacterial activity remains unknown, recent finding suggested that 5-ASA has potent effects on bacterial gene expression which implies that intestinal bacteria could act as a pharmacological target of 5-ASA, a mechanism that might contribute to the therapeutic action of 5-ASA [500].

i) Activation of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-gamma

The peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ), a transcription factor belonging to the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, is highly expressed in the colon where it regulates cellular proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis [501]. PPARγ activation inhibits formation of aberrant crypts foci and development of CRC in mice [502, 503]. Rousseaux et al. showed that PPARγ is a target of 5-ASA in CRC cells [325]. Specifically, in competitive binding studies, 5-ASA displaces rosiglitazone and the selective PPARγ ligand GW1929 from their binding sites on PPARγ [325]. Activation of PPARγ by 5-ASA is accompanied by induction of the tumor suppressor gene Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), activation of caspase-8 and caspase-3, and diminished expression of survivin and X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein [504].

III- The Role of PPAR-γ in Colorectal Cancer

III-A. Generalities

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma (PPARγ) represents subtype (along with PPARα and PPARβ/δ) from the PPARs family, nuclear receptors involved in the function and regulation of several physiological systems (Detailed description of PPARs
regulation and function is reported in section I of skin homeostasis [505]. There are natural ligands for PPARγ, including long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, eicosanoids, components of oxidized low density lipoproteins, and oxidized alkyl phospholipids [506]. The prostaglandin J2 derivative, 15d-PGJ2 is the most potent endogenous ligand for the PPARγ receptor. The antidiabetic thiazolidinedione (TZD) class of drugs including troglitazone, rosiglitazone, pioglitazone and ciglitazone are synthetic ligands for PPARγ [506].

PPARγ is expressed in adipose tissue, colon, immune system, hematopoietic cells, and retina. PPARγ is also expressed in a variety of malignant tissues including prostate, breast, and colon [507-511]. This broad expression reflects multiple roles for PPARγ. For instance, this receptor was shown to be involved in lipid anabolism, adipocyte differentiation, and control of inflammation, metabolic regulation, macrophage maturation, and embryo implantation [512]. Upon activation, PPARγ forms heterodimer with retinoid X receptor (RXR) and mediate transcriptional activation by binding to the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor response element (PPRE) [505, 513]. In the inactive state, association of various co-repressor molecules with PPARγ (e.g., nuclear receptor co-repressor or silencing mediator for retinoid receptor) prevents this complex from binding to DNA. For transcriptional transactivation of PPARγ, recruitment of co-activators (e.g., cyclic adenosine monophosphate response element- binding protein, PPARγ coactivator-1, and PPARγ binding protein) is required which replace co-repressors from the heterodimer complex. Transcriptional transrepression occurs through a genome independent mechanism and is mediated via physical association of the heterodimer with other activated transcription factors (STAT, NF-κB, and AP-1) thereby blocking their functions [15].

III-B. Anti-neoplastic role of PPAR-γ in the Colon

Several studies have focused on the putative association between the various polymorphisms and mutations of the PPARγ gene and the occurrence of cancer. It was described that 4 somatic PPARγ gene mutations resulting in reducing its function occurred in 55 sporadic colon cancers [514]. However, Ikezoe et al. analyzed 397 clinical samples and cell lines including colon, breast, and lung cancers for mutations of PPARγ gene and showed
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the absence of PPARγ gene mutations [515]. These data suggest that PPARγ mutations may occur in cancers but they are rare. Furthermore, survival of patients with colorectal cancer is markedly better when PPARγ expression is detectable in primary tumors than in patients with colorectal cancer who have no detectable PPARγ expression in their primary tumors [516]. This is consistent with results showing that colon tumorigenesis is exacerbated in APCmin/+ mice with genetic ablation of PPARγ compared with control APCmin/+ mice [517].

III-B.1. The Role of PPARγ as a Tumor Suppressor in Colorectal Cancer

There has been substantial accumulation of experimental data supporting that PPARγ ligands induce growth arrest and apoptosis in colon cancer cells [511, 512]. Most importantly, in the gastrointestinal tract, PPARγ, expressed in intestinal epithelial cells, was shown to exhibit anti-tumorigenic properties via different mechanisms [505]. PPARγ was shown to induce apoptosis, inhibit cell proliferation, suppress angiogenesis and promote cell differentiation (Figure 20).

![Figure 20. Molecular mechanisms for PPARγ as tumor suppressor in colorectal cancer [15].](image-url)
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*a) Inhibition of cell proliferation and induction of apoptosis*

The mechanisms by which PPARγ was shown to inhibit cell proliferation and induce apoptosis were numerous. The majority of the studies were performed in colon cancer cell lines using specific PPARγ agonists.

1. Some studies have demonstrated that rosiglitazone upregulates the Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog (PTEN) expression in Caco2 colorectal cancer cells [518]. PTEN is a tumor suppressor gene that modulates several cellular functions, including cell migration, survival, and proliferation by inhibiting phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI-3K)-mediated signaling cascades [519]. Dai et al. also show that treatment of colon cancer cells with rosiglitazone stimulates expression of tumor suppressor gene PTEN. This effect is probably mediated through the binding of PPARγ on PPRE in the promoter of PTEN [520]. Inhibition of the PI-3K/Akt pathway by increased PTEN expression is believed to underlie this effect of the PPARγ ligand.

2. PPARγ agonist GW7845 induced cell death through downregulation of survivin in colorectal cancer cells [521]. Survivin is one of the inhibitors of apoptosis protein (IAP) family since it is overexpressed in almost every human tumor that has been studied, but is barely detectable in most normal adult tissues [522]. Overexpression of survivin is associated with poor clinical outcome with reduced tumor cell apoptosis in patients with colorectal cancer [523, 524].

3. Qiao et al. showed that 15d-PGJ2 and troglitazone mediate downregulation of X-Linked Inhibitor of Apoptosis (XIAP) downregulation in colon cancer cells by facilitating ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation [525]. XIAP can inhibit apoptosis by binding and thereby inactivating caspases including caspase-9 and the effector caspases (-3 and -7) [526]. In addition, Lee et al. demonstrated that pioglitazone induces apoptosis through downregulation of XIAP via unknown mechanism in colorectal cancer cell lines [527].

4. Ban et al. showed that PPARγ agonist, troglitazone, inhibits colon cancer cell growth via inactivation of NF-κB by suppressing glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3β) activity
[528]. In fact, the transcription factor NF-κB is involved in the regulation of various genes, including inflammatory response genes and glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK-3) [529]. Its activation is also associated with cell proliferation, cell cycle progression, promotion of tumor growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis through the expression of genes participating in malignant conversion and tumor promotion [397, 530, 531].

(5) Ciglitazone was shown to inhibit CDK5 (Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 5) protein expression and kinase activity which was associated with decreased proliferation in colon cancer HT-29 cells [532]. PPARγ activation in intestinal epithelial cells results also in the inhibition of cell cycle and S-phase entry though a decrease in cyclin D1 expression which is involved in G1/S progression and increased proliferation [533, 534]. Furthermore, PPARγ ligand treatment not only decreases the protein level of cyclin D1, but also increases the CDK inhibitors p21CIP and p27KIP1 through both increased transcriptional activity and inhibition of proteasome degradation in colorectal cancer cells [535, 536]. Ciglitazone also inhibited G1/S cell cycle progression through upregulation of p27 and inhibition of Cdk2 activity in HT-29 cells [535]. Lee et al. also showed that pioglitazone treatment leads to G2/M block through downregulation of cyclin B1 and cdc2 and upregulation of p21 in RB-deficient human colorectal cancer SNU-C4 and SNU-C2A cells [527]. Thus, these studies suggest that the antiproliferative or proapoptotic effects of PPARγ agonist are associated with its ability to regulate the expression of various genes which are involved in controlling the cell cycle and cell survival/death.

(6) It has been shown that pioglitazone induces apoptosis through the downregulation of COX-2, activation of caspase-3, downregulation of Bcl-2 and upregulation of Bax in human colorectal cancer cells [527]. In fact, COX-2 contributes to tumorigenesis through various mechanisms and overexpression of COX-2 can stimulate tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis [537, 538].

(7) Zhi and Tseng demonstrated that 15d-PGJ2 inhibits proliferation of HT-29 human colon cancer cells and induces upregulation of Krüppel-Like Factor (KLF4) mRNA and protein through the activation of MEK/ERK and STAT-dependent pathway [539]. KLF4 is a member of the Krüppel-like zinc finger transcription factor family. It is extensively expressed in the
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epithelial cells of the gastrointestinal tract [540, 541]. Over-expression of KLF4 in colon cancer cells caused inhibition of DNA synthesis and cell growth [542, 543]. It has been also demonstrated that rosiglitazone treatment of colorectal cancer cells caused to G1 arrest because increased expression of KLF4 by rosiglitazone leads to increased expression of p21 and decreased expression of cyclin D1 [544]. These data suggest that KLF4 is a nodal player in a network of PPARγ-regulated genes.

(8) In another mechanism in colon cancer cells, treatment of the PPARγ ligands (pioglitazone, troglitazone) induces apoptosis through upregulation of the proapoptotic protein Bax and downregulation of the antiapoptotic protein Bcl-2 [545, 546]. Alternative expression of Bax and Bcl-2 causes apoptosis by the release of cytochrome c and subsequent activation of several effector caspases.

(9) Finally, it has been reported that 15d-PGJ2 and rosiglitazone inhibit Caco-2 colon cancer cell proliferation through the inhibition of telomerase activity and human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) expression [547].

b) Induction of cellular differentiation

PPARγ has been demonstrated to induce differentiation in solid tumors both in vitro and in vivo [548]. In colon cancer cells, activation of PPARγ by troglitazone treatment inhibits growth and metastasis through differentiation-promoting effects, such as the marked increase in p21Waf-1, developmentally regulated GTP-binding protein 1 (DRG-1), and E-cadherin in human colon cancer cells [549].

c) Inhibition of angiogenesis

Angiogenesis, a formation of new capillaries from the preexisting vessels, is a complex process involved in the degradation of the basement membrane by cellular proteases, the penetration and migration of endothelial cells into the extracellular matrix (ECM), endothelial cell proliferation, tube formation, and vessel stabilization [550]. Inhibition of angiogenesis may contribute to the mechanism by which PPARγ agonists halt
the cancer process. Several studies demonstrated that PPARγ agonist inhibits angiogenesis through the following mechanisms.

(1) 15d-PGJ2 has been reported to have inhibitory effects on the proliferation and invasiveness of colon cancer cell lines which are associated with G1 cell cycle arrest and downregulation of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP)-7 synthesis [551]. MMPs are a family of proteases cleaving several macromolecules of the ECM and the process of cancer cell invasion is dependent on the degradation of the ECM by MMPs [552].

(2) It has been shown that both COX-2 and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) are overexpressed in various human cancers [553]. It was reported that iNOS is associated with altered expression of important modulators of angiogenesis [553]. 15d-PGJ2 downregulates iNOS [554-556] and COX-2 [557-559]. The expression of COX-2 and iNOS is regulated by NF-κB. The recent several studies have demonstrated that 15d-PGJ2 can act as a negative regulator of proinflammatory signaling through blocking the NF-κB activation pathway at multiple levels via covalent modification of NF-κB or its regulators [560]. Thus, antiangiogenic effects of 15d-PGJ2 might be associated with disruption of NF-κB and subsequent blockade of iNOS and COX-2 expression.

(3) The potential mechanism of angiogenesis inhibition by 15d-PGJ2 may also involve downregulation of pro-inflammatory mediators. Both physiological and pathological angiogenesis can be stimulated by pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1 and TNF-α. Certain cytokines (e.g., IL-6 and CSF-1) can influence the phenotype and the function of tumor-associated macrophages and indirectly stimulate tumor invasiveness and angiogenesis [561]. Tumor-associated macrophages play an important role in tumor progression due to production of several angiogenic factors, such as VEGF, IL-8, inflammatory cytokines (IL-1) and proteases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) [561]. Thus, 15d-PGJ2 inhibits angiogenesis through suppression of such pro-inflammatory cytokines [562]. Induction of several pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α, IL-1, and IL-8, is regulated at the transcription level by NF-κB. It is still unclear whether 15d-PGJ2 exerts an anti-angiogenic effect through inhibition of NF-κB-dependent induction of pro-inflammatory mediators or through downregulation of cancer cell-derived proinflammatory cytokine
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release which is NF-κB-independent. Hence, further investigations are necessary to clarify the signaling pathways that delineate the anti-angiogenic effects of 15d-PGJ2.

In conclusion, it has been clear enough that 5-ASA and PPARγ not only have common therapeutic function in preventing colon cancer but also converge into the single mode of action through the activation of similar anti-carcinogenic pathways.
(C) AIM OF THE WORK

Patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) display an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer (CRC), probably due to chronic inflammation. Surveillance colonoscopies provide limited protection against CRC because of the difficulty in recognizing dysplastic lesions in healthy-appearing mucosa and in maintaining patient compliance to routine colonoscopies. Therefore chemopreventive strategies have emerged has an additional approach that might provide enhanced protection against CRC in IBD patients. For instance, it has been reported that IBD patients who were as well regular users of 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) displayed decreased risk of developing CRC in longstanding colitis, as compared to non 5-ASA users. This is because 5-ASA was reported to display unique chemopreventive properties in addition to its efficacy as an anti-inflammatory of choice in IBD.

Nevertheless, the mechanisms underlying the anti-neoplastic properties of 5-ASA remain largely unknown. Some studies have dissected the signaling pathways sustaining the anti-tumorigenic role of 5-ASA. It has been shown that 5-ASA Inhibited colon cancer cell growth and survival, improved DNA fidelity replication and suppressed inflammatory signaling pathways. Most importantly, 5-ASA was demonstrated to induce the expression and the activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ). PPARγ has been already demonstrated to mediate the anti-inflammatory effects of 5-ASA in the colon of different mouse models and in human colonic biopsies. The aim of this work is to investigate whether PPARγ would be also capable of mediating the well-recognized anti-neoplastic effects in 5-ASA in the colon. To reach this aim, the anti-neoplastic properties of 5-ASA were examined in vitro on human colon cancer cell lines, as well as in vivo in two models of colon carcinogenesis: xenograft tumor model and azoxymethane-induced carcinogenesis. The involvement of PPARγ in mediating 5-ASA effects was assessed by the use of a specific inhibitor for the receptor.
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Epidemiological evidences suggested that 5-ASA therapy may prevent the development of colorectal cancer in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients. Our aim is to investigate whether PPARγ mediates the anti-neoplastic effects of 5-ASA. METHODS: HT-29 and Caco-2 cells were treated by 5-ASA, rosiglitazone (PPARγ ligand), or etoposide (anti-carcinogenic drug, topoisomerase II inhibitor). Epithelial cell growth, proliferation and apoptosis were assessed by cell count, Ki-67 staining and TUNEL assay respectively. The anti-neoplastic effect of 5-ASA was evaluated in a xenograft tumor model in SCID mice and in azoxymethane (AOM)-induced colon carcinogenesis in A/JOlaHsd mice. The role of PPARγ was examined by administration of PPARγ antagonist, GW9662. RESULTS: Compared to untreated cells, treatment of HT-29 cells by 5-ASA inhibited significantly cell growth and cell proliferation (respectively 60% and 63%) and induced apoptosis in 75% of cells. These effects were abolished by a co-treatment with GW9662. In contrast with etoposide, similar inhibitory effects of GW9662 were obtained in HT-29 cells treated with rosiglitazone. In the xenograft model, GW9662 abolished the therapeutic effect of 5-ASA which decreased tumor weight and volume by 80% in SCID mice compared to untreated mice. In A/JOlaHsd mice, 5-ASA suppressed colon carcinogenesis by decreasing the number of aberrant crypt foci (75%) and aberrant crypts (22%) induced by AOM treatment with an absence of 5-ASA response after administration of GW9662. CONCLUSION: 5-ASA exerts potent anti-neoplastic effects which are mediated through PPARγ. These data provide new rational for further designing more effective and safe anti-neoplastic PPARγ ligands with topical effects.

KEY WORDS: 5-aminosalicylic acid, PPARgamma, inflammatory bowel disease, colorectal cancer, aberrant crypt foci
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INTRODUCTION

One of the most serious complications of colonic inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is colorectal cancer (CRC). Two prevention strategies of CRC are available: regular surveillance colonoscopy with random biopsies, and chemoprevention with 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) treatment. Surveillance colonoscopies are limited strategies during which only 20% - 50% of colonic neoplasms is detected (1). Epidemiological studies have shown that the chronic use of 5-ASA in IBD has chemopreventive effects on the development of CRC. A meta-analysis has estimated that 5-ASA halved the risk of developing CRC or dysplasia in ulcerative colitis (UC) patients, and showed a positive correlation between the protection and the treatment duration (2). Also, Eaden et al. in a case control study showed that mesalasine reduced the risk of CRC by 81% in UC patients (3). Furthermore, functional studies in rodents have shown potent anti-carcinogenic effects for 5-ASA in models of sporadic and colitis-associated cancer (4-6).

The mechanisms sustaining the putative anti-neoplastic property of 5-ASA are still under investigation. However, several in vitro studies have demonstrated that the anti-neoplastic effects of 5-ASA are mediated via inflammatory-dependent and -independent mechanisms including the inhibition of NF-κB (7, 8) the Wnt/β-catenin pathway (9), regulation of DNA replication checkpoints (10, 11), and disruption of TFGβ pathway (12, 13). Another mechanism sustaining the effect of 5-ASA is the induction and activation of Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ) (14). PPARs are nuclear receptors that function as transcription factors regulating the expression of genes involved in cellular differentiation, development, metabolism, and tumorigenesis. In the gut, PPARγ is significantly expressed in colonic epithelial cells and exhibits anti-inflammatory and anti-carcinogenic effects, notably by interacting with the β-catenin pathway (15-17).

Our laboratory has already demonstrated that, PPARγ mediates 5-ASA anti-inflammatory effects in the colon epithelium in mice and in human cultures colonic biopsies (15, 18, 19). The aim of the present study was to test the hypothesis that the anti-neoplastic effects of 5-ASA were mediated via PPARγ. We studied the anti-neoplastic effect of 5-ASA first in vitro on the proliferation, growth and apoptosis of HT-29 and Caco-2 colon epithelial cell lines and in vivo in a mouse model of colon cancer cell xenograft and in Azoxymethane
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(AOM)-induced colon carcinogenesis. The involvement of PPARγ in 5-ASA-induced anti-neoplastic effect was assessed by the use of PPARγ antagonist GW9662.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

5-ASA, AOM and GW9662 were purchased at Sigma-Aldrich (St Quentin Fallavier, France). Rosiglitazone was ordered at Spi Bio (Massy, France). Etoposide was purchased at (TCI EUROPE N.V., Belgium). For in vivo studies in A/JOLaHsd mice, ethyl cellulose granules (Pentasa, Ferring Switzerland) leading to a 5-ASA ileo-colonic release were used.

Cell lines

HT-29 (ATCC HTB-38) and Caco-2 (ATCC HTB-39) colon carcinoma cell lines were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10 and 20% fetal calf serum (FCS), respectively, antibiotics and 1x essential amino acids for Caco-2 cell line.

Assessment of cell growth

HT-29 and Caco-2 cells were treated either with 5-ASA (30 mM) or rosiglitazone (10⁻⁵M) with or without GW9662 (10⁻⁶M) for 12, 24, or 36h. Etoposide 50 mM was used as a positive control. These doses of 5-ASA and rosiglitazone were chosen because in previous publications, they have shown to induce an anti-inflammatory effect on cultured cells (15) and are clinically relevant (20, 21). Cells were detached with the trypsin/EDTA solution before counting. Results were expressed as the mean number of cells counted blindly in 4 different experiments when a coefficient of variation <10% was obtained. The viability of cells was determined by the trypan blue exclusion test.

Analysis of cell proliferation by Ki-67 immunostaining

After 24h of culture, HT-29 and Caco-2 cells were treated for 48h with 5-ASA (30 mM) with or without GW9662 (10⁻⁶M). Rosiglitazone (10⁻⁵M) treatment was used as control. Cells were fixed in PFA 4%, permeabilized in PBS containing 0.1% triton X-100 at 4°C and then incubated with 1.5% normal goat normal and blocking buffer (1% BSA in PBS). Cell proliferation was assessed by a nuclear Ki-67 staining using a mouse monoclonal primary antibody directed against Ki-67 (dilution 1:50 overnight; ZYMED, Clinisciences, Montrouge, France). An Alexa 594 donkey anti-mouse IgG was used as secondary antibody (dilution 1:100, Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Cergy Pontoise, France). Nuclei were stained with Hoescht 33342 solution (0.125 mg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich) and visualized under a fluorescence
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microscope (Leica, Bensheim, Germany). An irrelevant mouse serum was used as a negative control. At least 500 cells/sample were counted in four different experiments. Results were expressed as the mean ± SEM percentage of stained cells.

Detection of apoptosis

After 24h of culture, HT-29 and Caco-2 cells were treated for 24 hours with 5-ASA (30 mM) with or without GW9662 (10⁻⁶M). Rosiglitazone (10⁻⁵M) was used as control ligand of PPARγ and etoposide (50 mM) as an apoptosis inductor. Cells undergoing apoptosis were identified using a terminal transferase dUTP nick end labelling assay (TUNEL assay, Roche Diagnostics, Meylan, France). At least 500 cells/sample were counted in four different experiments. Results were expressed as the mean ± SEM percentage of stained cells.

Xenograft tumor model

Six to seven weeks old pathogen-free BALB/c SCID mice (Institut Pasteur, Lille, France) were used. Animals were housed five per cage in barrier environment and had free access to sterile water and food. Human colon cancer cells (10⁷ HT-29 cells) pre-treated or not with GW9662 for 24h were implanted subcutaneously in the flank of animals. Two days after cell inoculation, mice were treated with 5-ASA (5 or 50 mM) administered daily by peri-tumoral injection for 10 or 21 days. The effect of PPARγ during 5-ASA treatment was evaluated by daily intra-peritoneal injection of GW9662 (1 mg/kg/day). The control group has received saline instead of 5-ASA. Mice were checked 3 times a week for tumor development. After sacrifice at 10 or 21 days, tumor size and volume were calculated as previously described with the formula \((L \times W²) \times 0.5\), where \(L\) is length and \(W\) is width (22). Tumors were weighted before paraffin embedding for histological examination.

Aberrant crypt model

A/JOrlHsd mice have been used in this experiment given the high susceptibility of this genetic background to the carcinogen AOM (23). A/JOrlHsd mice aged of 5 weeks, were randomized into 4 groups each of 10 mice and treated for 7 weeks as follows: Control group fed with standard diet, 5-ASA group fed with 5-ASA ethyl-cellulose granules-containing chow at 200mg/kg/day, 5-ASA+GW9662 group fed with 5-ASA ethyl-cellulose granules-containing chow at 200mg/kg/day and receiving daily intra-peritoneal injection of GW9662
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at 2mg/kg/day, GW9662 group fed with standard diet and receiving daily intra-peritoneal injection of GW9662 at 2mg/kg/day. Control and 5-ASA mice groups have received daily intra-peritoneal injection of 3% DMSO/PBS (GW9662 vehicle). One week after 5-ASA and/or GW9662 treatment, all received two intra-peritoneal injections of AOM, at 10 mg/kg/day dissolved in isotonic saline, with one week interval between doses. At the end of the seventh week, mice were euthanized and the colon was removed via a midline laparotomy. The colons were opened along the mesenteric border, washed with phosphate buffered saline and pinned flat. The colon was fixed in 4% neutral buffered formalin for 24 hrs, and then washed with phosphate buffered saline. To identify aberrant crypt foci (ACF), colons were stained with 0.2% methylene blue in water for 3 minutes. The entire mucosal surface was examined using stereomicroscope. ACF were distinguished from normal colonic crypts if they were at least two times greater than normal size, had an irregular crypt cavity, and had a densely staining pericryptal zone. The number of aberrant crypt foci per colon and the number of aberrant crypts per focus were double blindly recorded (N EI-J and LD).
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RESULTS

5-ASA regulates epithelial cell growth in a PPARγ-dependent manner

HT-29 and Caco-2 cell lines were used to investigate the cellular mechanisms underlying 5-ASA-induced cell growth arrest (Figure 1). Compared to untreated cells, incubation of HT-29 cells for 24, 36 and 48h with 5-ASA (30 mM) resulted in a significant inhibition of cell growth to 45, 54 and 85% respectively ($p<0.01$). Similar results were obtained in cells treated with the two positive controls, i.e., rosiglitazone ($10^{-5}$M) and etoposide (50 mM) ($p<0.01$). As expected, GW9662 was not capable of significantly modulating etoposide-induced cell growth inhibition, while it abolished this effect on cells treated with 5-ASA or rosiglitazone. Similar results were observed in Caco-2 cells (Data not shown).

