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INTRODUCTION
I-Introduction

Iron is considered to be the most abundant transition metal in the environment. Photoreduction product Fe(II) could react with $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ though Fenton’s mechanism, which represents a significant thermal source of hydroxyl radicals (·OH). Many references indicated that the production of ·OH radicals in atmospheric water droplets is an important process as they can oxidize a wide variety of natural and anthropogenic organic and inorganic substances.

The photo-oxidation of carboxylic acids has long been known to be sensitized by Fe(III), but only till the 1960s attention has been paid to the coordinate species involved. The carboxylate group [RC(O)O\'] is one of the most common functional groups of the dissolved organic compounds present in natural waters. Low molecular weight organic acids have been identified and measured in a wide variety of environments, such as marine and continental air, rural and remote atmospheres and tropical and temperate zones. Various organic acids viz. EDTA, acetic, formic, citric, ascorbic, succinic, tartaric and oxalic acids are effective coordination reagents, which play an important role on the metal ions (especially copper and iron) removal. References report the formation of iron-carboxylate complexes, which can undergo rapid photochemical reactions under solar irradiation. The photolysis of Fe(III)-polycarboxylates could represent an important source of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ to some atmospheric and surface waters. Fe(III)-NTA, Fe-EDTA, Fe-Oxalate and Fe(III)-Cit have been used to degrade organic and inorganic pollutants(Cr(VI)). However, there are little published studies on the photochemical reactivity of Fe(III)-Pyr and Fe(III)-Tar complexes.

2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) was one of the first herbicides to be commercially marketed. It was first introduced in the United States in the late 1940’s. 2,4-D made up a major portion (about 50%) of the herbicide known as Agent Orange, which was used during the Vietnam War. However, it is thought that most of the health problems are related to its natural degradation products including...
2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP), 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD). While 2,4,5-T was the main culprit and has now been banned. 2,4-DCP has been listed by the US EPA as priority pollutants. Until now, 2,4-D and its related product 2,4-DCP are very popular pollutants in the organochlorine family. Many kinds of methods are used to degrade them. Advanced oxidation technologies is thought to be the preferable means for the degradation of 2,4-D and 2,4-DCP. However, little references reported using iron complexes to degrade them under irradiation.

The objective of this work is to understand the fate of pollutants in the aquatic environment in the presence of light and different organic complexes of Fe(III) naturally present in the aquatic environment.

In the present work, first we studied the physicochemical properties of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes, including Fe(III) with citric acid (Cit), tartaric acid (Tar) or pyruvic acid (Pyr) complexes. The stoichiometry of Fe(III) in complex with tartaric acid and pyruvic acid was studied. It should be indicated that until now no published reports about the stoichiometry of Fe(III)-Pyr complex were found.

Second, the photo-generation of ·OH and peroxyl radicals have been determined in the aqueous solutions with different iron-carboxylate complexes.

Third, 2,4-DCP and 2,4-D were used as model compounds to certify the photochemical property of iron-carboxylate complexes. Irradiation experiments were carried out separately under monochromatic irradiation in a short time and irradiation at 365 nm (93% of all the radiation) in a long time. Quantum yields of Fe(II) formation, 2,4-D and 2,4-DCP disappearance were determined in the present study. Parameters affecting the photoreaction, including excitation wavelength, concentrations of iron-carboxylate complexes and pollutants, oxygen and pH were all studied in this work.
II

BIBLIOGRAPHY STUDY
A-Iron in the environment and its photochemical properties

A-1-Iron in the natural environment

Iron is the most abundant transition metal in the natural environment. Iron widely exists in the soil, fresh waters, ocean and atmosphere. It plays a central role in many biological and chemical processes. Its average concentration in the Earth’s crust is about 5.6%. However, like other reactive elements its concentration in seawater remains low, 0.1 nM, in the near surface waters of the Eastern Pacific due to active biological uptake and passive scavenging by particulate material. The low background concentrations of iron, combined with its short residence time in seawater (2-13 years, Landing and Bruland, 1987) means that the distribution of dissolved iron in surface seawater is likely dominated by its input pattern. The distribution of iron, along with those of other reactive elements, can then be particularly useful in identifying the location and magnitude of material exchange between the Earth’s crust and the oceans. In addition to its ability to delimit chemical and physical transfer processes, iron is also an essential micronutrient and may limit or co-limit phytoplankton growth in the euphotic zone of surface waters. Martin and co-investigators have proposed that iron may be the limiting nutrient in some remote oceanic regions where the only sources of iron to the surface ocean are from either eolian deposition or from the upwelling of iron-enriched subsurface waters (Martin et al., 1988, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1994).

Dissolved Fe can exist in two different oxidation states in seawater, Fe(III) and Fe(II). Fe(III) is generally believed to be the dominant form of dissolved iron in surface seawater, because the oxidation of Fe(II) by hydrogen peroxide and oxygen is rapid (Moffett and Zika, 1987b; Millero et al., 1987; Millero and Sotolongo, 1989; Byrne et al., 1988). The speciation of Fe(III) in seawater (equivalent to a salinity S =
35) as a function of pH is shown in Figure II-A-1 (Millero et al., 1995).

Figure II-A-1 Speciation of Fe(III) in seawater as a function of pH
(Millero et al., 1995)

However, Fe(III) is rapidly removed from the photic zone of the ocean by precipitation and particle scavenging. In contrast, Fe(II) is highly soluble in seawater. A process that converts significant amounts of Fe(III) into Fe(II) may therefore facilitate iron uptake by organisms by creating a reservoir of soluble iron in the photic zone. Therefore, Fe(II) has been detected at concentrations comparable to those of Fe(III) in sunlit seawater (O’Sullivan et al., 1991) and in seawater samples exposed to simulated sunlight in laboratory experiments (Kester, 1994).

Atmospheric iron input is a significant external iron source to many oceanographic regimes, especially to the subtropical and the subarctic Pacific. For example, in the subarctic Pacific, which lies in the path of an extended aerosol plume that originates in China, Martin et al. (1989) estimated that atmospheric deposition accounted for 84-93% of the external iron input to these surface waters. Concentrations of iron in tropospheric aerosols range from 1 to 4000 ng m^{-3} in remote areas, from 50 to 15,000 ng m^{-3} in rural areas, and from 20 to 30,000 ng m^{-3} in urban areas (Schroeder et al., 1987). Desert dust is a chief source of aeolian mineral dust.
Aerosol particles are incorporated into cloud water as condensation nuclei or are captured by cloud water through impaction or differential settling (Siefert et al., 1994). In atmospheric waters, iron undergoes photoredox cycling yielding dissolved Fe(II) (Behra and Sigg, 1990; Zuo and Hoigné, 1992; Sedlak and Hoigné, 1993; Zuo, 2003), one important reaction being photoreductive dissolution of particulate and colloidal iron phases (Faust and Hoffmann, 1986; Zhu et al., 1992; Zhuang et al., 1992a; Pehkonen et al., 1993; Siefert et al., 1994). It has been reported that Fe(II) contributed 56 ± 32% of the total iron in marine aerosol samples collected over the central North Pacific and 49 ± 15% at Barbados (Zhuang et al., 1992b).

Although the total concentrations of iron in freshwater systems are generally higher than in the oceans, due to larger particulate inputs (Davison, 1993; Sigg et al., 1991), and therefore limitation of phytoplankton growth by this element is not likely, its speciation and biological availability as essential element is an important and puzzling issue. The thermodynamically stable form of iron in oxic natural waters, Fe(III), has an extremely low solubility, while Fe(II) is much more soluble under most natural water conditions. It has been shown that light-induced reduction of Fe(III) is a process of major importance for the formation of dissolved iron in marine surface waters (Wells and Mayer, 1991; King et al., 1993; Voelker and Sedlak, 1995; Voelker et al., 1997; Miller et al., 1995) and in acidic surface waters (Sulzberger et al., 1990; Mcknight et al., 1988). Emmenegger et al., (1998) studied the oxidation of Fe(II) in the euphotic Swiss lake. In oxic environmental systems, the reduced iron species are rapidly oxidized by O₂ at near-neutral pH, yielding Fe(III) and reactive oxygen species.

The inorganic speciation of dissolved Fe(III) and Fe(II) differ considerably. Inorganic species comprising dissolved Fe(III) are dominated by the hydrolysis products, Fe(OH)₂⁺, Fe(OH)₃⁰, and Fe(OH)₄⁻. The free hydrated Fe³⁺ ion is not only an extremely rare species, being only 10⁻¹⁰ to 10⁻¹¹M of the summed concentration of the hydrolysis species, it is also the slowest of the inorganic species to react with ligands or surface sites due to its slow water-loss rate constant (Hudson et al., 1992). In marked contrast, inorganic Fe(II) exists primarily as the free Fe²⁺ ion (Millero et al.,
Iron widely exists as mineral on the surface of the Earth. It usually exists in the form of oxides and hydroxides, which are hardly soluble in the aqueous solution. The $\gamma$-FeOOH (lepidocrocite), $\alpha$-FeOOH (goethite) and $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (hematite) are three well defined Fe(III) (hydr)oxides phases, normally exists in aeolian mineral dust. Sulzberger studied the transformation of initially thermodynamic stable atmospheric Fe(III) (hydr)oxides into more soluble phases photoinduced by the oxalate as reductant / ligand (1995).

Figure II-A-2 Transformation of iron in different aqueous phases in the remote marine aerosols (zhuang et al., 1992)

In the latest tens of years, many research groups have measured the concentration of iron in aqueous phases (rain, snow, fog and seawater) in the different locations in the world. Table II-A-1 gives some data about these measurements. Zhuang et al., reported the transformation scheme of iron in different aqueous phases
in the remote marine aerosols, see Figure II-A-2.

Table II-A-1 Concentrations of the total iron and dissolved iron in the rain, snow, fog or seawater systems in different locations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Total Fe (µmol.L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Dissolved Fe (µmol.L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Dissolved Fe /Total Fe(%)</th>
<th>Aqueous phase</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Great Britain (rural)</td>
<td>0.89-1.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Cawse et al., Peirson, 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Britain (rural)</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Peirson et al., 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany (urban)</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Betz, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Nigeria (rural)</td>
<td>23.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Beavington et al., Cawse, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany (urban)</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Gravenhorst et al., 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakota and Minnesota, United-States</td>
<td>0.68-2.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Thornton et al., Eisenreich, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0.27-2.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Georgii et al., 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Nürnberg et al., 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware (California), United-States (coastal)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Church et al., 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario, Canada (rural)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Chan et al., 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Britain (near the North Sea)</td>
<td>9-670</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Balls, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>0.2-3</td>
<td>0.03-1.81</td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Ross, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darmstadt (urban)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Hofmann et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groß-Rohrheim (rural), Germany</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Brandt et al., 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0.39-0.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Brandt et al., 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Brandt et al., 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chernogolovska, Russia (urban)</td>
<td>5.9-21.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Hoffmann et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plynlimon, Mid Wales (rural)</td>
<td>0.0009-6.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>Wilkinson et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tour du Valat, France (coastal)</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>Guien et al., 1997</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamto, Ivory Coast (rural)</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02-0.19</td>
<td>Freydier et al., 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kollo, Niger (rural)</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>&lt; 0.02-3.18</td>
<td>Freydier et al., 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lannemezan, France (rural)</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.03-0.19</td>
<td>Freydier et al., 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaipur, Kota, India (urban)</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Manoj et al., 2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (urban)</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.007-0.40</td>
<td>Alabdula’aly and Khan, 2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradise, New-Zealand (remote)</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Halstead et al., 2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raipur, India (urban)</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>0.8-4.3</td>
<td>Patel et al., 2001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ajlune, Jordan (rural)</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td>1.64 ± 1.86</td>
<td>Al-Momani, 2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>snow</td>
<td>0.21-1.95</td>
<td>Brandt et al., 1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>snow</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>Brandt et al., 1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield (California), United-States</td>
<td>fog</td>
<td>0.02-0.2</td>
<td>Jacob et al., 1984</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburb of Zürich, Switzerland</td>
<td>fog</td>
<td>0.5-2</td>
<td>Behra and Sigg, 1990</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zindelen (Zürick), Switzerland</td>
<td>fog</td>
<td>0.3-91.4</td>
<td>Joss and Baltensperger, 1991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Pedro Hill, California, United-States</td>
<td>fog</td>
<td>1-11.3</td>
<td>Erel et al., 1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henninger Flats, California, United-States</td>
<td>fog</td>
<td>2.2-27</td>
<td>Erel et al., 1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Pietro (Pô Valley), Italy</td>
<td>fog</td>
<td>1-4.6</td>
<td>Schwanz et al., 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquim, United-states</td>
<td>fog</td>
<td>5.4-9.8</td>
<td>Rao and Collett Jr, 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles, California, United-States</td>
<td>cloud</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Waldman et al., 1985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>cloud</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>Hosono et al., 1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Concentration Range</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0.5-3.4</td>
<td>cloud</td>
<td>Wobrock et al., 1994</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munich, Germany</td>
<td>0.09-8.3</td>
<td>0.09-2.15</td>
<td>cloud</td>
<td>Sinner et al., 1994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiteface mountain, New York, United-States</td>
<td>0.32-0.95</td>
<td>cloud</td>
<td>Khwaja et al., 1995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiteface Mountain, United-States</td>
<td>0.08-1.57</td>
<td>cloud</td>
<td>Arakaki and Faust, 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puy de Dôme, France</td>
<td>0.21-3.4</td>
<td>cloud</td>
<td>Marinoni et al., 2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Bay, Boston Harbor, United-States</td>
<td>50-74</td>
<td>seawater</td>
<td>Zhuang et al., 1995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25-53</td>
<td>rain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25-74</td>
<td>snow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pacific</td>
<td>0.01-0.15μg/L</td>
<td>5-135ng/L</td>
<td>11-100 marine aerosols</td>
<td>Zhuang et al., 1993</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Pacific</td>
<td>0.4 nM/kg</td>
<td>Surface seawater</td>
<td>O’Sullivan et al. 1991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbodas</td>
<td>0.6-5μg/L</td>
<td>28-150ng/L</td>
<td>7.5 marine aerosols</td>
<td>Zhu et al., 1993a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Xian, China</td>
<td>4-11</td>
<td>aerosol water</td>
<td>Zhuang et al., 1992a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles basin, Delaware Bay, United-States</td>
<td>300-5000nM</td>
<td>17-55 fog cloud</td>
<td>Eral et al., 1993</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funka Bay, Japan</td>
<td>20-40nM/kg</td>
<td>oxic Seawater</td>
<td>Kuma et al., 1992</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast of Peru</td>
<td>0-15nM/kg</td>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td>Hong et al., 1986</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narragansett Bay</td>
<td>15nM/kg (unfiltered)</td>
<td>Surface water</td>
<td>King et al., 1988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.2nM/kg (0.45μm filtered)</td>
<td></td>
<td>King et al., 1991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Atlantic</td>
<td>0.5-10nM</td>
<td>Surface seawater</td>
<td>Powell et al., 1995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A-2-Transformation of iron in the aqueous solution**

The redox cycling of iron has been intensively studied in marine and freshwater (Waite and Morel, 1984; Hong and Kester, 1986; Johnson et al., 1994; Waite et al.,
1995; Gledhill and van den Berg, 1995; Voelker et al., 1997) and in atmospheric water systems (Figure II-A-3) (Behra and Sigg, 1990; Kotronarou and Sigg, 1993; Sedlak and Hoigné, 1993; Zuo et al., 2005). The kinetics of Fe(III) reduction and of Fe(II) oxidation are of crucial importance in determining the speciation and thus the bioavailability of iron, since most photosynthetic aquatic organisms can take up iron only in the dissolved form (Anderson et al., 1982; Sunda et al., 1996).

![Figure II-A-3 Scheme of iron recycling in the atmospheric water](image)

**Figure II-A-3 Scheme of iron recycling in the atmospheric water**  
*Behra and Sigg (1990)*

Figure II-A-4 presents the scheme of photochemical transformation of iron in aqueous solution in the presence of oxygen. Under irradiation, Fe(III) was effectively reduced into Fe(II) by superoxide radical (O$_2^-$) (Voelker and Sedlak, 1995). At the same time Fe(II) was reoxidized into Fe(III) by reactive species generated in the reaction. Table II-A-2 lists the rate constants about the reactions occur in the seawater.
### Table II-A-2 Reactions and rate constants in (S=35) sea water at 298 k.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>$K \text{ (M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Iron reactions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(II) + $O_2$ → Fe(III) + $O_2^-$</td>
<td>log (k) = -14.06 + 1.87 (pH)</td>
<td>Millero, 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(II) + $O_2^-$ + 2$H^+$ → Fe(III) + $H_2O_2$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>Rush and Bielski, 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(III) + $O_2^-$ → Fe(II) + $O_2$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>Rush and Bielski, 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(II)+$H_2O_2$ → Fe(III) + ·OH + OH$^-$</td>
<td>log (k) = -3.04 + 1.0 (pH)</td>
<td>Millero and Sotolongo, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(II) + ·OH → Fe(III) + OH$^-$</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>Farahataziz and Ross, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(III)-L + hν → Fe(II) + L·</td>
<td>$6.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>Miller et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Superoxide dismutation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_2^-$ + $O_2^-$ + 2$H^+$ → $H_2O_2$ + $O_2$</td>
<td>log (k) = 12.7 - 1.0 (pH)</td>
<td>Zafiriou, 1990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A-3-The species of Fe(III) in the aqueous solution

Fe(III) ions are easy hydrolyzed in the aqueous solutions and usually exist as the hydrolytic low molecular complexes:

- The monomer $[Fe(H_2O)_6]^{3+}$, which corresponds to $Fe^{3+}$ surrounded by six water molecules (Figure II-A-5).
The monomer \([\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{OH})]^2+\) or \(\text{Fe(OH)}^2+\), in which a water molecule has been replaced by an \(\text{OH}^-\) group.

The monomer species \([\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\text{OH})_2]^+\) or \(\text{Fe(OH)}_2^+\), in which two water molecules have been replaced by two hydroxide groups.

The dimer species \([\text{Fe}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_8(\text{OH})_2]^{4+}\) or \(\text{Fe}_2(\text{OH})_2^{4+}\) composed with two metal ions, the structure of which is described in the following figure:

Fe(III) served as donor to form water-soluble polymers:

The trimer species of Fe(III) seems to exist in two forms, \([\text{Fe}_3(\text{OH})_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{12}]^{6+}\) or \([\text{Fe}_3(\text{OH})_3]^6+\) and \([\text{Fe}_3(\text{OH})_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{14}]^{5+}\) or \([\text{Fe}_3(\text{OH})_4]^{5+}\), represented in the following figure.

The oligomers, polymers or aggregates of iron (III) are also present in the solution, but the structure was never clearly identified.
Finally, oxides of iron (III) are also present in the form of suspended particles such as: α and γ-FeO(OH) (goethite and lépidocrocite), α and γ-Fe₂O₃ (magnetite and hematite) or Fe(OH)₃ (ferrihydrite).

Since Fe(III) is very reactive, usually existing as many different species in the aqueous solutions, especially under irradiation. It is necessary to study the factors influencing the speciation of Fe(III), the pH value and the initial Fe(III) concentration.

A-3-1 Influence of pH

pH is a very important factor on the equilibrium and distribution of different iron species in the aqueous solutions. The main Fe(III)-hydroxy complexes in water are pH dependent. At pH ≤ 5, the dominant species are Fe³⁺, Fe(OH)²⁺, Fe(OH)₂⁺ and the dimer Fe₂(OH)₄⁺. Calculation of Fe(III)-hydroxy complexes as function of pH is based on the following equilibria:

\[ Fe(III) + H₂O \leftrightarrow Fe(OH)²⁺ + H^+ \] \( (1) \)
\[ K_1 = 2.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol. L}^{-1} \]

\[ Fe(III) + 2H₂O \leftrightarrow Fe(OH)_2^+ + 2H^+ \] \( (2) \)
\[ K_2 = 1.3 \times 10^{-8} (\text{mol. L}^{-1})² \]

\[ 2Fe(III) + 2H₂O \leftrightarrow Fe_2(OH)_4^{4+} + 2H^+ \] \( (3) \)
\[ K_3 = 6.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol. L}^{-1} \]

\[ Fe(III) + 3H₂O \leftrightarrow Fe(OH)_{3\text{aq}}^0 + 3H^+ \] \( (4) \)
\[ K_4 = 1.2 \times 10^{-13} (\text{mol. L}^{-1})³ \]

K₁, K₂ and K₃ were determined by Faust and Hoigné (1990), at 298k with ionic strength 0.03 mol.L⁻¹. K₄ was taken from a value 2.4 \times 10^{-14} (Byrne and kester, 1976) of in sea water at 36.22% salinity and 298k, with a correction ionic strength effects from 0.7 to 0.03 mol.L⁻¹. The proportion of each monomer Fe(III) complexes depends on the pH of the solution.

The following reaction is an equilibrium reaction of Fe(III) complex that leads to
trimer Fe(III) species.

$$3\text{Fe(III)} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_3(\text{OH})_4^{5+} + 4\text{H}^+ \quad (5)$$

$$K_5 = 1.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ (mol. L}^{-1})^2$$

The formation of species monomer Fe(OH)$_2^{+}$ and dimer Fe$_2$(OH)$_4^{4+}$ has also been reported from the species Fe(OH)$_2^{+}$ (Murray, 1974).

$$\text{Fe(H}_2\text{O)}_3(\text{OH})^{2+} \leftrightarrow \text{Fe(H}_2\text{O)}_4(\text{OH)2}^{+} + \text{H}^+ \quad (6)$$

$$2\text{Fe(H}_2\text{O)}_4(\text{OH)2}^{4+} \leftrightarrow \text{Fe}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O)}_8(\text{OH})_2^{4+} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (7)$$

Regarding speeds of balances (1) and (6), Hemmes et al., (1971) showed that the balance (1) was very fast compared to the balance (6). Thus, they proposed the following values $k_1 > 3 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_6 = 6.1 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Therefore, it can be concluded that after the dissolution of the crystals of iron (III) in the low-acidity, the complex Fe$^{3+}$ exist in small quantities and the complex Fe(OH)$_2^{2+}$ will be quickly formed.

Figure II-A-8 represents the distribution of the three low molecular weight Fe(III) species as a function of pH. This distribution has been determined from the equilibrium constants cited above.

![Figure II-A-8 The distribution of Fe(III) complexes as function of pH](image)

$$[\text{Fe(III)}] = 0.03 \text{ mol.L}^{-1}, T = 298 \text{ k (Mestankova, 2004)}$$
**A-3-2 Influence of iron concentration**

The species of Fe(III) present in the aqueous solutions also depend on the initial concentration of Fe(III). Flynn (1984) has established areas of prevalence of these complexes, depending both of pH and Fe(III) concentration (Figure II-A-9).

![Figure II-A-9 Approximate predominance-area pH vs logC_{Fe(III)} (M) diagram, 25°C, ionic strength 0. The dashed lines labeled a, b, and c are the saturation lines for goethite, aged amorphous hydrous oxide, and fresh amorphous hydrous oxide, respectively.](image)

According to the figure above, the iron complex will be in the form of dimers only in highly concentrated solutions with Fe(III) (> 5×10^{-2} mol.L^{-1}).

**A-4-Characterization of Fe(III) aqueous solution**

In the aqueous solutions, Fe(III) is not stable and normally exists in the form of Fe(III)-hydroxy complexes. Figure II-A-10 shows the different UV-vis spectra of iron complexes present in aqueous solution.
The UV-visible absorption spectra of Fe$^{3+}$ complex (Fe(H$_2$O)$_6^{3+}$) presents a maximum absorbance at 240 nm with a molar absorption coefficient of 3850-4500 L. mol$^{-1}$. cm$^{-1}$ (Langford et al., 1975; Knight et Sylva, 1975; Milburn, 1956).

The UV-visible spectra of Fe(OH)$^{2+}$ complex (Fe(H$_2$O)$_5$(OH)$^{2+}$) presents a maximum absorbance at 297 nm with a molar absorption coefficient 2000 L. mol$^{-1}$. cm$^{-1}$ (Weschler et al., 1986; Faust and Hoigné, 1990).

The UV-visible spectra of Fe(OH)$_2^{2+}$ complex (Fe(H$_2$O)$_4$(OH)$^{2+}$) presents a maximum absorbance at 297 nm with a molar absorption coefficient poorly defined, between 1100 L. mol$^{-1}$. cm$^{-1}$ (Escot, 1973) and 1800 L. mol$^{-1}$. cm$^{-1}$ (Knight and Sylva, 1975).

The UV-visible spectra of dimeric Fe$_2$(OH)$_2^{2+}$ complex (Fe$_2$(H$_2$O)$_8$(OH)$^{2+}$) has a maximum absorbance at 350 nm with a molar absorption coefficient of 3500 L. mol$^{-1}$. cm$^{-1}$ (Milburn, 1956) or 8300 L. mol$^{-1}$. cm$^{-1}$ (Knight et Sylva, 1975) according to the authors.

The Fe(III) aggregates present in aqueous solution has a continuous absorption increasing from 500 to 200 nm.
B-Iron-Carboxylate complexes

B-1-Carboxylic acids in the environment

Carboxylic acids have received considerable attention as one of the most common dissolved organic compounds present in natural environment (Thurman, 1985; Perdue et al., 1990). They are also considered to be one of the dominant classes of organic compounds found in the atmosphere in a variety of phases (Talbot et al., 1995, 1996a, b, 1997a, b, Chebbi and Carlier, 1996). They have been found in rainwater (Kumar et al., 1993; Guiang et al., 1984; Khare, 1997; Sempéré, 1996), snow and ice (Gunz and Hoffmann, 1990), on aerosol particles (Limbeck et al., 1999; Kawamura and Ikushima, 1993; Khwaja, 1995; Grosjean, 1989) and in the gas phase (Kumar et al., 1996; Talbot et al., 1988; Grosjean, 1990; Hartmann et al., 1989). Formic and acetic acids constitute the most abundant carboxylic acids in the global troposphere (Keene and Galloway, 1984; 1986).

Polycarboxylates, including citrate, malonate, and oxalate, are common constituents of precipitation (Likens et al., 1983), fog (Kawamura et al., 1985), urban (Grosjean et al., 1978; Satsumabayashi et al., 1990) and remote (Kawamura and Gagosian, 1990) tropospheric aerosols, surface waters (Thurman, 1985; Lamar and Goerlitz, 1966), and soil solutions (Fox, 1990). Table II-B-1 gives some distribution of carboxylic acids in different sites. The ubiquitous presence of carboxylic acids in the environment is an important aspect to be investigated.

Table II-B-1 Monocarboxylic and dicarboxylic acids investigated in aerosols at different sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling sites</th>
<th>Monocarboxylic acids</th>
<th>Dicarboxylic acids</th>
<th>Polyfunctional compounds</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antwerpen (urban)</td>
<td>C12-C29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Cautreels and Cauwenberghe, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles (urban)</td>
<td>C3-C10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grosjean et al., 1978</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Many bibliographies indicate that carboxylates can form strong complexes with iron. Inorganic Fe(III) complexes are recognised to be photoreactive (King et al., 1993; Deng et al., 2006), natural organic chromophores greatly enhance the Fe(III) reduction rates under solar radiation conditions (Waite and Morel, 1984a; Wells et al., 1991; Erel et al., 1993).

In systems containing organic ligands which adsorb to Fe(III) oxides, there is generally an increase in the efficiency of iron photodissolution over that observed in inorganic solutions. Carboxylic acids (Waite and Morel, 1984a; Cunningham et al., 1988), thiol containing compounds (Waite and Torikov, 1987), adsorbed alcohols (Cunningham et al., 1985), and freshwater fulvic acids (Waite and Morel, 1984a) have all been shown to significantly enhance photochemical production of Fe(II) from Fe(III) oxides. In all of these cases, the resulting reaction products were Fe$^{2+}$ and oxidation products of the adsorbed species. Evidence from studies on the photooxidation of oxalate, sulfite, and iodide by iron oxides suggest that reduction of
Fe(III) proceeds by direct light absorbance at the LMCT (ligand to metal charge transfer) band of the surface bound organic complex (Faust and Hoffmann, 1986; Leland and Bard, 1987; Sulzberger et al., 1989; Waite, 1990). This process provides a simple explanation of experimental observations but does not exclude the alternative mechanisms of electron transfer after light excitation at other chromophoric sites within the ligand or solid (Waite and Morel, 1984b; Cunningham et al., 1988; Waite, 1990).

In the presence of dissolved organic ligands of iron, the photoreactivity of iron is significantly altered (Figure II-B-1). Oxalic, citric, malic, glyceric, salicylic, tartaric, glutaric, gluconic, and p-hydroxybenzoic acids have all been shown to increase photoproduction of Fe(II) in solution (Cunningham et al., 1988; Kuma et al., 1992; Zuo and Zhan, 2005) by as much as three orders of magnitude depending on the nature and concentration of the ligand. Evidence has been presented for the extensive organic complexation of iron in oceanic waters (Gledhill and van den Berg, 1994; Rue and Bruland, 1995-this volume; Wells et al., 1995) which should make homogenous mechanisms for Fe(II) photoproduction (reviewed by Faust, 1994) also relevant to any explanation of observed Fe(II) distributions in natural waters.

Figure II-B-1 The mechanism of photochemical redox cycling of iron in the aqueous solution. Fe(II)-L and Fe(III)-L represent Fe(II) and Fe(III) complexed with Ligand. (Abida, 2005)
The half-lives of Fe(III)-polycarboxylate species in sunlight (latitude $34^\circ$ N, at midday in June) are 0.2 min for Fe(oxalate)$^+$/Fe(oxalate)$_2^-$ (Hoigné, 1990; Zuo and Hoigné, 1992), 5 min for Fe(malonate)$^+$/Fe(malonate)$_2^-$, and 0.9 min for Fe(OH)(citrate)$^-$ (Faust and Zepp, 1993). With half-lives on the order of minutes, the photolysis of Fe(III)-polycarboxylates represents a potentially important source of Fe(II) to atmospheric and surface waters and could easily account for much of the Fe(II) formation in many natural waters. Figure II-B-2 presents the reaction scheme for the photolysis of Fe(III) complexes of polycarboxylates (oxalate, malonate, citrate). Under irradiation, many kinds of active radicals (ROO·, ·O$_2^-$, HO$_2^-$, ·OH) are generated in the solution. From the scheme, iron concentration, pH and oxygen are all important parameters.

Figure II-B-2 Reaction scheme for the photolysis of Fe(III)-polycarboxylate complexes
The oxidation rate of Fe(II) was apparently affected by the chelators with oxygen ligands in the aqueous solution (Welch et al., 2002). The first order rate constant of Fe(II) oxidation has been listed in Table II-B-2. EDTA, NTA, citric acid, deferoxamine and benzoquinone significantly increased the rate of Fe(II) oxidation, approximately six- to tenfold over the rate of autoxidation in the buffer alone. Conversely, chelators with nitrogen ligands that would stabilize Fe(II), inhibited the rate of oxidation, although not as significantly as the oxygen rich chelators had a stimulating effect. However, pyruvic acid appeared to inhibit the rate of Fe(II) oxidation.

Table II-B-2 The effect of chelation on the rate of Fe(II) oxidation. 
[Fe(II)] = 110 μmol.L⁻¹, [chelator] = 550 μmol.L⁻¹, 50 mmol.L⁻¹ Tris buffer, pH 7.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chelator</th>
<th>k (s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>&gt;11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTA</td>
<td>&gt;11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADP</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalic acid</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histidine</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histamine</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferoxamine</td>
<td>&gt;11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroquinone</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvic acid</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzoquinone</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Ultra pure tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane hydrochloride (Tris)

**B-2-1-Fe-Oxalate complexes**

As indicated above, ferrioxalate is a photosensitive complex that is able to expand the usage of solar spectrum range up to 450 nm (18% of solar irradiation) improving the oxidation efficiency of the solar-Fenton process (Nogueira et al., 2000, 2005; Emilio et al., 2002).
There is very little information on the ferrioxalate assisted photo-Fenton systems using ferrous initiated processes. Sulzberger (1995) presented a linear free energy relation between the redox potential of Fe(III)/Fe(II) species and the pseudo first-order rate constant of Fe(II) oxygenation, as shown in Figure II-B-3.

The redox potential of the oxygenation of Fe(II) that is inner-spherically adsorbed on a goethite surface, (>FeO)Fe, can be estimated from the experimentally determined rate constant (Tamura et al., 1976), and the rate constant of oxygenation of Fe$^{II}$C$_2$O$_4$ can be estimated from the calculated redox potential. Data for the rate constants of Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe(OH)$^+$ oxygenation are from Singer and Stumm (1970) and for Fe(OH)$_2$ oxygenation from Millero et al. (1987) (modified from Wehrli, 1990).

Fe(II) is readily oxidized by HO$_2$/O$_2^-$. At pH 3.0, HO$_2^-$ is predominant, since its acidity constant is 4.8 (Bielski et al., 1985):

$$Fe^{2+} + HO_2^- + H^+ \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + H_2O_2$$

$$\log k = 6.1$$ (Rush and Bielski, 1985)
Using the linear free energy relation shown in Fig. 4, the bimolecular rate constant of oxidation of Fe$^{II}$C$_2$O$_4$ (1:1 stoichiometry) by O$_2$ may be estimated and compared to that of Fe$^{2+}$ oxygenation:

\[ \text{Fe}^{II}C_2O_4 + O_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{III}C_2O_4^+ + O_2^\bullet^- \]
\[ \log k = 5.3 \text{ (Sulzberger et al., 1995)} \]

\[ \text{Fe}^{2+} + O_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + O_2^\bullet^- \]
\[ \log k = 5.1 \text{ (Singer and Stumm, 1970)} \]

Complexation by oxalate also enhances Fe(II) oxidation by H$_2$O$_2$ (Sedlak and Hoigne, 1993):

\[ \text{Fe}^{II}C_2O_4 + H_2O_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{III}C_2O_4^+ + \text{OH}^\bullet + \text{OH}^- \]
\[ \log k = 4.5 \text{ (Sedlak and Hoigne, 1993)} \]

\[ \text{Fe}^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^\bullet + \text{OH}^- \]
\[ \log k = 1.8 \text{ (Hartwick, 1957)} \]

In recent years, ferrioxalate has been widely used in the photo-Fenton reaction involving ferric compounds.

---

Figure II-B-4. Light-induced iron cycling, and surface (--) and solution (s) reactions in heterogeneous photo-Fenton systems. (Mazellier and Sulzberger, 2001)
Figure II-B-4 presents the various surfaces and solution reactions, the intermediates and products formed in heterogeneous photo-Fenton systems in which Fe(III) oxalate surface and solution complexes are photolyzed. Dissolved Fe(II) [Fe(II)(aq)], the superoxide/hydroperoxyl radicals (O_2^-/HO_2·), and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) are key intermediates. In systems containing initially a solid Fe(III) (hydr)oxide phase such as goethite (R-FeOOH), Fe(II)(aq) formation proceeds initially via photolysis of Fe(III) oxalate surface complexes (Waite and Morel, 1984; Siffert and Sulzberger, 1991) (reaction sequence I). Thereby, the first essential step consists of the specific adsorption of oxalic acid on the surface of the Fe(III) (hydr)oxide. Photolysis of the Fe(III) surface complex is followed by dissociation of the oxalate radical, C_2O_4^{2-}, from the surface and detachment of the reduced surface iron center from the crystal lattice and transfer into solution. The oxalate radical reacts with molecular oxygen yielding O_2^-, where O_2^- is in equilibrium with HO_2· (pK_a = 4.8; Bielski et al., 1995). The product of HO_2·/O_2^- dismutation is H_2O_2, by the following reactions:

\[
2HO_2^· / O_2^- \xrightarrow{H^+ / 2H^+} H_2O_2 + O_2 \quad (1)
\]

\[
Fe(II)_{aq} + HO_2^· / O_2^- \xrightarrow{H^+ / 2H^+} Fe(III)_{aq} + H_2O_2 \quad (2)
\]

Hydrogen peroxide formed from HO_2·/O_2^- is another significant oxidant of Fe(II)(aq) by the Fenton reaction yielding ·HO,

\[
H_2O_2 + Fe(II)_{aq} \rightarrow Fe(III)_{aq} + ·OH + OH^- \quad (3)
\]

The rate constants of Fe(II)(aq) oxidation by HO_2·/O_2^- and by H_2O_2 depend critically on the Fe(II)(aq) speciation. In the presence of excess oxalate, Fe(III)(aq) is present as dissolved iron(III) oxalate complexes that undergo photolysis (reactions IV in Figure II-B-2) leading ultimately to ·OH production.