5-ASA regulates epithelial cell proliferation through a PPARγ-dependent mechanism

To assess the involvement of PPARγ in the potential anti-proliferative effect of 5-ASA, we performed immunohistochemistry assays using an antibody directed to the nuclear protein Ki-67. We first verified that HT-29, colonic adenocarcinoma cell lines, were characterized by a high rate of proliferation under basal conditions (94% ±1 of stained cells). In HT-29 cells, 5-ASA treatment induced a dramatic decrease in the proliferative index (35±4% vs 94±1%, $p<0.001$). Similar results were obtained in rosiglitazone-treated cells, with less than 10% of cells stained. Furthermore, the proliferation of HT-29 cells was decreased by 95% ($p<0.0001$) when treated with Etoposide (Figure 2 A-B). Treatment with GW9662 significantly abolished the anti-proliferative effect of 5-ASA and rosiglitazone (38% vs 75%, $p<0.05$ of stained cells, and 10% vs 84%, $p<0.05$, respectively). The proliferative potential of HT-29 was restored by 40% in cells co-treated with Etoposide and GW9662 ($p<0.05$). Similar results were observed in Caco-2 cells (Data not shown).

5-ASA regulates epithelial cell apoptosis through a PPARγ-dependent mechanism

Apoptosis is another major mechanism involved in the regulation of cell growth. In HT-29, no apoptosis was detected by the TUNEL method, which labeled DNA strand breaks. However, Etoposide and rosiglitazone induced a high rate of apoptosis (95% and 62% respectively). As expected, similar results were obtained with 5-ASA treatment (30mM), with 83±1% stained cells (Figure 3 A-B). Co-treatment with 5-ASA and GW9662 suppressed the
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induction of apoptosis (83±1% vs 35±0.1% p<0.001) indicating that 5-ASA pro-apoptotic effect is at least in part, dependent of the PPARγ signaling pathway. Similar inhibition of HT-29 apoptosis was obtained in cells co-treated by rosiglitazone and GW9662 but not in etoposide treated cells (Figure 3). Likewise, the pro-apoptotic effect of 5-ASA in Caco-2 cells (97%) was completely abolished by the addition of GW9662 (3%) (Data not shown).

Taken together, these observations suggest that the regulation of epithelial cell growth, proliferation and apoptosis by 5-ASA are mediated, at least partially, via PPARγ signaling pathway.

5-ASA has an anti-neoplastic effect in a xenograft tumor model

To evaluate the in vivo anti-neoplastic effect of 5-ASA, SCID mice engrafted with HT-29 colon cancer cells were treated daily for 21 consecutive days with 5-ASA at 50 mM (Figure 4). At the end of the treatment, a reduction of 80 to 86% of tumor weight and volume was observed in SCID mice receiving 5-ASA compared to control mice or mice treated with GW9662 alone (Figure 4A-C). The anti-neoplastic effect of 5-ASA was already detectable after 10 days of 5-ASA treatment. Similar results were obtained with mice treated with 5-ASA at 5 mM (data not shown). Anti-tumorigenic effect of 5-ASA was completely abolished at 21 days by simultaneous intraperitoneal administration of GW9662. Thus, the observed anti-neoplastic effect of 5-ASA is at least partially dependent on PPARγ.

Anti-neoplastic effect of 5-ASA in vivo

In order to examine the direct anti-neoplastic effect of 5-ASA on mice, a model of azoxymethane (AOM)-carcinogenesis was employed (Figure 5 A,B). Mice were treated with 5-ASA and/or GW9662 for 7 weeks as described above. To induce carcinogenesis, mice have received two intra-peritoneal injections of AOM at one week interval. After 7 weeks, mice were harvested and the formation of aberrant crypts (ACs) and aberrant crypt foci (ACF) was recorded. A significant decrease in the number of aberrant crypts/focus (22%, p = 0.038) and aberrant crypt foci/colon (75%, p = 0.017) was observed under 5-ASA compared to control mice treated with AOM only (Figure 5 C-D). The co-treatment of mice with GW9662 suppressed almost completely the anti-neoplastic effect of 5-ASA. For instance,
mice treated with 5-ASA+GW9662 had increased number of ACF (5.6 ± 0.5) compared to mice treated with 5-ASA only (1.75 ± 0.25), revealing a 68% increase in the number of ACF ($p = 0.04$). Interestingly, GW9662-treated mice had even higher ACF count compared to mice treated with 5-ASA+GW9662 (8.5 ± 0.64 vs 5.6 ± 0.5, $p = 0.003$) and even to control mice (8.5 ± 0.64 vs 7 ± 1.22) (not significant).

Taken together, these data support the anti-neoplastic properties of 5-ASA *in vivo* and reveal that PPARγ signaling is required for mediating 5-ASA anti-neoplastic effects.
DISCUSSION

Patients suffering from Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis displayed an increased risk of developing colorectal cancer. Surveillance colonoscopies provided limited protection against CRC due to the technical limitations of detecting dysplastic lesions in normal-appearing mucosa (1, 24). However retrospective studies have shown that chemopreventive strategies, employing aminosalicylates, provided enhanced protection for IBD patients against CRC development (25, 26). 5-ASA was opted since decades as the treatment of choice of active mild to moderate of IBD, notably ulcerative colitis. In addition to its efficacy and safety as an anti-inflammatory therapy, 5-ASA was also believed to have unique chemopreventive properties. Several studies have shown that regular usage of 5-ASA prevented the development of CRC in IBD patients by decreasing cell proliferation and promoting apoptosis (25, 27). However, the mechanisms sustaining 5-ASA chemopreventive properties remain largely unknown. In this work, we demonstrated that the well recognized anti-neoplastic effects of 5-ASA are mediated via PPARγ. Using clinically-relevant concentrations (20, 21), we first showed by in vitro cell count, Ki67 immunostaining, and Tunel assay that 5-ASA displayed both anti-proliferating and pro-apoptotic properties. Subsequent to GW9662 application, evidence that PPARγ mediated the chemopreventive effects of 5-ASA was established. In an in vivo model of xenograft tumor, we furthermore showed a strong reduction in tumor development due to topic effect of 5-ASA through a PPARγ-dependent mechanism. Finally, in a model of AOM-induced colon carcinogenesis, 5-ASA significantly suppressed the number of both aberrant crypt foci and aberrant crypts via PPARγ signaling pathway.

The anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic effects of 5-ASA on several tumor-derived cell lines have been previously reported and different mechanisms have been proposed namely, inhibition of NF-κB, prostaglandins (7, 8), and cyclo-oxygenase (28), activation of caspase-3 (29, 30), inhibition of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway (9), disruption of the EGFR signaling (13), regulation of DNA replication checkpoints (10, 11), suppression of oncogenes (31), and disruption of TGFβ pathway (12, 13). In fact, the anti-neoplastic role of PPARγ was already recognized, given that PPAR-γ ligands inhibited formation of aberrant crypts foci and development of CRC in mice (17, 32). In our work, we further established, thanks to the specific effect of GW9662, that the anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic actions of 5-ASA
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were at least partially PPARγ-dependent. Regarding the in vivo anti-neoplastic effects of 5-ASA, data from rodent models of CRC were contradictory. Several studies have shown that using chemical CRC animal models, 5-ASA reduced the number of ACF, as well as the rate of tumor cell proliferation, and increased tumor apoptosis (4-6). On the other side, in APC mouse model of multiple intestinal neoplasia (Min), not only 5-ASA was found without any anti-neoplastic properties (33), but also PPARγ ligands were shown to enhance colon carcinogenesis (34, 35). A possible explanation for such discrepancies is that colon carcinogenesis in APC<sup>Min</sup> mice was driven by germ line mutations in the Apc gene. It has been shown that mice with preexisting damage to APC, a regulator of β-catenin, develop tumors in a manner insensitive to the status of PPARγ. Thus, PPARγ can suppress colon carcinogenesis only before damage to the APC/β-catenin pathway (36). In this work, the results are in good agreement with previous reports showing anti-tumorigenic role for 5-ASA, but we demonstrated for the first time the link between the role of 5-ASA in suppressing colon carcinogenesis and its signaling through PPARγ. Interestingly, blockage of PPARγ with GW9662 induced ACF formation in the colon of mice reflecting the important endogenous anti-neoplastic role of PPARγ (Figure 5).

Several clinical studies have evaluated the potential chemopreventive effect of PPARγ ligands, including 5-ASA. For example, in patients with chemotherapy-resistant metastatic colorectal cancer, troglitazone treatment did not induce any objective tumor response and all patients had progressive disease as their best response to therapy (37). In fact, troglitazone, as all thiazolidinediones, has a wide systemic distribution due to broad expression of PPARγ. This might explain, from one side, its inefficiency in tumor prevention and highlights, from the other side, the need for further development of ligands targeting tumor cells. What adds a further level of complexity is that UC patients were shown to have impaired colonic expression of PPARγ which might also reduce drug efficiency (38). In this perspective, 5-ASA galenic presentations of delayed release have been developed so that to act locally in the colon and to be absorbed by colonic epithelial cells. Therefore, the efficiency of this drug is related to its mucosal concentration and systemic dosages remain low after oral or rectal administration (20). Thus, the availability of 5-ASA at the cellular level could explain its superior efficacy in preventing colorectal cancer among IBD subjects compared to other PPARγ ligands. Notably, Rubin et al. observed a 3.4-fold risk reduction of
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dysplasia and CRC in UC patients treated with \( \geq 1.2 \text{g/day} \) of 5-ASA (26). In a case-control study comparing 102 patients with UC and CRC to matched controls, it was shown that CRC risk was reduced by 75% under 5-ASA regular treatment compared with no 5-ASA use (39). Likewise, a nested case-control study involving 18,969 patients with IBD in the UK General Practice Research Database (1987–2001) showed that regular 5-ASA users had a significantly reduced risk of CRC (adjusted OR 0.6) compared with irregular 5-ASA users (40). On the other hand, some retrospective studies did not support 5-ASA as protective in preventing CRC in IBD patients (41-43) potentially due to the heterogeneity of the populations analyzed and the short period of exposure to 5-ASA. Furthermore, because of the design of retrospective studies, some key areas have not been sufficiently addressed such as concurrent use of other medications and accurate data on dosing.

In our experimental model, 5-ASA treatment (200mg/kg/day) was started one week before the injection of the carcinogenic AOM and maintained for six weeks afterward. The treatment consisted of 5-ASA granules, usually used in IBD therapy, administered orally in mouse chow in order to mimic tablets medication in humans. Whether or not this protocol reflects the chemoprevention strategy in patients with IBD, is arguable. In case control studies, regular users, having decreased risk of CRC, were defined as having at least six months of non-interrupted 5-ASA treatment at doses ranging between 1.2 and 2g/day (26, 39, 40). Nevertheless, the accurate dosage and duration of 5-ASA pharmacological therapy targeting CRC prevention are not yet well-established. Moreover, little information is available regarding the potential chemopreventive effect of other IBD medications, and it is currently unclear whether a patient would benefit from enhanced chemoprevention when combining for example 5-ASA and another treatment (e.g., azathioprine). Finally, despite its well characterized anti-neoplastic activities, it remains to be determined the step at which 5-ASA would interfere in the carcinogenesis process. While there is complete absence of data from humans, studies in rodent models of colon cancer have shown that 5-ASA was able to prevent tumor formation and further to reduce the number of developed tumors (5, 6, 33). Although these observations warrant further investigation since they were detected in various animal models, using different dosages and administration routes, they may reveal that 5-ASA would act at multiple stages of the carcinogenesis.
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5-ASA was originally developed without any knowledge of its molecular targets. However, in the past years, our knowledge of its role as a chemopreventive agent in IBD-related CRC has increased. Our piece of work, establishing the key role of PPARγ in mediating 5-ASA anti-neoplastic prevention, may lead to the development of more effective PPARγ ligands with higher efficiency or for use in association with agents of additive or synergic effects.
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FIGURE 1: 5-ASA inhibits cell growth in a PPARy-dependent way
Incubation of HT-29 cells with 5-ASA (30 mM) resulted in a significant inhibition of cell growth compared to controls. Similar results were obtained in cells treated with the rosiglitazone (rosi, 10^{-5}M) and etoposide (etop, 50 mM). Addition of GW9662 (10^{-6}M) completely abolished the growth inhibitory activities of 5-ASA and rosiglitazone. Results were expressed as the mean number of 500 cells counted blindly in 4 different experiments.
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**FIGURE 2: 5-ASA inhibits proliferation through PPARγ**

**A,** 5-ASA (30 mM) and rosiglitazone (rosi, 10⁻⁶ M) inhibited HT-29 cell proliferation assessed by the nuclear Ki-67 staining (pink) compared to cells incubated with the medium alone (control). Nuclei were stained in blue with Hoescht 33342 solution. Addition of GW9662 (10⁻⁶ M) for 24h blocked the anti-proliferative effects of 5-ASA and rosiglitazone. 

**B,** In comparison to untreated HT-29 cells, 5-ASA treatment for 48h inhibited by 63% cell proliferation (35±4% stained cells vs 94±1% stained cells, p<0.001). Co-treatment with GW9662 blocked the anti-proliferative effects of 5-ASA. Results expressed as the mean number of 500 cells counted blindly in 4 different experiments.
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**FIGURE 3: 5-ASA induces apoptosis through PPARγ**

A. Treatment of either 5-ASA (30 mM) or rosiglitazone (10⁻⁵M) induced apoptosis measured by TUNEL assay in HT-29 cells. Addition of GW9662 (10⁻⁵M) for 24h completely abolished the pro-apoptotic effects of 5-ASA and rosiglitazone. B, 5-ASA and rosiglitazone treatment for 48h induced apoptosis in 83 and 62% of cells, respectively. Co-treatment with GW9662 blocked the pro-apoptotic effect of 5-ASA and rosiglitazone. Results were expressed as the mean number of 500 cells counted blindly in 4 different experiments.
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**FIGURE 4: xenograft tumor model in SCID mice**

$10^7$ HT-29 cells pretreated or not with GW9662 were injected subcutaneously in 6-7 weeks old SCID mice. Mice were treated for 21 days at the injection point of HT-29 with 5-ASA (50mM) or PBS (control). One group of mice was co-treated with a local injection of 5-ASA and an intraperitoneal injection of GW9662 (1mg/kg/day). Following injection of HT-29 cells, tumor development was monitored at days 10 and 21 and evaluated by tumor weight (A) and volume (B) measurement. Results are expressed as percentage of inhibition compared to control mice. Topical subcutaneous treatment of 5-ASA (50 mM) reduced the decreased by 60% tumor weight and by 83% volume compared to controls after 10 days of treatment. Injection of PPARγ antagonist GW9662 (1mg/kg/day) significantly abolished the inhibition of tumor growth induced by 5-ASA. C, Illustrative photo of the tumor mass in control and 5-ASA groups taken upon animal harvest and showing the effect of 5-ASA on tumor size.
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**FIGURE 5: 5-ASA exhibits a potent anti-carcinogenic effect in vivo**

A, A/JOlalHsd mice were randomly divided into four groups: Control group (Ctrl) fed with standard diet, 5-ASA group fed with 5-ASA granules-containing chow at 200mg/kg/day, 5-ASA+GW9662 group fed with 5-ASA granules-containing chow at 200mg/kg/day and receiving daily intra-peritoneal injection of GW9662 at 2mg/kg/day, GW9662 group fed with standard diet and receiving daily intra-peritoneal injection of GW9662 at 2mg/kg/day. Control and 5-ASA mice groups have received daily intra-peritoneal injection of 3% DMSO/PBS (GW9662 vehicle). To induce aberrant crypts (B), mice have received intra-peritoneally two doses of AOM (10mg/kg/day) at weeks 2 and 3. At the end of the protocol (week 7), mice were harvested and the formation of aberrant crypts (ACs) and aberrant crypt foci (ACF) was blindly recorded. C-D 5-ASA treatment induced a significant reduction of aberrant crypt foci (75%) and aberrant crypts (22%) compared to mice fed with standard diet. Co-administration of GW9662 suppressed the anti-tumoral effect of 5-ASA. GW9662-treated group displayed higher number of ACF compared to 5-ASA+GW9662 group. p<0.05.
(E) CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

Although multiple clinical studies have suggested a role for 5-ASA in prevention of CRC in patients with IBD, less is known about how 5-ASA exerts a chemopreventive effect. Functional studies have proposed several signaling pathways and downstream mediators as involved in the anti-neoplastic properties of 5-ASA. These studies have shown that 5-ASA would be able to suppress colon carcinogenesis via inflammatory dependent and independent mechanisms. However, the key receptor mediating all these anti-tumorigenic properties of 5-ASA was not identified. In this work, we showed, thanks to the specific PPARγ antagonist, that 5-ASA suppressed colon carcinogenesis at least partially via PPARγ. Given the well-recognized anti-neoplastic properties of PPARγ, this study supports the therapeutic potential of 5-ASA in preventing CRC. Therefore, the therapeutic utility of 5-ASA in IBD resides in both its anti-inflammatory and anti-neoplastic effects, since CD and UC patients suffer from chronic inflammation and display an increased risk of developing CRC. Although the parameters of therapeutic usage of 5-ASA (dose, duration) as an anti-inflammatory therapy in IBD are well established, the standards of 5-ASA pharmacological therapy targeting CRC prevention are not yet known.

The anti-inflammatory characteristics of 5-ASA suggest the possible use of other drugs with similar properties in the chemopreventive therapy. Some of these compounds, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and selective inhibitors of cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 are effective in attenuating the growth and diffusion of CRC cells [409, 563]. However, their use in the chemopreventive programs of IBD-related CRC is not justified because the administration of NSAIDs and COX2-inhibitors in IBD patients associates with high risk of disease flare-ups [564, 565]. 5-ASA is structurally related to NSAIDs, but unlike these compounds, it is safe and free of serious adverse effects. In fact, 5-ASA has been developed so that to act locally in the colon and to be absorbed by colonic epithelial cells leading to low systemic dosages after oral or rectal administration. This particular formulation would limit potential adverse effects and provide superiority over other PPARγ ligands or NSAIDs in attenuating intestinal inflammation or preventing CRC.
Even with the data supporting the chemopreventive role of 5-ASA, several questions still need to be addressed. First, should all IBD patients be taking 5-ASA to reduce the risk of cancer? or particular patients with even increased risks should be targeted? Second, is one 5-ASA therapy better than another? As already stated, some studies have shown a difference between the delayed-release formulations of sulfasalazine and mesalamine in CRC risk reduction [343, 454, 455]. It is possible, however, that socio-demographic factors may have had a bearing on this effect. For example, sulfasalazine is cheaper than most mesalamine formulations. Hence, cost may influence prescribing decisions. Also, sulfasalazine has been available for more than 60 years, whereas the newer mesalamine formulations were only introduced in the early 1990s. Sulfasalazine users may therefore be older than mesalamine users and have other factors that may make them at higher risk of developing CRC. Because sulfasalazine inhibits the absorption of folate and folate deficiency may be associated with a higher risk of sporadic colon cancer, it is also possible that the lack of a chemopreventive effect with sulfasalazine may be a result of reduced folate [566, 567]. Third, what is the optimal 5-ASA dose for chemoprevention? Two studies have found a dose–response in which the odds of CRC or dysplasia/CRC decreased as the total dose of 5-ASA increased [453, 454]. In both studies, 5-ASA doses equivalent to mesalamine of at least 1.2 g/day provided the greatest risk reduction (72%–81%). However, these studies assessed dose–response in different ways, making it difficult to interpret the data as whole. Fourth, are systemic 5-ASA therapies more effective than topical formulations? Fifth, what is the minimal treatment time of 5-ASA that would provide efficient chemoprevention for IBD patients? In case control studies, regular users, having decreased risk of CRC, were defined as having at least six months of non-interrupted 5-ASA treatment [454, 455]. Thus, further studies need to address the dose, the duration and the formulation of 5-ASA therapy. The ideal study would be a prospective, placebo-controlled study examining the incidence of CRC in the general IBD population. Sixth, at present, less information is available regarding chemopreventive action of other IBD therapies compared with that of 5-ASA, and it is currently unclear whether a patient receiving another treatment (e.g., azathioprine) would benefit from additional 5-ASA in terms of chemoprevention.

Although data on 5-ASA chemopreventive properties increase exponentially for the recent years, still a long time is required before establishing a 5-ASA-based
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chemopreventive therapy. Whether or not, such therapy would substitute for surveillance colonoscopies remains unknown. Currently, colonoscopic surveillance is recommended, the essential aim of which, along with the detection of macroscopic lesions, is the identification of precancerous dysplastic regions, with the help of systematic multilevel biopsies. Therefore, until now despite the extensive studies showing a chemopreventive effect of 5-aminosalicylates, rigorous colonoscopic surveillance could not be avoided. However, recent improvement of imaging technologies, especially the development of chromoendoscopy, increases the yield of dysplasia, thus raising the question of the relevance of systematic random biopsies [568].

5-ASA was originally developed without any knowledge of its molecular targets. However, in the past years, our knowledge of its role as a chemopreventive agent in IBD-related CRC has increased. However, the step at which 5-ASA would interfere in the carcinogenesis process is not yet identified. Functional studies in rodent models of colon cancer have shown that 5-ASA was able to prevent tumor formation and further to reduce the number of developed tumors [462, 463, 569]. These observations suggest that 5-ASA would interfere at multiple steps of the carcinogenesis. However, data from these studies require further verification since they were generated using different 5-ASA dosages and administration routes and also in different CRC models. Furthermore, data from APC<sup>Min</sup> mice model suggest that PPARγ can suppress colon carcinogenesis only before damage to the APC/β-catenin pathway [570]. Thus, further studies should aim at defining whether 5-ASA exhibits only preventive or addition curative properties against CRC.

Overall, this study, provides, from one side, rational for further development of more effective and safe anti-neoplastic PPARγ ligands with topical effects and from the other side, reinforces the therapeutic potential of 5-ASA in view of the tumor-suppressing properties of PPARγ. Besides, while different 5-ASA formulations have been developed since decades with the aim of optimizing the anti-inflammatory profile of 5-ASA; the synthesis of new 5-ASA-derivatives with potent anti-carcinogenic properties would represent a novelty in the chemoprevention of colitis-associated cancer.
SKIN HOMEOSTASIS

In Vivo Imaging Reveals Selective PPAR Activity in the Skin of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Responsive Element-Luciferase Reporter Mice

PREFACE

The biological significance of PPARγ, and more generally PPARs is not restricted to the gastrointestinal tract. PPARs have been shown to mediate important regulatory functions in different physiological systems. In the skin, PPARs have been demonstrated to contribute to epidermal development and homeostasis and to regulate important cellular functions, including cell proliferation and differentiation. Various cell culture and in vivo approaches suggest that PPARα contributes to fetal skin development, to epidermal barrier maturation and to sebocyte activity. PPARβ/δ regulates sebocyte differentiation, promotes hair follicle growth and has pro-differentiating effects in keratinocytes in normal and inflammatory conditions. In contrast, the role of PPARγ appears to be rather minor in keratinocytes, whereas its activity is required for sebaceous gland differentiation. An important regulatory role for PPARs has also been demonstrated in pathological states. It has been shown that in inflammatory skin disorders, including hyperproliferative psoriatic epidermis and the skin of patients with atopic dermatitis, the expression of both PPARα and PPARγ is decreased. This observation suggests the possibility that PPARα and PPARγ activators, or compounds that positively regulate PPAR gene expression, may represent novel NSAIIDs for the topical or systemic treatment of common inflammatory skin diseases such as atopic dermatitis, psoriasis, and allergic contact dermatitis. Studies in non-diabetic patients suggest that oral thiazolidinediones, which are synthetic ligands of PPARγ, not only exert an antidiabetic effect but also may be beneficial for moderate chronic plaque psoriasis by suppressing proliferation and inducing differentiation of keratinocytes; furthermore, they may even induce cell growth arrest, apoptosis, and terminal differentiation in various human malignant tumors. Also, PPARδ has been demonstrated to have an anti-apoptotic role and to maintain survival and differentiation of epithelial cells. In melanoma, the growth inhibitory effect of PPARγ activation is independent of apoptosis and seems to occur primarily through induction of cell cycle arrest or induction of re-differentiation. In clinical
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trials of gemfibrozil, a PPARα ligand, significantly fewer patients treated with this lipid-lowering drug were diagnosed with melanoma as compared to those in the control group. Thus, an increasing body of evidence indicates that PPAR signaling pathways may represent interesting therapeutic targets for a broad variety of skin disorders, including inflammatory diseases and skin malignancies. Major efforts should be therefore made to generate valid models for the characterization and development of new PPAR ligands in the context of drug management of skin diseases.

Nevertheless, the development of novel drugs is a long process requiring years of preclinical research and many steps indispensable to ensure that the molecule of interest can be administered to humans with a minimal risk of toxic effects. Thus, pharmaceutical companies are eager for the development of novel methodologies that shorten the time required for pharmacodynamic, pharmacokinetic and toxicological studies to be carried out in vitro and in animal systems. Currently, quantitative analysis of molecular events in living organisms is done with the combined application of imaging and genetic engineering technologies leading to possibility of live imaging of specific event in living animals. This technique would dramatically improve the identification of new drug candidates and speed up the research at preclinical stages.