Hug et al., (1997) studied the photoreduction of Cr(VI) induced by Fe(III)-Oxalate complexes (Figure II-B-5).
**Figure II-B-5 Possible reactions in illuminated solution containing Cr(VI), Oxalate, and Fe(II, III) (Hug et al., 1997).**

**B-2-2-Fe-Citrate complexes**

Hamm studied the formation of Fe(III) complexes with Citric acid (Cit) and got the following forms, (FeHCit)$^+$, FeCit, (FeOHCit)$^-$ and (Fe(OH)$_2$Cit)$^{2-}$ (Hamm et al, 1954). Citric acid forms a mononuclear bidentate complex, [Fe(III)(OH)$_2$Cit]$^{2-}$, with ferric iron involving two carboxylic acid groups and a tridentate complex, [Fe(II)Cit]$^-$, with ferrous iron involving two carboxylic acid groups and the hydroxyl group. But some study also have indicated that a tridentate complex [Fe(III)Cit] is formed below pH 3 (Timberlake, 1964), and a bidentate dimer complex formed at neutral pH (Dhar et al., 1969). Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of ferrous-citrate confirmed the formation of a tridentate complex involving the hydroxyl group (Strouse, 1977). In the presence of oxygen, the ferrous-citrate complex undergoes oxidation and
hydrolysis, leading to the formation of ferric iron-citrate complex. The intermediates involved in the conversion of ferrous-citrate to ferric-citrate are presented in Figure II-B-6.

From the 1910s, numerous investigations have shown that photochemical dissociation of Fe(III)-citrate complexes in aqueous solution involved reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) and concomitant oxidation of the carboxylic acid, resulting in the formation of acetone and carbon dioxide as the final products (Abrahamson et al., 1994; Buchanan, 1970; Frahn, 1958). The general reaction can simply be represented as:

$$C(OH)(COOH)(CH_2COOH)_2 + 2 \text{Fe}^{3+} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{COCH}_3 + 2\text{Fe}^{2+} + 3\text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ (1)$$

The efficiency of the photoreduction reaction in aqueous solutions depends much on two factors; the pH and the initial citrate to metal ratio (Zhang et al., 2006). From above, it is indicated that the photolysis of Fe(III)-citrate complexes cause the formation of some reactive species (e.g. H$_2$O$_2$ and ·OH). Hydroxyl radicals produced in the water containing Fe(III)-citrate complexes can oxidize organic compounds coexisting in the aqueous solutions (Chen et al., 2007).
**B-2-3-Fe-Tartrate complexes**

Tartaric acid (Tar) in aqueous solution is of considerable significance in many biochemical and chemical processes. Neutral and acid salts of tartaric acid (H$_2$Tar) are produced in large quantities and used in the food, cosmetic, pharmaceutical and chemical industries. Tartrate ions are ubiquitous in environmental samples and are found in the concentration range from low ppb to ppm. The formation of Fe(III)-Tar complexes has been reported in many bibliographies. Most reports is about the complex stoichiometry in 1:1 or 2:2 (Chevela et al., 1995; Mentasti and Baiocchi, 1980). Kostromina et al. (1987) studied the distribution of complexes in the solution with the concentration of Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$/Tartaric acid in 1:1 (as Figure II-B-7).

![Diagram of distribution of complexes in the system Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$-Tartaric acid (1:1): 1) Feaq; 2) FeH$_3$tar; 3) Fe$_2$-(H$_3$Tar)(H$_2$Tar); 4) FeH$_2$Tar; 5) Fe$_2$(H$_2$Tar)$_2$; 6) FeHTar; 7) Fe$_2$(HTar)$_2$; 8) FeTar; 9) Fe$_2$(Tar)$_2$. (Kostromina et al., 1988)](image)

The construction of Stuart-Briegleb three-dimensional models showed that when dimmer complexes are formed (Figure II-B-8), two iron atoms may be bonded to all the donor groups of the tartaric acid anions (H$_2$Tar$^2-$, HTar$^3-$, Tar$^4-$).
In the complex $\text{Fe}_2(\text{H}_3\text{Tar})(\text{H}_2\text{Tar})$, either the same mode of coordination with delocalization of a proton is set up, or there is no bond to the COOH group. The structure of the dimer complexes and the changes in the stability constants during deprotonation and dimerization suggest the following most probable modes of coordination for monomer complexes (Kostromina et al., 1988):

Figure II-B-9 Proposed monomer complexes

Ramamoorthy and Manning (1972) have studied the formation of Fe(III)-Tar complexes in the mixed-ligand system with DL- and meso-Tartaric acid. Titrations were performed for metal/ligand = 1:1 and 1:2 to allow the formation of higher complexes. Results indicated the formation of complexes in 1:1 and 1:2. The value of $\log K_{\text{ML}_2}$ for the Fe(III)-meso-tartrate system is greater than that of the DL-Tartrate.

Figure II-B-10 presents the distribution of Fe(III) into various complex species as well as free Fe(III) ions, as a function of pH. The mixed ligand complex is the predominant species, binding nearly 88% of total free ion around pH 1.6, the free Fe(III) being the other species. The concentration of free Fe(III) and mixed complex
decrease slightly in the pH range 2.0~2.4 with consequent formation of the simple complexes. The concentration of simple complexes reaches a maximum around pH 2.4 and thereafter the concentration of mixed complex starts increasing again.

![Figure II-B-10 Distribution of complexes in Fe(III)-meso-dl tartaric acid systems.](image)


Tartrate as a ligand can form complex with other metal ions, such as Al (Desroches et al., 2000). Gallet and Paris (1968) reported the formation of only 1:1 and 1:2 complexes from thermometric studies of tartrates and citrates of lanthanides. Motekaitis and Martell (1984) calculated constants for the following series of complexes (with M standing for Al(III) and L for dianionic tartrate): MLH₁, MLH₂, ML₂, ML₂H₁, ML₂H₂, ML₃H₃, ML₃H₄, ML₃H₅ and ML₃H₆ in 0.1 mol.L⁻¹ of KNO₃ and at 25°C. However, Marklund and Öhman (1990) characterized instead ML, M₂L₂H₁, M₂L₂H₂, M₂L₂H₃, M₂L₂H₄ in 0.6 mol.L⁻¹ of NaCl and at 25 °C.
C-Chlorophenols

Like many phenolic compounds, chlorophenols are environmental pollutants of great health concerning. Among the 19 different chlorophenols, 2-chlorophenol (2-CP), 2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP), 2,4,6-trichlorophenol (2,4,6-TCP) and pentachlorophenol (PCP) have been listed by the US EPA as priority pollutants (Ormad, et al., 2001). Table II-C-1 lists some common chlorophenols. These compounds have widely been used in the manufacture of pesticides, insecticides, herbicides, fungicides, intermediates of dyes and other industrial chemicals. Chlorination of phenols during the disinfection of waste water also produces chlorophenols. These result in contamination of many lakes and water streams by chlorophenols (Keating et al., 1978; Othmer et al., 1979; Prengle et al., 1976). Due to their toxicity, they are consequently harmful to human, animal, and fish that are exposed to such contaminated environments. Thus, the removal or destruction of these compounds by other chemical or physical means is often required.

Table II-C-1 Structures of common chlorophenols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4-chlorophenol</th>
<th>2,3-dichlorophenol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="4-chlorophenol" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="2,3-dichlorophenol" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image3" alt="2,4-dichlorophenol" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="2,6-dichlorophenol" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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C-1-The contamination potential of 2, 4-Dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) in the environment

2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) as a dichlorophenol, has a wide environmental concern because it is commonly used as mothproofing and miticide. Its molecular structure is shown in Table II-C-1. The largest uses for 2,4-DCP have also been found as an intermediate, especially in the manufacture of the herbicide 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) and pesticides. 2,4-DCP is so highly toxic that even a small amount on the skin can be lethal. In October 1998, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) was notified of the death of a worker acutely exposed to 2,4-DCP. Five chemical-plant workers has died from 2,4-DCP exposure in the US from 1980-1998 (US EPA, 2000). Man who is exposed to 2,4-DCP, could through breathing cause risk of cancers, and through the skin developed acne and cause mild injure to his livers (ATSDR, 1999). 2,4-DCP can be present in drinking water when chlorine is used to disinfect it, therefore, the most likely source from which children could be exposed to 2,4-DCP, is water that has been disinfected by chlorine.

Volatilization may be the major dispersal mechanism of DCP into the atmosphere, as a result, it has often been detected in atmospheric emissions from the combustion of municipal solid waste, hazardous waste, coal, wood, and 2,4-DCP-based herbicides (Oberg et al., 1989). It was reported that 2,4-DCP was also found in the leachate from an industrial landfill (Brown et al., 1988). Moreover, some
chlorophenols, such as 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T) that is often used as herbicide on food crops, can break down to form 2,4-DCP. Therefore it has often been detected both in surface water and groundwater in addition to various aqueous systems and terrestrial systems.

However, the majority (85%) of known environmental releases of 2,4-DCP were to surface water through effluents discharged from industries that manufacture iron and steel, electrical components, photographic processing, pharmaceuticals, organic chemicals and from paper or pulp mills (US EPA, 1981; Paasivirta et al., 1985). Due to the great solubility and high mobility and wide distribution in the environment, 2,4-DCP has been taken as one of the priority pollutants in effluent by US EPA (1992) that regulates a wastewater discharge limitation 112 mg.L⁻¹ for one day, and monthly average maximum concentration should not exceed 39 mg.L⁻¹ under the best available treatment technology while 0.3 mg.L⁻¹ for the drinking water quality standard and 3.08 mg.L⁻¹ for both ambient water quality criteria and fishery water. The environmental fate and transport of 2,4-DCP are controlled by its physical and chemical properties and environmental conditions. Volatilization of 2,4-DCP from water is expected to be slow and, therefore, not a major removal process from surface waters. The biological treatment of waste containing 2,4-DCP has showed that none of the chemicals is removed by stripping (Stover and Kincannon, 1983). Volatilization from topsoil is also not expected to be a significant removal process. Thus, the environmental fate and transport of 2,4-DCP has the tendency to partition into sediments and lipids and to bioconcentrate.

**C-2 Degradation of 2,4-DCP**

In recent years, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have been widely used in wastewater treatment (Stafford et al., 1994; Lunar et al., 2000; Kurbus et al., 2003; Brillas et al., 2003; Trojanowicz et al., 2002), the main feature of them is producing OH radicals to oxidize various organic contaminants (Figure II-C-1).
Figure II-C-1 Advanced oxidation technologies

Here reviewed the degradation of chlorophenols by means of advanced oxidation process in recent ten years (Pera-Titus et al., 2004). Table II-C-2 gives the data concerning the half life times and pseudo first order kinetic constants for degradation of 2,4-DCP by advanced oxidation processes.

Table II-C-2 Degradation of 2,4-Dichlorophenol by means of advanced oxidation processes (Pera-Titus, 2004)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[2,4-DCP] (mmol.L⁻¹)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Radiation intensity (einstein L⁻¹ s⁻¹)</th>
<th>λ (nm)</th>
<th>t¹/₂ (min)</th>
<th>K₂,₄-DCP (min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>4.80 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>185–436</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>3.80 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>Benítez, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.52 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>185–436</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.80 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>Benítez, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.52 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>185–436</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>5.97 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>320–400</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>5.90 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>Kuo, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>5.97 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>320–400</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>7.00 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>6.45 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>6.95 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>Trapidó, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>6.45 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>4.98 × 10⁻²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>2.04 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>Baum, 1995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[2,4-DCP] (mmol.L⁻¹)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>[H₂O₂] (mmol.L⁻¹)</th>
<th>[Fe²⁺] (mmol.L⁻¹)</th>
<th>t¹/₂ (min)</th>
<th>K₂,₄-DCP (min⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>Tang, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>Benítez, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Not reached</td>
<td>7.00 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>Benítez, 2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

photo Fenton’s reagent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[2,4-DCP]</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Radiation</th>
<th>[H₂O₂]</th>
<th>[Fe²⁺]</th>
<th>λ (nm)</th>
<th>t¹/₂</th>
<th>k₂,₄-DCP</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[H$_2$O$_2$/UV reagent]</th>
<th>[2,4-DCP] (mmol.L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>Radiation intensity (einstein L$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>[H$_2$O$_2$] (mmol. L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>λ (nm)</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}$ (min)</th>
<th>$K_{2,4-DCP}$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>4.80 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>185–436</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>4.40 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>Benítez, 2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.80 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>185–436</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.54 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>Benítez, 2001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>6.45 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.64 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>Trapido, 1997</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>Room</td>
<td>6.45 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>5.22 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>Hugül, 2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.87 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>240–570</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[Ozone reagent]</th>
<th>[2,4-DCP] (mmol.L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>O$_3$ feed flow rate (mg min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}$ (min)</th>
<th>$K_{2,4-DCP}$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{O3}$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.40 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>Benítez, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>Benítez, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>Benítez, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.67 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Kuo, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Abe, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>300.0</td>
<td>1.07 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Chang, 1995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[O$_3$/UV reagent]</th>
<th>[2,4-DCP] (mmol.L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>O$_3$ feed flow rate (mg min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Radiation intensity (einstein L$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>λ (nm)</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}$ (min)</th>
<th>$K_{2,4-DCP}$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.76 × 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>185–436</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>6.5 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>Benítez, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.97 × 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>320–400</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.59 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>Kuo, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>107.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.45 × 10$^{-7}$</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.16 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>Trapido, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.50 × 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Abe, 1997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photocatalysis</th>
<th>[2,4-DCP] (mmol.L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Lamp</th>
<th>$t_{1/2}$ (min)</th>
<th>$K_{2,4-DCP}$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>Kuo, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>107.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>Trapido, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>Abe, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂ (0.1 g L⁻¹)</td>
<td>Solar light in flat reactor</td>
<td>Solar light in CPCs modules</td>
<td>Solar simulator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.03 x 10⁻⁶ Jardim, 1997</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>2.82 x 10⁻⁷ Giménez, 1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1368</td>
<td>2.98 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>6.24 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>8.93 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>3.93 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>8.17 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>9.59 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1.50 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>1.07 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>2.11 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>2.66 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>7.56 x 10⁻⁷</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>1.65 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>1.61 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>α-Fe₂O₃(1.5 g L⁻¹)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.68 x 10⁻⁶ Bandara, 2001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>PW₁₂O₄₃⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻ (7.0 x 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹)</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.60 x 10⁻⁵ Androulaki, 2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D-The 2, 4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2, 4-D)**

**D-1-Physicochemical properties of 2,4-D and contamination potential in the environment**

The chemical formula for 2,4-D is C₆H₅Cl₂O₃, and it occurs as a white crystalline powder that is almost insoluble in water (US EPA, US HSDB). The log K_{ow} for 2, 4-D is 2.81. Table II-D-1 lists the molecular structure of 2,4-D and some chemically related herbicides.
Table II-D-1 Structures of 2, 4-D and chemically related phenoxy herbicides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2, 4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2, 4-D)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,4-Dichlorophenoxy) butyric acid</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-chloro-2-methylphenoxyacetic acid (MCPA)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-(2,4-Dichlorophenoxy) propionic acid</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-(4-chloro-2-methylphenoxy) propionic acid (MCPP)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-(2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy) propionic acid</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4,5-T)</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2,4-D is used as a systemic herbicide to control broad leaf weeds in wheat, corn, rangeland/pastureland, sorghum, and barley. Over 1500 pesticide products contain 2,4-D as an active ingredient. 2,4-D, MCPA and mecoprop-p (MCPP-p) are chlorophenoxy acids developed in the 1940s and 1950s as growth regulating herbicides for use against broad leaf weeds. Since its introduction into Canada in the 1940s, 2,4-D has become one of the most widely used and studied herbicides for use in agriculture, forestry, non-crop vegetation management, turf grass, lawn care and weed resistance management. MCPA was introduced into Canada in 1952. Figure II-D-1 gives the market share in the agriculture phenoxy broad leaf weed herbicides.
market in Canada (2006 Research undertaken by RIAS Inc., regulatory impacts, alternatives and strategies).

![Market share in the agriculture phenoxy broad leaf weed herbicides market in Canada. Phenoxy market share of 25.9 million acres treated.](image)

Due to its relatively short half-life, 2,4-D is said to have low persistence in both soil and water. However, 2,4-D has a high potential to leach from soils, and therefore a potential for contaminating ground water. The herbicide has been detected in ground water in at least five states and Canada. Low concentrations have also been detected in surface water and drinking water in the US. Although, recently report by the European Commission (2001) United States Environmental Protection Agency (1988, 1997, 2004 and 2005) and the World Health Organization (1996, 1997, 1998 and 2003), as well as Health Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Agency (2005) conform that herbicide 2,4-D does not present a health risk. When it reach the water supply, natural degradation processes (Zepp et al., 1975), such as UV light degradation or biodegradation from microorganisms, may initiate the breakdown of 2,4-D and the major breakdown product, 2,4-DCP, is likely a more toxic compound and often more resistant to oxidative degradation. Other natural metabolic pathways of 2,4-D lead to compounds such as 2,4-dichloro-5-hydroxyacetic acid and
4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid (Hamburg et al., 2001)

**D-2-Degradation of 2,4-D**

An increasing interest in the use of alternative processes for the pesticide oxidation has been registered in the literature. Advanced oxidation processes using titanium dioxide (Sun and Pignatello, 1995; Herrmann, 1998; Modestov and Lev, 1998) and zinc oxide (Sanches et al., 1996) as photo catalysts have been reported and the yield of 2, 4-D degradation has shown to be dependent on the mass of semiconductor, temperature and solution pH. Nevertheless, the measured TOC values remained constant during illumination, which indicates that mineralization hardly occurs. Ozonation, when catalyzed with UV light in the presence of iron ions, has presented high efficiency for the degradation of 2, 4-D (Müller et al., 1998; Piera et al., 2000; Brillas et al., 2003). Hydrogen peroxide and Fenton reagent, both accelerated by UV radiation, also have shown higher conversion rates to carbon dioxide (Sun and Pignatello, 1993; Lee et al., 2003). Direct UV irradiation method is used to degrade the 2,4-D (Kundu et al., 2005). Other photocatalysis involve various iron-mediated oxidation systems and the application ferrioxalate-exchanged resin on the removal of 2,4-D in the presence of hydrogen peroxide and UV light has been studied (Kwan and Chu, 2003, 2006). Ionizing radiation is also an efficient means for oxidative decomposition of 2,4-D (Zona et al., 1999, 2002, 2003). In some treatments, 2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) was found as an intermediate product.(Sun and Pignatello, 1993; Herrmann, 1998).
Figure II-D-2 Proposed pathway for the degradation of 2,4-D (Carla et al., 2006)

Figure II-D-2 presents the proposed pathway for the degradation of 2,4-D by the Fe(II)/UV/H₂O₂ method (Carla et al., 2006). It includes the formation of 2,4-DCR by attack of hydroxyl radicals to the ring and the formation of 2,4-DCP by attack to the side chain. The compound 2-chlorobenzoquinone (2-CBQ), although not detected by all the analytical means, was included as a natural sequence of transformation of 2-chlorohydroquinone (2-CHQ).
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III-EXPERIMENTAL MATERIALS AND METHODS

A-REAGENTS

Ferric citrate, Sigma, > 99%.
Ferric perchlorate (Fe(ClO₄)₃·9H₂O), Fluka, > 97%.
2,4-Dichlorophenol, Sigma, > 99%.
2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, Sigma, > 99%.
L-Tartaric acid, Sigma, > 99%.
D-Tartaric acid, Sigma, > 99%.
Pyruvic acid, Sigma, > 99%.
FeSO₄·(NH₄)₂SO₄·6H₂O, Aldrich, 99%.
5, 5-Dimethylpyrroline-N-oxylde (DMPO), Aldrich, > 97%.
8-Hydroxyquinoline-5-sulfonic acid hydrate (HQSA), Aldrich, > 98%.
Benzene, Shanghai chemical reagent co. LTD, > 99%.
Phenol, Shanghai chemical reagent co. LTD, > 99%.
Sodium hydroxide, Prolabo, > 97%.
Ammonium acetate, Aldrich, > 98%.
Potassium Ferrioxalate, Merck, > 99%.
Sulfuric acid, Merck, made in EEC, > 95%.
Ferric chloride, Fluka, 99%.
Phosphoric acid, sigma-Aldrich, > 85%.
Acetic acid, Aldrich, > 99%.
Hydrochloric acid, Merck (0.1 M).
Perchloric acid, Merck, > 97%.
1,10-phenanthroline, Fluka, > 99%.
Potassium hydrogen phthalate, Nacalai tesque, Inc. KYOTO. Japan.
Sodium carbonate, Nacalai tesque, Inc. KYOTO, Japan.
Sodium hydrogen, Nacalai tesque, Inc. KYOTO, Japan.
Methanol, Carlo Erba Reagents, HPLC grade.
Acetonitrile, Carlo Erba Reagents, HPLC grade.
B-PREPARATION OF SOLUTIONS

B-1-Preparation of stock solutions

(a) Fe(III) stock solution (2 mmol.L⁻¹)

Great care was taken to prepare the solutions of Fe(III) in order to prevent evolution and/or precipitation of Fe(III). A certain quantity of Fe(ClO₄)₃·9H₂O (0.25817 g) was diluted to 250 ml by adding an appropriate volume of Milli-Q water to get the desired concentration of Fe(III) and the pH value of the stock solution was adjusted to pH 2.0 by perchloric acid.