We have recently developed a reporter mouse for the ubiquitous and quantitative measurement of the transcriptional activity of PPARs. These mice (PPRE-luc) were generated by genomic integration of a transgene flanked by insulators and carrying a reporter gene, the Firefly luciferase gene, under the control of PPAR responsive regulatory element. In the present study, we reported a novel usage for PPRE-luc mice for assessing the transcriptional activation of PPARs in vivo in mice skin. We demonstrated that PPRE-luc reporter mouse is a non-invasive powerful tool for pharmacological screening of novel molecules targeting PPARs.
(A) ABSTRACT

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) have been revealed as key regulators of several skin disorders such as atopic dermatitis and psoriasis. This has led to a growing interest in the pharmacological development of drugs targeting PPARs as therapeutics for skin diseases. To evaluate skin PPAR activity, we have made novel usage of PPRE-luc mice, a mice model in which the luciferase gene expression is under the control of a PPAR-inducible promoter in all organs. Our aim was to define and validate experimental conditions to establish PPRE-luc mice as a valuable tool for in vivo non invasive evaluation of PPARs activation in the skin. We demonstrated by optical imaging that topical application of 40mM of Luciferin for 10 minutes was enough to reveal the optimal luciferase activity in mice skin. The treatment of mice skin with the PPARγ and PPARα agonists, pioglitazone and WY14643, was associated with significant increase in photons emission reaching maximal signaling at 6 hours. We have performed dose response studies by testing a large range of pioglitazone and WY14643 concentrations on mouse skin. The specificity of bioluminescence signal induced pioglitazone and WY14643 was assessed using PPARγ and PPARα antagonists, GW9662 and GW6471, respectively. This approach revealed that the isoform specificity of PPARs agonists decreased when high ligand concentrations were applied on mouse skin. These results were further confirmed by in vitro measurement of luciferase activity in skin extracts. Taken together, our results demonstrated that PPRE-luc mice represent a valuable reporter mouse model for the in vivo pharmacological profiling of drugs targeting PPARs in the skin.
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(B) BACKGROUND

I- Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors (PPARs): A General Overview

I-A. Origin and Expression Pattern

The first peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor PPAR (PPARalpha) was cloned originally from a mouse-liver complementary-DNA library as the nuclear receptor that mediates the effects of a group of endogenous and xenobiotic compounds known as peroxisome proliferators. Peroxisomes are subcellular organelles found in most plant and animal cells that perform diverse metabolic functions including H2O2-based respiration, β-oxidation of fatty acids (FAs), and cholesterol metabolism. Peroxisome proliferators were named for their common property of increasing both the number and activity of liver peroxisomes after chronic high-dose administration to rodents. However, PPARs were not associated with hepatic peroxisome proliferation in humans [571].

PPARs are currently ligand-activated transcription factors which belong to a nuclear hormone receptor superfamily. The three PPAR isoforms, PPARα, PPARβ/δ (also known as PPARβ or PPARδ) and PPARγ, are found in all the mammalian species. Since the identification of the PPARs more than 20 years ago, numerous studies have revealed that PPARs influence many important biological functions, including inflammation and carcinogenesis. Although they are encoded by distinct genes on different chromosomes, the PPAR isoforms have a high degree of sequence and structural homology. However, the isoforms are unique in their quantitative patterns of tissue distribution (Table 1), have important differences in their regulatory activities and modulate specific responses after activation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBTYPE</th>
<th>TISSUE OR CELL TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPARα</td>
<td>Liver, skeletal muscle, brown adipose tissue, heart, monocytes/macrophages, endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, lymphocytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARβ/δ</td>
<td>Ubiquitously expressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARγ</td>
<td>Brown and white adipose tissues, colon, spleen, retina vascular, monocytes/macrophages, endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, lymphocytes, dendritic cells; platelets; megakaryocytes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I-B. Structure and Ligands

The PPARs possess the canonical domain structure common to other nuclear receptor family members with functional domains called A/B (ligand-independent domain), C (DNA binding domain), D (hinge domain) and E-F (ligand binding domain) (Figure 1) [572]. The DNA binding domain of the PPARs is formed by two zinc finger-like motifs, which fold to form a globular three dimensional structure. This domain is highly conserved between PPAR isoforms, which is consistent with the fact that each isoform has restricted binding to similar PPRE sequences [573].

In mice, only two PPARγ isoforms have been described [574], whereas in humans four PPARγ messenger RNA (mRNA) isoforms have been identified, i.e. PPARγ1, γ2, γ3, and γ4 generated by alternative splicing and promoter usage and differing at their 5'-end [575-577]. Some studies have also demonstrated the presence of PPAR-γ5 and -γ7 transcripts in human THP-1 macrophages [578]. The PPARγ1, γ3 and γ4 mRNAs give rise to an identical protein product (PPARγ1 protein), whereas PPARγ2 gives rise to a protein with 28 additional amino acids at its NH2 terminus. The differences in PPARγ protein isoforms are restricted to the NH2-terminal region of the protein [575], but they may alter protein folding and thereby ligand specificity and affinity. Current information about isoform-specific functions of PPARγs is limited to the role of PPARγ2 in adipocyte differentiation and carbohydrate metabolism.

![Figure 1. Structure and transcriptional activation of PPARs. Adapted from [14].](image-url)
Although the nature of true endogenous PPAR ligands is still not fully known [579], PPARs are activated by a wide variety of endogenous ligands that are derived from the metabolism of fatty acids with some degree of isoform specificity. Exogenous ligands are mainly pharmacologically produced as therapeutics for inflammatory and autoimmune diseases (Table 2). The therapeutic utility of PPARs ligands is discussed in details in the section I.D.2, I.D.3 and I.D.4). Exogenous ligands for PPARs may also derive from the diet, which is consistent with the fact that PPARs regulate the expression of many genes involved in glucose and lipid metabolism [580]. PPARα activators include variety of long-chain fatty acids and, in particular, polyunsaturated fatty acids such as palmitic acid, oleic acid, linoleic acid, and arachidonic acid [581]. The PPARα isotype is the cellular target for Leukotriene (LTB)-4, fibric acid derivatives such as gemfibrozil, bezafibrate, and fenofibrate, which are hypolipidemic drugs widely used for reducing triglyceride levels, a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Similarly, PPARγ can be activated by a number of ligands, including 15-deoxy-D12,14-prostaglandin J2 (15d-PGJ2) and the anti-diabetic glitazones (rosiglitazone, pioglitazone, ciglitazone), used as insulin sensitizers. PPARγ ligands include as well as a number of lipids, including oxidized LDL (i.e. 9- and 13 hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid (HODE)), eicosanoids, and prostaglandins. Dyslipidemia and insulin-dependent diabetes are commonly found existing together as part of the metabolic X syndrome. Because PPARα and PPARγ ligands independently are useful clinical drugs in the treatment of these respective disorders, synthetic dual PPARα/γ ligands have recently been developed (Table 2) and show a combined clinical efficacy [582]. PPARβ/δ activators include fatty acids and prostacyclin and synthetic compounds L-165041 and GW501516 [579, 583-585]. Unlike PPARα or-γ, there are no PPARβ/δ drugs in the clinic. Indeed, part of the challenge in determining the function of PPARβ/δ has been the identification and availability of new ligands with more potency and selectivity for use as pharmacological tools.
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Table 2. The pharmacological ligands of PPARs. Abbreviations: 2Br-C16, 2-bromopalmitate; 15d-PGI2, 15-deoxy-D12,14-PGI2; BADGE, bisphenol A diglycidyl ether; CDDO, 2-cyano-3,12-dioxoooleana-1,9-dien-28-oic acid; CDDO-Me, 2-cyano-3,12-dioxoooleana-1,9-dien-28-oic acid methyl ester; ETYA, eicosatetraynoic acid; GW1929, (2S)-[(2-benzyolphenyl)amino-3-[4-(2-(methylpyridin-2-ylamino)ethoxy)phenyl]-propionic acid, GW501516, 2-methyl-4(((4-methyl-2-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-1,3-thiazol-5-yl)methyl)sulfanyl)phenoxy)acetic acid, GW7647, 2-[(4-[[[(cyclohexylamino)carbonyl][4-cyclohexylbutyl]amino]ethyl]-phenyl]thio)-2-methylpropanoic acid; GW9662, 2-chloro-5-nitro-N-phenylbenzamide; HEET, hydroxyeicosapentenoic acid; HEPE, hydroxyeicosapentaenoic acid; HETE, hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid; HODE, hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid; HpODE, hynderoxyoctadecadienoic acid; L165041, (4-[3-[4-acetyl-3-hydroxy-2-propylphenoxy]propoxy]phenoxy) acetic acid; LDL, low density lipoprotein; MK886, 3-[1-[5-chlorobenzyl]-5-[isopropyl]-3-tert-butylthioindol-2-yl]-2,2-dimethylopropanoic acid methyl ester; oxoODE, oxidized octadecadienoic acid; T0070907, 2-chloro-5-nitro-N-(4-xyridyl)benzamide; WY14643, 4-Chloro-6-(2,3-xylidino)-2-pyrimidinylthioacetic acid [17].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand class</th>
<th>PPARα</th>
<th>PPARβ</th>
<th>PPARγ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic agonists</td>
<td>GW7647</td>
<td>L165041</td>
<td>Rosiglitazone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WY14643</td>
<td>GW501516</td>
<td>Ciglitazone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clofibrate</td>
<td>GW0742</td>
<td>Troglitazone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fenofibrate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pioglitazone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bezafibrate</td>
<td></td>
<td>CDDO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ciprofibrate</td>
<td></td>
<td>GW1929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gemfibrozil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>designed dual</td>
<td>Muraglitazar</td>
<td></td>
<td>Muraglitazar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antagonists</td>
<td>Tesaglitazar</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tesaglitazar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Farglitazar</td>
<td></td>
<td>Farglitazar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ragaglitazar</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ragaglitazar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>Docaheaxanoic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>Docaheaxanoic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arachidonic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>Arachidonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linoleic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>Linoleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C6-C18</td>
<td></td>
<td>C6-C8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ETYA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(Continued Table 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand class</th>
<th>PPARα</th>
<th>PPARβ</th>
<th>PPARγ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eicosanoids</td>
<td>15d-PGJ₂</td>
<td>15d-PGJ₂</td>
<td>15d-PGJ₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGJ₂</td>
<td>PGJ₂</td>
<td>PGJ₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostacyclin (PGI₂)</td>
<td>Prostacyclin (PGI₂)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA₁/₂</td>
<td>PGA₁/₂</td>
<td>PGA₁/₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGB₂</td>
<td>PGB₂</td>
<td>PGB₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-HEPE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8-(R)HETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-(R)HETE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8-(S)HETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-(S)HETE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15-HETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-HETE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTB₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9-(R/S)HODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-(R/S)HODE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13-(R/S)HODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-(R/S)HODE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13-(S)HpODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,8,9-HEET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9-oxoODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,11,12-HEET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13-oxoODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,14,15-HEET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic antagonists</td>
<td>MK886</td>
<td>Sulindac</td>
<td>CDDO-Me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GW9662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diclofenac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BADGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T0070907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty acid inhibitors</td>
<td>2Br-C16</td>
<td>2Br-C16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetradecylglycidic acid</td>
<td>Tetradecylglycidic acid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonythioacetic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetradecylothioacetat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### I-C. PPARs-Mediated Regulation of Gene Expression

Substantial progress has been made in delineating the molecular mechanisms that mediate PPAR-regulated gene expression and associated cellular functions (Figure 2).
Figure 2. Molecular regulation of transcription by PPARs. a. The transcriptional upregulation of target gene expression. b. The repression of pro-inflammatory gene expression. c. The repression of pro-inflammatory gene expression by PPARγ. d. Repression of gene expression by PPARβ/δ [22].
Mechanistically, they form heterodimers with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) and activate transcription by binding to a specific DNA element, termed the peroxisome proliferator response element (PPRE), in the regulatory region of a variety of genes. PPREs are direct repetitions, consisting of two hexanucleotides with the consensus sequence AGGTCA separated by one or two nucleotide spacers named direct repeat (DR) DR-1 or DR-2. Such a sequence, or a similar one, has been found in numerous PPAR-inducible genes. Several ways of PPARs-mediated regulation of transcription have been identified (Figure 2). More specifically, binding of agonists within the ligand-binding site of PPARs causes a conformational change promoting binding to transcriptional coactivators. Conversely, binding of antagonists results in a conformation that favors the binding of corepressors [586, 587]. Following ligand binding, PPARs undergo a conformational change that causes the release of histone deacetylase (HDAC) co-repressors, thus enabling PPARs to heterodimerize with retinoid X receptor (RXR). RNA polymerase II and co-activators with histone acetyl transferase (HAT) activity are then recruited to this complex, which binds to response elements in target genes, leading to chromatin remodeling and ultimately to increased transcription (Figure 2a) [588]. PPARs can also downregulate gene expression by interfering with other proteins and transcription factors through a ‘trans-repression’ mechanism (Figure 2b). For example, PPARα and PPARβ/δ can sequester the p65 subunit of the NF-κB complex and can prevent NF-κB-dependent regulation of genes that are involved in pro-inflammatory responses [589-591]. Alternatively, trans-repression by PPARγ can involve its sumoylation (Figure 2c), through which ligand activation leads to the conjugation of PPARγ with SUMO. Sumoylated PPARγ then binds to a nuclear receptor co-repressor (NCOR)-containing complex that is bound to a pro-inflammatory target gene. This prevents degradation of the NCOR complex, thereby maintaining active repression of pro-inflammatory gene expression [592]. Sumoylation-dependent trans-repression might also be relevant for PPARα and PPARβ/δ because the amino acid (Lysine) that is sumoylated is conserved between all three PPARs [2]. PPARβ/δ can interact with histone deacetylases (HDACs), independently of ligand binding, and can maintain chromatin in a compact structure preventing gene expression (Figure 2d) [593].

Trans-repression of pro-inflammatory signaling pathways (Figure 2b & 2c) is thought to be central to the well-documented anti-inflammatory activities that are associated with
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PPARs [2, 589]. More recently, it has been shown that the beneficial effects of PPARγ activation in diabetics can be modulated by ‘non-agonist’ PPARγ ligands that inhibit the phosphorylation of PPARγ, and so are independent of the classic receptor-mediated modulation of gene transcription [594]. Thus, there are multiple levels of regulation that can be targeted to selectively alter PPAR-dependent activities. It is interesting to note that there is an overlap in target genes that are regulated by each PPAR, but the physiological effects induced by selective PPAR agonists are unique owing to the complexity of the PPAR-dependent and the PPAR-independent effects that each agonist induces.

I-D. The Role of PPARs in Health and Disease

I-D.1. The Physiological Functions of PPARs

The cellular and systemic roles that have been attributed to PPARs extend far beyond the control of hepatic peroxisome proliferation in rodents after which these receptors were initially named [571]. Given the broad distribution of PPARs isoforms in different tissues and the diversity of ligands that could activate them, it seems logical that research progress would reveal several regulatory roles for PPARs in physiological and pathophysiological conditions.

a) PPARα

PPARγ, which was the first PPAR to be identified [571], is expressed in many tissues, particularly those that require fatty acid oxidation as a source of energy (Figure 3) [595]. PPARα is central for the maintenance of lipid homeostasis: a primary role of PPARα is to increase the cellular capacity to mobilize and to catabolize fatty acids, particularly in the liver during starvation, where the oxidation of fatty acids is
essential for energy production (Figure 4A) [596]. Under these conditions, PPARα is probably activated by endogenous fatty acids and fatty acid derivatives [596]. In fasted PPARα-null mice, its absence is associated with pronounced hepatic steatosis, decreased levels of plasma glucose and ketone bodies, elevated plasma free fatty acid levels and hypothermia [597-599]. These severe metabolic disturbances are the result of the decreased expression of a large number of genes involved in hepatic lipid metabolism, many of which have been identified as direct PPARα target genes [600, 601]. PPARα can also improve insulin resistance in high-fat and genetic models of diabetes through pleiotropic changes in gene expression that prevent weight gain and adiposity [602]. In addition, PPARα is a target of hypothalamic hormone signaling as it plays an important role in the anti-inflammatory action of glucocorticoids [603]. In fact, during fasting, as well as in situations of physical and physiological stress, the hypothalamic adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) induces the release of glucocorticoids by the adrenal glands, which stimulates the hepatic expression of PPARα. Moreover, it accumulates according to a daily rhythm with highest levels at the beginning of feeding time (Figure 4A) [604]. The availability and production of physiologically relevant ligands of PPARα is central for coordinating its multiple functions in response to the amount and type of food available (Figure 4B) [605, 606].

Interestingly, PPARα is involved in embryonic development and the viability of pups. Although PPARα-null mice are viable, they present an increased risk of maternal abortion and neonatal mortality [607]. In fact, roughly 50% of diabetic PPARα-deficient mice abort between day 12 and 16 of gestation and approximately 80% of their offspring die at birth [607]. Several reasons have been suggested for this increased mortality. Gemfibrozil and clofibrate, two PPARα agonists, down-regulate human chorionic gonadotrophin and up-regulate progesterone secretions in human trophoblast cells, suggesting that a lack of PPARα may be deleterious for the secretion of these hormones, essential for maintaining pregnancy [608]. PPARα is expressed in the placenta in late gestation and all three PPARs (α, β/δ and γ) are expressed in junctional (endocrine functional) and labyrinth (barrier transport) zones of the rat placenta, from day 13–21 of gestation [609, 610]. PPARα has also been observed in the human term placenta, suggesting a potential role in placental fatty acid transfer to meet fetal requirements [610]. These observations show that even if PPARα-null mothers are able to accumulate lipids in the placenta labyrinth, due to the
presence of PPARy, the lack of PPARα may affect fetal-maternal nutrient exchange, which may explain, at least in part, the lethality mentioned above. This placental dysfunction may be more pronounced in diabetes, which increases energy demands. In fact, PPARα-null diabetic mice are hypoinsulinaemic, with a reduced ability to use glucose and lipids as energy sources [609]. Therefore, in addition to hormonal dysfunctions and the high proinflammatory Th1 cytokine levels observed in pregnant PPARα-null diabetic mice and their offspring, the high rate of abortion and mortality rate of pups could also be related to the defect in energy utilization.

![Figure 4. PPARα regulation and activation in the liver. A. PPARα expression is stimulated by glucocorticoids and follows a circadian rhythm with the highest expression at the end of the light phase. B. Dietary fatty acid derivatives activate PPARα. On a high sucrose, the production of 16:0/18:1 GPC activates PPARα. Activation of PPARα results in the activation of PPARα transcriptome, which contributes to maintaining the energy balance in part through the promotion of mitochondrial and peroxisomal β-oxidation of fatty acids and many other metabolic pathways. Abbreviations: FA, fatty acids; 16:0/18:1 GPC, 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphocholine; TG, triglycerides; VLDL, very low density lipoprotein [10].](image)

Therapeutically, PPARα is also the molecular target of fibrates (hypolidaemic drugs), which are widely used drugs that reduce serum lipids through the increased oxidation of lipids, thus treating hypertriglyceridaemia [582, 611]. The number of direct PPARα-target
genes includes many that encode enzymes that are involved in glucose, lipid and amino acid metabolism [612, 613].

b) PPARβ/δ

This isotype is ubiquitously expressed and is implicated in diverse processes ranging from the regulation of energy homeostasis, thermogenesis, to keratinocyte proliferation and differentiation during wound healing (Figure 5) [595, 614]. As with PPARα, polyunsaturated fatty acids have a relatively high affinity for PPARβ/δ; and a number of eicosanoids were shown to activate PPARβ/δ [615]. Activation of PPARβ/δ increases serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in rats, non-human primates and humans [616-618]. This is probably mediated by PPARβ/δ-dependent expression of the reverse cholesterol transporter ATP-binding cassette A1 and by increased apolipoprotein A1-specific cholesterol efflux [617]. Ligand activation of PPARβ/δ can also decrease serum triglycerides, prevent high-fat diet-induced obesity, increase insulin sensitivity and improve symptoms that are associated with metabolic syndrome through the regulation of genes encoding fatty acid-metabolizing enzymes in skeletal muscle and genes encoding lipogenic proteins in the liver [617, 619-621]. In fact, after selective deletion of PPARβ/δ in skeletal muscle, mice exhibit a switch in muscle fibre types toward a lower oxidative capacity that precedes the development of obesity and diabetes [622]. Furthermore, its multiple implications in lipid metabolism comprise preadipocyte clonal expansion [623] and lipoprotein homeostasis [617]. These metabolic effects have led to the proposal of PPARβ/δ ligands as potential drugs for treating
metabolic syndrome [624]. PPARβ/δ also inhibits hepatic inflammation that is caused by genetic, dietary and chemical stimuli in part by the trans-repression of NF-κB-dependent signaling, which results in reduced expression of cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1β and IL-6 [625-629]. While various physiological functions of PPARβ/δ are still being investigated, roles are already documented in embryo implantation [630], myelination in the brain [631] and osteoclastic bone resorption [632]. Activating PPARβ/δ can also promote terminal differentiation in keratinocytes, intestinal epithelium, oligodendrocytes and osteoblasts, and this function might have important consequences for tumor development [590, 633].

c) PPARγ

The physiological effects of PPARγ activation are primarily mediated by PPARγ1 and PPARγ2, which are derived from four different mRNAs (PPARG1, PPARG2, PPARG3 and PPARG4) [574, 576]. Polyunsaturated fatty acids, fatty acid derivatives such as 15-deoxy-Δ12,14-prostaglandin J2 (15d-PGJ2), 9-hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid (9-HODE), 13-HODE and nitrated fatty acids can activate PPARγ and may be endogenous ligands (Figure 6) [580]. While PPARα controls lipid catabolism and homeostasis in the liver, PPARγ promotes the storage of lipids in adipose tissues. PPARγ is abundantly expressed in adipocytes and plays a pivotal role in adipocyte differentiation [634]. Despite its relatively low expression levels in healthy liver, PPARγ is critical for the development of hepatic steatosis [635]. The functions of PPARγ extend far beyond fat storage and adipocyte differentiation. For instance, it has a recently recognized role in promoting osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption when activated by rosiglitazone [636]. Its deletion in mouse osteoclast precursors leads to increased bone

Figure 6. Summary of ligands and functions of PPARγ. Updated from [15].
mass and density and extramedullary haematopoiesis. This phenotype corresponds to the clinical syndrome called osteopetrosis, associated with impaired osteoclast differentiation from haematopoietic stem cells. Conversely, PPARγ downregulates osteogenesis. In fact, it influences the competition between adipogenic and osteoblastic differentiation of bone marrow progenitors in favor of adipogenesis [637, 638]. Furthermore, PPARγ is crucial for development, in particular of the placenta and heart [639] and all PPARγ-null embryos die at an early developmental stage because of placental defects including impaired vascularisation [640].

Therapeutically, white adipose tissue is the primary target of the PPARγ agonists, the thiazolidinediones, widely used for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Thiazolidinediones decrease serum lipids by increasing adipogenesis and lipid storage, and which increase the expression of various adipokines, such as adiponectin and resistin, which collectively increase insulin sensitivity [641].

I-D.2. PPARs in Diseases

The great diversity of functions in which PPARs are implicated parallels the large panel of ligands that can be accommodated in the PPAR ligand-binding pocket and the broad tissue distribution of these receptors. In light of these observations, PPARs appear to be targets for the treatment of various diseases including metabolic disorders, autoimmune and inflammatory diseases, as well as carcinogenesis. For instance, important regulatory roles for PPARs subtypes have been reported in pathogenesis of cardiovascular diseases, metabolic syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, pulmonary diseases, obesity and several cancer types. In fact, PPARα and PPARγ are already therapeutic targets for the treatment of hypertriglyceridaemia and insulin resistance, respectively. Evidence is now emerging that PPARβ/δ may also be a potential pharmacological target for the treatment of various metabolic disorders (Figure 7). Of particular importance to us, are the biological function and the therapeutic utility of PPARs in inflammatory states and in tumorigenesis. This particular focus does not aim to underestimate the importance of PPARs in other diseases such as metabolic syndrome, but rather to emphasize on “inflammation” and “carcinogenesis”, the main research themes of this work.
**Figure 7. PPARs regulate cellular and systemic metabolic pathways.** Energy homeostasis depends on a complex web of interconnected pathways forming a coherent ensemble of integrated processes. PPARs are key regulators that are activated by fatty acids and fatty acid derivates and, alternatively, by endocrine disruptors (peroxisome proliferators). PPAR activity is also modulated by growth factors activating kinase signaling cascades [8].

**a) PPARs-Mediated Immune Response in Inflammation**

PPARs are expressed in dendritic cells, macrophages, and B and T lymphocytes, suggesting a role in immunity. They are also expressed in epithelial cells, which have an essential function in the mucosal immune response. For instance, an anti-inflammatory role for PPARα and PPARγ has been well documented in the literature while the role of PPARβ/δ remains less characterized. In fact, PPARα is found in endothelial cells where it regulates the expression of leukocyte adhesion molecules. In mutant mice, PPARα deficiency shifts the Th1/Th2 balance towards the pro-inflammatory Th1 phenotype [607]. In fact, upon ligand activation, PPARα down-regulates diverse components of the pro-inflammatory response such as chemokines and cytokines by decreasing the expression of the Th1 transcription...
factor T-bet (T-box expressed in T cells) and increasing the expression of GATA-3 (guanosine adenosine thymidine adenosine 3), known as a positive regulator of Th2 cytokines [642]. PPARα agonists inhibit the transcriptional activity of NF-κB, AP-1 and NFAT (nuclear factor of activated T-cells), which mediate the induction of genes responsible for the development of inflammation and cardiac hypertrophy [643, 644]. In chronic inflammation, ligand-bound PPARα represses the production of pro-inflammatory IFN-γ and IL-17 by CD4+ T cells and PPARγ ligands modulate the function of dendritic cells to elicit the development of anergic CD4+ T cells [2]. The PPARα and γ ligands also repress the expression of cell adhesion molecules on endothelial cells and the secretion of chemokines by epithelial and other cells, decreasing the recruitment of leukocytes to the site of inflammation [2]. Interestingly, the increased severity of inflammatory diseases in PPAR-deficient mice suggests an anti-inflammatory role for either unliganded PPAR or PPAR activated by endogenous ligands [645, 646] (Figure 8).

![Figure 8. Cellular targets for anti-inflammatory actions of PPARs [2].](image-url)
In line with these observations, many studies have confirmed a therapeutic activity of PPAR ligands in several rodent models of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases including autoimmune encephalomyelitis, multiple sclerosis, metabolic syndrome, and inflammatory bowel disease (Table 3) [647-649]. For instance, activation of PPARγ in colonic epithelial cells was shown to protect against experimental colitis by decreasing inflammation severity and decreasing inflammatory cytokines production [650].

**Table 3. Therapeutic activity of Ligands for PPARγ and PPARα in rodent models for human inflammatory and autoimmune diseases.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rodent model</th>
<th>Ligands for PPARγ</th>
<th>Ligands for PPARα</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis</td>
<td>Troglitazone, Pioglitazone, Ciglitazone, 15d-PGJ2</td>
<td>Gemifibrozil, Fenofibrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory bowel disease</td>
<td>Troglitazone, Pioglitazone, Ciglitazone, 15d-PGJ2</td>
<td>WY14643, Fenofibrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway inflammation</td>
<td>Rosiglitazone, Pioglitazone, Ciglitazone, 15d-PGJ2</td>
<td>Fenofibrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthritis</td>
<td>Rosiglitazone, Pioglitazone, 15d-PGJ2, GI262570, SB219994</td>
<td>Fenofibrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute inflammation (Carrageenan-induced edema)</td>
<td>Rosiglitazone</td>
<td>Palmitoylethanolamine, Fenofibrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatitis (caerulein-induced)</td>
<td>Rosiglitazone</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute phase response (liver)</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>Fenofibrate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The anti-inflammatory activity of PPAR ligands in mouse models encourages the evaluation of the possibility of PPARs as targets for the treatment of human inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. In fact, PPARα agonists have already been suggested against cardiovascular inflammatory responses. Along the same line of thought, the PPARα agonist fenofibrate is a potent anti-inflammatory drug used in the treatment of patients with rheumatoid arthritis [651]. When used as a lipid-lowering agent in patients with
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atherosclerosis, fenofibrate induces a decrease in circulating TNF-α, IL-1β and IFN-γ [652]. Finally, the PPARγ agonists thiazolidinediones efficiently normalise skin homeostasis when orally administrated to patients suffering from psoriasis, suggesting that their beneficial effects are most likely due to systemic anti-inflammatory functions of PPARγ [653]. These reports underscore a promising therapeutic benefit of PPAR ligands in the treatment of inflammatory diseases.

b) PPARs and Cancer Development

Whether PPARs function as tumor suppressors or as oncogenes in cancer is still unclear. The complexity of the pathways that are regulated by PPARs, and the propensity of these pathways to be altered in cancer, offers some explanation for the disparate functions of PPARs in different tumor types. However, as targeting PPARs can improve the clinical consequences of metabolic disorders that are known to be associated with increased cancer risk (such as diabetes, obesity, dyslipidemias and chronic inflammation); modulating the activities of the PPARs is an attractive approach for the treatment and prevention of cancer. The challenge is to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of action of PPAR agonists in different tissues and tumor types, and to identify and characterize effective PPAR agonists that have acceptable safety profiles.

Concerning PPARα, it has been shown that long-term administration of PPARα agonists causes liver cancer in rodents [654], an effect that is dependent on PPARα, as PPARα-null mice are resistant to the hepatocarcinogenic effects of PPARα agonists [655, 656]. The cascade of molecular events leading to liver cancer in rodents involves hepatocyte proliferation and oxidative stress, but the PPARα target genes that mediate this response are unknown and, interestingly, this mechanism is not evident in humans [657, 658]. On the other hand, there are studies suggesting that activating PPARα could be useful for the prevention or the treatment of different cancers. Oral administration of different PPARα agonists inhibited the growth of tumors that were derived from melanoma, Lewis lung carcinoma, glioblastoma and fibrosarcoma cell lines [659], and xenografts from A549 human lung cancer cells [660]. PPARα agonists also inhibited angiogenesis in these models [659, 660]. These inhibitory effects are mediated by the PPARα dependent inhibition of
endothelial cell proliferation, and downregulation of cytochrome P450 CYP2C, an enzyme that catalyses the epoxidation of arachidonic acid to eicosanoid acids that promote angiogenesis [660]. As these effects are not evident in PPARα-null mice, they are PPARα-dependent, and thus PPARα agonists could be used to prevent multiple tumor types [659, 660]. There are two other potential PPARα-dependent pathways that could inhibit tumorigenesis. First, PPARα inhibits inflammatory signaling through repressive mechanisms that are mediated by interacting with the p65 subunit of NF-κB. Because inhibiting NF-κB-dependent signals, such as TNF, can effectively inhibit the growth of multiple tumor types, targeting this PPARα-dependent activity may be useful [661]. Second, PPARα agonists also negatively influence the Warburg effect by interfering with metabolic pathways. Ligand activation of PPARα can increase mitochondrial oxidation of fatty acids [662], and can inhibit expression of glutaminase [613], which decreases glutamine levels and limits cancer cell growth. As fatty acids and glutamine are enzymatically produced by the Warburg effect, and are substrates required for cell proliferation [663], targeting PPARα to potentially inhibit tumor cell proliferation by interfering with the Warburg effect should be examined.

As for PPARβ/δ, there is no broad consensus on the role of PPARβ/δ in cancer, owing to contradictory studies in the literature [590]. However, two hypotheses have emerged: that PPARβ/δ is overexpressed in tumors and promotes anti-apoptotic activities and increased cell proliferation; and that PPARβ/δ promotes terminal differentiation and inhibits pro-inflammatory signaling, thereby attenuating tumorigenesis. Further studies in rodents and humans would better establish whether PPARβ/δ displays a cancer preventing or promoting role.

The function of PPARγ in tumor development is also controversial but more convincing toward a preventive role (Figure 9). Indeed, most studies to date show that PPARγ agonists can promote terminal differentiation, inhibit cell growth and increase apoptosis of human cancer cell lines, as well as inhibit tumorigenesis in animal models of cancer [664, 665]. Ligand activation of PPARγ in cancer cell lines is associated with the induction of cell cycle arrest, the increased expression of mRNAs and proteins required for terminal differentiation, including keratins, carcinoembryonic antigen, E-cadherin, alkaline phosphatase and developmentally-regulated GTP-binding protein 1 (DRG1), as well as with
the changes to cell morphology that are consistent with a differentiated phenotype [509, 666-668]. PPARγ agonists modulate the expression of different cell cycle regulators, including decreasing the expression of cyclin D1 [669-672], increasing the expression of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p21 [673] and p27 [673] and increasing the turnover of β-catenin [674]. PPARγ agonists can also inhibit cell proliferation by inactivating eukaryotic initiation factor 2, which leads to the inhibition of translation initiation [675]. Increased apoptotic signaling is another mechanism that mediates the growth inhibitory effects of PPARγ agonists. PPARγ agonists can increase the expression of pro-apoptotic BAX and BAD [676, 677], inhibit BCL-X, and BCL-2 function [676, 678], increase the expression of PTEN [518], inhibit PI3K activity and AKT phosphorylation [679], and inhibit activation of JUN N-terminal protein kinase [676]. Many of these changes increase caspase activity and apoptosis.

Chronic inflammation that is associated with many cancers, including colorectal, liver and lung cancer, is typically associated with increased NF-κB activity and is causally linked with tumor promotion [680]. PPARγ agonists can inhibit the production of pro-inflammatory signaling proteins, such as TNF, IL-6 and monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1, and these changes are mediated through trans-repression mechanisms, including directly interfering with NF-κB activity and/or through receptor sumoylation. PPARγ is expressed in tumor cells and infiltrating immune cells, and there is evidence that anti-inflammatory activities are mediated by PPARγ in many cell types [681]. Indeed, PPARγ expressed in intestinal epithelial cells [646] and macrophages [645] inhibits inflammation that is associated with experimentally induced colitis, and inflammation is known to be required for colon carcinogenesis (Figure 9) [397].
 Clinically, there are many published studies showing that activating PPARγ prevents cancer in tissues such as colon, breast, prostate and lung [664]. Increased differentiation of liposarcoma cells was observed in patients treated with troglitazone [682], and another clinical trial indicated that treatment with rosiglitazone increased the mean time to progression (which was defined as a doubling in tumour volume) [683]. However, no effect of rosiglitazone treatment was found in a larger cohort of patients with prostate cancer [684]. Troglitazone has been tested in patients with prostate cancer with variable results on
prostate-specific antigen levels [685, 686], and administration of LY293111 to patients with prostate cancer had no clinical effect [687]. In two Phase II studies, troglitazone had no effect in patients with either breast cancer or colorectal cancer [688, 689]. Some clinical trials examining the effect of PPARγ ligands combined with other therapeutics revealed positive results for patients with thyroid carcinoma and glioma [690-692]. Thus, the clinical trials carried out to date have yielded evidence suggesting that PPARγ might be suitable for targeting pre-cancerous and cancer cells in selected tumor types. Despite this evidence suggesting that activating PPARγ inhibits tumorigenesis, doubts persist because some studies indicate that activating PPARγ promotes carcinogenesis [693, 694]. Indeed, increased bladder cancer incidence is reported to be associated with the clinical use of rosiglitazone or pioglitazone, although there is evidence that this might reflect off-target effects of these PPARγ agonists [695, 696]. No definitive mechanisms have yet been elucidated that explain these pro-carcinogenic effects.

Overall, beside their well established therapeutic role in hypertriglyceridaemia and insulin resistance, it has been clear that PPAR targeting, specifically PPARα and PPARγ, would be clinically significant in the therapeutic management of inflammatory and cancerous diseases. Therefore, the development of valid tools and animal models that contribute to the pharmacological profiling of drugs targeting PPARs is necessary. Hence, the importance and the utility of PPRE-luc mice. In PPRE-luc mice the expression of the luciferase gene is under the control of PPARs-inducible promoter in all target organs. This will allow for the evaluation and the modulation of PPARs activity in any PPARs-expressing organ in living animals under normal and pathological states. These mice represent therefore a valuable biological tool for pharmacodynamic and toxicological studies for novel PPARs ligands (discussed in details in section-III (Reporter mice for PPARs: A Novel Reporter Mouse Technology))
II- The Role of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors in the Skin

II-A. The development and maturation of the skin

During epidermal maturation in the latest stages of fetal development, the epidermis evolves from a single layer of epithelial cells to a fully stratified and differentiated epithelium. After birth, the epidermis is renewed continuously. The basal layer contains undifferentiated keratinocytes, which undergo a vectorial differentiation program as they migrate from the basal to the uppermost layer. Keratinocyte differentiation includes the sequential expression of structural proteins (keratins, involucrin, loricrin and filaggrin), the processing and reorganization of lipids and, cell death [697]. The outermost layer of the epidermis, the stratum corneum, is the end product of keratinocyte differentiation and consists of a layer of cross-linked proteins and lipids, which acts as an efficient barrier to water loss, mechanical damage, microorganism invasion, and xenobiotic poisoning (Figure 11). The epidermal homeostasis and the integrity of the barrier function depend on the tight coordination of keratinocyte proliferation, differentiation, and programmed death.

![Histological image of skin layers](www.melanoma.com)

**Figure 11.** Histological image of skin layers

II-B. PPARs in Skin development and homeostasis

II-B.1. PPARs in epidermal development and differentiation

The expression of PPARs is associated with all major events of the fetal maturation of the murine epidermis, including the expression of differentiation markers, and changes in
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lipid metabolism. After birth, the three PPARs progressively disappear from the interfollicular epidermis. In the adult animal, they are undetectable (in situ hybridization) in the interfollicular keratinocytes, while all three remain expressed in the hair follicles [609]. In contrast to the rodent situation, all three PPARs are expressed in the basal and suprabasal layers of the human adult epidermis [698, 699].

The functions of PPARs in keratinocyte proliferation, differentiation and death, and in epidermal barrier maturation were studied in these various cell cultures and animal models (Figure 12). More specifically, PPARβ/δ seems to play an important role in the differentiation of epithelial cells [698, 700]. Treatment with PPARβ agonists was reported to decrease the proliferation and to stimulate the differentiation of wild-type mouse primary keratinocytes; whereas these effects were not seen in PPARβ-null cells [701]. Moreover, administration of L165041 (PPARβ/δ ligand), results in a dramatic decrease in proliferation of human keratinocytes and a robust upregulation of expression of involucrin and transglutaminase, markers of melanocytes [699]. Similarly, in cultured human keratinocytes, treatment with PPARα or PPARγ ligands increases the expression of involucrin and transglutaminase, which are essential for formation of the stratum corneum [702, 703]. Also, Kang et al. have shown that PPARα (WY14643) and PPARγ (ciglitazone) activators inhibit human melanocyte growth and stimulate melanogenesis [704]. The effect of PPARs ligands on skin development and maturation has been also examined in vivo. For instance, the selective PPARβ agonist GW1514 stimulates mouse epidermal differentiation in vivo without affecting cell proliferation, as shown by an increased expression of the late differentiation markers filaggrin and loricrin [705]. PPARα ligands also inhibit proliferation and induce differentiation of keratinocytes in the adult mouse epidermis in vivo [706, 707]. Topical treatments with the PPARγ agonists troglitazone and ciglitazone increases as well the expression of differentiation markers and promote epidermal barrier recovery in hairless mice [708]. Although thiazolidinediones are known to have PPARγ-independent activities, their effects on keratinocyte differentiation are PPARγ-dependent in this model, as evidenced by the absence of effect in PPARγ epidermal-null mice [708]. These data provide strong evidence that PPARs isoforms are involved in keratinocytes differentiation with differential effects according to the level and time of expression of PPARs isotypes in the skin. During differentiation of human keratinocytes ex vivo, the level of PPARβ/δ
expression remains high, whereas expression of PPARα and PPARγ increases significantly upon differentiation [698, 699, 709]. Interestingly, simultaneous addition of the PPARβ/δ-selective ligand L165041 and the PPARγ-selective ligand BRL49653 resulted in a strong synergistic induction of involucrin [699] and had a synergistic effect on human keratinocyte differentiation [702].

On the other hand, several studies have reported important roles for PPARs in epidermal lipid metabolism and epidermal permeability barrier. For instance, the PPARα ligand WY14643 increases the synthesis of ceramides and cholesterol derivatives in a skin equivalent model [710]. Consistent with these results, prolonged exposure to PPARα (WY14643) or PPARβ (GW1514) agonists induces lipid accumulation in cultures of human keratinocytes [705]. Moreover, PPARβ activation by GW1514 induces the expression of the adipose differentiation related protein (ADRP) and of the fasting-induced adipose factor (FIAF), both of which play important roles in lipid metabolism [705]. Furthermore, PPARα activators have been shown to greatly enhance (in skin equivalents) synthesis of membrane-coating granules, which are secreted into the extracellular space and constitute the structural components of the epidermal permeability barrier [710, 711]. Consistently, topical treatment of mice with GW1514 accelerates the restoration of the epidermal barrier functions following its disruption by tape stripping, solvent or detergent application [705].

Information on the role of PPARs in epidermal homeostasis also emerged from the study of PPAR-mutant mouse models. Although normal skin architecture is observed in adult PPARα null mice [712], delayed fetal epidermis development, with defects in the formation of the stratum corneum, occurs in these mutant mice [713]. Furthermore, a detailed analysis of adult PPARα-null epidermis reveals a thin stratum granulosum with focal parakeratosis, suggesting impaired keratinocyte differentiation [706]. Recently, overexpression of PPARα in transgenic mice showed that PPARα plays an important role in the development of several epithelia, including the epidermis [714]. The consequences of PPARα overexpression during embryonic development are a thinner epidermis and fewer hair follicles than normal in perinatal pups, but there is no abnormality when PPARα is overexpressed in the adult mouse skin. Primary keratinocytes isolated from these animals
exhibit slow proliferation and increased differentiation. Thus, PPARα might be important for the development of the epidermis during late embryogenesis, but dispensable for renewal of the epidermis in the adult animal. No defect in epidermal maturation is observed in PPARy-heterozygous mice or in PPARy-null mice born after placental rescue [639, 715]. Consistent with these observations, mice chimeric for PPARy-null and -wild type cells show that the PPARy-null cells participate in epidermal differentiation, demonstrating that PPARy is most probably not required for this process [716]. Histological analysis of PPARβ-mutant skin does not reveal any defect in epidermal architecture, neither during fetal development nor in the adult animal. Accordingly, the expression patterns of keratinocyte differentiation markers are not altered in PPARβ-null animals [715]. However, epidermal hyperplasia in response to a treatment with tetradecanoylphorbol acetate (TPA) is enhanced in PPARβ-mutant animals, suggesting a role for PPARβ in the control of keratinocyte proliferation and differentiation [631, 715]. The slight increase in keratinocyte proliferation in the PPARβ-heterozygous animals also indicates the existence of such a control [715]. In agreement with these observations, PPARβ expression is rapidly upregulated following hair plucking or cutaneous injury, and skin wound-healing is altered in PPARβ-heterozygous mice. The skin wound-healing delay is largely due to a disrupted balance between proliferation and apoptosis [717, 718], as well as to defects in cell adhesion and migration of the PPARβ-mutant keratinocytes [719].

Altogether, these data suggest that the three PPAR isotypes are involved, through divergent ways and timings, in the induction or control of proliferation and differentiation of skin cells as well as in the enhancement of epidermal permeability barrier (Figure 12).
II-B.2. PPARs in the differentiation of skin appendages

During embryonic development, the skin epithelial progenitor cells give rise to the epidermis and its appendages, namely hair follicles and associated sebaceous glands. Hair follicle morphogenesis depends on complex bidirectional interactions between the keratinocytes and the underlying mesenchyme, and on a tightly controlled balance between keratinocyte proliferation and apoptosis. In rodents, the three PPARs are expressed in the hair follicles during embryonic skin development, and they remain highly expressed in postnatal and adult hair follicles. In humans, all PPARs isoforms are expressed in both dermal and epithelial human hair follicle cells [720]. Interestingly, the PPARα agonist clofibrate has been shown to increase the survival of human hair follicles in vitro [720]. Moreover, it has demonstrated PPARβ activation protects hair peg keratinocytes against apoptosis, which allows normal hair follicle development in mice [721].

Figure 12. Consequences of PPAR activation in hair follicles, sebocytes and interfollicular epidermis. The hematoxylin/eosin staining of a mouse skin section shows the normal interfollicular epidermis (IE), a hair follicle (HF) and a sebaceous gland (SG). The figure summarizes the data obtained after activation of PPARs by their specific ligands in each of these skin compartments [7].
The lipid-loaded sebocyte is the last differentiated cell type to appear in the skin during its development. Sebocytes initially arise from cells at the surface of hair follicles, and eventually form a gland located at the periphery of the mature hair follicle, but remain associated with it. Sebaceous lipogenesis leads to the accumulation of lipids and to sebum secretion by the sebocytes, which represents a major step in their differentiation [722]. In brief, the three PPARs are expressed in adult rat sebocytes and in human sebaceous gland cell lines [700, 723]. PPARγ is also present in mouse and human skin sebaceous glands in vivo [716, 724]. The activation of PPARs by their respective ligands or by the PPAR panagonist linoleic acid stimulates lipid droplet accumulation in primary rat sebocytes, but not in keratinocytes [722, 723]. Interestingly, PPARβ appears to be important in the late stages of rat sebocyte differentiation [700], whereas, and in contrast, it is involved in the early phase of adipocyte differentiation [725]. Thus, PPARβ may play different roles in two differentiation programs that involve lipogenesis in two different cell types (Figure 12).

So far, the role of PPARs in human sebocytes and sebaceous glands is unclear, due to several contradictory results obtained using various cellular experimental models or assays involving human volunteers. The activation of the three PPARs stimulates lipogenesis in a human sebocyte cell line [726], while treatment of whole human sebaceous gland cultures with PPARα or PPARγ ligands inhibits sebaceous gland lipogenesis [727]. This suggests that the activation of PPARs in primary sebocytes or in whole sebaceous glands may have different effects with regard to lipogenesis. In human volunteers too, the observations are contradictory, with reduced [728] or increased [726] sebum production after treatment with the PPARα agonist ETYA, and with other PPARα and γ agonists, respectively.

Despite the importance of PPARs in lipid metabolism and their high expression in sebocytes, their function has not been examined so far in sebaceous glands of either PPARα or PPARβ-mutant mouse models. Importantly, mice chimeric for PPARγ-null and wild-type cells showed little or no contribution of PPARγ-null cells to the formation of adipose tissue and sebaceous glands [716]. Consistent with the well-known function of PPARγ in lipid metabolism, this observation suggests that PPARγ-null cells cannot differentiate into adipocytes or sebocytes.
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Together, these observations definitively implicate PPARs in sebaceous gland differentiation and function, but clear specific roles cannot yet be attributed due to contradictory reports, with the exception that PPARγ is definitively required for the sebocyte differentiation process (Figure 12).

**II-C. PPARs in Skin Disease**

As described above, PPARs play important roles in healthy skin homeostasis, in the epidermis, the hair follicles, and the sebaceous glands. Therefore, PPARs may be interesting targets for the treatment of various epidermal disorders, such as inflammatory hyperproliferative disorders and skin malignancies.

II-C.1. Inflammatory Hyperproliferative Disorders - Psoriasis

Psoriasis is an inflammatory and hyperproliferative skin disease characterized by keratinocyte proliferation and differentiation and cutaneous accumulation of inflammatory polymorphonuclear leukocytes capable of release of toxic oxygen and free radical species. Knowing that psoriasis is characterized by epidermal hyperproliferation and abnormal differentiation of keratinocytes accompanied by an inflammatory response, PPARs may be interesting targets for treatment. PPARβ expression was reported to be dramatically increased in the hyperproliferative lesional skin of psoriatic patients [709, 729]. PPARβ gene expression is known to be upregulated in mouse skin in response to inflammatory cytokines [717], suggesting that the increased expression in psoriatic lesions is most probably due to pro-inflammatory signals, a condition reminiscent of that following skin injury. The consequences of PPARβ activation in this pathological situation are unclear, the activation of PPARβ/δ in the epidermis was shown to be sufficient to trigger inflammatory changes, immune activation and gene dysregulation characteristic of psoriasis [717, 730]. These observations dampened the interest of PPARβ/δ agonist in the treatment of psoriasis.

Unlike PPARβ, cutaneous levels of PPARα and PPARγ are decreased in lesional psoriatic skin [709, 729], and there is no correlation between sequence variations in the genes encoding PPARα and PPARγ and psoriasis [731]. Nevertheless, the two PPARα
ligands, clofibrate and WY14643, are able to restore epidermal homeostasis in acute and chronic mouse models of hyperproliferative epidermis [706], and to reduce inflammation in models of irritant and allergic dermatitis [732]. Consistently, transgenic mice overexpressing PPARα in the epidermis show reduced hyperplasia upon topical application of tetradecanoylphorbol acetate (TPA), suggesting that PPARα prevents hyperproliferation of keratinocytes in adult mouse skin [714]. Furthermore, the PPARγ ligand troglitazone normalizes the morphology of psoriatic skin in organ culture, and reduces epidermal hyperplasia in a model of human psoriatic skin transplant [733]. In vivo, topical application of the PPARγ agonists ciglitazone and troglitazone improves epidermal homeostasis in murine models of keratinocyte hyperproliferation [734].