(b) D-Tartaric acid (D-Tar) stock solution (2 mmol.L⁻¹)

0.03 g of D-Tar was diluted to 100 ml by adding an appropriate volume of Milli-Q water to get the desired concentration of D-Tar.

(c) L-Tartaric acid (L-Tar) stock solution (2 mmol.L⁻¹)

0.03 g of L-Tar was diluted to 100 ml by adding an appropriate volume of Milli-Q water to get the desired concentration of L-Tar.

(d) Pyruvic acid (Pyr) stock solution (2 mmol.L⁻¹)

0.035 ml of Pyr was diluted to 250 ml by adding an appropriate volume of Milli-Q water to get the desired concentration of Pyr.

(e) Ferric citrate stock solution (2 mmol.L⁻¹)

0.14045g of Ferric citrate was diluted to 250 ml by adding an appropriate volume of Milli-Q water to get the desired concentration of Ferric citrate.

(f) Ferric L-Tar complex or Ferric D-Tar complex stock solution (2 mmol.L⁻¹)
0.3 g of L-Tar acid or D-Tar acid and 0.5164 g of Fe(ClO₄)₃·9H₂O were mixed and diluted to 500 ml by adding an appropriate volume of Milli-Q water to get the concentration [Fe(III)]/[L-Tar] = 2mmol.L⁻¹/4mmol.L⁻¹ or [Fe(III)]/[D-Tar] = 2mmol.L⁻¹/4mmol.L⁻¹

(g) Fe (II) stock solution (0.45 mmol.L⁻¹)

0.0882 g of FeSO₄·(NH₄)₂SO₄·6H₂O was diluted to 500 ml by adding an appropriate volume of Milli-Q water to get the desired concentration of Fe (II).

(h) 2, 4-Dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) stock solution (1 mmol.L⁻¹)

0.0815 g of 2, 4-Dichlorophenol was diluted to 500 ml by adding an appropriate volume of Milli-Q water to get the desired concentration of 2, 4-Dichlorophenol.

(i) 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2, 4-D) stock solution (1 mmol.L⁻¹)

0.05526 g of 2, 4-D was diluted to 250 ml by adding an appropriate volume of Milli-Q water to get the desired concentration of 2,4-D.

(g) Benzene stock solution (10 mmol.L⁻¹)

Benzene was diluted to 1 L pure water. The solution was stirred with a magnetic bar to insure the complete dissolution of benzene.

(k) Acetic sodium buffer

The buffer of acetic sodium was prepared by mixing 600 mL of acetic sodium (1 N) and 360 mL of sulfuric acid (1 N) with end volume of 1 L by adding an appropriate volume of Milli-Q water.

(l) Potassium ferrioxalate
Potassium ferrioxalate used for actinometry was prepared from potassium oxalate and ferric chloride, according to the procedure proposed by Calvert and Pitts (Calvert et al., 1966), and carefully stored in the dark.

**(m) 8-Hydroxyquinoline-5-sulfonic acid hydrate (HQSA) solution (0.1 mol. L\(^{-1}\))**

The HQSA solution was prepared by dissolution of HQSA (1.21 g) in 50 mL of NaOH (0.12 mol.L\(^{-1}\)). Great care was taken to wash the flask by HNO\(_3\) to avoid that Fe adhered to the flask surface.

**B-2-Preparation of reaction solutions**

The majority of the experiments presented here were conducting under “natural” conditions, i.e. upon preparing solutions consisting of Fe(ClO\(_4\))\(_3\) and 2,4-dichlorophenol without addition of further components, in particular without additional acidification. These reaction solutions were either freshly prepared from the stock sample of Fe(ClO\(_4\))\(_3\)\(\cdot\)9H\(_2\)O, or by diluting aqueous stock solutions of 2 mmol L\(^{-1}\) Fe(ClO\(_4\))\(_3\) to appropriate concentrations. All the reaction solutions were all prepared with Milli-Q water. The pH values were adjusted with perchloric acid (1 N) and NaOH (1 N) by a JENWAY 3310 pH-meter to ±0.01 pH unit.

When necessary, reaction solutions were deaerated or oxygenated by purging with argon or oxygen before irradiation. The purging time depend on the solution volume: 20min for the big volume solutions (100 mL) and 10 min for the small volume solutions (5 mL).

**C-IRRADIATION**

**C-I-Ferrioxalate actinometry**

The light intensity \(I_0\) was measured by ferrioxalate potassium (K\(_3\)Fe(C\(_2\)O\(_4\))\(_3\)) actinometer (Calvert and Pitts, 1966). This method depend on the photochemical
reactivity of K$_3$Fe(C$_2$O$_4$)$_3$ in the acid solution. Under irradiation, Fe(III) was reduced to Fe(II) and oxalate ion was oxidized to CO$_2$. The reactions are as follows:

\[
\left[ \text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)^{-}_3 \right] \xrightarrow{h\nu} \left[ \text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)^{-}_2 \right]^{-} + \text{C}_2\text{O}_4^{-} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\left[ \text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)^{-}_3 \right] + \text{C}_2\text{O}_4^{-} \rightarrow \left[ \text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)^{-}_2 \right]^{-} + \text{C}_2\text{O}_4^{2-} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\left[ \text{Fe}^{3+}(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)^{-}_3 \right]^{-} \rightarrow \left[ \text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)^{-}_2 \right]^{-} + 2 \text{CO}_2 \tag{3}
\]

Since Fe$^{2+}$ can form stable red complex with 1,10-phenanthroline. Fe(II) concentrations were determined by complexometry using $\varepsilon_{510} = 1.118 \times 10^4$ mol$^{-1}$ L cm$^{-1}$ for the complex of Fe(II) with o-phenanthroline.

The principle of this assay is the following: after irradiation of a volume ($V_1$) of ferrioxalate potassium solution (0.006 mol. L$^{-1}$) for a time $t$ (expressed in seconds), we added at 2 mL ($V_2$) of this irradiated solution, 1 mL of acetate buffer, and 0.5 mL of 1.10-phenanthroline (0.1% by mass). The solution is then filled with pure water up to 5 mL ($V_3$). After agitation, the solutions were kept in the dark for 1h and then the UV-vis measurement was carried out at 510nm in a cell with an optical path equal to $l$.

The number of Fe(II) formed during the photo reaction was calculated with the following formula:

$$n_{Fe^{2+}} = \frac{6.023 \times 10^{20} \cdot V_1 \cdot V_3 \cdot \log(I_0 / I_f)}{V_2 \cdot I_{510} \cdot \varepsilon_{510}} = \frac{6.023 \times 10^{20} \cdot V_1 \cdot V_3 \cdot OD_{510}}{V_2 \cdot I_{510} \cdot \varepsilon_{510}}$$

With $OD_{510} = (OD_{solution} - OD_{blank})_{510}$, the value of the absorbance at 510 nm of the blank is obtained with the same solution of potassium ferrioxalate, but not irradiated and prepared as before.

The number of Fe$^{2+}$ formed is proportional to the fraction of absorbed light by the solution during this time $t$. Then the intensity emitted by the system, in photons per second for the volume $V_1$, is equal to:

$$I_0 = \frac{n_{Fe^{2+}}}{\phi_{Fe^{2+}} \cdot t \cdot (1 - 10^{-OD})} \text{ photons s}^{-1}$$
(1-10\textsuperscript{-OD}) is the percentage of photons absorbed by the solution at the wavelength of irradiation at time \( t = 0 \).

Then:

\[
I_0 = \frac{6.023 \cdot 10^{20} \cdot V_1 \cdot V_3 \cdot OD_{510}}{V_2 \cdot I_{510} \cdot \varepsilon_{510} \cdot \phi_{\text{Fe}^{2+}} \cdot t \cdot (1-10^{-DO})} \quad \text{photons s}^{-1} \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ mL}
\]

These photonic flows were expressed in photons s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\), because with parallel beam, \( V_1 \) can be assimilated to the length of the optical path of the cell \( \ell \) \( \text{irr} \); these flows were monitored throughout this work.

So,

\[
I_0 = \frac{6.023 \cdot 10^{20} \cdot V_3 \cdot I_{\text{irr}} \cdot DO_{510}}{V_2 \cdot I_{510} \cdot \varepsilon_{510} \cdot \phi_{\text{Fe}^{2+}} \cdot t \cdot (1-10^{-DO})} \quad \text{photons s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}
\]

**C-2-Irradiation with monochromator**

For the determination of quantum yields, solutions were irradiated in monochromatic parallel beam in 1 cm (path length) quartz cell or 2 cm (path length) cylindrical quartz cell. The light source was a high-pressure mercury lamp Osram HBO 200W equipped with a monochromator Bausch and Lomb or Jobin Yvon. Figure III-C-1 gives a picture of the monochromatic irradiation device. The monochromatic irradiations were carried out separately at wavelength 365 nm, 313 nm and 296 nm. The light intensity was measured by ferrioxalate actinometry (Calvert and Pitts, 1966). The photon flux of the monochromatic irradiation at different wavelength is listed in Table III-C-1. Where necessary, solutions were deaerated by bubbling with argon for 10 min before irradiation.
Table III-C-1 The photonic flux at 365 nm, 313 nm and 296 nm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>λ_{irr}(nm)</th>
<th>365</th>
<th>313</th>
<th>296</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I_0 \times \left(10^{14}\text{photons} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}\right)</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the quantum yield calculations depend on the following formulas (1), (2), (3) and (4):

\[ \Phi = \frac{\Delta C \cdot 6.023 \cdot 10^{20} \cdot I}{I_a \cdot \Delta t} \]  
(1)

\[ \frac{I_a}{I_0} = 1 - 10^{-OD_{\lambda_{irr}}} \]  
(2)

Where \(1 - 10^{-OD_{\lambda_{irr}}}\) represents the percentage of the light absorption by the solution when \(t = 0\), \(I_0\) is the number of the photons entering the reaction cell per second determined by actinometry and \(l\) is the length of irradiation cell in cm.

So we can get formula (3).

\[ \Phi = \frac{\Delta C \cdot 6.023 \cdot 10^{20} \cdot I}{I_0 \cdot \Delta t \cdot (1 - 10^{-OD_{\lambda_{irr}}})} \]  
(3)

For the organic pollutants 2, 4-DCP and 2, 4-D used in the thesis, the quantum yield can be calculated by the change of their concentrations (\(\Delta C\)) using formula (3).
For the calculation of Fe(II) generation quantum yield, we can use formula (4), \(\Delta \text{DO}_{510\text{nm}}/\varepsilon_{510\text{nm}}\) also represents the change of the Fe(II) concentration during the irradiation.

\[
\Phi = \frac{\Delta \text{OD} \cdot 6.023 \cdot 10^{20} \lambda_L}{\varepsilon \cdot L \cdot I_0 \cdot (1 - 10^{-\text{OD}_{100}}} t \cdot (4)
\]

L is the length of the cell used for the measurement of the optical density (OD).

**C-3-Irradiation at 365nm**

The irradiation experiments were performed in a home-made photoreactor placed in a cylindrical stainless steel container. The reaction device consists of four tubes (Philips TLD 15W / 05), whose emission spectrum is from 300nm to 450nm with a maximum irradiation at 365 nm (see Figure III-C-2). These four tubes were separately placed in the four different axes, while the photoreactor, a water-jacketed Pyrex tube of 2.8 cm diameter, was placed in the center of the setup (Figure III-C-3). The solution (usually 100 mL) was continuously magnetically stirred with a magnetic bar during irradiation to insure its homogeneity. Control experiments showed that no degradation of 2, 4-DCP or 2, 4-D occurred in Fe(III) or Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes without irradiation in this photoreactor.

Figure III-C-2 Emission spectra of tube Philips, TLD 15W/05.
C-4-Irradiation with Metal Halide Lamp

In order to quantify the hydroxyl radicals generated in the reaction, irradiation experiments were performed under metal halide light ($\lambda \geq 350$ nm, 250W, Yaming, Shanghai, China). The light intensity was $1.2 \times 10^5$ Lux, which was detected by a digital lux meter (TES 1332, Taiwan, China). The emission spectra of lamp are shown in Figure III-C-4. The spectrum consists of several narrow bands. The light of the narrow bands with the maxima at 380, 406, 412, 438, and 452 nm could be absorbed by the Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes. These bands were used to calculate the yields of the •OH produced in the system. The fraction of the light absorbed at the major irradiation wavelengths and the light intensities incident into the reaction volume are shown in Table III-C-2.
Figure III-C-4 Emission spectra of the metal halide lamp 250W.

Table III-C-2 Light intensity distribution of the metal halide lamp

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>λ (nm)</th>
<th>Energy fraction (%)</th>
<th>W·cm⁻²</th>
<th>Photon·s⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.6 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>4.9 × 10¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.3 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>4.7 × 10¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.0 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>2.1 × 10¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>438</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.3 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>9.4 × 10¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>452</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.1 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>4.8 × 10¹⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The photochemical reactor was Pyrex tubes (each tube 1.5 cm diameter, 11.5 cm length, 1 mm wall thickness). As shown in Figure III-C-5, the homemade reaction system consisted of an electrical machine, a plate with a big hole in the center and eight small holes symmetrically around it. The metal halide lamp was positioned in the center of the plate, during the photoreaction, cooling water was used to maintain a constant temperature about 25 ± 1°C. Eight identical Pyrex tubes were placed vertically in each hole of the plate, so that the lamp and the tubes were kept parallel with a fixed distance of 7 cm. The plate was kept rotating at 50 rpm by the electrical machine. Irradiation flux was well distributed throughout the tubes.
D-ANALYSIS METHODS

D-1-Spectroscopy methods

UV-vis Spectrophotometer

a. The UV–visible spectra of the solutions were recorded on a Cary 3 double beam spectrophotometer.
b. Another UV-visible spectrophotometer, used in China, is Shimadzu model UV-1601.

Electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy

ESR spectra were recorded at room temperature on a Bruker ER 200D, X BAND spectrometer operating at 9.6 Ghz and a cavity equipped with a quartz flat cell designed for liquid samples. Typical spectrometer parameters were: scan range, 100 G; center field set, 3440 G; time constant, 327 ms; scan time, 167 s; modulation amplitude, 1.5 G; modulation frequency, 100 kHz; receiver gain, 1.25x10^6; and microwave power, 25.2 mW, frequency, 9.64 GHz.

The 5,5-Dimethyrroline-N-oxyde (DMPO) was used as a scavenger (Figure III-D-1) in the research. The irradiation experiments were carried out under a lamp
Xe-Hg with two different filters (Figure III-D-2), which can help us to have or not the polychromatic emission between 300 to 345 nm. Cooling water was used to maintain the reaction at room temperature.

![Figure III-D-1 5, 5-Dimethypyrroline-N-oxide (DMPO)](image)

**Figure III-D-1** 5, 5-Dimethypyrroline-N-oxide (DMPO)

**Figure III-D-2** (a) and (b) UV-visible spectra of the filters used during ESR spectroscopy experiments

**D-2-Chromatography methods**

Several HPLC setups were used for products analysis:

1. A Waters chromatograph equipped with two pumps Waters 510, an auto-sampler 717 and a Waters 996 photodiode array detector.
2. A Waters chromatograph equipped with HPLC pump Waters 515 and Gilson monowavelength UV-Vis Detector.
3. A Shimadzu chromatograph equipped with Shimadzu LC-10AT pump and Shimadzu SPD-10A with UV-Vis detector.

Two HPLC columns were used in the work:
a. An Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse XDB-C8 (reverse phase) of 4.6 mm (ID) × 150 mm (length) with a particle diameter of 5 µm.

b. A Kromasil, KR100-5C18 column (reverse phase) of 4.6 mm (ID) × 150 mm (length) with a particle diameter of 5 µm.

The mobile phases used in the research are the following:

a. To analyze the 2, 4-Dichlorophenol and its photoproducts, a mixture of pure water and methanol (40/60, v/v) was used as mobile phase and the flow rate was 1 mL min\(^{-1}\) at 284 nm.

b. To analyze the 2, 4-D and its photoproducts, a mixture of 0.2% acetic acid and acetonitrile (50/50, v/v) was used as mobile phase and the flow rate was 1 mL min\(^{-1}\) at 284 nm.

c. The formation of phenol from benzene was monitored at 270 nm and the eluent was acetonitrile/water mixture (40/60, v/v) at a flow rate of 0.8 mL min\(^{-1}\) using Kromasil, KR100-5C18 column.