However, in a pilot study with psoriatic patients and despite the above-mentioned promising animal studies, PPARα (clofibrate), PPARβ (tetradecylthioacetic acid), and PPARγ (rosiglitazone) agonists did not normalize skin homeostasis when topically applied on plaque psoriasis [735, 736]. Interestingly, several reports have described a therapeutic benefit of the PPARγ agonists, thiazolidinediones, when orally administrated to patients suffering from plaque psoriasis [737]. These observations indicate that systemic, but not local activation of PPARγ is beneficial, maybe due to the general anti-inflammatory functions of PPARγ and its possible impact on the immune system. The exact mechanism of this is still unknown since Mao et al. showed that PPARγ-activated keratinocyte differentiation and decreased cutaneous inflammation by TZDs is not dependent on PPARγ in keratinocytes [708]. Although all PPAR isotypes act to various degrees on the pathogenic factors for psoriasis, it is not presently possible to distinguish an isotype that would be most useful in the treatment of psoriasis.

II-C.2. Atopic Dermatitis

It was long thought that atopic dermatitis (AD) is solely attributable to immunological defects. Evidence is accumulating that primary keratinocyte abnormalities may underlie the pathogenesis of this skin disorder in many patients. It has been shown that PPARs reduce certain inflammatory mediators in the skin and regulate epidermal barrier homeostasis, alterations of which contribute to the inflammation associated with AD.
Studies have demonstrated that PPAR ligands inhibit T helper cell (Th) responses in terms of inhibition of IL-2 production by T cell clones, while not inhibiting proliferation of such clones [738]. Specifically, PPARγ play a critical role in the regulation of genes that are involved in cellular proliferation, specific components of the Th2 inflammatory pathway and maintenance of the skin barrier [736]. This suggestion was supported by the observation that the PPARγ ligand ciglitazone inhibits allergic immune response by inhibiting Th2-driven IgE production and also production of pro-inflammatory cytokines of the Th response in vitro and in vivo [739]. Recent analysis of skin lesions in patients with AD show increased PPARγ expression not only in keratinocytes, but also in infiltrating T cells and monocytes [740]. The same group demonstrated that systemic ciglitazone administration inhibits not only systemic but also local inflammatory immune response in the skin by diminishing the severity of allergen-induced dermatitis in mice [741]. Systemic treatment with the PPARγ agonist rosiglitazone, another member of the TZDs, lead to a decreased total body surface area involvement, severity of lesions and number of flares in patients with severe AD [742]. Beside this, topical treatment with a PPARα agonist had a beneficial effect for childhood atopic dermatitis allowing to spare dermo-corticoids and improving the quality of life [743].

II-C.3. Skin Injury

Skin repair after an injury is a life-saving priority process that eventually leads to the covering of the wounded area with a newly differentiated protective epidermis. The initial inflammatory stage of repair is followed by the proliferation and migration of keratinocytes, a process called re-epithelialization. In parallel, dermal repair involves the recruitment and proliferation of fibroblasts and angiogenesis. As mentioned previously, PPARα and PPARβ expression is undetectable in the interfollicular epidermis of healthy rodent skin. The expression of both of them is reactivated in keratinocytes at the edges of skin wounds, although with specific timing [715]. The upregulation of PPARα is transient and parallels the inflammatory phase, while that of PPARβ lasts over the entire healing process with downregulated expression upon remodeling. The expression of PPARβ is increased via binding of the AP-1 transcription factor complex to its promoter, triggered by the activation of the stress associated protein kinase pathway by pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α [717]. In parallel, the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines also induces the production of
an endogenous ligand for PPARβ, resulting in maximal PPARβ activation in the wounded epithelium [717]. During this process, PPARβ directly stimulates the genes coding for integrin-linked kinase (ILK) and 3-phosphoinositide-dependent kinase-1 (PDK1), with strong activation of the Protein kinase B alpha/protein kinase B (PKBα/Akt1) anti-apoptotic pathway as a consequence [719]. Once epithelialization is completed, TNF-α-induced PPARβ expression is repressed by TGFβ-1 signaling, which inhibits AP-1 binding to the PPARβ promoter (Figure 13) [744].

**Figure 13. Roles of PPARβ/δ in skin wound healing** The expression of the PPARβ gene is increased via binding of the AP-1 transcription factor complex to its promoter, which is triggered by activation of the stress-associated protein kinase pathway by pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α. In parallel, the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines also induces the production of PPARβ ligands in the wounded epithelium. The activated PPARβ protein stimulates the genes coding for integrin-linked kinase (ILK) and 3-phosphoinositide-dependent kinase-1 (PDK1), which results in activation of the PKBα/Akt1 anti-apoptotic pathway. Once epithelialisation is completed, TNF-α-induced PPARβ expression is repressed by TGFβ-1 signaling which inhibits AP-1 binding to the PPARβ promoter. Updated from [10].
An important role for TGFβ-1 and IL-1 were reported during the process of skin repair following injury via PPARβ. It has been shown that prolonged expression of PPARβ, obtained through down-regulation of TGFβ-1 activity (Smad3-null mice) [745], or through early treatment of the wounds with recombinant TGFβ-1 (at the time of injury), is paralleled with a prolonged increase of PKBα/Akt1 activity and accelerated skin wound closure [746]. Conversely, exogenous application of TGFβ-1 on a skin wound at day 2 following the injury has opposite effects, with PPARβ expression and PKBα/Akt1 activity prematurely downregulated, and a transient delay in wound closure [746]. These data suggest that the described paradoxical actions of exogenous TGF-β1 on wound healing most likely reside in its dual role. As a chemoattractant of immune cells, it stimulates PPARβ/δ expression via the production of inflammatory cytokines. As a repressor, it reduces the inflammation-induced PPARβ/δ expression via Smad3 signaling.

On the other hand, it has been shown that keratinocyte proliferation following wounding is fine tuned by a PPARβ-dependent interaction between epidermal keratinocytes and dermal fibroblasts. It has been demonstrated that IL-1 produced by keratinocytes stimulates the activity of the AP-1 (Jun/Fos) transcription complex in dermal fibroblasts, resulting in an increased production of mitogenic cytokines that enhance keratinocyte proliferation (Figure 14). In parallel, increased levels of PPARβ in fibroblasts stimulate the production of the secreted IL-1 receptor antagonist (sIL-1ra), which results in an autocrine down-regulation of the IL-1 signaling pathway. As a consequence, there is reduced production of secreted mitogenic factors by the IL-1-stimulated fibroblasts and thus reduced keratinocyte proliferation. Together, these findings show that the regulation of PPARβ by IL-1 in fibroblasts contributes to the homeostatic control of keratinocyte proliferation.

In summary, PPARα and PPARβ both play important nonredundant functions in the wounded epidermis, with isotype-specific timing. PPARα favors skin healing via modulation of the inflammatory phase, while PPARβ is an important player of keratinocyte survival and migration.
II-C.4. Inflammation

It is well recognized that PPAR expression is modulated by inflammation both in the epidermis and in other organs, such as the liver, heart, and adipose tissue. In the skin, anti-inflammatory activities have been attributed to PPARs. Kippenberger et al. showed that topically applied PPARα agonists increased the minimal erythema dose in ultraviolet B (UV-B)-irradiated skin [747]. Topical or systemic application of PPARα activators or compounds that positively regulate PPARα gene expression may therefore help to counteract exaggerated inflammatory processes. In fact, UV-B irradiation led to a decrease of all three
peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor subsets at the mRNA level, which may be consistent to an exaggerated and prolonged inflammation. Moreover, it has been suggested that PPARα mediates the anti-allergic and anti-inflammatory effects of palmitolethanolamide, a natural fatty acid ethanolamide found in mouse skin [748]. Likewise, in a model of TPA-induced irritant contact dermatitis and in an oxalozone-induced allergic contact dermatitis, PPARα agonists reduced ear swelling, the magnitude of the inflammatory infiltrate, and the expression of TNF-α and IL-1β [732]. Moreover, PPARα activation inhibited cytokine secretion, maturation, and migration and the T-cell-stimulatory activity of the epidermal antigen-presenting cell, the Langerhans cell. This was associated with decreased levels of NF-kB [749]. The effects of PPARα agonists were abolished in keratinocytes and Langerhans cells in PPARα-deficient mice, indicating that they are receptor-mediated [732, 748, 749].

In contrast to PPARα, the effects of PPARβ/δ activators on cutaneous inflammation are less certain. In the mouse model of TPA-induced irritant contact dermatitis, topical GW1514 (PPARβ/δ agonist) decreased the degree of inflammation, as determined by ear thickness, ear weight, histology, and myeloperoxidase quantification [701, 705]. However, the anti-inflammatory effect did not require PPARβ/δ, because pharmacologic PPARβ/δ activation also reduced myeloperoxidase in the ears of PPARβ/δ-deficient mice, raising the possibility that the observed effects on inflammation are not attributable to PPARβ/δ activation. Additionally, the anti-inflammatory effects required doses much higher than those necessary to induce keratinocyte differentiation [701]. On the other hand, PPARβ/δ -deficient mice displayed an increased inflammatory response to TPA treatment [631, 708], suggesting that PPARβ/δ in fact plays a role in downregulating inflammation. Clearly, further studies are required to determine whether activators of PPARβ/δ are anti-inflammatory in the skin.

Overall, PPARγ can interfere with many components of the inflammatory response by altering expression of cytokines, receptors and adhesion molecules by T cells, monocyte/macrophages, vascular smooth muscle cells and endothelial cells [750]. Production by macrophages of pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, RANTES and MCP-1 is suppressed by PPARγ agonists [751, 752]. Beside, studies of PPARγ activators have
also failed to definitively demonstrate an anti-inflammatory effect in mouse skin. Although the PPARγ agonists ciglitazone and troglitazone have anti-inflammatory properties in both irritant and allergic contact dermatitis mouse models, reducing the production of proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α and IL-1β [708], similar anti-inflammatory properties are seen in PPARγ-deficient mice. These results indicate that the inhibition of cutaneous inflammation by ciglitazone and troglitazone is not necessarily mediated by epidermal PPARγ. This observation is similar to reports in macrophages, in which glitazones also had anti-inflammatory effects independent of PPARγ [753].

These data provide clear evidences that all PPARs isoforms are involved at different levels in the regulation of the inflammatory process.

II-C.5. Non-Melanoma Skin Cancer

As the first barrier between the body and the environment, the skin is exposed to various kinds of aggression. Among them, exposure to xenobiotics or UV may provoke damage, with subsequent proliferation of damaged keratinocytes and promotion of skin carcinogenesis. As discussed above, studies have shown that PPAR activation can regulate proliferation and differentiation of different cell types and therefore induce growth arrest and apoptosis in a variety of cancer types [664, 754]. In skin cancer, the role of PPARβ was explored using a model of 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene/tetradecanoylphorbol acetate (DMBA/TPA)-induced carcinogenesis. In this context, the development of tumors is more severe in PPARβ-null than in wild-type animals, suggesting that the activation of PPARβ may attenuate the development of chemically-induced skin cancers [755]. Further investigation using the same mouse model suggests that this anti-carcinogenic effect of PPARβ is due to the inhibition of keratinocyte proliferation, mainly via modulation of the Protein Kinase Cα (PKCα) activity [756]. In human cell lines, UV treatment of keratinocytes induces the induction of PPARγ-agonistic activity [757], but the consequences of an increased activity of PPARγ in these cells remain unclear. Although reduced PPARγ activity in PPARγ+/− animals increases the susceptibility of these mice to DMBA-mediated carcinogenesis in the skin and other organs [758], the activation of PPARγ does not prevent the development of UV- or DMBA/TPA-induced skin tumors [759]. As for PPARα, its activation of reduces ultraviolet
(UV)-induced inflammation in human skin, which may have a beneficial effect with regard to UV-induced skin cancers [747]. Topical treatment of mouse skin with PPARα activators also showed a protective effect against DMBA/TPA-induced carcinogenesis [760]. Moreover, and consistent with their overall anti-inflammatory function, PPARγ and α activators may directly downregulate or inhibit cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression which is increased in cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) [761, 762]. Moreover, the combination of PPAR agonists and COX inhibitors may have synergistic effects [763]. Also, PPARγ and PPARα would limit cancer progression by inhibiting angiogenesis [764].

Overall, these observations underscore an involvement of PPARs in skin carcinogenesis, but further work using different models is awaited, which should shed additional light on this rather important process given the prevalence of UV-induced skin cancer.

II-C.6. Melanoma

Over the past three decades the incidence of malignant melanoma has significantly rised worldwide as a consequence of excessive exposure to sunlight [765]. Despite advancements in early diagnosis and treatment, metastasized malignant melanoma has a very poor prognosis [766]. One reason could be that molecular mechanisms involved in skin repair, skin carcinogenesis and melanoma growth are still poorly understood. So far there are only little available therapies for metastatic melanoma. In addition to active prevention and early detection of melanomas, it appears necessary to develop new therapeutic substances to improve the outcome of patients with metastatic melanoma.

In addition to non-melanoma skin cancer, evidence is accumulating that PPAR ligands also had mild antiproliferative effects in melanocytes and human melanoma cells [704, 767, 768]. Whether this inhibition of cell proliferation is induced by apoptosis or through specific PPAR-dependent pathways is not clear. Correlating to the antiproliferative effects, the vitamin D receptor (VDR) expression was increased in the melanoma cell line MeWo by some PPAR ligands at the same time-point [769]. This gave an indication of an interconnection of the PPAR and VDR signaling pathways at the level of cross-regulation of
their respective transcription factor mRNA levels. The complete mechanisms and the physiological and pathophysiological relevance of this cross-talk are not yet known, but may open new perspectives for treatment and/or prevention of melanoma.

In addition to the observed antiproliferative effects, PPARα activation by fenofibrate, inhibited metastatic potential of both B16F10 mouse melanoma cells and human SkMel188 cells in vitro caused by inhibition of migration and anchorage-independent growth [770]. In Bomirski hamsters with melanoma subcutaneous tumors oral administration of fenofibrate led to significantly fewer metastatic foci in the lungs [771]. However, primary tumor growth remained unaltered. In clinical trials significantly fewer melanoma were diagnosed in patients treated with lipid-lowering drugs (i.e., gemfibrozil) compared with the control group [772]. Nevertheless a recently published metaanalysis could not confirm this observation [773]. On the other hand, studies with thiazolidinediones (PPARγ ligands) demonstrated inhibition of colony forming by arresting melanoma cells in the G1 phase, leading to inhibition of tumorigenesis in nude mice [774]. Especially for ciglitazone the antiproliferative effects were mediated by cell cycle arrest through a PPARγ-dependent pathway at low concentrations. At higher concentrations a major part of the antitumoral activity is mediated through the induction of apoptosis independently of PPARγ [764, 767].

In addition to the synthetic PPAR ligands it has been shown that naturally PPAR agonists like fatty acids inhibit melanoma cells. Especially, dietary ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) like for example eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) have shown to decrease the COX-2 mRNA expression and in consequence invasion in brain-metastatic melanoma, whereas ω-6 PUFA such as arachidonic acid promote the growth of tumor cells [775]. Cario-André et al. suggested that an ω-6 PUFA rich-diet may increase oxidative damage in melanocytes without inducing apoptosis, the long-term net outcome could be cumulated mutations and an increased risk of skin cancer, especially melanoma, whereas ω-3 PUFA are rather protective [776]. Although the relationship between dietary ω-3 PUFA and carcinogenic risk factors is inconclusive, the evidence suggests that increasing dietary ω-3 PUFA is beneficial.
First clinical studies using PPAR ligands as a supplementary agent in melanoma treatment show promising results. A combined treatment with PPAR agonists and COX-2 inhibitors seems to have not only synergistic effects in non-melanoma skin cancer prevention, but may also increase the susceptibility of malignant cells to pulsatile chemotherapy by upregulating proapoptotic cellular mechanisms [777]. Administration of pioglitazone (PPARγ ligand) and rofecoxib (COX-2 inhibitor) combined with low-dose metronomic trofosfamide prolonged the progression-free survival of patients with treatment-resistant metastatic melanoma compared to treatment with trofosfamide alone [778]. The same group showed that patients with PPARγ-positive metastases and biomodulatory metronomic chemotherapy alone or combined with COX-2/PPARγ-targeting showed a significantly prolonged progression-free survival [778].

In order to avoid the side-effect of chemotherapeutic drugs Wang et al. synthesized a conjugate of the PPAR ligand DHA and the anticancer agent doxorubicin, which deliver the chemotherapeutic agent specifically to tumor tissue [779]. In vitro and in experimental animal tumor models the created DHA-doxorubicin conjugate was significantly more efficacious than free doxorubicin. Another group analyzed in a phase I study the maximum tolerated dose, dose-limiting toxicity and pharmacokinetics of weekly DHA-paclitaxel (anticancer agent) in resistant solid tumor malignancies [780]. DHA-paclitaxel administered weekly to a maximum dose of 600 mg/m2 was well-tolerated and provided stable disease for 16 weeks in a patient with melanoma. In addition to this, compared with the respectively chemotherapeutic agent alone, the combined conjugates DHA-doxorubicin and DHA-paclitaxel were much less toxic [779-781].

Taken together, further investigations have to identify which PPAR ligands might be a new anti-melanoma drug and might provide the initial impetus for coming clinical trials in melanoma treatment.

II-C.7. Acne

The pathophysiology of acne centers on the interplay of follicular hyperkeratinization, inflammation induced by Acne Vulgaris and the production of sebum
that serves as a nutrient source for bacteria [782-784]. Because of the many similarities that exist between adipogenesis and sebaceous lipogenesis PPARs may be important in the regulation of human sebum production and the development of acne [785, 786]. Studies in sebocytes and human sebaceous glands indicate that PPARα agonist-GW7647, PPARα/δ agonist-GW2433, PPARγ agonist rosiglitazone, increased lipogenesis. Also, patients treated with thiazolidinediones or fibrates had significant increases in sebum production (37 and 77%, respectively) when compared to age-, disease-, and sex-matched controls. [726]. In addition to this, PPAR regulation can modulate the tissue inflammation in acne lesions by inhibiting the expression of proinflammatory genes [787]. Recent evidence indicates a role for lipoxygenase products, such as leukotrienes B (LTB) 4, in the development of inflammatory acne lesions [788, 789]. Interestingly, LTB4 is also a natural ligand for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor PPARα [787, 790]. Recently Zouboulis reported that systemic treatment with the lipoxygenase inhibitor Zileuton reduces the inflammatory lesions in acne patients with the concomitant decrease of sebum hydroperoxides amount, indicating that these compounds have a role in the pathogenesis of acne exerting proinflammatory activity [791]. The exact mechanism is still unknown. However in agreement with the ability of Zileuton to inhibit LTB4 formation, an effect on PPARs can be implicated.

II-C.8. Scleroderma

The hallmark of systemic sclerosis is the excessive collagen accumulation in the skin and the lungs leading to organ dysfunction, failure and death [792]. The pathogenesis of fibrosis remains incompletely understood [793]. Recently the paradigm that inflammation leads to fibrosis has been supplanted by the concept that inflammation and fibrosis may be independent of each other [794, 795]. Therefore the focus on developing new treatments for fibrosis has shifted to target both the anti-inflammatory and fibrogenic process. It has been recognized that PPARγ agonists have antifibrotic properties too, characterized by inhibition of pulmonary myofibroblast differentiation and type I collagen protein production through mechanisms dependent and independent of PPARγ [796, 797]. These effects are in part induced by reduction of TGF-β, leading to decreased activation of fibroblasts and collagen production, downregulation of expression of cell surface receptors for growth
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factors, reduced secretion of cytokines and chemokines, and suppression of myofibroblast
differentiation [798]. Deletion of PPARγ resulted in enhanced susceptibility to bleomycin-
induced skin fibrosis, as indicated by increases in all measures of skin fibrosis and enhanced
sensitivity of fibroblasts to TGFβ in PPARγ-deficient mice [799]. In a murine model of
subcutaneous bleomycin-induced scleroderma systemic administration of the PPARγ agonist
rosiglitazone inhibited early inflammation responses and abrogated skin fibrosis, local
collagen accumulation, lipoatrophy and reduced tissue accumulation of myofibroblasts
[800]. In vitro rosiglitazone alleviated the persistent fibrotic phenotype of skin scleroderma
fibroblasts and may be therefore considered as a possible new treatment for scleroderma
[801].

Overall, targeting PPARs would seem an efficient strategy in the management of
several skin diseases (Table 4). However, pharmacological profiling of drugs targeting PPARs
and the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics studies paralleling drug development are
long processes requiring years of preclinical research. Thus, the development of new
technologies that would shorten the research at preclinical stages seems necessary. Hence,
the rational of the following section addressing the utility of reporter mouse technology and
more specifically peroxisome proliferator response element-luciferase (PPRE-luc) mice.
### Table 4. Biologic effects of PPAR ligands on skin cells and potential therapeutic applications. Updated from [25]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>PPAR ligand</th>
<th>Biological effect</th>
<th>Potential application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fibroblasts</td>
<td>15d-PGJ2, Troglitazone (PPARγ ligand)</td>
<td>- Modest suppression of collagen expression</td>
<td>Cutaneous fibrosis sclerodema</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Keratinocytes      | tetradecylthioacetic acid (non specific PPAR ligand) | - Decrease in proliferation  
- Upregulation of expression of involucrin and transglamatinase  
- Keratinocyte differentiation | Psoriasis                                 |
| Keratinocytes      | Clofibrate (PPARα ligand)         | - Upregulation of expression of involucrin and transglamatinase  
- Keratinocyte differentiation | Psoriasis                                 |
| Keratinocytes      | L165041 (PPARβ/δ ligand)          | - Upregulation of expression of involucrin and transglamatinase  
- Keratinocyte differentiation | Psoriasis                                 |
| Keratinocytes      | L165041 (PPARβ/δ ligand)          | - Strong synergistic induction of involucrin | Psoriasis                                 |
| Keratinocytes      | TZDs (PPARγ ligands)              | - Suppression of proliferation of normal and psoriatic keratinocytes | Psoriasis                                 |
| Keratinocytes      | LTB4 (PPARα ligand)               | - Increased epidermal differentiation  
- Synergistic anti-inflammatory effects | Psoriasis                                 |
| Keratinocytes      | WY14643 (PPARα ligand)            | - Increased minimal erythema dose in UVB-irradiated skin           | Chemoprevention of skin cancer            |
| Keratinocytes      | WY14643, Clofibrate (PPARα ligand) | - Induction of differentiation  
- Inhibition of proliferation | Chemoprevention of skin cancer            |
| Melanocytes        | WY14643 (PPARα ligand)            | - Inhibition of proliferation  
- Accelerated melanin synthesis | Post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation       |
| Melanocytes        | PPARα ligands PPARγ ligands       | - Modulation of melanin synthesis | Post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation       |
| Melanoma cells     | 15d-PGJ2, Troglitazone (PPARγ ligand) | - Inhibition of proliferation  
- Induction of re-differentiation | Post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation       |
| Melanoma cells     | Fenofibrate (PPARα ligand)        | - Inhibition of migration and anchorage-independent growth | Chemoprevention for melanoma             |
| Sebocytes          | Clofibrate (PPARα ligand)         | - Increased differentiation  
- Induced apoptosis | Follicular comedogenesis                   |
| Sebocytes          | WY14643 (PPARα ligand)            | - Differentiation of sebocytes | Acne                                       |
|                    | WY14643, BRL-49653 (PPARγ agonist)|                                                          |                                            |
III- Peroxisome Proliferator Response Element-Luciferase (PPRE-luc) Reporter Mice

III-A. Rational for Reporter Mouse Technology

New drug approval is a lengthy process employing a time frame of several years and spans a vast array of disciplines. Minor delays within individual disciplines may easily add to the cost of drug development and widen the gap between the discovery and the request of valuable patent exclusivity. Pharmaceutical companies work hard to improve the decision making process and to make project phases more efficient by applying novel technologies. However, the demand for safety has limited the flexibility in testing procedures and discouraged the application of novel methodologies for pharmaco-toxicological studies, which are now carried out following fixed protocols suggested by the drug approval authorities. Needless to say, the rigidity of drug development protocols with its intrinsic costs has limited research, into the full range of pharmacological actions of new chemical entities. The consequence is that the number of novel drugs has decreased, while the number of withdrawals from the US market for safety reasons has grown. In fact, according to a Food and Drug Administration (FDA) report, a new medical compound entering Phase I testing in recent years is estimated to have almost the half success rate of reaching the market compared with the historical success rate. On the other hand, during the last 20 years, around 40 compounds have been withdrawn from the market owing to stricter safeguards [802].

A boost to the entire drug discovery process may come from the combined application of imaging technologies and animal engineering, with the development of reporter animals in which selected molecular events (e.g. expression of a gene or intra-molecular interactions) can be visualized in real time in living animals [19, 803]. Recently, imaging technologies have been approved by the FDA as a means to provide secondary endpoints in clinical trials for some drugs directed to slow progress diseases like cancer and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). Nowadays, imaging modalities are also applied to provide secondary endpoints in therapeutic areas other than cancer [804-806], such as rheumatoid arthritis [807] and osteoporosis [808]. It would be advisable now to extend the use of imaging modalities as valid end-points to preclinical investigation by generating animal models engineered to report the activity of selected biomarkers that are
detectable by one or more imaging methods. Such an approach would represent a useful tool to rapidly verify concrete benefits of the drug of interest in living organisms [809]. Hence, the introduction of surrogate imaging endpoints in the preclinical phase would greatly accelerate the release of innovative drugs onto the market, with concurrent price decrease.