**D-3-Dosage methods**

**Total organic carbon (TOC)**

The progress of the mineralization of pollutants was monitored by measuring the total organic carbon (TOC) via a Shimadzu Model TOC-5050A equipped with an automatic sample injector. The standard solutions were prepared with standard chemicals (certainty quality, Japan). 2.125 g of potassium hydrogen phthalate was dissolved in 1 L of pure water to get 1000 mg.L\(^{-1}\) for total carbon (TC) standard solution. To get 1000 mg.L\(^{-1}\) of inorganic carbon (IC) standard solution, 4.41 g of sodium carbonate together with 3.5 g of sodium hydrogen carbonate were dissolved in 1 L of pure water. The quantifications of TC and IC depend on the calibration curve (Figure III-D-3).
Figure III-D-3 Calibration curves of TC (a) and IC (b)

The total organic carbon (TOC) concentration is obtained by the difference of the concentration of TC and the concentration of IC:

$$[\text{TOC}] = [\text{TC}] - [\text{IC}]$$

**Fe(II)**

Under irradiation, Fe(II) is formed in aqueous solutions in the presence of Fe(III). The concentration of Fe(II) was determined by complexometry with 1,10-phenanthroline taking $\varepsilon_{510\text{nm}} = 1.118 \times 10^4 \text{L.mol}^{-1}.\text{cm}^{-1}$ (Calvert and Pitts, 1966).

A certain quantity of Fe(NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ solution was used as Fe(II) sources to make a calibration curve (as shown in Figure III-D-4). The molar absorption coefficient was $11450 \text{L.mol}^{-1}.\text{cm}^{-1}$, which is nearly the same as the reference value $\varepsilon_{510\text{nm}} = 11180 \text{L.mol}^{-1}.\text{cm}^{-1}$. By means of the calibration curve, it was carefully checked that no interference in the analysis was observed when 2,4-D or 2,4-DCP was present in the solution.
Fe(OH)$^{2+}$

The concentration of the most photoactive species, [Fe(OH)$^{2+}$], was determined by using 8-hydroxyquinoline-5-sulfonic acid (HQSA) (Faust et al., 1990). The absorbance of the tris complex Fe(HQSA)$_3$ was measured at $\lambda = 572$ nm ($\varepsilon = 5000$ mol$^{-1}$ .L .cm$^{-1}$). The molar fraction of Fe(OH)$^{2+}$ ($x_{\text{Fe(OH)2+}}$) was expressed as the ratio of [Fe(OH)$^{2+}$] to total Fe in solution.

$$\%\text{Fe(OH)}^{2+} = \frac{[\text{Fe(OH)}^{2+}]}{[\text{Fe}]_{\text{total}}}$$

Hydroxyl radicals

Scavenging of ·OH by high concentrations of benzene has been used to determine the yield ·OH radicals formation. Aromatic hydroxylation is one of the typical reactions of ·OH and is used for detection of ·OH in the case of Fenton reaction and of the photolysis of aqueous of HNO$_2$, NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ (Arakaki et al., 1999). Benzene is very unreactive toward O$_2$ ($^1\Delta_g$) (Zepp et al., 1987). The hydroxylation of benzene by ·OH to
produce phenol is a fairly selective process. Given the high reactivity of benzene with ·OH ($k \approx 8 \times 10^9$ L.mol$^{-1}$.s$^{-1}$) (Kochany and Bolton, 1992; Pan and Schuchmann, 1993) and under the conditions of these experiments, virtually all of the ·OH should have been scavenged by benzene. The retention time of phenol is 4.2 min in this work (Figure III-D-5).

![Figure III-D-5 Formation of phenol in different conditions after 160min irradiation.](image)

As Figure III-D-5 and III-D-6 show, photolysis of 7 mmol.L$^{-1}$ benzene in double-distilled water showed no detectable loss of benzene and no formation of phenol after 160 min of irradiation under metal halide light. The destruction rate of phenol by direct photolysis and by peroxyl radicals, O$_2$(^1$\Delta_g$) and other oxidants is expected to be slow by comparison to the rate of phenol formation from the ·OH through the oxidation of benzene (Liu et al., 2004).
It was thought that •OH-mediated oxidation of benzene forms phenol with a nearly 100% yield (Faust and Allen, 1993; Joseph et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2006), and thus the concentrations of photochemically formed hydroxyl radicals were determined as,

\[ C_{\text{gOH}} = C_{\text{Phenol}} \]

Where, \( C_{\text{Phenol}} \) is the concentration of phenol at time \( t \). Figure III-D-7 shows the calibration curve of phenol.

Figure III-D-7 Calibration curve of phenol
D-4-Molar ratio method

\[ M + nL = MLn \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

M represents the metal ion;
L represents the acid ions (ligand).

The method is based on the spectrophotometric measurements. We keep constant the concentration of metal ions in aqueous solution and the concentration of the relative acid is increased in the experiment. The absorbance of the aqueous solution increase with the increase of the acid concentration until it becomes stable, which indicate that the metal ions are totally complexed by the acids.

As shown in Figure III-D-8, the absorbance of the aqueous solutions was set as \( Y \) axis, the corresponding ratio of \( C_L / C_M \) was set as \( X \) axis. Then the ratio of \( C_L / C_M \) represents the composition of the complexes. The stoichiometry of the complex corresponds to the ratio \( (C_L / C_M) \) where the absorbance starts to be stable; no more increase is measured with the supplementary addition of acids.
IV

RESULTS
IV-A Study of physicochemical properties of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes

Many references have reported the physical and chemical properties of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes, especially Fe-oxalate, Fe-EDTA, Fe-NTA and Fe-Cit complexes. However, little bibliography reports about the Fe-Tar and Fe-Pyr complexes. Here we studied the physicochemical properties of Fe-Tar and Fe-Pyr complexes.

A-1-Properties of the carboxylic acids

Carboxylic acids are the important components of the Fe-Carboxylate complexes studied in this work. So, it is necessary to study the basic properties of these carboxylic acids used in this work before studying the physical properties of these carboxylate complexes. These acids included Citric acid, Tartaric acid (L-Tar and D-Tar acid) and Pyruvic acid. Table IV-A-1 lists the acid base dissociation constants of these acids in the form of pKa, which is the negative of the logarithm of the acid dissociation constant Ka.

Table IV-A-1 pKa of organic acids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>Step</th>
<th>T(°C)</th>
<th>pKa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>C₆H₈O₇</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvic acid</td>
<td>C₃H₄O₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Tartaric acid</td>
<td>C₅H₈O₆</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meso-Tartaric acid</td>
<td>C₄H₆O₆</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A-1-I-Tartaric acid (Tar)

Tartaric acid (HOOC-CHOH-CHOH-COOH) is widely used in the industry, such as medicine, food, tannage and textile. Figure IV-A-1 presents the chemical structure of tartaric acid.

![Chemical structure of tartaric acid](image)

Figure IV-A-1 Chemical structure of tartaric acid

As shown in Table IV-A-2, tartaric acid has three different solid isomers: Levotartaric acid (D-Tar acid), Dextrotartaric acid (L-Tar acid) and Mesotartaric acid. When the mixture of D-Tar acid and L-Tar acid in 1:1 ratio, the polarized light will be counteracted and the mixture is called racemic acid. Mesotartaric acid does not exist in the nature and it is the chemosynthetic material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>levotartaric acid (D-(−)-tartaric acid)</th>
<th>dextrotartaric acid (L-(+)-tartaric acid)</th>
<th>mesotartaric acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-tartaric acid (racemic acid) (when the ratio is 1:1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two types of tartaric acid (L-tartaric acid, D-tartaric acid) were studied in this thesis. Figure IV-A-2 presents the UV-visible spectra of L-tartaric acid and D-tartaric
acid. These two tartaric acids have almost the same UV-vis spectrum, from 200 to 500 nm, and the relative higher absorbance is from 200 to 250 nm.

![Graph](image)

Figure IV-A-2 UV-Visible absorption spectra of tartaric acid.
(a) $[\text{L-Tar}] = 1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$; (b) $[\text{D-Tar}] = 1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$

In order to evaluate the pKa of these two tartaric acids, experiments were performed under different pH values. The pH values of the solutions of L-tartaric acid (0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$) are modified between 2.5 and 10.1 and for D-tartaric acid (0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$) between 2.7 and 10.2. As shown in Figures IV-A-3, the UV-Visible absorption spectra of tartaric acid aqueous solution changed as a function of pH value.

![Graph](image)

Figure IV-A-3 UV-Visible absorption spectra of L-Tar and D-Tar acid as function of pH.
$[\text{L-Tar}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, $[\text{D-Tar}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$
Figure IV-A-4 shows the variation of the absorbance at 212 nm. It contains an apparent inflexion point at pH 4.3, which is in agreement with references that indicate pKₐ₂ = 4.32. The pKₐ at pH higher than 9.0, which does not need study precisely the value, because it is too far away from our experimental conditions and natural conditions. The same results were obtained from the D-tartaric acid with an inflexion point observed near pH 4.3.

![Absorbance at 212 nm as function of aqueous solution pH value.][1]  
**Figure IV-A-4 Absorbance at 212 nm as function of aqueous solution pH value.**  
\[ [\text{L-Tar}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1} \]

**A-1-2-Pyruvic acid (Pyr)**

![Chemical structure of pyruvic acid][2]  
**Figure IV-A-5 Chemical structure of pyruvic acid**

As shown in Figures IV-A-6(a) the UV-Visible absorption spectra of pyruvic acid aqueous solution (0.3 mmol.L⁻¹) are also modified as a function of pH values. The pH values of the pyruvic acid solution changed from 2.0 to 8.8, and Figure IV-A-6(b) shows the variation of the absorbance at 250 nm. There is a sharp increase of absorbance from pH 2.0 to 3.0. This is in agreement with reference indicated that the pKₐ of pyruvic acid was 2.39.
A-1-3 Citric acid (Cit)

Citric Acid (2-hydroxypropane-1,2,3-tricarboxylic acid, IUPAC name) is a colourless crystalline organic compound belong to carboxylic acid family. It exists in all plants (especially in lemons and limes) and in many animal tissues and fluids. Citric acid works as a preservative (or as an antioxidant) and cleaning agent in nature. As present in IV-A-7, it contains three carboxy groups and always used in metal-cleaning compositions as it chelates metals. Reference indicated that the pKa of Citric acid were pKa₁ = 3.14, pKa₂ = 4.77 and pKa₃ = 6.39 (Table IV-A-1).

Figure IV-A-7 Chemical structure of citric acid
A-2-Study of the stoichiometric composition of Fe(III) in complexes with carboxylic acids

Measurements of the composition of complexes formed by Fe(III) and carboxylic acids were carried out using molar ratio method mentioned in part II experimental methods.

A-2-1 Stoichiometry of Fe(III) in the complex with tartaric acid

D-tararic acid and L-tararic acid were separately studied in this work. The UV-vis absorbance of tartaric acid is from 200 nm to 300 nm as shown in Figure IV-A-2. The UV-visible spectra of Fe(III) and Fe(III)/Tar were presented in Figure IV-A-8. The absorbance of Fe(III)-Tar complex is around 200 to 500 nm. When Fe(III) concentration was 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), the absorbance of Fe(III) was lower from 280 to 326 nm, at the same time the absorbance of Fe(III)/Tar was higher from 326 to 455 nm. It was obviously interpreted that Fe(III) was complexed by tartaric acid (L-Tar and D-Tar acids) in the solution. In this study, the wavelength 340 nm was chosen as the characteristic absorbance of the Fe(III)-Tar complex. The molar absorption coefficient at 340 nm are 1200 L.mol\(^{-1}\).cm\(^{-1}\) for Fe(III); 1960 L.mol\(^{-1}\).cm\(^{-1}\) for Fe(III)-L-Tar complex and 1840 L.mol\(^{-1}\).cm\(^{-1}\) for Fe(III)-D-Tar complex.

![UV-visible spectra](A-2-1-Stoichiometry-of-Fe(III)-in-the-complex-with-tartaric-acid.png)
Figure IV-A-8 UV-Visible absorption spectra of different aqueous solutions.
(a) Measurement in 1 cm cell; (b) measurement in 1 mm cell

A-2-1-1 Fe(III)-D-Tar complex

In this work, the concentration of Fe(III) ions was kept constant at 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ and the concentration of the D-Tar acid increased. The concentrations of D-Tar used in the experiments were 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1.0 mmol.L⁻¹. The mixture of Fe(III) and D-Tar was adjusted to pH 2.0. Measurements were carried out by UV-visible spectra.

Figure IV-A-9 shows the variation of the UV-Visible absorption spectra of aqueous solutions with the increasing of D-Tar acid concentration. Figure IV-A-10 shows the change of the absorbance at 340 nm which increases with the increase of D-Tar acid concentration. However, it becomes stable when the D-Tar acid concentration is near 0.6 mmol.L⁻¹. This observation indicate that Fe(III) has been totally complexed by the D-Tar acid. It can be concluded that in the most stable ferric/D-Tar complexes the molar ratio between Fe(III) and D-Tar is 1:2.
Figure IV-A-9 UV-Visible absorption spectra of different aqueous solutions. 
\[ [\text{Fe(III)}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{pH} = 2.0. \]

Figure IV-A-10 Absorbance at 340 nm as a function of tartaric acid concentration. 
\[ [\text{Fe(III)}]=0.3\text{mM} \text{ pH}=2.0 \]

**A-2-1-2 Fe(III)-L-Tar complex**

Experiments were carried out to study the composition of complexes formed by Fe(III) and L-Tar acid with the same method as stated above. The concentration of Fe(III) ions was also kept constant at 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) and the concentration of the L-Tar acid was increased. The concentrations of L-Tar used in the experiments were 0, 0.1,
0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1.0 mmol.L⁻¹. The initial pH value of the solutions was 2.0.

Figure IV-A-11 shows the variation of the UV-Visible absorption spectra of aqueous solutions with the increase of L-Tar concentration. Figure IV-A-12 shows that the absorbance at 340 nm becomes stable when the concentration of the L-Tar acid reached 0.6 mmol.L⁻¹. We obtained the same result than for the Fe(III)-D-Tar mixture. So the most stable complexes formed between Fe(III) and tartaric acid have a molar ratio equal to 1:2.

![Figure IV-A-11 UV-Visible absorption spectra of different aqueous solutions.](image)

**Figure IV-A-11 UV-Visible absorption spectra of different aqueous solutions.**

\[ [\text{Fe(III)}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{ pH} = 2.0. \]

![Figure IV-A-12 Absorbance at 340 nm as a function of tartaric acid concentration.](image)

**Figure IV-A-12 Absorbance at 340 nm as a function of tartaric acid concentration.**

\[ [\text{Fe(III)}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{ pH} = 2.0. \]
**A-2-2 Stoichiometry of Fe(III) in complex with pyruvic acid**

The composition of complexes formed between Fe(III) and pyruvic acid was firstly studied in this work with the same method as stated above. The concentration of Fe(III) ions was also kept constant at 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) and the concentration of the Pyruvic acid was increased. The concentrations of pyruvic acid used in the experiments were 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 and 1.0 mmol.L\(^{-1}\). The initial pH value of the solutions was 2.0. Measurements were carried out by UV-visible spectra. Experiments were carried out two times.

Figure IV-A-13 shows the variation of the UV-Visible absorption spectra of aqueous solutions with the increase of pyruvic acid concentration. The wavelength 340 nm was still chosen as the characteristic absorbance of the Fe(III)-Pyr complex (Figure IV-A-13). Figure IV-A-14 shows that the absorbance at 340nm. This absorbance becomes stable when the concentration of the pyruvic acid above 0.8 mmol.L\(^{-1}\). It can be concluded that the most stable complexes formed between Fe(III) and Pyruvic acid is for the molar ratio equal to 1:3.

![UV-Visible absorption spectra of different aqueous solutions.](image.png)

[Fe(III)] = 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), pH = 2.0.
A-3-Properties of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes

Fe(III)-Cit, Fe(III)-Tar and Fe(III)-Pyr complexes were used in this work. So it is necessary to know their basic properties, such as their stabilities with the time at room temperature and with the variation of the pH.

A-3-1 Stability of Fe(III)-Tar complexes

In order to study the stability of the Fe-Tar complex, the stock solutions with 2 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III) and 4 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) L-Tar or 4 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) D-Tar were kept in the dark and at room temperature at least 10 days. Equilibrium was reached within 24 hours for all solutions in which precipitation did not occur. Figure IV-A-15 and figure IV-A-16 show the spectrum of the solutions. The results show that Fe-Tar complex is stable in our conditions. However, Fe-Tar complex can be used as the nutrition by some bacteria, which will grow in the solutions after a long time. To limitate this phenomenon, all the stock solutions were kept in the refrigeratory at 6\(^\circ\)C. The stability of solutions used for the irradiation experiments with low concentration
Fe(III)/Tar = 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹/0.6 mmol.L⁻¹ was also measured in 2 days. As shown in Figure IV-A-15(b) and Figure IV-A-16(b), it presents the same stability as the stock solution within two days.

Figure IV-A-15 Stability of the Fe(III)-D-Tar complexes as a function of time, in the dark and at room temperature

Figure IV-A-16 Stability of the Fe(III)-L-Tar complexes as a function of time, in the dark and at room temperature

_A-3-2 pH effect_

Since Fe(III) and tartaric acid can form stable complexes with a 1:2 molar ratio, all the experiments were performed with the stock solution of the complex.
Experiments were performed to study the pH effect on the stability of Fe(III)-Tar complexes. As shown in Figure IV-A-17, the UV-Visible absorption spectra of aqueous solutions with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III) and 0.6 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) D-Tar change according to the modification of the pH value between 1.7 to 10.0. Figure IV-A-17 (b) shows the variation of the absorbance at 340 nm. It contains an apparent inflexion point at pH 2.7. Measurement of Fe(III)-L-Tar complexes was carried out with the same method. As shown in Figure IV-A-18, the same results were obtained from the Fe(III)-L-Tar complexes. Figure IV-A-18 (b) also indicated an inflexion point near pH 2.7. In these two cases, the decrease of absorbance at lower pH (< 3.0) could be explain by a decomplex phenomenon or by the formation of other form of the complex.

![Figure IV-A-17 UV-Visible absorption spectra of Fe-D-Tar complex solution as function of pH. [Fe(III)]/[D-Tar] = 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)/0.6 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)](image)

(a) UV-Visible spectra of Fe(III)-D-Tar complex; (b) Absorbance at 340 nm
Figure IV-A-18 UV-Visible absorption spectra of Fe(III)-L-Tar complex solution as function of pH. [Fe(III)]/[L-Tar] = 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)/0.6 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) (a) UV-Visible spectra of Fe(III)-L-Tar complex (b) Absorbance at 340 nm.

We also studied the evolution of UV-visible spectra of Fe(III)-Pyr complexes as function of pH. Experiments were performed in the solutions with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Pyr complexes and pH between 2.02 to 10.41. Figure IV-A-19 gives the results and it indicates the pKa of Fe(III)-Pyr complex is 3.6.

Figure IV-A-19 UV-Visible absorption spectra of Fe(III)-Pyr complex solution as function of pH. [Fe(III)]/[Pyr] = 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)/0.9 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) (a) UV-Visible spectra of Fe(III)-Pyr complex (b) Absorbance at 340 nm

Abida (2005) has studied the evolution of UV-visible spectra of Fe(III)-Cit complexes as function of pH. Experiments were performed in the solutions with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Cit complexes and pH between 2.05 to 8.30. Figure IV-A-20 gives the results and it indicates the pKa of Fe(III)-Cit complex is 3.3.
A-3-3 Irradiation effect

Photolysis of Fe (III)-Carboxylate complexes was studied in the aqueous solution under irradiation. Results were shown in Figure IV-A-21. Only in 15 min, the UV-Visible absorbance of the aqueous solution with complexes were all apparently decreased, and these results indicated that under 365nm irradiation, these complexes were easily photolyzed and it provided the possibility for the formation of excited state complexes and further generated many kinds of radicals. Further experiments have been carried out and this conclusion has been conformed in the later part of the thesis.
Figure IV-A-21 Variation of UV-visible spectra of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes

(0.3 mmol.L⁻¹) under irradiation (a) Fe(III)-Cit; (b) Fe(III)-Tar; (c) Fe(III)-Pyr.

Conclusions

In our experimental conditions, we demonstrated that Fe(III) was complexed by tartaric acid (D or L) with a ratio 1:2 and by pyruvic acid with a ratio 1:3. Some studies in the literature proposed a stoichiometry of 1:1 or 2:2 for the Fe(III)-Tar complex. This difference can be attributed to the experimental conditions used.

We check the stability of these complexes in the dark and at room temperature. Fe(III)-Tar, Fe(III)-Pyr and Fe(III)-Cit complexes are all stable in the aqueous solutions in our experimental conditions (pH = 3.0, concentration of complex 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹). Our results show that the pH is an important parameter for the stability of the complex and its speciation. At lower pH (< 3.0) a phenomenon of decomplexation can be proposed.
IV-B Determination of hydroxyl radicals from photolysis of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes in aqueous solutions

The presence of carboxylic acids, such as oxalate, citrate and so on, has a significant effect on the speciation and the photoactivity of Fe(III) irons in acidic conditions. Because they can form stable complexes with Fe(III) irons. Free Fe(III) absorb weakly in the solar UV region (290 nm–400 nm), but the absorption spectra of hydrated or otherwise complex iron species (iron pairs) are shifted toward the visible, which might make their use in sunlight possible (Lente and Fábián, 2001). In natural waters, photochemically induce electron transfer from the complexing organic ligand to Fe(III) in the excited of Fe(III)-org complexes can take place, and subsequently, the electron deficient Fe(III)-org complexes further reduce O₂ to O₂⁻. O₂⁻ rapidly reacts to yield the hydroxyl radicals (Zuo and Hoigné, 1992; Joseph et al., 2001; Zepp et al., 1987). This pathway can be represented as the following reactions:

\[
\text{Fe(III)-org complex} + \text{hv} \rightarrow [\text{Fe(III)-org complex}]^* \\
\rightarrow \text{Fe(II)} + \text{org radical} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{org radical} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2^- + \text{oxidized org} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{Fe(III)} + \text{O}_2^- \rightarrow \text{Fe(II)} + \text{O}_2 \quad (4)
\]

\[
2 \ \text{H}^+ + 2 \ \text{O}_2^- \leftrightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad (5)
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Fe(II)} \rightarrow \text{Fe(III)} + \cdot\text{OH} + \text{OH}^- \quad (6)
\]

In this work, we studied the photogeneration of hydroxyl radicals in the aqueous solutions in the presence of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes.

B-1-Photoproduction of hydroxyl radicals in the aqueous solutions with Fe(III)-Pyr complexes

Figure IV-B-1 presents the UV-Vis spectra of both the solutions containing only Fe(III) ions (pH = 3) and the solutions containing Fe(III) ions with additions of Pyr.
In the case of solution without Pyr, the spectrum belongs to the Fe(OH)$^{2+}$ complex. The change in the spectrum after addition of Pyr indicates the formation of the Fe(III)-Pyr complex. The absorption of Fe(III)-Pyr complex in the region of 320–500 nm is sufficiently higher than that of Fe(OH)$^{2+}$. This allows one to assume that the Fe(III)-Pyr complex can be used in the processes of photodegradation of organic impurities in natural water systems.

![UV-Visible spectrum of solutions with C$_{\text{Fe(III)}}$ = 100 μmol.L$^{-1}$ and with or without C$_{\text{Pyr}}$ = 300 μmol.L$^{-1}$. The length of the cell was 1 cm. Initial pH of the aqueous solution was 3.0. The spectrum of the metal halide light used in this work is also shown.](image)

Figure IV-B-1 UV-Visible spectrum of solutions with $C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 100 \ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}$ and with or without $C_{\text{Pyr}} = 300 \ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}$. The length of the cell was 1 cm. Initial pH of the aqueous solution was 3.0. The spectrum of the metal halide light used in this work is also shown.

Photogeneration of ·OH by the photolysis of Fe(III)–Pyr complexes in the aqueous solution has been determined using benzene (7 mmol.L$^{-1}$) as a scavenger and the selected typical reaction was the formation of phenol from benzene. Due to the big difference of concentration between benzene and phenol formed, we can consider that ·OH radicals react mainly on the benzene. Through detecting the phenol formed in the reaction solution, we can quantify ·OH generated in the reaction. The mechanism of photoproduction of ·OH in the aqueous solutions containing Fe(III)-Pyr complexes can presumably be interpreted as the following reactions scheme (Figure IV-B-2):
B-1-1 Generation of hydroxyl radicals in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-Pyr complexes

Fe(OH)$^{2+}$ + hv → Fe$^{2+}$ + OH$^{-}$ + ·OH

The concentration of the ·OH radicals production by the photolysis of the Fe(III)-Pyr complex and the Fe(OH)$^{2+}$ complexes were compared. As shown in Figure IV-B-3, under the same pH and irradiation time, the concentration of ·OH generated in the system containing the Fe(III)-Pyr complex is higher than that in the system with Fe(OH)$^{2+}$. The possible production of the hydroxyl radical in the thermal reaction was experimentally checked and no hydroxyl radicals were generated. The availability of oxygen in the aqueous solution was found to be an important factor for the ·OH production, because in anaerobic conditions, the experiments showed that no ·OH was generated (Figure IV-B-3).
Figure IV-B-3 Comparison of ·OH formation under different conditions for an aqueous solution with $C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 10 \mu \text{mol.L}^{-1}$, $C_{\text{Pyr}} = 90 \mu \text{mol.L}^{-1}$. Initial pH of the aqueous solution was 3.0.

**B-I-2 Effect of pH on the generation of hydroxyl radicals in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-Pyr complexes**

The experiments were performed in the homogeneous aqueous solutions at pH value of 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 and 5.0, which were provided by an addition of hydrochloric acid. A significant effect of pH on the ·OH yield was observed (Figure IV-B-4). The ·OH concentration at pH = 3.0 appeared to be higher than that at all other pH values in the range studied. The great effect of pH on the production of H$_2$O$_2$ upon the photolysis of different Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes was reported previously (Deng et al., 1998; Gao and Zepp, 1998; Wu et al., 2004).
Figure IV-B-4 Effect of the initial pH value on the total ·OH concentration for an aqueous solution with $C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 30 \, \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}$, $C_{\text{Pyr}} = 60 \, \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}$.

We believe that the influence of pH on the photolysis of the Fe(III)-Pyr complex could be explained by two reasons. First, pH can affect the distribution of different species (Fe(III) complexes) present in the solution. These species [(Fe(III)-Pyr, Fe(OH)$_2^+$, Fe(OH)$_3^+$, Fe$^{3+}$aq, pyruvic acid] possess different photochemical reactivity, and it would affect the ·OH yield. Secondly, pH can influence Fenton reaction and the equilibrium between HO$_2^−$/O$_2^−$ and as a consequence the rate of ·OH radical formation.

**B-1-3 Effect of Fe(III) and Pyr concentrations on the photogeneration of hydroxyl radicals in the aqueous solution**

The concentration ratio Fe(III)/Pyr is another important factor. To evaluate this factor, the experiments in solutions with pH = 3.0 were performed. Results are shown in Figure IV-B-5 and IV-B-6. It can be concluded that both the Fe(III) and Pyr concentrations affect the ·OH yield. The ·OH concentration increased with an increase in the Pyr concentration in the range from 30.0 to 120.0 $\mu$mol.L$^{-1}$, or with an increase in the Fe(III) concentration in the range from 10.0 to 60.0 $\mu$mol.L$^{-1}$. At a given pH
value, a change in the Fe(III) / Pyr ratio can lead to a change in the relative content of different Fe(III)-Pyr complexes in the solution. When the Pyr concentration was much higher than that of Fe(III), the formation of ·OH radical is higher. When the Fe(III) concentration is relatively higher than that of Pyr, Fe(III) species in the solution can promote the ·OH yield in a continuous Fe(III)/ Fe(II)/Fe(III) cycle. At pH = 2.0-5.0, Fe(III) will participate in the so-called photo-Fenton reaction. Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\) is thought to be the predominant photoactive species in the pH range 2.5-5.0.

![Graph showing effect of Pyr concentration on ·OH yield](image1)

**Figure IV-B-5** Effect of the Pyr concentration on the ·OH total yield for aqueous solutions with \(C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 10 \mu\text{mol. L}^{-1}\). Initial pH of the aqueous solution was 3.0.

![Graph showing effect of Fe(III) concentration on ·OH yield](image2)

**Figure IV-B-6** Effect of the Fe(III) concentration on the ·OH total yield for aqueous solutions with \(C_{\text{Pyr}} = 30 \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\). Initial pH of the aqueous solution was 3.0.
B-1-4 Effect of temperature on the photogeneration of hydroxyl radicals in the aqueous solution

To examine the effect of temperature on the quantum yield, experiments were performed at 298 and 328 K. Results are shown in Figure IV-B-7. The ·OH yield at 328 K was much higher than that at 298 K. Although higher temperatures will hasten the main reactions, they can also enhance side reactions. Thus, all the experiments were carried out at room temperature with cooling water.

![Graph showing the effect of temperature on the ·OH total yield](image)

Figure IV-B-7 Effect of temperature on the ·OH total yield for an aqueous solution with $C_{Fe(III)} = 10 \, \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}$, and $C_{Pyr} = 30 \, \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}$. Initial pH of the aqueous solution was 3.0.

B-2-Photoproduction of hydroxyl radicals in the aqueous solutions with Fe(III)-Cit complexes

Generation of Hydroxyl radicals in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-citrate complexes was confirmed by using benzene as scavenger and detection of the consequent formation of phenol. The mechanism of photoproduction of ·OH in the aqueous solutions containing Fe(III)-citrate complexes can be presumably
interpreted as following reactions scheme:

\[
2 \text{H}^+ + 2 \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Fe}^{(\text{II})} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{(\text{III})} + \cdot\text{OH} + \text{OH}^- \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{Fe(OH)}^{2+} + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{OH}^- + \cdot\text{OH} \quad (4)
\]

**B-2-1 Generation of hydroxyl radicals in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-Cit complexes**

Figure IV-B-8 Control experiments in different systems

\[ C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 10.0 \ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}, \ C_{\text{Cit}} = 120.0 \ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}, \ \text{pH} = 3.0 \]
Since Fe(OH)\textsuperscript{2+} can photolyse to produce \cdot OH (reaction (4)), we did the control experiment in the solutions without citrate. As Figure IV-B-8 shows, under the same irradiation and pH value, the \cdot OH concentration generated in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-citrate complexes is higher than that of the solution without citrate. It can be concluded that Fe(III)-citrate complexes could enhance the photoproduction of \cdot OH in aqueous solution. Without irradiation, results show no detectable formation of phenol (see Figure IV-B-8). We also did experiment in anaerobic solution by purging the solution with N\textsubscript{2} over ten minutes, while no \cdot OH was produced. This shows that oxygen plays an important role in the formation of active species, such as O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−} and \cdot OH. The feasibility of these two solutions (with or without citric acid) for degradation of several kinds of pollutants has been examined (Deng et al., 1998; Wu and Deng, 1999 and 2000).

![Figure IV-B-9 UV-Vis absorption spectra of the solutions with or without citrate](image-url)

\textbf{Figure IV-B-9 UV-Vis absorption spectra of the solutions with or without citrate}
\textit{a: without Citrate, b: with Citrate}

\((C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 100.0 \text{ \mu mol.L}^{-1}, C_{\text{Cit}} = 300.0 \text{ \mu mol.L}^{-1}, C_{\text{benzene}} = 7 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{pH} = 3.0)\)

Figure IV-B-9 presents the UV-Vis absorption spectra of the solutions in the presence of citrate or not. Fe(III)-citrate complexes absorb even more strongly than Fe(III)-OH complexes in the UV-Visible region (200-450 nm). So the Fe(III)-citrate complexes has the potential of utilizing sunlight as an irradiation source to photogenerate \cdot OH. Experiments were carried out in aerobic conditions, without oxygen no \cdot OH radicals were produced. The result shows that Fe(III)-citrate
complexes could enhance the photoproduction of \( \cdot \text{OH} \). It is proposed that the photolysis of Fe(III)-citrate complexes has a contribution to \( \cdot \text{OH} \) production in atmospheric waters and surface water where there are high concentration ferric ions and citrate ions. Moreover, \( \cdot \text{OH} \) has high oxidizing potential for the degradation of organic pollutants in water. So we believe that the \( \cdot \text{OH} \) production from the photolysis of Fe(III)-citrate complexes in the aerobic water will play an important role in the oxidation of organic compounds in natural waters and probably in wastewater remediation by photochemical approaches.

**B-2-2 Effect of pH on the generation of hydroxyl radicals in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-Cit complexes**

pH is an important parameter in the chemical reaction. Experiments were carried out to study the pH effect on the photoproduction of \( \cdot \text{OH} \) radicals in the aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-citrate complexes. Irradiation experiments were carried out in aqueous solutions where the pH has been adjusted to different values of 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0 and 7.0 with hydrochloric acid (0.1 mol.L\(^{-1}\)). Results indicate that pH value has strong effect on the photogeneration of \( \cdot \text{OH} \) radicals. The \( \cdot \text{OH} \) concentration at pH 3.0 is higher than that at other pH values ranged from 3.0 to 7.0 (Figure IV-B-10).

![Figure IV-B-10 Initial pH value effects on the photoproduction of \( \cdot \text{OH} \) (\( C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 30.0 \ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}, C_{\text{Cit}} = 30.0 \ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1} \) )](image-url)
Previous studies have shown that the pH value of the reaction solution has great effect on the photolysis of Fe(III)-citrate complexes in producing H$_2$O$_2$ and degrading organic compounds (Deng et al., 1998; Wu and Deng, 2004). The pH value may affect the distribution of Fe(III) species. So computations of the speciation of Fe(III) were carried out using Visual MINTEQ computer program in the condition with 30.0 μmol.L$^{-1}$ of Fe(III) and 30.0 μmol.L$^{-1}$ of Cit. Calculations were done at 25°C. The concentrations of both Fe(III) and Cit are very low, so the ionic strength is nearly zero. Results are shown in Figure IV-B-11. We can see that Fe(III)-Citrate is the predominant species when pH values range from 3.0 to 6.0. From pH 6.0~7.0, Fe(OH)$_2^+$ gradually become the major photoactive species, with almost the same quantum yield of OH radical formation that Fe(OH)$_{2+}$. From Figure IV-B-11, we can conclude that pH nearly does not affect the distribution of Fe(III) species when pH values range from 3.0 to 6.0 in this work. We think that the speciation of iron is not the main reason for the pH value effect because Fe(III)-citrate is the major photoactive species in the aqueous solutions.

So the effect of pH may result from the pH dependency of the active intermediates HO$_2^-$/O$_2^-$ and Fe(III)/Fe(II), which affects the formation rate of H$_2$O$_2$. Furthermore, Fenton reaction is believed to be acid-favourable and more ·OH is produced in water
at acidic pH values. These two reasons can be the main effect of pH on the photoreaction efficiency of producing ·OH in the aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-organic ligands complexes. In acid conditions, the producing efficiency of ·OH in the aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-citrate complexes is higher.

**B-2-3 Effect of Fe(III) and Cit concentrations on the generation of hydroxyl radicals in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-Cit complexes**

The concentration ratio of Fe(III) to citrate was another important factor. We have performed series of experiments to study these effects. All experiments were carried out at pH 3.0. Results are shown in Figure IV-B-12 and Figure IV-B-13, it can be concluded that Fe(III) and citrate concentrations all have effects on the photoproduction of ·OH radicals in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-citrate complexes. The ·OH radicals concentration increased with the increase of citrate concentration in the range of 15.0 μmol.L⁻¹ to 120.0 μmol.L⁻¹, or the increase of Fe(III) concentration in the range of 10.0 μmol.L⁻¹ to 60.0 μmol.L⁻¹. At a given pH value, the concentration ratio of Fe(III) to citrate can determine the species distribution of Fe(III)-citrate complexes in the aqueous solutions. Through Visual MINTEQ calculating, we obtained that at Fe(III)/Citrate ratio < 1, Fe(III)-Citrate complexes is the major photoactive species, while when the ratio is much higher than 1, the major species to produce ·OH radicals is Fe(OH)²⁺ complexes, which is the most predominant photoactive Fe(III)-hydroxyl complex in the aqueous solution at pH 3.0.
Figure IV-B-12 Effect of citrate concentration on the photoproduction of ·OH radical
(pH = 3.0, C_{Cit} = 15.0 to 120.0 µmol.L\(^{-1}\), C_{Fe(III)} = 10.0 µmol.L\(^{-1}\))

Figure IV-B-13 Effect of ferric concentration on photoproduction of ·OH radicals
(pH = 3.0, C_{Cit} = 30.0 µmol.L\(^{-1}\), C_{Fe(III)} = 10.0 to 60.0 µmol.L\(^{-1}\))
B-3-Photoproduction of hydroxyl radicals in the aqueous solutions with Fe(III)-Tar complexes

In aqueous solution, the photogeneration of ·OH radicals can occur through different pathways shown in Figure IV-B-14. An important step is the photolysis of the Fe(III)-Tar complexes. Light absorption on the complexes results in an electronically excited state. Electron transfer via this electronically excited state leads to a reduced iron and oxidized Tar. Then carbon center radicals reduce O$_2$ to O$_2$$^-$. O$_2$$^-$ can be rapidly protonated (as function of pH) to its conjugated acid, the peroxyl radicals and these radicals leads to the formation of hydrogen peroxide. The ·OH radicals are photoproduced by Fenton and/or photo-Fenton reactions.
Figure IV-B-15 HPLC chromatogram of the phenol photogeneration as a function of irradiation time ($C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 10.0 \ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}$, $C_{\text{Tar}} = 150.0 \ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}$ and $C_{\text{benzene}} = 7 \ \text{mmol.L}^{-1}$). The initial pH value of the aqueous solution was 3.0. $\lambda_{\text{det}} = 270 \ \text{nm}$.