**III-B. Reporter Gene Imaging**

Biological discovery has moved at an accelerated pace in recent years, with considerable focus on the transition from *in vitro* to *in vivo* models. As such, there has been a greater need to adapt clinical imaging methods for noninvasive assays of biochemical processes. Considerable efforts have been directed in recent years toward the development of noninvasive, high-resolution, small animal molecular imaging technologies (Figure 15). The term “molecular imaging” refers to the visual representation, characterization, and quantification of biological processes at the cellular and subcellular levels within intact living organisms [23]. Dissecting the functioning, advantages and disadvantages of all the *in vivo* molecular imaging techniques available nowadays is far beyond the objective of this section. We would rather analyze and compare the technologies using the reporter system technology, thus reporter gene imaging.

![Multiple imaging modalities available for small-animal molecular imaging.](image)

**Figure 15.** Multiple imaging modalities available for small-animal molecular imaging. (A) Positron emission tomography image of a rat. (B) Computed tomography image of a mouse abdomen. (C) Single photon emission computed tomography image of a mouse abdomen and pelvis. (D) Optical green fluorescent protein fluorescence image of a mouse. (E) Magnetic resonance imaging image of a mouse brain. (F) Optical bioluminescence image of a mouse [23].

Reporter genes are used to study promoter/enhancer elements involved in gene expression, inducible promoters to look at the induction of gene expression, and endogenous gene expression through the use of transgenes containing endogenous promoters fused to the reporter [810]. In all these cases, transcription of the reporter gene can be tracked, and therefore gene expression can be studied. The reporter gene driven by a promoter of choice must first be introduced into the cells of interest. This is a common feature for all delivery vectors in a reporter gene-imaging paradigm; that is, a complementary DNA expression cassette (an imaging cassette) containing the reporter gene of interest must be used. The promoter can be constitutive or inducible; it can also be cell-specific. If the reporter gene is transcribed, an enzyme or receptor product is made, thus trapping the imaging reporter probe, which may be a substrate for an enzyme or a ligand for a receptor. The trapping of the probe leads to an imaging signal, be it from a radioisotope, a photochemical reaction, or a magnetic resonance metal cation, depending on the exact nature of the probe itself (Figure 16).
The ideal reporter gene/probe would have the following characteristics [810]:

1. To prevent an immune response, the reporter gene should be present in mammalian cells, but not expressed
2. Specific reporter probe should accumulate only where reporter gene is expressed.
3. No reporter probe should accumulate when the reporter gene is not expressed.
4. The product of the reporter gene should also be non-immunogenic.
5. The reporter probe should be stable in vivo and not be metabolized before reaching its target.
6. The reporter probe should rapidly clear from the circulation and not interfere with detection of specific signal.
7. The reporter probe or its metabolites should not be cytotoxic.
8. The size of the reporter gene and its driving promoter should be small enough to fit into a delivery vehicle (plasmids, viruses), except for transgenic applications.
9. Natural biological barriers must not prevent the reporter probe from reaching its destination.
10. The image signal should correlate well with levels of reporter gene mRNA and protein in vivo.

No single reporter gene/reporter probe system meets all these criteria at present. Therefore, the development of multiple systems provides a choice based on the application of interest. The availability of multiple reporter gene/reporter probes also allows monitoring the expression of more than one reporter gene in the same living animal [811].

III-B.2. Categories of Reporter Imaging Systems

Current reporter gene imaging tools are essentially based on the recognition of a specific reporter system expressed at the cellular level by means of three main categories including optical imaging (bioluminescent and fluorescent proteins), nuclear imaging (positron emission tomography (PET) and single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT)) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Figure 17).
a) Optical Imaging: Bioluminescence and Fluorescence

Bioluminescence is the production and emission of light by a living organism as the result of an exo-ergic reaction during which chemical energy is converted to light energy. Bioluminescence is generated by an enzyme-catalyzed chemiluminescence reaction, wherein the substrate pigment is oxidized by the luciferase enzyme. Adenosine triphosphate (ATP) is involved in most instances. Luciferases represent a large family of proteins expressed in nature by a wide variety of living organisms, including bacteria, marine invertebrates, fish, insects, fungi and worms, but not mammals. Therefore, optical imaging with luciferases in higher animals has the advantage of low background [812]. The detection and measurement of bioluminescence is operated by means of image sensors, named charged coupled device (CCD) cameras, consisting of an integrated circuit containing an array of linked, or coupled, light-sensitive capacitors. This newer generation devices are the cooled CCD cameras, where reduced thermal noise lowers background noise, resulting in a significantly increased in signal-to-noise ratio [813]. Bioluminescence acquisition is usually performed in a dark room supplied by Isoflurane gas anesthesia and connected to a computer for data analysis. The whole system is named “Xenogen” (Figure18).
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Figure 18. Xenogen IVIS for bioluminescence detection. Xenogen’s IVIS 50 imaging system includes a highly sensitive CCD camera-cryogenically cooled to -105°C, a dark imaging chamber to minimize incident light, and software to quantify the results. The system is connected to a gas anesthesia unit that allows continuous administration of Isoflurane gas for anesthetizing animals during imaging (Plateforme d’Imagerie Cellulaire et Tissulaire, Lille).

One of the first luciferases molecular biologists used is firefly Photinus pyralis (Fluc) which can oxidize the natural substrate, luciferin, in the presence of oxygen and ATP as a source of energy (Figure 19) [814]. Several unique features make this enzyme particularly suited for whole-body imaging: its emission spectrum peaks at 560 nm and includes a significant fraction of light in the near infrared region that can penetrate biological tissues; second, luciferin, the natural substrate, diffuses well in mammalian tissues [815], even through the blood-brain, blood-testis or placental barriers [816]; moreover, luciferin possesses low toxicity and poor antigenicity (Figure 20).
Another luciferase commonly used for expression in mammalian living systems is the *Renilla* luciferase (RLuc), which is purified from a bioluminescent soft coral (*Renilla reniformis*). Studies on this enzyme indicate that RLuc appears to differ from FLuc structurally and in emission range and substrate requirement [817]: The oxidation ATP-independent and Na$^{2+}$-requiring of the substrate coelenterazine, generates blue-green bioluminescence with a spectrum peak at 480 nm [818]. RLuc, being a small enzyme of about 36 kDa, appears to be highly appropriate for application as a bioluminescent tag conjugated to other proteins like antibodies or growth factors [819]. This enzyme is rapidly inactivated in murine serum, where its half-life is <1 h. The blue emission range, however, limits its use in living animals. Moreover, more accurate evaluation of the toxicity and biodistribution of the coelenterazine substrate, needs to be carried out [820].
A less known luciferase is the bioluminescent enzyme derived from the marine copepod *Gaussia princeps*. *Gaussia* luciferase (GLuc) is the smallest luciferase known (19.9 kDa) and it catalyzes the oxidation ATP-independent and Na\(^{2+}\)-requiring of the substrate coelenterazine in the same way as RLuc, generating light at a peak of 480 nm, with a broad emission spectrum that expands up to 600 nm. In mammalian cell cultures, it generates a high intensity signal stronger than those emitted by RLuc or FLuc, and flash kinetics, with a more rapid decay over time than luciferases from *Renilla* and *firefly*. Despite its blue emission range, the high luminescence of GLuc allows it to efficiently penetrate biological tissues, making it detectable also in inner tissues [821]. As a result, GLuc holds great promise for the future, if the use of coelenterazine analogues or mutations in the amino acid sequence could create an ultrasensitive and red-shifted enzyme ideal for *in vivo* imaging.

Fluorescence is a luminescent process in which the molecular absorption of a photon triggers the emission of another photon with a longer wavelength. The energy difference between the absorbed and the emitted photons ends up as molecular vibrations or heat. Usually, the absorbed photon is in the ultraviolet range and the emitted light is in the visible range, but this depends on the absorbance curve and stroke shift of the particular fluorophore.

Over the past few years, the discovery and development of naturally occurring fluorescent proteins and mutated derivatives has rapidly improved the investigation of a broad spectrum of intracellular processes in living cells. A variety of marine organisms has been the source of over 25 fluorescent proteins and their analogs, providing an ample set of non-invasive biological probes for single, dual, and multispectral fluorescence analysis. The possibility to specifically target fluorescent probes in subcellular compartments, coupled with extremely low or absent toxicity and widespread compatibility with tissues and intact organisms, opens new opportunities for these biological macromolecules in live-cell imaging. Actually, the most common fluorescent protein for real time imaging in cells, the green fluorescent protein (GFP) isolated from the jellyfish *Aequorea Victoria*, has been used in whole-body imaging experimentations, to visualize tumor cells and to monitor cancer growth *in vivo* [822, 823]. In these experiments, despite a GFP emission peak at 510 nm, the
activity of injected cancer cells was followed. Numerous efforts are being made to identify new fluorescent proteins with red-shifted optical qualities for small-animal imaging, given their reduced auto-fluorescence at longer wavelengths. The DsRed fluorescent protein originally isolated from the sea anemone *Discosoma striata* (emission peak 583 nm) is currently the most widely used analog for fluorescence analysis in the 575 to 650 nm region [824]. This protein, however, carries several draw-backs for its use as a fluorescent probe: forced tetrameric structure, slow chromophore maturation and poor solubility. Specific amino acid substitutions in the wild-type form yielded a fluorescent protein in which most of the problems were overcome [825]. With further genetic modifications, a series of novel proteins with new emission colors, from yellow-orange to red-orange were generated [826].

A broader field where fluorescent proteins have been applied *in vivo* is cancer: these probes permit the visualization of tumor cell proliferation, apoptosis, metastasis, angiogenesis and the effect of therapeutics. To this end, tumor cells were stably transfected with the genes encoding the reporter for non-invasive analysis in intact animals.

Over 100 fluorescent organic dyes are currently available but just a fraction displays the essential features listed below. However, the growth in the number of fluorescent compounds in the red portion of the spectrum has led to a novel branch of fluorescence, near-infrared fluorescence imaging (NIRF), which was expressly generated for imaging small living animals. Novel fluorescent organic proteins should possess: 1) a peak of excitation-emission close to a spectrum range of 600-900 nm; 2) a large stroke shift (difference between the maximum level in the excitation and emission spectra), critical for effectively obtaining high sensitivity of fluorescence imaging with low noise background; 3) a high quantum yield representing a quantitative measure of fluorescence emission efficiency, expressed as the ratio between the number of photons emitted and those absorbed, typically expressed as a value between 0 and 1; 4) high chemical and photostability; 5) low toxicity and good biocompatibility, with predictable biodistribution.

A major advance in modern fluorophore technology is exemplified by the Alexa Fluor dyes, which are sulfonated rhodamine derivatives with high quantum yields, enhanced photostability, pH insensitivity, and a high degree of water solubility. These fluorophores are commercially available in a broad range of fluorescence excitation and emission
wavelength maxima; in particular, some are specifically designed for near-infrared fluorescence analysis. Utilizing an Alexa Fluor dye emitting in the far-red region, a caspase-activatable red fluorescent peptide was recently generated to image apoptosis in vivo, thanks to its small size that allows easy membrane-permeability [827]. New members of the cyanine dye family (as long-wavelengths Cy5 derivates) were synthesized to shift their optical properties towards the red region of the spectrum. Compared with traditional dyes, they show enhanced water solubility, photostability, and higher quantum yields; moreover, most cyanine dyes are more environmentally stable than their traditional counterparts, rendering their fluorescence emission intensity less sensitive to pH and organic mounting media. Cyanine dyes could be used to label specific antibodies or binding proteins to facilitate the study of molecular interactions in living animals. For instance, this approach was used to follow the growth of an intracranial tumor in vivo through semiquantitative imaging analysis of a specific integrin expression [828]. This method may provide a solution to assess the efficacy of brain tumors antibody treatment in preclinical studies.

\[ b) \text{Nuclear-based imaging} \]

An alternative to optical imaging is positron emission tomography (PET) and single photon emission tomography (SPET) technology, by which reporter expression is localized with reporter probes, i.e. radiotracers that bind specifically to the reporter itself. So far, the best reporters developed for PET-based imaging of small animals are the dopamine D2 receptor [829, 830] and the viral thymidine kinase [23, 831]. Efficient radiotracers are available for both approaches [830, 832-836]. Many other radiotracers have been developed to study cell proliferation, metabolism, apoptosis and other processes as well [837-841]. By combining the radionuclide approach with computed tomography techniques 3D images can be generated with a resolution approaching 1mm3 (using dedicated animal PET scanners) [842], a degree of resolution not yet achievable with optical imaging (Table 1). Conversely, the sensitivity of animal PET remains lower than that of optical imaging. Furthermore, the need of a cyclotron to produce isotopes and the difficulties in developing novel radiotracers still pose major limitations to the use of this technology. The temporal resolution of optical imaging is actually far superior to that of radionuclide techniques and allows better assessment of rapidly cycling processes.
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**c) Magnetic resonance imaging**

Although a very interesting imaging technique, to date Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and more specifically functional MRI (fMRI) has been limited to neurosciences in small animals such as rodents. However, fMRI of small animal models will contribute to this knowledge as a supplement to the existing vast amount of *in vitro* and invasively-obtained data that make up the present molecular background knowledge of neuronal communication. Although fMRI appears to be a major tool for longitudinal studies of brain diseases and functional reorganization and plasticity, these methods, however, do not allow to study directly neuronal electrical activity [843]. fMRI offers a set of different variables to measure functional brain activity including measuring either blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) changes or changes in cerebral blood flow (CBF) or cerebral blood volume (CBV). The most widely used variable is based on the BOLD effect, being a rather complex combination of the physiological changes in blood oxygenation, CBF and CBV. The use of intravascular tracers, in particular iron oxide nanoparticles, provides access to CBV changes upon stimulation, but makes this technique slightly invasive. These three fMRI variables all reflect haemodynamic changes in response to the stimulus. Their temporal response patterns, however, differ, with CBV being the slowest to reach a new steady-state after the change between the resting and activated state of the brain. It should be noted that, results may depend on the choice of variable, as these measurement variables reflect different physiological aspects. Interestingly, fMRI can be also combined with other modalities (e.g. invasive electrophysiology, pharmacological manipulations, etc) to supplement existing data and to provide a more comprehensive picture and will lead to better answers to the scientific questions [844].

Two general types of reporter systems are applicable to MRI-based molecular imaging. One imaging system relies on molecular probes that are sensitive to the activity of β-galactosidase, the product of the classic marker gene *LacZ*. The synthesis of a molecular probe in which gadolinium is protected by a carbohydrate “cap” that is β-galactosidase-cleavable would result in a probe with variable water access. However, the ability of these probes and MRI to detect gene expression in living subjects is very limited. Unfortunately, present enzyme-cleavable probes do not freely cross cell membranes (thus requiring direct
intracellular injection), and the kinetics of cleavage are quite slow [845]. An alternative to this conditional reporter probe is to couple MRI probes to targeting moieties. Weissleder et al. have highlighted the use of the transferrin receptor as a potential intracellular transporter of iron oxide nanoparticles [846]. The transferrin receptor is found in most cells and is part of the iron regulatory system. The receptor binds to transferrin, an iron-carrying protein, and transports it into the cell. Normal expression of the receptor is under feedback regulation to prevent excessive cellular iron uptake. However, cells can be engineered to overexpress transferrin and, therefore, accumulate monocrystalline iron oxide nanoparticles intracellularly. This increased iron content results in differential MRI signal from these cells. Additional studies are required to assess this system further, including measurement of the effect of overexpressing the receptor on normal cellular function, and how well normal systems tolerate increased levels of freely circulating and intracellular iron.

III-B.3. Optical imaging as current methodology of choice

The major shortcoming to the application of nuclear imaging such as PET and SPECT in preclinical studies is that most imaging technologies now available were originally developed for clinical use and adapt poorly to the necessities of a preclinical research laboratory. For instance, in nuclear imaging techniques such as PET and SPECT, their limited resolution may sometimes be problematic for applications in small animals, and the throughput capacity inadequate for screening or pharmacological analysis of drug candidates. But, the major obstacle to pre-clinical use of PET and SPECT is that they require specific, low half-life radioisotopes. MRI and functional MRI are certainly more suitable for the study of small animals, because of their ability to provide finely detailed anatomical/functional information on drug action even in organs as complex as the brain. However, their output capacity is very low and, in addition, MRI is burdened by the high operative costs of instrumentation and dedicated personnel.

Optical technologies, such as bioluminescence and fluorescence, also have shortcomings since these techniques were originally developed by cell biologists and later adapted to the study of molecular events in living organisms. Peculiar to animal imaging is that the fluorescent or luminescent signal has to propagate through several tissue layers.
The obstacles along this journey arise from two physical effects: absorption by chromophores and scattering by interaction with cellular components. Absorption differs in the each tissue type owing to molecules such hemoglobin in the blood, melanin in the skin and hair, or water. These chromophores have a low absorption coefficient in the near infrared region of the spectrum (from 650 to 900 nm), so they absorb mainly in the blue and green region (up to 600 nm approximately). Consequently, the red portion (near infrared and mid infrared) of the emitted light is transmitted through the animal body and can be collected by detectors located outside the animal’s body (Figure 21). Scattering of photons, in contrast, is less subject to the specificity of the tissue crossed by the signal. Scattering is linked to photon interplay with cellular components, especially membranes. Experimental evidence shows that near infrared and mid-infrared emitted light, although scattered, is preferentially transmitted through the animal tissues and that signaling from inner organs can be detected outside the body of a small animal, such as the experimental mouse [847].

![Graph reporting the absorbing spectra of biological tissue components melanin, hemoglobin and water. The absorbance of these chromophores is minimal in the near infrared region of the spectrum (650-900 nm) [6].](image)

Furthermore, the major limitation to the application of fluorescence in vivo imaging is that fluorochrome emission requires prior photon absorption: if the exiting source is located outside the animal’s body, it will be severely quenched by tissue absorption and scattering, greatly limiting the application of fluorescence probes to in vivo imaging. The fluorescent system approach may exhibit some qualitative advantages over bioluminescent analysis, in such as the possibility to obtain dual-color images or substrate-independence.
Table 5 summarizes the advantage and disadvantages of optical imaging versus nuclear-based imaging. Briefly, optical imaging provides a feasible quantification of the emitted signal without endogenous background, high sensitivity and rapidity, low cost and less labor. Currently, Bioluminescence is the method of choice for investigation in the field of drug discovery, owing to its efficiency, rapidity, dynamicity and low cost.

Table 5. Radionuclide and optic imaging: pros and cons [19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Optical imaging</th>
<th>Radionuclide imaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>Visible light or near infrared</td>
<td>γ-rays at high or low energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial resolution</td>
<td>About the depth of the object observed (3–5 mm)</td>
<td>1–2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>1 cm–variable</td>
<td>No limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal resolution</td>
<td>Seconds to minutes</td>
<td>10 s to minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>$10^{-15}$ to $10^{-17}$ mol/L bioluminescence, $10^{-9}$ to $10^{-12}$ mol/L fluorescence</td>
<td>$10^{-10}$ to $10^{-12}$ mol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of probe</td>
<td>Fluorescent or enzymatic activity leading to bioluminescence</td>
<td>Radiolabeled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of probe needed</td>
<td>Micrograms to milligrams</td>
<td>Nanograms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative analysis</td>
<td>Relative quantification</td>
<td>Absolute quantification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>High sensitivity, rapidity, low-cost, low labor consuming</td>
<td>Simultaneously imaging with multiple probes, high sensitivity, quantitative, three-dimensional images</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>2D images, depth-dependent intensity of signals (tissue absorption and scattering), poorly quantitative</td>
<td>High background, need of a cyclotron, high cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III-C. Applications of Reporter Mouse Technology

The most significant revolution in drug discovery has been a shift from a disease-based approach — that is, screening drugs in an assay to look for a disease phenotype — to an inductive research model in which the molecular pathways involved in a disease are examined to identify novel drug targets. However, most of the drugs developed using post-genomic research strategies do not provide the desired therapeutic effect owing to unexpected biological interactions or, worse still, fail in the late stages of drug development as a result of unforeseen toxicity. Clearly, one crucial addition to the drug discovery toolbox would be the development of novel and more predictive models of drug effect and toxicity. In particular, reporter animals could make a significant contribution to drug discovery programs by facilitating target identification and improving the efficacy and reliability of all phases of preclinical drug development (Table 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applications of reporter animals</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characterization of the distribution and the pharmacodynamic profile of drugs in entire living organisms after acute or repeated administration of drugs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis drugs metabolism; those active on transcription factors by using reporter animals in which liver metabolism has been humanized by substituting the genes that encode drug-metabolizing enzymes with their human form.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis of gender- and age-dependent effects on physiological conditions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of novel drug targets by the <em>in vivo</em> examination of selected metabolic pathways.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification of the activity of investigational compounds on selective pathological processes (such as tumor growth, angiogenesis, inflammation and diabetes).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitation of toxicological analysis by providing the opportunity to evaluate for each given investigational drug all the organs affected by the drug activity; gender-specific effects of the drug; and sites of drug accumulation and eventually its release following stress or fasting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of drug effect in a disease model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III-C.1. Studying Healthy and Pathophysiological Conditions

By providing novel insights into mammalian physiology, reporter animals could add significant value to knowledge obtained from molecular approaches or systems biology. The recent studies carried out with a mouse reporter (estrogen receptor element-Luciferase mice, ERE-Luc mice) on the systemic activities of oestradiol provide the first example of this — the reporter animal provided completely new information on the physiological activity of estrogen receptors by demonstrating that in non-reproductive organs of fertile mice estrogen receptors are transcriptionally activated by factors other than oestradiol [815]. This is particularly relevant for understanding the susceptibility of postmenopausal women to diseases of the cardiovascular, nervous and skeletal systems, and for the design of innovative hormone-replacement therapies.

Reporter mice developed to visualize the activity of promoters responsive to inflammatory agents (NF-κB–luciferase mice) could be of significant value in unraveling the pathogenesis of human disorders involving inappropriate regulation of NF-κB, including cancer, neurodegeneration, asthma, atherogenesis, inflammatory bowel disease and rheumatoid arthritis [848, 849]. Other models, such as activator protein 1 (AP1)–Luc mice, can be used to monitor the effects of carcinogens such as tetradecanoylphorbol acetate (TPA) and could provide relevant clues about the process of tumor initiation and promotion [850]. It would therefore be possible to generate ‘libraries’ of animals engineered to visualize key reactions of the major biochemical processes. Furthermore, such animals reporting on the pathway of interest could be bred into models of the given disease to investigate the molecular events leading to the onset and progression of the pathology. For instance, reporter mice for peroxisome proliferator activated receptors; peroxisome proliferator response element-Luciferase (PPRE-luc mice) could be bred into mice that develop diabetes [851] or colorectal carcinoma [852] to study the relevance of PPAR activity in the aetio-pathogenesis of these diseases. Animals such as these, or others carrying the appropriate reporter in models of diseases, would complement programs of target discovery based on genomics and proteomics and could be used to examine the sequence of events leading to the changes observed in the pathological versus the healthy sample. A further advantage of reporter animals, with respect to other transgenic and knockout
models, is that the expression of the transgene does not perturb the normal physiology of
the animals and therefore does not induce compensatory metabolic effects. Furthermore,
the reporter transgene allows the detection of the activity of one single metabolic pathway
at a time, which can simplify analysis of the experimental results.

III-C.2. From Basic Science to Pharmacology

The increased demand of safety tests that should be carried out before approval of
drugs has inflated costs; but, more importantly, has limited the flexibility in the testing
procedures [853, 854]. Toxicity tests are carried out in absolute compliance with
government regulations with little attempt to specifically adapt the study to the molecule in
development. This limits the possibilities of understanding the full range of pharmacological
actions. The consequence is that, as stated in the beginning of this chapter, the number of
drugs withdrawn from the US market for safety reasons has grown considerably: of all the
drugs withdrawn from the market since 1982, almost 70% were pulled in the past decade
[854]!!!

a) Pharmacodynamics and specificity

Currently, preclinical testing is carried out following well-defined phases; without
doubt, the introduction of reporter mice could significantly change the investigational
approach and significantly improve the predictability of these studies. One application of
reporter mice in drug development is for the study of pharmacodynamics and organ-
specificity of drug action. The activity of the compound in organs other than the intended
target can be readily assessed for all routes of administration and for different
pharmaceutical preparations by simple analysis of the reporter activity. The minimal dose
required to produce a therapeutic effect can also be calculated directly by verifying the
state of activation of the target in the organ subject to therapy. If reporter animals can be
generated that are suitable for in vivo imaging, this could open up the possibility of studying
the effect of the drug on reporter-gene expression on a daily basis and without sacrificing
the mice. This is particularly relevant for chronic treatments, for which it is important to
determine that the dosage and administration schedule leads to the best therapeutic effect.
with minimal side effects. For instance, for drugs that act on membrane receptors, reporter systems could be used to verify whether continuous exposure to specific ligands induces receptor downregulation or desensitization. In this case, discontinuous administration of the compound, allowing for receptor replenishment, could increase the efficacy of the treatment and limit its toxicity. Using reporter mice and in vivo imaging, gender- and age-specific studies of the efficacy of drug treatment would be possible with limited costs.