Figure IV-B-15 shows the HPLC chromatograms of the photogeneration of phenol as a function of irradiation time. The retention time of phenol is 4.2 min in this work. Peak 2 is phenol photoproduced during the illumination. We can see that the peak area of phenol increased with longer irradiation time. For the reaction between benzene and ·OH radicals, the formation of phenol was reported to be the major way of benzene degradation and represent almost 100% (Deister et al., 1990). Thus photochemically formed ·OH radicals concentrations could be determined by the phenol concentrations. It should be mentioned that the ·OH radicals concentrations reported here are total concentrations of ·OH radicals generated during the given illumination time and are not the steady-state concentrations. Peak 1 is some iron complexes with lower molecular carboxylic acids.

**B-3-1 Generation of hydroxyl radicals in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-Tar complexes**

Photogeneration of hydroxyl radicals by the photolysis of Fe(III)-Tar complexes
has been quantitatively determined by using benzene (7 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)) as a probe. Since Fe(III)-OH complexes can photolyze to produce \(\cdot\)OH radicals, we did control experiment in the aqueous solution without Tar. As Figure IV-B-16 shows, under the same irradiation and the pH value, the \(\cdot\)OH concentration generated in the systems containing Fe(III)-Tar complexes is higher than that of the system only containing Fe(III). Dark reactions were also carried out in parallel, while no \(\cdot\)OH radicals were produced.

![Graph](image)

**Figure IV-B-16** Comparing of \(\cdot\)OH radicals yield in different conditions. In an aqueous solution of \(C_{Fe(III)} = 10.0 \ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\) and \(C_{\text{Tar}} = 150.0 \ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\). The initial pH value of the aqueous solution was 3.0.

The availability of oxygen in aqueous solution was another important factor. The aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-Tar complexes were all in the presence of oxygen. Control experiments were carried out in anaerobic solution by bubbling with N\(_2\) over ten minutes. Results showed that no \(\cdot\)OH radicals are generated in the aqueous solution. Without oxygen, O\(_2\)\(^{\cdot-}\) and \(\cdot\)OH are not formed.

**B-3-2 Effect of pH on the generation of hydroxyl radicals in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-Tar complexes**

Experiments were carried out to investigate the initial pH value effect on the
photogeneration of ·OH radicals in the system containing Fe(III)-Tar complexes. Reactions were carried out in the aqueous solutions at different pH values of 1.5, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 and 5.0 adjusted with hydrochloric acid (0.1 mol.L⁻¹). The results indicate that the pH value could affect the concentration of ·OH radicals. It can be seen that the concentration of ·OH radicals increases with the pH until pH = 3.0 and thereafter decrease (Figure IV-B-17).

![Figure IV-B-17 Effect of initial pH value on the ·OH radicals yield (CFe(III)= 30.0 µmol.L⁻¹ and ĊTar = 60.0 µmol.L⁻¹).](image)

Many studies have shown that the pH value has great effect on the photolysis of Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes in producing H₂O₂ and degrading organic compounds. i) The pH value could affect the efficiency the reaction of Fe(III)/Fe(II) and active intermediate HO₂⁻/O₂⁻.

\[
\text{HO}_2^-/O_2^- + \text{Fe(II)} + H^+ \rightarrow \text{Fe(III)} + H_2O_2
\]

ii) The pH could affect the decomposition of H₂O₂. It is known that the rate of decomposition of H₂O₂ increases in the pH range from 0.0 to 3-3.2 (Gallard and De Laat, 2000). Moreover, Fenton reaction is believed to be acidic favorable and more ·OH radicals is generated in the system.

On the other hand, pH influence the distribution of Fe(III) species in the aqueous solutions. We computed the speciation of Fe(III) by Visual MINTEQ computer
program in the condition with \(10.0 \text{ µmol.L}^{-1}\) of Fe(III) and \(30.0 \text{ µmol.L}^{-1}\) of Tar. The concentrations of both Fe(III) and Tar are very low, so the ionic strength is nearly zero. Calculations were done at \(25^\circ C\). Results showed that Fe(III)-Tar was the predominant species when pH value ranged from 2.5 to 4.0 (see Figure IV-B-18). Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\) was another kind of photoactive species in the system. Ivan P. Pozdnyakov et al (2000) have investigated the mechanisms of ·OH radicals formation upon the excitation of [Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\)]\(^*\) complex. But for pH below 2.0, Fe\(^{3+}\) became the major species which is not photoactive at the wavelength used in this work. From pH 4.5-6.0, Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\) gradually become the major species which as a quantum yield of OH radicals similar to that obtained with Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\). So we considered that Fe(III)-Tar was the major photoactive species in the system between pH 2.5 to 4.0.

![Figure IV-B-18 Distribution of iron and Tartrate species as a function of pH in a system with \(C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 10.0\text{µmol.L}^{-1}\) and \(C_{\text{Tar}} = 30.0\text{µmol.L}^{-1}\). Ionic strength= 0. Calculations were done at \(25^\circ C\) with MINTEQ computer program.](image)

**B-3-3 Effect of tartaric acid concentration on the generation of hydroxyl radicals in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-Tar complexes**

For the effect of concentration, we chose pH 3.0 as the initial pH value of the aqueous solutions. The concentrations ratio of Fe(III) to tartaric acid was another important factor. Further experiments to study its effects were all carried out at pH 3.0.
In the Fe(III)-Tar system, reactions were carried out with the relative ratio of Fe(III) to Tar from about 1/3 to 1/12. Results are shown in Figure IV-B-19. The ·OH concentration generated in the system increased with increasing tartaric acid concentration. But when a certain ratio is reached (1/9), the ·OH concentration decrease when tartaric acid concentration increase. When tartaric acid concentration is much higher than that of Fe(III), tartaric acid become the major component in the system. We computed the speciation of tartaric acid by Visual MINTEQ computer program, results showed that H2Tar and HTar− instead of Fe(III)-Tar complexes were the major species in the system. So competition exists in the system, and only a part of ·OH can be trapped by benzene.

Figure IV-B-19 Effects of tartaric acid concentration on the ·OH radicals concentration. The initial pH value of the aqueous solution was 3.0.

**B-3-4 Effect of Fe(III) concentration on the generation of hydroxyl radicals in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-Tar complexes**

Fe(III) species in aqueous solution are considered to have a beneficial effect on the photogeneration of ·OH radicals in a continuous cycle Fe(III)/Fe(II)/Fe(III). The quantum yield of ·OH radicals is increased with increasing the initial Fe(III) concentration. Data in Figure IV-B-20 show that Fe(III) plays an important role in the
photochemical reaction. At pH between 2~5, tartaric acid can form complexes with Fe(III) in the conventional manner. When Fe(III) concentration is much higher than that of tartaric acid, Fe(III) becomes the major component in aqueous solution. It participates to the so-called photo-Fenton reaction through this photochemical reaction

$$\text{Fe(III)} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{Fe(II)} + \cdot\text{OH} + \text{H}^+.$$  

Fe(OH)$_2^+$ is considered to be the most predominant photo-active monomeric Fe(III)-hydroxy complex in the pH range 2.5 to 5.0. Irradiation of these complexes can lead to ·OH radicals formation.

![Figure IV-B-20 Effect of the Fe(III) concentration on the ·OH radicals formation. The initial pH value of the aqueous solution was 3.0.](image)

**B-3-5 Effect of temperature on the generation of hydroxyl radicals in the irradiated aqueous solution containing Fe(III)-Tar complexes**

Finally, we also did experiments under the conditions without cooling water. Results are shown in Figure IV-B-21. Temperature could affect the generation of ·OH radicals. Without cooling water, the reaction temperature was about 328 K, which was higher than that with cooling water. The concentration of ·OH radicals at 328 K was nearly twice than at 298 K. Temperature effect mainly results from (1) some of the steps involved in the photochemical reaction process can be affected by the temperature; and
(2) with the increasing of the temperature, the average molecular kinetic energy will be increased. Reaction rate could be effectively enhanced at higher temperature. Although higher temperature can enhance the main reactions, it can also improve the subsidiary reactions. So temperature has great effect on the reaction and the following experiments were all carried out at room temperature.

Figure IV-B-21 Effect of temperature on the \( \cdot \text{OH} \) radicals concentration (\( C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 10.0 \ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1} \), and \( C_{\text{TAR}} = 30.0 \ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1} \)). The initial pH value of the aqueous solution was 3.0. (a) Without cooling water the temperature is about 328 K, (b) with cooling water the temperature is about 298 K.
Conclusions

In the present study, formation of phenol from benzene was used to determine the concentration of ·OH radicals by the photolysis of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes, including Fe-Tar, Fe-Cit and Fe-Pyr complexes. Parameters, such as pH, the concentration of Fe(III), of acids, oxygen and temperature were all considered in the study. Results confirmed that the pH value has great effect on the photolysis of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes in producing ·OH. The maximum concentration of ·OH radicals were observed at pH 3.0 (pH ranged from 1.5 to 7.0) in all systems.

The ·OH concentration generated in the system increased also with the increase of Fe(III) or acids concentrations. This effect was systematically observed with Fe(III) whatever the complex. However, for the concentration of acids the increase of OH radicals concentration is observed for pyruvic and citric acid until the ratio 1:12 but for tartaric acid a decrease is observed for the ratio 1:12. The presence of high concentration of acid strongly favored the reoxidation of Fe(II) after the first photoredox process in the complex. This step (reoxidation of Fe(II)) is a limiting step in the photocatalytic process based on the couple Fe(III)/Fe(III) (Poulain et al., 2003). The fact in the case of tartaric acid the concentration of OH radicals decreases when the acid concentration increases can be surprising. In this particular case a competition for the reactivity of ·OH radical between benzene and organic compounds (tartaric acid or oxidized tartaric acid) can be put forward.
Oxygen is a crucial factor for the formation of active radicals in the aqueous solutions. Without oxygen no formation of ·OH radical is observed.

The yields of ·OH radicals at 328 K is much higher than at 298 K. Higher temperatures enhance the main and the side reactions of the process. So, all our experiments were carried under controlled temperature with cooling water.

Results show that the concentration of ·OH in the systems containing Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes are higher than that of the system only containing Fe(III). It can be concluded that organic acids (Cit, Tar, Pyr) have positive effects on the photogeneration of ·OH in the aqueous solution. So, the Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes have the potential of utilizing sunlight as an irradiation source. Especially in natural waters, which contain Fe(III)/Fe(II) and many kinds of organic acids. Photochemical reactions can be induced by sunlight, and it will play an important role in the oxidation of organic/inorganic pollutants in natural waters. Further experimental and theoretical work is needed to fully understand the system and its application in natural aquatic or atmospheric environments.

Figure IV-B-22 Photochemical cycle Fe(III)/Fe(II) in the presence of organic pollutant (Chlortoluron), from Poulain et al, 2003.
IV-C Degradation of 2, 4-Dichlorophenol photoinduced by the Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes

The compound 2,4-DCP (2,4-Dichlorophenol) is a key intermediate in the synthesis of the herbicide 2,4-D (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid). In this research, 2,4-DCP was used as a model and target compound to study the capacity of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes in the degradation of pollutants.

C-1-Properties of 2,4-Dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) aqueous solution

The UV-visible spectrum of the solution with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ 2,4-DCP is presented in Figure IV-C-1. It has two bands with maximum absorption at 226 and 284 nm. The molar absorption coefficients ($\varepsilon$) are 6650 L.mol⁻¹.cm⁻¹ for 226 nm and 2180 L.mol⁻¹.cm⁻¹ for 284 nm. The UV-visible spectrum of 2,4-DCP solutions according to the concentration are given in Figure IV-C-2.

![Figure IV-C-1 The UV-visible spectra of 2,4-Dichlorophenol.](image-url)
The 2,4-DCP species in the aqueous solution usually changed as a function of pH value (Figure IV-C-3). At pH < 8.0, the main species is 2,4-DCP with maximum absorption at 284 nm. Figure IV-C-3 exhibits two bands with maximum absorption at 244 nm and 306 nm. However, the absorption of 2,4-DCP does not interfere with the main irradiation wavelength used in this work (365 nm). From the values in Figure IV-C-3, we obtain the Figure IV-C-4, which presents the absorbance at 244 nm as function of pH in aqueous solution. The pKa of 2,4-DCP equal to 7.91 is confirmed by our curve. Figure IV-C-5 shows the protonation equilibrium between the two forms of 2,4-DCP in the aqueous solution.
Figure IV-C-3 pH effect on the distribution of 2,4-Dichlorophenol species in aqueous solution.

Figure IV-C-4 Absorbance at 244 nm as function of pH in aqueous solution with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-DCP

Figure IV-C-5 Protonation equilibrium of 2,4-DCP in the aqueous solution
C-2-Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP induced by Fe(OH)$_{2+}$

Experiments were first carried out to study the degradation of 2,4-DCP photoinduced by Fe(III) in aqueous solution. Before describing the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP, we present the physicochemical properties of Fe(III) in aqueous solutions.

C-2-1 Properties of Fe(III) solutions

The absorption spectrum of an aqueous solution with 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$ Fe(ClO$_4$)$_3$ as a function of time after preparation is shown in Figure IV-C-6. Freshly prepared solutions presents a maximum absorption at about 297 nm characteristic of the monohydroxy complex [Fe(OH)(H$_2$O)$_5$]$^{2+}$ (also written as Fe(OH)$_{2+}$). Upon standing in the dark, the absorption spectrum gradually increased in intensity and shifted to longer wavelengths; this can be rationalized by assuming a gradual and irreversible conversion of monomeric iron species to oligomeric ones (Flynn, 1984).

![Figure IV-C-6 UV-Visible absorption spectra of an aqueous solution of [Fe(III)] = 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$ recorded at different time after the preparation.](image)

Since pH value plays an important role on the distribution of Fe(III) species in the
aqueous solutions, experiments to control this important effect were carried out in this work. The pH values of the aqueous solutions with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(ClO\(_4\))\(_3\) were adjusted to 1.5, 2.5, 3.15, 4.3, 5.4, 6.8, 7.6 and 8.5. Figure IV-C-7 presents the variation of the UV-visible spectra as function of the pH value. Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\) was the predominant species when pH value ranged from 2.5 to 4.0 (see Figure IV-C-7). Ivan P. Pozdnyakov et al (2000) have investigated the mechanisms of \(\cdot\)OH radicals formation upon the excitation of [Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\)] complex. While at pH below 2.0, [Fe(H\(_2\)O)\(_6\)]\(^{3+}\) become the major species. From pH 5.0 to 6.0, Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\) gradually become the major species but this species rapidly disappear with time. When pH is over 7.0, Fe(OH)\(_3\) is formed in the aqueous solutions and precipitate.

![Figure IV-C-7 pH effect on the distribution of Fe(III) species in aqueous solutions.](image)

**C-2-2 Properties of Fe(III)/2,4-DCP solutions**

Experiments were performed to study UV-visible spectrum of different aqueous solutions: a) 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III); b) 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) 2,4-DCP; c) mixture of 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III) and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) 2,4-DCP. Figure IV-C-8 shows the spectrum of the solution 3 min after the preparation.
Figure IV-C-8 UV-Visible absorption spectra of aqueous solution after 3 min preparation with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ Fe(III) and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ 2,4-DCP.

Figure IV-C-9 shows spectra of an aqueous solution with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of Fe(III) and 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-DCP recorded at different time of ageing of the solution. The absorption spectrum gradually increased in intensity and this can be rationalized by assuming a gradual and irreversible conversion of monomeric Fe(III) species to oligomeric ones. But no overall changes in the absorption spectrum of 2,4-DCP with a peak at \( \lambda = 284 \text{ nm} \) were observed since no complex formation between Fe(III) and 2,4-DCP occurs. Since Fe(OH)²⁺ was thought to be the main monomeric species in the Fe(III) solutions, determination was carried out in two different aqueous solutions, with 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ of Fe(III) and with or without 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-DCP. Figure IV-C-10 presents the results. There is no significant impact of the presence of 2,4-DCP on the evolution of the Fe(OH)²⁺ concentration. It also indicated that there is no interference of 2,4-DCP on the distribution of iron species in the aqueous solutions. This experiment show again that there is no complex formation between 2,4-DCP and the different Fe(III) species.
Figure IV-C-9 UV-Visible absorption spectra of an aqueous solution with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III) and 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of 2,4-DCP recorded at different time after the preparation.

Figure IV-C-10 Determination of Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\) species in different solutions as function of time. \(T = 22°C\).

C-2-3 Degradation of 2,4-DCP photoinduced by Fe(III)

Irradiation experiments were performed in aqueous solutions with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of 2,4-DCP under 365 nm. Two concentrations of Fe(III) (0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) and 0.21 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)) were studied in this work. Figure IV-C-11 shows the variation of the
UV-visible spectra of the solution before and after 240 min of irradiation. The absorbance of solution, especially at 284 nm, was apparently decreased after 240 min irradiation. As shown in Figure IV-C-12, the concentration of 2,4-DCP was evidently decreased at two different reaction conditions and it was totally photodegraded after 300 min irradiation. Better results were obtained with higher Fe(III) concentration.

**Figure IV-C-11** Variation of the UV-visible spectra of the reaction solutions as a function of the irradiation time. [Fe(III)] = 0.21 mmol.L⁻¹, initial pH value is 3.3.

**Figure IV-C-12** Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP in the aqueous solutions with Fe(ClO₄)₃.

The important difference observed at the beginning of the irradiation, between
the two concentrations of iron, can be surprising. But both the difference of pH and of the iron concentration can explain this phenomenon.