Furthermore, the pharmacodynamic profile of the molecules with the best range of action could be further evaluated after repeated administrations in which the scheduling of the drug could be appropriately set to obtain the maximal therapeutic effect at the minimal dosage. The analysis of the extent of metabolite excretion would enable prediction of the drug-metabolism pathway, which could help in the prediction of any potential adverse interactions.

\[b)\] Toxicity assessment

Another application of reporter mice is as an alternative to acute toxicity tests. Several objections have been made regarding the use of toxicity tests as they are carried out at present [855] and proposals have been made to limit their use to specific classes of drugs, such as, for example, anti-neoplastic therapeutics [856]. The introduction of reporter animal models would simplify the definition of the full range of organs affected by drug action; this would certainly facilitate the prediction of side effects. The analysis of reporter activity over time would reveal hypersensitive tissues or potential sites of accumulation of drugs administered for a prolonged period of time. For drugs that accumulate in fat tissue, it would become feasible to measure the effects of the drug when lipolysis occurs — for example, after strenuous exercise or fasting. Dietary or environmental interferences could also be revealed, as well as negative interactions with other drugs that are often co-administered. In the last case, it would be very useful to use animals that have been genetically altered to mimic drug metabolism in humans [857]. Furthermore, reporter animals can aid the determination of drug dosage, particularly for anticancer agents, to obtain the maximal effect on the tumor while limiting undesired systemic effects.
With appropriately designed reporters that can image particular metabolic pathways in embryos, the embryonic toxicity of single and repeated administration of investigational drugs could be analyzed during pregnancy and later on during lactation. Furthermore, using in vivo imaging methodologies, the effects of the drug could be analyzed in embryos, mature and ageing mice of both genders using a very limited number of animals.

**c) Preclinical drug development rule: ADMET**

One potential major impact of the use of reporter mice is that the five steps of preclinical drug development — Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion and Toxicology (ADMET) — could be increasingly integrated, thereby leading to significant savings in time and the use of animals. For example, screening and pharmacodynamics profiling could be integrated: the battery of compounds to be investigated would be prescreened in a limited number of cell lines for the accurate measurement of their potency and affinity for the target. The compounds with the best profile of action could then be screened for their acute effects in reporter animals. Dose–response studies would clearly illustrate the response profile in different organs. The use of small animals would allow continuation of the study with limited quantities of compound without scaling up the synthesis procedures. These in vivo studies would vastly improve the prediction of investigational compound efficacy compared with current methods that use lines of immortalized human cells and in which in vivo drug potency is predicted on the basis of a series of complex algorithms designed to take into consideration all of the factors that might influence the response to the treatment [858]. The use of reporter mice would immediately provide the full picture of absorption, distribution, potency and range of actions in vivo.

The use of reporter mice could therefore greatly improve current ADMET methodology, overcoming most of the present limitations and allowing a much more predictive analysis of the dosage and profile of action of the drug before it is studied in humans.
**III-D. Peroxisome Proliferator-Response Element-Luciferase Mice – PPRE-luc Mice**

III-D.1. Principle of PPRE-luc mice

In view of the significant relevance of reporter mouse technology in studying physiological targets through molecular imaging, we developed a model of reporter mice for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs). These mice (PPRE-luc) were generated by genomic integration of a transgene flanked by insulators and carrying a reporter gene, the *Firefly luciferase* (*Photinus pyralis*) gene, under the control of PPAR responsive regulatory element (Figure 22). PPRE-luc mice would allow for the ubiquitous and quantitative measurement of the transcriptional activity of PPARs.
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**Figure 22. PPRE-Luc mice model.** The PPRE-luc mice carry the *luciferase* gene expression under the control of a PPAR-inducible promoter in all organs. Upon PPAR transcriptional activation, the *luciferase* gene is transcribed and translated and the luciferase protein is synthesized. The administered substrate luciferin will be degraded by the luciferase leading to bioluminescence emission. The light intensity reflects the level of luciferase expression and thus the transcriptional activation of PPARs. Updated from (www.piercenet.com).
III-D.2. Construction of PPRE-luc mice

As stated above, PPRE-luc mice were generated by genomic integration of a transgene flanked by insulators and carrying the Firefly luciferase, as reporter gene, under the control of PPAR responsive regulatory element or PPRE. The exogenous DNA contains 3 binding sites of the PPAR/RXR heterodimer (PPRE) upstream from the luciferase gene. Generation of PPRE-luc was performed using classical techniques of microinjection of fertilized eggs. Cloning strategy was based on the pdLuc farnesoid X receptor (FXR) plasmid [859]. FXR sequence was replaced by a sequence containing 3 peroxisome proliferator response elements (PPRE). This fragment was ligated into the SnaBl and NotI sites (Figure 23). To remove the backbone of the transgenic vector, the plasmid was linearized using ClaI plus AseI and purified after gel electrophoresis. Transgenic mouse lines were obtained after pronuclear DNA injection according to established procedures [860]. Screening for founders and routine genotyping was performed by PCR on genomic DNA isolated from the tail. In mice carrying the transgene, PCRs yield 417 bp and 281 bp products. The transgene was kept in a hemizygous state by crossing founders and their offspring with C57BL/6J mice. For the characterization of founders, mice were treated for 12 h with Rosiglitazone (PPARγ agonist, 100 mg/kg), L165041 (PPARβ/δ agonist, 100 mg/kg) and WY14643 (PPARα agonist, 45 mg/kg).

Figure 23. Schematic representation of the cloning strategy performed to obtain the construct used to get transgenic mice. Insulator correspond to chicken beta-globin insulator. UAS, FXRE and PPRE denote the GAL4, FXR and PPAR response elements, respectively. PEST encodes for a proline, glutamic acid, serine and threonine rich region used to destabilize luciferase. pA indicates the position of polyadenylation site. SalI are restriction sites used to linearized the transgenic vector. SnaBl and NotI are used to replace FXRE by PPRE.
III-D.3. Considerations for the Choice of Reporter Gene

Reporter genes such as those encoding β-galactosidase or GFP could not be selected because it is well known that these proteins accumulate in mammalian cells and would not have enabled a dynamic view of PPARs activity to be obtained. The fact that firefly (*Photinus pyralis*) luciferase has a very short turnover rate and does not accumulate in target cells, supporting the selection of the luciferase gene as the reporter in the generation of the PPRE-luc mouse. The advantages of using this gene include the fact that: (i) the gene encodes an insect enzyme that is not present in mammals, and thus the signal to noise ratio was believed to be optimal; (ii) luciferase is easily quantified in tissue extracts by a highly sensitive assay; (iii) antibodies for the immunohistochemical localization of cells expressing the insect enzyme are commercially available; and (iv) optical imaging can be applied to detect the enzyme activity *in vivo*.

III-D.4. PPRE-luc Mice: A tool to Gain New Insights into PPARs

To date, very few studies have addressed the PPRE-luc mice in terms of model characterization and as tool for studying the regulation of the transcriptional activity of PPARs [9, 11, 861].

*a) Gender-specific differences in PPARs activity*

In a first study, *Ciana et al.* have shown by *in vivo* imaging and that in the liver of female PPRE-luc mice, the PPAR reporter transgene is more than one order of magnitude less expressed, implying that the PPARs signaling in females liver is much less activated than in males. Furthermore, diet or hormonal manipulations did not modulate this low activation [861]. It is in fact well known that PPARα is the mediator of the hepatic, gender-specific response to fibrates [862]; this receptor subtype is also important for gender-specific lipid and glucose metabolism [863], fat storage [864], and responses to food assumption [865] or inflammatory stimuli [866]. Moreover, it has also been demonstrated that the liver content of PPARα mRNA and protein is significantly lower in females than in males, and that testosterone is able to elevate female PPARα to male levels. This body of evidence revealed
that levels of PPARα expression are directly responsible for the above reported sex differences [867]. However, the authors showed that hepatic PPAR activity in females, remains significantly lower than in males, despite any hormonal or dietary manipulation. They suggested that such impairment implies that factors other than receptor content or hormonal influences would contribute to the limited activity of PPARα, such as epigenetic events. They have concluded that female liver cells might have impaired transcriptional activity through DR1 elements resulting in this phenotype. It is worth emphasizing that only the use of the PPRE-luc reporter mouse could provide a direct demonstration of impaired PPAR signaling in female liver. Overall, the molecular characterization of this gender-specific transcriptional blockage is of relevance considering the key role of PPAR signaling in the liver physiology.

b) Pharmacological profiling of PPARs ligands

The use of PPRE-luc mice was instrumental to obtain the global pharmacological profiling for the activity of PPAR ligands, not underlined by previous traditional, cell-based studies. The authors showed by in vivo imaging differential activation of PPARα and PPARγ by their well-known corresponding ligands WY14643 and rosiglitazone, respectively, in different organs such as brain, liver, heart, and testis (Figure 24). The specific PPARs activation was confirmed by the administration of specific antagonists and by the measurement of luciferase activity in protein extracts of corresponding tissues [9]. A complete picture of the different ligands effects on the transcriptional activation of PPARs was illustrated by performing time and dose responses of the modulators, hence specifying the optimal timing and concentrations for further use in vivo. The authors were also able to characterize the effect of novel ligands on PPARs activation in various organs [9]. This study was the first to provide evidence of the power of PPRE-luc mice when applied to selective modulation of PPARs activity. For the first time, the introduction of a surrogate marker offers the possibility to directly titrate the action of a drug on its target in space and time, avoiding extrapolations based on drug distribution parameters.
Figure 24. Ex vivo evaluation of the luciferase activity. PPRE-Luc male mice were treated subcutaneously with 50 mg/kg WY14643 (W50) or vehicle and euthanized after 6 h. Organs were rapidly dissected for imaging (A) and photon counting (B) and then frozen for subsequent luciferase analysis by enzymatic assay (C). Luciferase activity was measured by enzymatic assay on protein extracts and expressed as RLU per microgram of proteins. D, the expression of the endogenous PPAR target gene, Acox1, was determined by semi-quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) carried on total mRNA extracted from the indicated tissues [9]. Bars represent mean ± S.E.M. of five mice. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.005 compared with vehicle treatment. P values were calculated by t test. The experiment was repeated three times [9].

In a more recent study, Nebbioso et al. have shown that a novel selective inhibitor (MC1568) of class II-histone deacetylase (HDAC) interferes with the PPARγ-mediated cell differentiation. They have shown that MC1568 blocks the differentiation of 3T3-L1 pre-adipocytes induced by PPARγ agonists’ troglitazone or rosiglitazone by inhibiting the induction of PPARγ expression. They have demonstrated by in vivo imaging that MC1568 impairs PPARγ-mediated adipogenesis mostly in the heart and adipose tissues (Figure 25)
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[11]. The observation that HDAC class II inhibition decreases thiazolidinediones activation of PPARγ in cell culture as well as in vivo suggests that HDAC class II inhibition could potentially be used in combination with PPARγ agonists to modulate PPARγ activation in a tissue-specific manner. This would require that these inhibitors preferentially interfere with the deleterious side effects rather than the many beneficial effects of thiazolidinediones.

![Graph showing photon emission in different tissues](image)

**Figure 25.** MC1568 antagonizes rosiglitazone-dependent induction of luciferase expression in adipose tissue and heart of the PPRE-Luc mouse. PPRE-Luc mice were treated by gavage daily with 5mg/kg rosiglitazone (ROSI), 50mg/kg MC1568 (MC), or vehicle (water solution of 0.5% carboximeticcellulose); when co-administered, MC1568 was given 30 min before rosiglitazone for 7 days. Photon emission in chest and abdomen was measured at 1700h at day 0 and at the end of the treatment (day 7). (A) Pictures of photon emission measured in vivo of a single, representative, individual at day 0 and 7. (B) Photon emission measured in vivo after 7 days treatment; bars represent the mean ± S.E.M. (C) Luciferase contents from liver, intestine, perirenal adipose tissue (PRAT), and heart. Relative luciferase units (RLU, photon counts/µg of proteins) were determined by enzymatic assay as described in Materials and methods. Bars represent the mean RLU ±S.E.M. *P<0.05 and ***P<0.001 versus vehicle-treated group [11].
(C) AIM OF THE WORK

The biological significance of PPARs in skin homeostasis could be dissected at several levels. PPARs play a physiological role in skin maturation, proliferation and differentiation and an important regulatory role in several skin disorders. Experimental studies have shown that PPAR signaling pathways may represent interesting therapeutic targets for a broad variety of inflammatory skin diseases and skin malignancies. Therefore, the generation of specific and valid animal models that would contribute into the characterization and development of new PPAR ligands as therapeutics in skin diseases is necessary.

It has been obvious from the bibliographical data presented above that reporter mouse technology would represent a valuable tool for endless scientific objectives. Reporter mice would allow the characterization of special phenotypes of mice models and the discovery of unrevealed yet, molecular or cellular targets. Besides, they would serve a valuable tool for the pharmacological profiling of novel molecules with specific molecular target. Recent studies have contributed to the elucidation of the biological significance of PPRE-luc mice; however, still too much to be explored. PPRE-luc mice would greatly help, through in vivo imaging, in examining the biological role of PPARs and their ligands in specific organs and also in selecting novel molecules with PPARs modulating properties. In this work, we have focused on the transcriptional activation of PPARs in the skin. Our first aim was to establish the necessary parameters for the in vivo evaluation through optical imaging of basal PPARs activation in the skin, and only in the skin, without the need to extrapolate based on luciferin distribution. The second goal of this study is to present PPRE-luc mice as credible tool for the in vivo evaluation of selective modulation of PPARs activation in the skin through topical application of specific PPARs ligands and subsequent in vivo imaging. Overall, this work aimed at establishing the optimal conditions for further assessment of novel PPARs-targeting molecules with potential therapeutic benefit.
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INTRODUCTION

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) are nuclear receptors playing relevant roles in mammalian physiology. Three receptor subtypes have been characterized and named PPARα, -γ, and -δ (PPARβ). To regulate gene transcription, PPARs heterodimerize with the retinoid-X-receptor and bind to PPAR responsive elements (PPREs) located in the promoter of target genes (1, 2). PPARs and corresponding ligands have been shown in skin to regulate important cellular functions, including cell proliferation and differentiation, as well as inflammatory responses (3-5). It has been shown that the expression of both PPARα and PPARγ was decreased in inflammatory skin disorders, including hyperproliferative psoriatic epidermis and atopic dermatitis (5-8). Conversely, studies have suggested that thiazolidinediones, PPARγ ligands, may be beneficial for moderate chronic plaque psoriasis by suppressing proliferation and inducing differentiation of keratinocytes (6, 9). Moreover, recent findings indicated that PPAR-signaling pathways induced apoptosis and terminal differentiation in various human malignant tumors (10-12). Therefore, an increasing body of evidence indicated that PPAR signaling pathways may represent interesting therapeutic targets for skin disorders, including inflammatory diseases and skin malignancies (13).

Thus, major efforts should be made to generate valid models for the characterization and development of new PPAR ligands as therapeutics in skin diseases. A boost to the drug discovery process may come from the combined application of imaging technologies and animal engineering. This is represented by developing reporter animals in which selected molecular events can be visualized in real time in living animals (14, 15). To this aim, we have recently developed a reporter mouse for the ubiquitous and quantitative measurement of the transcriptional activity of PPARs. These mice (PPRE-Luc) were generated by genomic integration of a transgene flanked by insulators and carrying a reporter gene, the Firefly luciferase gene, under the control of PPAR responsive regulatory element. The present study highlights the usefulness of PPRE-Luc mice to investigate PPARs activity in vivo and demonstrates that PPRE-Luc reporter mouse is a non-invasive powerful tool for selective screening of novel molecules with pharmacological properties.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Pioglitazone, WY14643, and GW6471 were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (France). GW9662 was purchased from Cayman Chemical, (Interchim, France). D-Luciferin Na salt was obtained from Euromedex (France).

Experimental Animals and Pharmacological Manipulations

Experiments performed in this study were conducted according to governmental guidelines for animal experimentation. Eight weeks male PPRE-Luc mice were shaved on the back one day before the experiment to minimize trimming effect. The effect of PPAR agonists on photons emission was evaluated after topical application of 50μl of pioglitazone or WY14643. PPAR α and γ antagonists GW6471 and GW9662 were as well topically applied at 10mM, 10 min before agonist or vehicle treatment. Control mice were treated with the vehicle (acetone).

Bioluminescence Reporter Imaging

Bioluminescence imaging was assessed using a charge-coupled device camera IVIS Imaging system (IVIS Imaging System 50 Series, Caliper Life Sciences, France) consisting of an EMCCD camera equipped with a 50-mm, f/0.95 lens. Mice were anesthetized using isoflurane gas anesthesia (2%) and 50μl of D-luciferin 40mM was topically applied on mice skin 10 min before bioluminescence acquisition. Mice were placed in the light-tight chamber and a grayscale photo of the animals was first taken with dimmed light. Photon emission was then integrated over a period of 5 min. Images were processed using Living Image®3.2 Software (Caliper Life Sciences, France). Luminescence measurements are expressed as the integration of the average brightness/pixel unit expressed as photon counts per second (p/s).

Luciferase Enzymatic Assay

Skin from euthanized mice was dissected and protein extracts were prepared by homogenization in Glo Lysis Buffer (Promega, France). Homogenates were centrifuged twice at 1500 rpm for 10 min, supernatants containing luciferase were collected, and protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assay (Sigma-Aldrich, France). Luciferase enzymatic activity was measured by a commercial kit (Bright-Glo™ Luciferase Assay System, Promega, France). The light intensity was measured using a microplate luminometer (Mithras Berthold, France) over a 10-s time period and expressed as relative light units per milligram of protein (RLU/mg).
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Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Wilcoxon Test for two independent samples (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Differences were considered statistically significant if \( p < 0.05 \).

The construction of the transgenic mice is detailed in the supplementary materials and methods.
RESULTS

We first defined the optimal luciferin conditions for topical application on mice skin. Different luciferin concentrations were applied topically (50μl) on mice skin and photons emission was measured 10-12 min afterward. Skin bioluminescence augmented gradually as luciferin concentration increased (supp figure 1A). At 40mM the maximal signal was detected and maintained at 60 and 80mM. We have also examined the kinetics of photons emission following luciferin application on mice skin at 40mM and 60mM (supp figure 1B and data not shown). Photons emission was quantified by a series of 2-min acquisitions in each animal from 0 to 40 minutes. Our results revealed that the highest signal was obtained 10 minutes after luciferin application and maintained for at least 30 minutes afterward. Thus, the optimal measurement of local PPAR activity in the skin was obtained by evaluating photon emission 10min after topical application of luciferin at 40mM. These conditions have been therefore applied throughout the study.

The primary objective of this study was to demonstrate that PPRE-Luc mice represent a valuable model for the evaluation of PPAR activity in the skin. Therefore, two concentrations of 10 and 100mM of pioglitazone, PPARγ ligand, were topically applied on mice skin and in vivo luciferase activity was quantified at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 24 hours afterward. As shown in figure 1A, 100mM of pioglitazone induced a stronger signal than the 10mM dose. However, both concentrations displayed the same profile of photon emission with a maximal bioluminescence detected 6 hours after PPARγ agonist application. In order to determine the optimal dose of pioglitazone, we have tested a larger range of agonist concentrations at 6 hours post treatment. A comparable increase in photon emission was detected at 10, 50 and 75mM of pioglitazone, and a significantly higher photon flux was observed at 100 and 200mM reflecting a biphasic response (Figure 1B). Since these results revealed two distinct peaks with two different concentration ranges (10-75mM and 100-200mM), the 50 and 100mM doses were chosen to further investigate the specificity of the signals. To this aim, PPRE-Luc mice were treated with pioglitazone in the presence of specific PPARγ and/or PPARα antagonists, GW9662 and GW6471, respectively (Figure 1C and 1D). The photon flux induced by pioglitazone 50mM was significantly inhibited by GW9662 (86% decrease, p<0.005) and barely affected by GW6471. Co-treatment with GW9662 and GW6471 did not further decrease luciferase activity compared to GW9662 alone. When using 100mM of pioglitazone the response profile to PPAR antagonists was quite different. The bioluminescence induced by pioglitazone was significantly decreased by the addition of either GW9662 or GW6471 (73% decrease and 55% decrease respectively; p<0.005). Moreover a strong additive effect was obtained in the presence of both inhibitors (95% decrease, p<0.001 compared to GW9662 or GW6471 alone).
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We extended the evaluation of PPAR skin activation by testing the effect of WY14643, PPARα agonist, on skin bioluminescence of PPRE-Luc mice. Again, the profile of WY14643 effect on PPRE-Luc mice skin revealed two characteristic plateau of photon emission between 10 to 50mM and 200 to 300mM (Figure 2A). Similarly to pioglitazone, significant increase in bioluminescence was detected at 2 hours post-treatment reaching the maximum activation at 6 hours and returning to basal levels at 24 hours (Figure 2B). The increase in bioluminescence following treatment with 10mM WY14643 was significantly inhibited by GW6471 (80% decrease, $p<0.005$) but not by GW9662 (Figure 2C). The addition of GW9662 to GW6471 did not modify the inhibitory effect of this latter (80% decrease, $p<0.005$). However, the photon emission induced by 200mM of WY14643 was significantly suppressed by either GW6471 or GW9662 (54% decrease and 42% decrease respectively; $p<0.005$) and a greater inhibition was detected in the presence of both antagonists (86% decrease, $p<0.001$ compared to GW9662 or GW6471 alone) (Figure 2D).

To confirm our in vivo data of selective modulation of PPAR activity and to eliminate potential irrelevant signals from deeper tissues such as subcutaneous fat, in vitro luciferase activity was assayed in protein extracts from PPRE-Luc mice skin. Figure3 A-D showed that at the lowest concentrations of pioglitazone and WY14643, luciferase activity was solely inhibited by corresponding antagonists GW9662 and GW6471 respectively (Figure 3A and 3C). But at higher concentrations, the effects of pioglitazone and WY14643 were reduced by GW9662 and also GW6471. Further inhibition was obtained by the simultaneous application of both PPAR antagonists (Figure 3B and 3D). These results correlate to those obtained in living animals, indicating that luciferase activity measured following topical application of PPARs modulators reflects skin specific PPAR activity.
DISCUSSION

The development of novel drugs is a lengthy process requiring years of preclinical research. Thus, novel methodologies that shorten the time for pharmacokinetic and toxicological studies in animals are needed (15-17). The present study provides a novel usage of PPRE-Luc reporter mouse model for identification of new drug candidates targeting PPARs in the skin.

To date, few studies have considered PPRE-Luc mice for the assessment of transcriptional activity of PPARs. Described protocol allowed for broad luciferin distribution into the animal body and organ-specific evaluation of PPAR activity was based on projected localization of the target organ and in some cases ex vivo measurement of luciferase activity (18-20). Here, we showed, for the first time, that specific evaluation of skin PPAR activity in PPRE-Luc mice is feasible by topical application of 40mM luciferin allowing direct diffusion into skin layers within 10 minutes. Using this protocol, we were able to eliminate irrelevant signals from internal organs, with the exception for limited signal from subcutaneous adipose tissue to which luciferin could diffuse. Nevertheless, comparison of luciferase activity profiles between living animals and dissected skin samples indicated a negligible contribution of this fat depot.

The foremost aim of this model is testing pharmacological properties of new molecules and formulations. Given the growing interest in the role of PPARs in skin homeostasis, we made a novel usage of PPRE-Luc mice as a new tool dedicated to evaluate PPAR modulators onto skin of living animals. The advantages of PPRE-Luc mice, or reporter mice in general, over the methods currently in use for preclinical drug development can be summarized as follows: (i) it is a non-invasive way allowing a quantitative measurement and direct visualization of the process in a specific target organ or tissue; (ii) The possibility to carry out longitudinal studies in single individuals during repeated drug treatment to unravel sites of drug accumulation and activity, or the dynamics of the target response to the treatment; (iii) the possibility to perform time course studies with limited use of experimental animals; (iv) The great advantage of using the same animal for consecutive analysis is that each animal acts as its own statistical control for previous and subsequent examinations.

Using PPAR ligands, we tried to modulate and measure PPAR activity by evaluating in vivo bioluminescence and in vitro luciferase activity. Following treatment with pioglitazone and WY14643, PPAR activation was maximal at 6 hours and returned to basal levels at 24 hours. At low to moderate concentrations, pioglitazone and WY14643 selectively activated PPARγ and PPARα respectively since photons emission was specifically inhibited by corresponding antagonists GW9662 and GW6471. However, at excessive concentrations, the signal emitted by either ligand was significantly reduced.
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by both GW9662 and GW6471 and further decreased when both inhibitors were added. These observations revealed decreased PPAR ligand specificity at high concentrations and potential overlapping transactivation of PPARα and PPARγ. Welch, J.S. et al. have previously demonstrated an overlapping transactivation and transrepression functions of PPARγ and PPARβ/δ. They have shown that in PPARγ-deficient macrophages, high concentrations of rosiglitazone induced regulation of several genes by activating PPARβ/δ (21). In this study, we showed that the quantification of selective activation and inhibition of PPAR subtypes is achievable in skin of living PPRE-Luc mice. Our model simplifies significantly the analysis of drug activity targeting PPARs, providing the possibility to directly titer the effect of a drug on its target in space and time, avoiding extrapolations based on drug distribution parameters.

The model described here could be further improved by crossing PPRE-Luc mice with mice models knockout for a specific PPAR isoform in the skin (22). These models would complement in vitro pharmacological evaluation of PPAR ligands by in vivo assessment of isoforms specificity. Furthermore, PPRE-Luc mice could be bred into skin disease mouse models, an approach that will allow in the same animal to test therapeutic utility and signaling mechanisms of new chemical entities (14, 23).

This study provides evidence of the powerfulness of the PPRE-Luc mouse model for the characterization and development of PPARs ligands targeting skin diseases. Offering the possibility of carrying quantitative longitudinal and time course studies, this non-invasive technique shows superiority over the conventional cell-based tests in the prediction of ligand potency and efficacy.
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FIGURE 1: Modulation of bioluminescence emission from skin of PPRE-Luc mice after pioglitazone treatment. A, Optical imaging (1 representative animal) and photon counting (p/s = photons per second) of luciferase activity of PPRE-Luc mice topicaly treated with pioglitazone at 10mM, 100mM or vehicle (acetone). Photon counting was performed at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 24 hours. B, Optical imaging (2 representative animals) and photon counting of luciferase activity of PPRE-Luc mice topicaly treated topicaly for 6 h with vehicle (acetone) or pioglitazone at 10mM, 50mM, 75mM, 100mM, or 200mM. *P<0.05 versus control, **P<0.05 versus 75mM. C and D, Optical imaging (1 representative animal) and photon counting of luciferase activity in PPRE-Luc mice topicaly treated for 6 h with vehicle (acetone), pioglitazone at 50mM (C) or pioglitazone at 100mM (D), with or without GW9662 (10mM) and/or GW6471 (10mM). *P<0.05 versus control, **P<0.05 versus agonist, ***P<0.05 versus agonist + antagonist.
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**FIGURE 2: Modulation of bioluminescence emission from skin of PPRE-Luc mice after WY14643 treatment.**

A, Photon counting (p/s = photons per second) of luciferase activity of PPRE-Luc mice topically treated for 6 h with vehicle (acetone) or WY14643 at 10mM, 50mM, 100mM, 200mM or 300mM. *P<0.05 versus control. **P<0.05 versus 50mM, ***P<0.05 versus 100mM. B, Photon counting of luciferase activity of PPRE-Luc mice topically treated with WY14643 at 10mM, 100mM, 200mM or vehicle (acetone). Photon counting was performed at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 24 hours. C and D, photon counting of luciferase activity of PPRE-Luc mice topically treated for 6 h with vehicle (acetone), WY14643 at 10mM (C) or WY14643 at 200mM (D), with or without GW9662 (10mM) and/or GW6471 (10mM). *P<0.05 versus control, **P<0.05 versus agonist, ***P<0.05 versus agonist + antagonist.
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FIGURE 3: Luciferase enzymatic activity in skin extracts of PPRE-Luc mice after treatment with pioglitazone or WY14643. A-D, mice were topically treated for 6 hours with pioglitazone at 50 and 100mM (A and B), or WY14643 at 10 and 200mM (C and D) with or without GW9662 and/or GW6471. Skin proteins were extracted and luciferase activity was measured and expressed as relative luciferase units (RLU) per milligrams of protein. *P<0.05 versus control, **P<0.05 versus agonist, ***P<0.05 versus agonist + antagonist.
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SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Transgenic Mice

To study PPAR activation in vivo under different conditions, we developed a model of transgenic mice expressing the luciferase gene after PPAR activation. The exogenous DNA contains 3 binding sites of the PPAR/RXR heterodimer (PPRE) upstream from the luciferase gene. Generation of these transgenic mice (PPRE-Luc) was performed in collaboration with Professors Pierre Chambon and Johan Auwerx at the Mouse Clinic Institute (Illkirch, France) using classical techniques of microinjection of fertilized eggs. Briefly, cloning strategy was based on the pdLuc FXR plasmid (received from Prof. Johan Auwerx). FXR sequence was replaced by a sequence containing 3 peroxisome proliferator response elements (PPRE). This fragment was ligated into the SnaBI and NotI sites (Figure S2). To remove the backbone of the transgenic vector, the plasmid was linearized using ClaI plus Asel and purified after gel electrophoresis. Transgenic mouse lines were obtained after pronuclear DNA injection according to established procedures. Screening for founders and routine genotyping was performed by PCR on genomic DNA isolated from the tail using primers in table S1. In mice carrying the transgene, PCRs yield 417 bp and 281 bp products. The transgene was kept in a hemizygous state by crossing founders and their offspring with C57Bl/6J mice. For the characterization of founders, mice were treated for 12 h with Rosiglitazone (PPARγ agonist, 100 mg/kg), L165041 (PPARβ/δ agonist, 100 mg/kg) and WY14643 (PPARα agonist, 45 mg/kg).
**FIGURE S1: Concentration and time study for D-Luciferin application on skin.** A, Optical imaging and photon counting (p/s = photons per second) of the luciferase activity of male PPRE-Luc mice, 10 min after topical application of 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, and 80mM of luciferin. Photon emission was quantified in skin by a series of 5-min CCD camera acquisitions in each animal. Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. of acquisitions made in groups of 5 to 10 animals and images show acquisition of 2 representative animals; *p < 0.05. B, Optical imaging and photon counting (p/s = photons per second) of the luciferase activity of male PPRE-Luc mice following application of 40mM of luciferin. Photon emission was quantified in skin by a series of 2-min CCD camera acquisitions in each animal from 0 to 40 minutes following luciferin application. Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. of acquisitions made in groups of 5 to 10 animals and images show acquisition of 1 representative animal.
FIGURE S2: Schematic representation of the cloning strategy performed to obtain the construct used to get transgenic mice. Insulator correspond to chicken beta-globin insulator. UAS, FXRE and PPRE denote the GAL4, FXR and PPAR response elements, respectively. PEST encodes for a proline, glutamic acid, serine and threonine rich region used to destabilize luciferase. pA indicates the position of polyadenylation site. Sall are restriction sites used to linearized the transgenic vector. SnaBI and NotI are used to replace FXR by PPRE.
(E) CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

Since their initial characterization more than two decades ago, the PPARs have been shown essential for the normal physiological function of most mammalian cell types, tissues and organ systems. Consequently, it is not surprising that many disease states have now been linked to abnormalities in the function of the PPAR-regulated transcription factors. In particular, PPARs are involved in diverse biological activities in the epidermis, such as keratinocyte proliferation and differentiation, epidermal barrier maturation and recovery, sebocyte activity, and melanocyte differentiation. In addition, their overall anti-inflammatory functions are well characterized [868]. Therefore, PPARs represent a major research target for the understanding and treatment of many skin diseases, particularly those involving inflammation and epidermal hyperproliferation, such as benign epidermal tumors, papillomas, and psoriasis. Due to involvement in the control of sebocyte differentiation, the modulation of PPAR activity in order to interfere with sebum production may also be an interesting development for the treatment of acne vulgaris, characterized by excess sebum production. Thus, targeting PPARs signaling pathways may represent interesting therapeutic ways for various dermatologic diseases.

However, the development of novel drugs is a lengthy process requiring years of preclinical research and many steps indispensable to ensure that the molecule of interest can be administered to humans with a minimal risk of toxic effects. Therefore, pharmaceutical companies are eager to apply novel methodologies that shorten the time required for pharmacodynamic, pharmacokinetic and toxicological studies to be carried out in vitro and in animal systems. Despite their success, conventional microscopy methods suffer significant limitations when used in biological experimentation. They usually require chemical fixation of removed tissues, involve the observation of biological samples under non-physiological conditions, can generally not resolve the dynamics of cellular processes, and most importantly, it has been very difficult to generate quantitative data using conventional microscopy. The impact of these relative drawbacks of microscopy may be lessened to some extent by the use of noninvasive molecular imaging techniques in intact living subjects. Currently, quantitative analysis of molecular events in living organisms is done with the combined application of imaging and genetic engineering technologies,
leading to the generation of reporter mice where molecular events can be visualized in real time \textit{in vivo}. Functional, and efficient, results of \textit{in vivo} imaging assays are potentially attainable quicker and less labor-intensively than with conventional \textit{in vitro} or cell culture study of biological materials.

In this work, we have established all necessary parameters that would define PPRE-luc as a valid model for the \textit{in vivo} evaluation of basal and induced transcriptional activation of PPARs in the skin. Overall, this work might serve as basis for further studies aiming at the pharmacological profiling of novel molecules targeting PPARs. Interestingly, nowadays, a large number of pharmacological PPAR ligands (thiazolidinediones, fibrates) are already registered and clinically used for other diseases. Knowing that the route from identification to registration of drugs is long and expensive, drugs already registered for the treatment of one disease and eventually effective for others are of high interest, and might reveal interesting potentials when investigated using the PPRE-luc mice model.

A very important question needs to be addressed when applying the reporter mouse technology in molecular imaging: it is mainly about the sensitivity of the reporter system. Effectively, available data in the literature showed that the transcriptional regulation of PPARs is modulated by a multitude of factors which vary spatio-temporally. The metabolic state of the animal, the circadian rhythm, the presence of any kind of stress, and many various stimuli, would affect PPARs activity, thus limiting the possibilities to obtain a clear picture of the exact state of the activation of PPARs. Thus, several preventive measures were carried out in order to maximally limit inter-individual variability. First, even though this study addressed PPARs activation in the skin, a non-metabolic organ; the feeding of mice was limited to the night period, an approach that has significantly contributed to the stability of basal PPARs activity in the skin. Second, all animals used in this study were from the same sex and approximately the same age. Third, skin mice were always shaved one day before experimentation in order to limit the effect of shaving and skin irritation on PPARs activation. Fourth, unlike other studies, we have avoided the use of olive oil as a vehicle for PPARs ligands, knowing that it is rich in unsaturated fatty acids which act as natural PPARs activators. Fifth, the examination of PPRE-luc mice using \textit{in vivo} optical imaging prevented animal sacrifice; thus each animal would act as its own control for previous or subsequent
experiments leading to reduction of experimental uncertainties arising from physiological deviation. The use of these standardized procedures has decreased the intra-group variability from 50% to about 15%. Once the relatively “stable” physiological picture of PPARs activity in the skin has been defined, the effects of PPARs ligands on its transcriptional activation can be identified.

Compared with classical preclinical investigation models, PPRE-luc mouse model has a much broader potential because it can: (a) reveal in which organs or tissues a given compound might exert a certain effect on PPAR, independently of previously acquired knowledge of the target tissue; (b) assess the effects of and changes in response after repeated administration of a given PPAR modulator; (c) perform time course and dose response studies on the same animal; (d) test the effect of PPARs-targeting molecule using different routes of administration; (e) evaluate the minimal drug concentration needed to stimulate PPARs independently of the drug’s plasma levels; (f) help combining pharmacological and toxicological studies to verify potential sites of drug accumulation during chronic administration. In fact, imaging technologies provide a global view of drug actions, permitting its effects to be observed also in non target tissues, thus facilitating the prediction of potential adverse effects in organs other than the defined target; (g) verify whether repeated administration of a PPARs activator causes tachyphylaxis (rapidly decreasing response to a drug after administration of a few doses) phenomena during response (h) limit the number of animals used; (i) limit or completely abolish the pain of the animals used since it is as a non-invasive technique. Nevertheless, a possible drawback for the use of the PPRE-luc reporter mouse is the limited possibility to discriminate which subtype of PPARs is actually contributing to the luciferase expression; however, this problem may be overcome by breeding the model with subtype-specific knockout models or using selective antagonists as we have shown. In conclusion, the availability of reporter mice would greatly improve the predictability of substance efficiency and safety, and could produce more critical and accurate information much earlier in drug development process, thus reducing late-stage withdrawal risk.

One should not forget that the utility of PPRE-luc mice extends largely beyond the skin as target-organ. Considering that most tissues in mammals express PPARs and that each
synthetic chemical may have an unpredictable tissue-specific action on PPARs, the PPRE-Luc mouse model represents at present the most appropriate model to obtain a realistic, systemic view of the effects of endogenous or exogenous potential PPARs ligands. In view of these observations, the model here described, particularly if crossed with other mice models in which the ablation of a specific PPAR subtype has been operated, will be of major interest for the study of disorders where PPARs are implicated and for the identification of novel synthetic ligands for therapeutic use. Moreover, PPRE-luc mice could be bred into diabetes or colorectal carcinoma model mice to investigate the relevance of PPAR activity and its pharmacological control in the etiopathogenesis of these disorders. Also, with the application of PPRE-luc mouse model, abnormal and healthy organisms can be compared to identify phenotype alterations elicited by a disease in PPARs might play a major regulatory role.

In the preclinical research, reporter systems combining imaging technologies and animal engineering would give a new impetus to the biomedical research facilitating pharmacological profiling of drugs; open thereby new avenues for the development of innovative drugs with by limited side effects.
SYNOPSIS

- The *in vitro* expression of GLP-2R has been detected only in human intestinal myofibroblasts, mouse enteroendocrine cells, and the rat enteric nervous system.
- The *in vivo* expression of GLP-2R transcript extends to several organs, notably, bladder, central nervous system, mesenteric adipose tissue, spleen and liver.
- The expression of GLP-2R in the gastrointestinal tract follows an increasing gradient toward the colon and rectum.
- The intestinal expression of GLP-2R is decreased in mice models of colitis and in IBD patients.
  - Future studies should aim at examining the full range of potential GLP-2 actions.
  - The high colonic GLP-2R expression could reflect important role for GLP-2 in the colon under healthy and pathophysiological states.
  - The decreased GLP-2R expression under inflammatory conditions might redirect the therapeutic use of GLP-2 in inflammatory bowel disease.

- The anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic properties on tumor-derived intestinal epithelial cell lines of 5-ASA exhibits are significantly inhibited by GW9662 (PPARγ antagonist)
- The tumor limiting effect of 5-ASA on mass volume and weight is suppressed by co-treatment of GW9662 in a model of Xenograft tumor in SCID mice
- The anti-neoplastic effect of 5-ASA on aberrant crypt formation in a mouse model of colon carcinogenesis is abolished by co-administration of GW9662.
  - The well-recognized anti-neoplastic effect of 5-ASA are mediated at least partially via PPARγ.
  - This work provide rational for further designing more effective and safe anti-neoplastic PPARγ ligands with topical effects

- The *in vivo* evaluation of basal PPAR transcriptional activation in the skin of PPRE-luc mice is achievable by topically applying 40mM of luciferin followed by optical imaging 10 minutes afterwards.
- The *in vivo* selective modulation of PPARs subtypes in the skin of PPRE-luc mice can be measured through optical imaging after topical application of specific PPARs ligands.
  - PPRE-luc mice represent a valuable reporter mouse model for the *in vivo* pharmacological profiling of drugs targeting PPARs in the skin
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RESUME
HOMEOSTASIE DE L’INTESTIN ET DE LA PEAU : CIBLES ET MODELES POUR ETUDIER L’INFLAMMATION ET LA CARCINOGENESE.


Nous nous sommes intéressés au 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA), autre molécule avec une relevance clinique pour le maintien de l’homéostasie intestinale. En effet, grâce à ses propriétés anti-inflammatoires, le 5-ASA est le traitement de choix dans les MICI. Les patients atteints de maladie de Crohn et de la rectocolite hémorragique ont un risque élevé de développer un cancer colorectal par rapport au reste de la population. Des études cliniques ont montré qu’un usage régulier du 5-ASA était associé à un risque faible de survenue de cancer colorectal. Néanmoins, les mécanismes moléculaires responsables des effets antinéoplasiques du 5-ASA sont mal connus. Dans cette étude, nous avons démontré que les effets anti-cancérogènes du 5-ASA étaient médiés par le peroxisome proliferator activated recepteur-gamma (PPARγ). En utilisant des concentrations cliniquement relevantes, nous avons confirmé in vitro, par comptage cellulaires, immunohistochimie (Ki-67) et TUNEL que le 5-ASA présentait des propriétés anti-prolifératives and pro-apoptotiques. L’emploi du GW9662, antagoniste spécifique de PPARγ, abolissait les effets du 5-ASA. Dans un modèle in vivo de tumeur implanté chez la souris, une forte réduction du développement de la tumeur était détectée grâce à un effet topique du 5-ASA qui était inhibé par le GW9662. Finalement, dans un modèle de carcinogenèse du colon induite par l’azoxy methane (AOM), le 5-ASA était capable de réduire les lésions néoplasiques grâce à un mécanisme PPARγ dépendant. Cette étude établit le rôle clé de PPARγ médiant les effets anti-néoplasiques du 5-ASA. Ceci ouvre une perspective pour le développement de ligands de PPARγ avec une meilleure efficacité ou pour leur usage en association avec d’autres traitements.

L’action de PPARγ, et des PPARs en général, n’est pas limitée au tube digestif. Les PPARs ont été montré comme important dans différents systèmes physiologiques. Par exemple, dans la peau, les PPARs sont capables de réguler de nombreuses fonctions telles que la prolifération et la différenciation des cellules ainsi que les réponses inflammatoires. Les PPARs et leurs ligands sont donc considérés comme des cibles thérapeutiques particulièrement intéressantes dans certaines maladies de la peau comme les maladies inflammatoires et les mélanomes. Par conséquent, des modèles validés pour la
caractérisation et le développement de nouveaux ligands des PPARs sont nécessaires. Le développement de nouvelles molécules est un processus assez long qui nécessite des années de recherche préclinique pour démontrer l’absence de toxicité. Dans ce but, nous avons développé au sein du laboratoire un modèle de souris qui permet l’évaluation qualitative et quantitative de l’activité transcriptionnelle des PPARs. Ces souris (PPRE-Luc) ont été générées par intégration génomique d’une construction contenant le gène de la Firefly luciferase en aval d’éléments de réponse aux PPARs (PPRE). Dans ce travail, nous avons défini et validé les conditions expérimentales qui permettront d’utiliser les souris PPRE-Luc en tant qu’outil non-invasif pour l’évaluation in vivo de l’activité des PPARs dans la peau. Nous avons démontré par imagerie optique que l’application topique de 40mM de luciférase pendant 10 minutes était suffisante pour révéler l’activité optimale de la luciférase dans la peau des souris. Le traitement de la peau des souris avec des agonistes de PPARγ et PPARα, pioglitazone et WY14643, induisait une augmentation significative de l’émission des photons au cours du temps avec un pic 6 heures après le traitement. Nous avons également réalisé une étude de dose-réponse avec un large panel de concentrations de pioglitazone et WY14643, appliquées sur la peau des souris. La spécificité de la bioluminescence induite par la pioglitazone et le WY14643 a été évaluée par l’administration des antagonistes de PPARγ et PPARα, GW9662 et GW6471, respectivement. Cette approche a révélé que la spécificité des agonistes de PPARs diminuait quand la concentration de ceux-ci augmentait. Ces résultats ont été confirmés in vitro par la quantification de l’activité luciférase dans les extraits protéiques réalisés à partir de la peau de nos souris. En conclusion, cette étude montre que les souris PPRE-Luc représentent un modèle valide et spécifique pour étudier le profil pharmacologique des nouveaux agonistes de PPARs dans la peau.
SUMMARY

INTESTINAL AND SKIN HOMEOSTASIS: TARGETING INFLAMMATION AND CANCER

Intestinal and skin physiologies are quite similar as far as the homeostasis in both depends on complex interactions between the microbiota, the epithelium and the host immune system. Diverse regulatory mechanisms cooperate to maintain the equilibrium, and a breakdown in these pathways may precipitate pathological conditions. Glucagon like peptide 2 (GLP-2) represents one of the hot topics in research in intestinal physiology. Its dual function as an anti-inflammatory agent and growth factor has led to its consideration in therapeutic strategies and GLP-2 analogs are currently in clinical trials for several digestive diseases. The integrative responses to GLP-2 are mediated via the GLP-2 receptor (GLP-2R). Despite extensive research, precise tissue distribution of GLP-2R expression remains controversial both in rodents and humans. It is widely believed that GLP-2R expression is restricted to the gastrointestinal tract, mainly to the proximal bowel, despite the presence of few studies reporting extra-intestinal expression. Thus, to enhance our knowledge concerning the potential functions of GLP-2 analogs, a better understanding for GLP-2R expression is considered necessary. We therefore realized a panel of GLP-2R expression in mice tissues and in several human, murine and rat cells lines. Given the therapeutic beneficial effects of GLP-2 analogs in intestinal disorders, we investigated the intestinal expression of GLP-2R in mice models of chemically-induced colitis and in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients. We demonstrated that GLP-2R is more widely expressed than expected with significant expression in several mice tissues including bladder, central nervous system, mesenteric adipose tissue, mesenteric lymph nodes, spleen, and liver. We also showed that the expression of GLP-2R in the gastrointestinal tract follows an increasing gradient toward the distal gut with highest expression in the colon and rectum. Interestingly, the intestinal expression of GLP-2R is significantly decreased in experimental mice models of colitis and in IBD patients which raised the point of the physiological role of GLP-2 analogs in digestive disease patients. Overall, previous hypotheses limiting GLP-2R expression and function to proximal bowel need to be revisited, and further studies should address the extra-intestinal biological function of GLP-2.
Along with GLP-2, another important element with significant clinical relevance to the intestinal homeostasis is the 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) and its potential in preventing colorectal cancer (CRC) in IBD patients. 5-ASA was opted since decades as the anti-inflammatory of choice of in IBD. In addition to its efficacy and safety, 5-ASA was also believed to have unique chemopreventive properties. Patients suffering from Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis displayed an increased risk of developing CRC. Several studies have shown that regular usage of 5-ASA prevented the development of CRC in IBD patients. However, the mechanisms sustaining 5-ASA chemopreventive properties remain largely unknown. In this work, we demonstrated that the anti-neoplastic effects of 5-ASA are mediated via peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-gamma (PPARγ). Using clinically-relevant concentrations, we first showed by in vitro cell count, Ki67 immunostaining, and TUNEL assay that 5-ASA displayed both anti-proliferating and pro-apoptotic properties. Subsequent to GW9662 (PPARγ antagonist) application, evidence that PPARγ mediated the chemopreventive effects of 5-ASA was established. In an in vivo model of xenograft tumor, we showed a strong reduction in tumor development due to topic effect of 5-ASA through a PPARγ-dependent mechanism. Finally, in a model of azoxymethane (AOM)-induced colon carcinogenesis, 5-ASA significantly suppressed the number of both aberrant crypt foci and aberrant crypts via PPARγ signaling pathway. This work establishing the key role of PPARγ in mediating 5-ASA anti-neoplastic properties, may lead to the development of more effective PPARγ ligands with higher efficiency or for use in association with agents of additive or synergic effects.

The role of PPARγ, and more generally PPARs, is not restricted to the gastrointestinal tract. PPARs have been shown to mediate important regulatory functions in different physiological systems. In the skin, PPARs have been demonstrated to regulate important cellular functions, including cell proliferation and differentiation, as well as inflammatory responses. These functions identified PPARs and corresponding ligands as potential therapeutic targets for a broad variety of skin disorders, including inflammatory diseases and skin malignancies. Thus, major efforts should be made to generate valid models for the characterization and development of new PPAR ligands as therapeutics in skin diseases. However, the development of novel drugs is a long process requiring years of preclinical research to ensure that the molecule of interest can be administered to humans with a
minimal risk of toxic effects. To this aim, we have recently developed a reporter mouse for
the ubiquitous and quantitative measurement of the transcriptional activity of PPARs. These
mice (PPRE-Luc) were generated by genomic integration of a transgene flanked by
insulators and carrying a reporter gene, the Firefly luciferase gene, under the control of
PPAR responsive regulatory element. In this study, we have defined and validated
experimental conditions to establish PPRE-Luc mice as a valuable tool for in vivo non
invasive evaluation of PPARs activation in the skin. We demonstrated by optical imaging
that topical application of 40mM of Luciferin for 10 minutes was enough to reveal the
optimal luciferase activity in mice skin. The treatment of mice skin with the PPARγ and
PPARα agonists, pioglitazone and WY14643, was associated with significant increase in
photons emission reaching maximal signaling at 6 hours. We have performed dose response
studies by testing a large range of pioglitazone and WY14643 concentrations on mouse skin.
The specificity of bioluminescence signal induced pioglitazone and WY14643 was assessed
using PPARγ and PPARα antagonists, GW9662 and GW6471, respectively. This approach
revealed that the isoform specificity of PPARs agonists decreased when high ligand
concentrations were applied on mouse skin. These results were further confirmed by in
vitro measurement of luciferase activity in skin extracts. Taken together, our results
demonstrated that PPRE-Luc mice represent a valuable reporter mouse model for the in
vivo pharmacological profiling of drugs targeting PPARs in the skin.