C-2-4 Quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP in the Fe(III) solution
($\lambda_{irr} = 365\text{nm}$)

Quantum yields of Fe(II) generation were studied in the aqueous solution with 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of Fe(III) perchlorate at pH 3.0. Monochromatic irradiation experiments were carried out under 365 nm. The initial quantum yield of Fe(II) was calculated by taking 2 ml of the solution during irradiation at intervals of 1 min. The concentration of Fe(II) was determined by complexometry with ortho-phenanthroline (at conversion $< 10\%$). The quantum yield $\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}$ was 0.027.

Irradiation of 2,4-DCP was also carried under 365 nm in the aqueous solution with 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of 2,4-DCP at pH 3.0 and in the absence of Fe(III). Quantum yield of 2,4-DCP ($\Phi_{2,4-\text{DCP}}$) disappearance was equal to zero in the absence of Fe(III) after 10 min of irradiation. Because the absorbance of 2,4-DCP is negligible at 365 nm, so there is no direct photodegradation of 2,4-DCP at that wavelength.

The solution with 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of Fe(III) and 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of 2,4-DCP was irradiated at 365nm. Measurements were carried out to study the formation of Fe(II) and the degradation of 2,4-DCP. The results were $\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}} = 0.046$ and $\Phi_{2,4-\text{DCP}} = 0.050$, which were higher than the two cases listed above. It can be concluded that Fe(III) could induce the photolysis of 2,4-DCP, simultaneously 2,4-DCP could enhance the conversion of Fe(III) to Fe(II). The dark reaction was carried out in parallel, but no formation of Fe(II) and no degradation of 2,4-DCP were observed. The reaction could not occur without irradiation.

C-3-Degradation of 2,4-DCP induced by the photolysis of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes
Degradation of 2,4-DCP photoinduced by Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes was studied in this work. Irradiation experiments were carried out separately under monochromatic irradiation for short times and under irradiation in the photoreactor (emission centred at 365 nm) for long times. Parameters affecting the photoreaction, such as irradiation wavelength, pH, oxygen, concentrations of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes and 2,4-DCP, were all studied in the experiments.

C-3-1 Quantum yields of 2, 4-DCP degradation and Fe(II) formation

The reaction times were well controlled to make sure that all the degradation of reagents and the generation of products not exceed 10%. This condition permits to determine the number of photons absorbed in the initial reaction period. Therefore, the quantum yields of 2, 4-DCP degradation ($\Phi_{2,4-DCP}$) and Fe(II) generation($\Phi_{Fe(II)}$) were calculated with an error less than 5%. Parameters that may influence the reaction were all studied.

C-3-1-1 Influence of the irradiation wavelength on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP in different Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes systems

Quantum yields of Fe(II) generation was studied in the aqueous solutions with different Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes, which were Fe(III)-LTar, Fe(III)-DTar, Fe(III)-Pyr and Fe(III)-Cit complexes. All of the experiments were carried out at pH 3.0. The monochromatic irradiation was separately carried out at 296, 313 and 365 nm. Table IV-C-1 presents the results. With the increase of wavelength, the quantum yields of Fe(II) was obviously decreased. This phenomenon can be explained by the well established result that the excess of energy at shorter wavelength favors the photoredox process. The quantum yields of Fe(II) generation are higher in the presence of 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes than in the condition just
with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III). It can be concluded that the carboxylic acid as a ligand can enhance the photo-reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) when compared to water molecule. The \(\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}\) generation was almost the same in the presence of two different Fe(III)-Tar complexes. Moreover, Fe(III)-D-Tar and Fe(III)-L-Tar complexes have almost the same ability to photoinduced the degradation of pollutants in the solutions.

Experiments also studied the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP in the aqueous solutions with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of 2,4-DCP and 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes. Results are presented in Table IV-C-1. The wavelength has a strong effect on the quantum yields. \(\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}\) and \(\Phi_{\text{2,4-DCP}}\) increased with the decrease of wavelength in different reaction systems. However quantum yields of Fe(II) formation and 2,4-DCP degradation for different Fe(III) complexes have a opposite tendency. For the \(\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}\), the tendency is Fe(III)-Pyr > Fe(III)-Cit > Fe(III)-Tar > Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\), and for the \(\Phi_{\text{2,4-DCP}}\), the tendency is Fe(III)-Pyr~Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\) > Fe(III)-Cit > Fe(III)-Tar. In the presence of ligands and pollutant, \(\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}\) are higher because Fe(II) formed is less reoxidized, and radical species attack the pollutant as well. But the lower \(\Phi_{\text{2,4-DCP}}\) is because of the competition between organic substrates, less radicals are available for the 2,4-DCP degradation.

### Table IV-C-1 Quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP as a function of wavelength in different systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\lambda), nm</th>
<th>(I_0, 10^{14}) photons.s(^{-1}).cm(^{-2})</th>
<th>(a)\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}) (\Delta t=60s)</th>
<th>(b)\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}) (\Delta t=60s)</th>
<th>(\Phi_{\text{2,4-DCP}}) (\Delta t=15\text{min})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)[Fe(III)] = 0.3 mmol.L(^{-1}), pH = 3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)[Fe(III)-Pyr] = 0.3 mmol.L(^{-1}); 0.9 mmol.L(^{-1}), pH = 3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)[Fe(III)-Dtar] = 0.3 mmol.L(^{-1}); 0.6 mmol.L(^{-1}), pH = 3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effect of oxygen on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP in different reaction systems ($\lambda_{irr} = 365$nm)

Oxygen generally is an important parameter in the photochemical process. Experiments were carried out to study the oxygen effects on the quantum yield of Fe(II) formation and on the quantum yield of 2,4-DCP degradation. Different gas were used by bubbling oxygen or argon 10 min into the solutions before irradiation. Fe(III)-LTar, Fe(III)-DTar, Fe(III)-Pyr and Fe(III)-Cit complexes were all studied in the experiments. All the experiments were carried out at pH 3.0 with monochromatic irradiation at 365 nm. Results are shown in Table IV-C-2. In the deoxygenated solution, the quantum yield of 2,4-DCP was near zero whatever the nature of the investigated carboxylate. The quantum yields of Fe(II) were also very low compared to that in the presence of oxygen. It can be concluded that oxygen plays a very important role in the reaction process.

Oxygen can enhance the photolysis of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes by trapping the electron on the carbon centered radical formed after the photoredox process. Then the formed $O_2^{-\cdot}$ radicals rapidly react to finally leads to the formation of hydroxyl radical. This radical is the major radical responsible of the the pollutant (2,4-DCP) degradation. In the absence of oxygen, less reactive species are formed in the reaction, thus oxygen is quite necessary to produce the reactive species that cause
2,4-DCP degradation. In these experiments, the quantum yield of 2,4-DCP degradation was higher in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr than with other complexes.

Table IV-C-2 Oxygen effect on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP. In the aqueous solutions with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) 2,4-DCP and the initial pH value was 3.0. (\(\lambda_{irr}=365\text{nm}\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Different reaction systems</th>
<th>(\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}})</th>
<th>(\Phi_{\text{2,4-DCP}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deaerated solution</td>
<td>Aerated solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(III)-Pyr] = 0.3:0.9</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(III)-LTar] = 0.3:0.6</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(III)-DTar] = 0.3:0.6</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(III)-Cit]=0.3 (commercial product)</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-3-1-3 Effect of pH on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP in different reaction systems (\(\lambda_{irr}=365\text{ nm}\))

The pH value was another very important parameter in the photochemical reaction. Experiments were carried out to study the pH effect on the quantum yields of Fe(II) formation and 2,4-DCP degradation. All of the reactions were carried out under monochromatic irradiation at 365nm. During the experiments, the Fe(III)-Carboxylate complex concentration was kept constant as shown in Figure IV-C-13-a, and the concentration of 2,4-DCP was 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\). The pH value of the reaction solutions were changed and adjusted to the desired value. Results about the quantum yields of Fe(II) formation are presented in Figure IV-C-13-a and Figure IV-C-13-b. In these cases, the highest quantum yields of Fe(II) were obtained at pH 3.0. Many references indicated that the photoactive species Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\) was the main species at pH 3.0. pH could affect the reactions in the aqueous solutions, as following,
Fecomplex + hv → Fe(II) + complex\textsubscript{ox}

\textit{complex\textsubscript{ox}} + O\textsubscript{2} → O\textsubscript{2}⁻ + complex\textsubscript{ox}(2)

Fe(III) + O\textsubscript{2}⁻ → Fe(II) + O\textsubscript{2}

2 H\textsuperscript{+} + 2 O\textsubscript{2}⁻ ↔ H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} + O\textsubscript{2}

H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} + Fe(II) → Fe(III) + OH\textsuperscript{−} + OH\textsuperscript{−}

Fe(OH\textsuperscript{2+} + hv → Fe(II) + OH\textsuperscript{−} + -OH

\textbf{Figure IV-C-13-a} pH effect on the quantum yields of Fe(II). In the aqueous solutions with 0.1 mmol.L\textsuperscript{−1} 2,4-DCP. (\textlambda\textsubscript{irr} = 365 nm)

\textbf{Figure IV-C-13-b} pH effect on the quantum yields of Fe(II). In the aqueous solutions with 0.3 mmol.L\textsuperscript{−1} of Fe(III)-Cit and 0.1 mmol.L\textsuperscript{−1} of 2,4-DCP. (\textlambda\textsubscript{irr} = 365 nm)
The pH effect on the quantum yields of 2,4-DCP degradation was also studied. In order to simulate the early stages of the reaction, irradiation period was 10 min to make sure that the degradation rate of 2,4-DCP was less than 10%. Results are shown in Table IV-C-3. The quantum yields of 2,4-DCP disappearance at different pH’s showed similar phenomenon, that $\Phi_{2,4-DCP}$ was decreased with the increase of the pH value. It can be concluded that acidic condition is more effective for the pollutant degradation in the presence of Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes. The quantum yields of 2,4-DCP degradation was optimal at pH 2.0 for Fe(III)-Tar and Fe(III)-Cit complexes, and at pH 3.0 for Fe(III)-Pyr complexes. In all cases, the quantum yields of 2,4-DCP degradation is negligible at pH 5.0.

Table IV-C-3 pH effects on the quantum yields of 2,4-DCP, with 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of 2,4-DCP. ($\lambda_{irr} = 365$nm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>$\Phi_{2,4-DCP}$</th>
<th>(a) [Fe(III)-Pyr] = 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$; 0.9 mmol.L$^{-1}$ *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) [Fe(III)-LTar] = 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$;0.6 mmol.L$^{-1}$ *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) [Fe(III)-DTar] = 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$;0.6 mmol.L$^{-1}$ *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) [Fe(III)-Cit] = 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$ *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The ratio Fe(III)/Ligand in the iron carboxylate complexes are 1/3, 1/2, 1/2, 1/1 for pyruvic, tartaric L and D and citric acids respectively.
C-3-1-4 Effect of complexes concentration on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP in different reaction systems (λirr = 365 nm)

The effects of the Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes concentration on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP were studied at pH 3.0 with monochromatic irradiation (365nm). Fe(III)-Pyr, Fe(III)-Tar and Fe(III)-Cit complexes were all studied. Results are presented in the following Table IV-C-4.

The quantum yields of Fe(II) generation was strongly influenced by the concentration of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes. When the concentration of complexes increases, the quantum yield of $\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}$ increases too. In these cases, the $\Phi_{2,4-\text{DCP}}$ was also increased when the complexes concentration increased. However, this effect is less pronounced than for quantum yield of Fe(II). For example it is important to note that the quantum yields of 2,4-DCP at low Fe(III)-LTar concentration was almost the same than at 10 times higher Fe(III)-LTar complex concentration. This phenomenon can be explain by a competition between 2,4-DCP and the organic part of the complex for the reactivity of the formed hydroxyl radical. At higher Fe(III)-complex concentration this competition could be not negligible and has a negative effect on the 2,4-DCP degradation.

Table IV-C-4 Effects of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes concentration on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP. The initial pH value was 3.0. (λirr = 365 nm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Different conditions</th>
<th>$\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}$</th>
<th>$\Phi_{2,4-\text{DCP}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(III)-LTar] (mmol.L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01:0.02</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02:0.04</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10:0.20</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30:0.60</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(III)-DTar] (mmol.L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10:0.20</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30:0.60</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(III)-Cit] (mmol.L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2,4-DCP is used as a model compound in this work, so we studied its effects on the reaction. Monochromatic irradiation was 365 nm. The solutions were adjusted to pH 3.0 with constant Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes concentration. The concentration of 2,4-DCP are 0, 0.02, 0.05, 0.08 and 0.10 mmol.L\(^{-1}\). Results are presented in Figure IV-C-14. The presence of 2,4-DCP obviously enhanced the quantum yields of Fe(II) formation in all the complex systems. As shown in Figure IV-C-14 (a), with the increase of 2,4-DCP concentration, \(\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}\) increases. Photolysis of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes can form Fe(II) and generate reactive species, which quickly react with the pollutant, thus, the reaction will continue in the way that Fe(III) is reduced to Fe(II).

As evidenced by the values in Figure IV-C-14 (b), the quantum yields of 2,4-DCP disappearance increases with the increase of the 2,4-DCP concentration in all cases. High concentration of 2,4-DCP can increase the probability of reaction with the radical species photogenerated in the solutions.
C-3-2 Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP at 365 nm in the presence of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes

C-3-2-1 Degradation of 2,4-DCP photoinduced by Fe(III)-Cit complex

The UV-visible spectra of solution with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-Cit complex is shown in Figure IV-C-15. The natural pH is 4.4. Figure IV-C-16 gives the structure of Fe(III)-Cit. The pKa is equal to 3.3.
Experiments were performed to study UV-visible spectrum of different aqueous solutions: a) 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-Cit; b) 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) 2,4-DCP; c) mixture of 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-Cit and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) 2,4-DCP. Figure IV-C-17 shows the spectrum of the solution 3 min after the preparation.

The spectrum of the mixture corresponds to the sum of the both spectrum of the two components (2,4-DCP and Fe-Cit). This result shows that there is no interaction between the two components at the fundamental state.
Effects of Fe(III)-Cit concentration

Experiments were carried out to study the effect of Fe(III)-Cit concentration on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP. The initial concentration of Fe(III)-Cit complex used in the work are 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\). The solutions contain 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of 2,4-DCP with an initial pH value equal to 3.0. Results are shown in Figure IV-C-18(a), the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP increased with the increase of Fe-Cit complex concentration. After 6h of irradiation, the 2,4-DCP had almost disappear in the solution with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-Cit complex. However, it is not fair to conclude that the higher the complex concentration, the higher the photodegradation rate is. Actually, if the complex concentration is too high, competition reactions will strongly exist between the organic substances (acid carboxylic and 2,4-DCP) in aqueous solutions. Less active species is available for the degradation of 2,4-DCP. That why the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP is almost the same in the presence of 0.3 and 0.6 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Cit complexes (Figure IV-C-18(b)).
During the irradiation process, the iron species especially Fe(II) was also detected. Figure IV-C-19 presents the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the experiments. In the beginning of the reaction, the concentration of Fe(II) increased very fast whatever the starting concentration in complex. Fe(III) has been totally photodeoxidized to Fe(II) after 20 min of irradiation. The reaction then continues (photocatalytic cycle Fe(III)/Fe(II)) consuming Fe(II) and formed ·OH, which is the main reason for the degradation of 2,4-DCP. In the presence of 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ Fe(III)-Cit, the concentration of Fe(II) slightly decreased from 99% to 85% of total iron after 8h of irradiation.
**C-3-2-1-2 Effect of pH**

The pH is a very important parameter in many kinds of reactions. Experiments were carried out to study the pH effect on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP in the solution with 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of Fe(III)-Cit complex and 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of 2,4-DCP under irradiation at 365 nm. pH was adjusted to the desired value. From the results presented in Figure IV-C-20, it appears that the pH has a strong effect on the photodegradation reaction. The optimal photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP was observed at pH = 3.0. For example, after 60 min irradiation, approximately 70% of 2,4-DCP was degraded at pH = 3.0, but only 45% at pH = 3.6 and 42% at pH = 6.0. Fe(II) concentrations were also determined at the same time. Results are presented in Figure IV-C-21, pH presents also a strong effect on the formation of Fe(II) species. Especially at pH = 6.0, the maximum concentration of Fe(II) was only 40% of total iron in the aqueous solution after 60 min of irradiation, and it decreased to 25% after 8 h of irradiation. Lower concentration of Fe(II) in the solutions, lower formation of radicals species, thus, the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP is low.

![Graph showing the influence of pH on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP](image)

**Figure IV-C-20** Influence of pH on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit complexes. [Fe(III)-Cit] = 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$, [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$. 
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Figure IV-C-21 Influence of pH on the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit complexes. [Fe(III)-Cit] = 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\).

**C-3-2-1-2 Effect of oxygen**

Oxygen is another very important factor in the photochemical reactions. So it is necessary to study its effect on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP. Experiments were carried out in deaerated and aerated solutions separately. Results are presented in Figure IV-C-22. Oxygen has a strong effect on the reaction. The photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP is much higher in the aerated solution than in the deaerated solution. In the presence of oxygen, more reactive species (O\(_2^−\), H\(_2\)O\(_2\) and then ·OH) will be generated in the aqueous solutions, which has been determined in the previous research. In the absence of oxygen a non negligible fraction of 2,4-DCP is degraded (more than 20%). This result show that the first radical formed (the degradation is very fast at the beginning) are capable to degrade 2,4-DCP.
Determination of Fe(II) was also performed. Results are presented in the Figure IV-C-23. The formation of Fe(II) is very fast at the beginning of the reaction. The oxygen has no significant effect on the formation of Fe(II) (Figure IV-C-23). Fe(III) was almost totally reduced to Fe(II) species after 30 min of irradiation in all conditions. The concentration of Fe(II) species is stable in the absence of oxygen. However, the concentration of Fe(II) decreased to the final value of 85% of total iron in the air saturated solution after 8 h of irradiation. Some Fe(II) can be reoxidized into Fe(III) by oxygen or oxygenated reactive species.
Figure IV-C-23 Effects of oxygen on the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit complexes. $[\text{Fe(III)}] - 0.3\text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, $[\text{2,4-DCP}] = 0.1\text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, pH = 3.0

C-3-2-2 Degradation of 2,4-DCP photoinduced by Fe(III)-D or L-Tar complex

All the results obtained with tartaric acid are similar whatever the isomer D or L. Fe(III)-D or L-Tar complex was used to study the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP.

C-3-2-2-1 Effect of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar concentration

Effect of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar concentration on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP was studied with initial concentrations of Fe(III)-complex equal to 0.05, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$. The solutions contain 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of 2,4-DCP with an initial pH value adjusted at 3.0. Results about the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP are presented in Figure IV-C-24 a and b. It has two subsequent steps. In the first step, before 30min of irradiation, the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP increased with the increase of Fe(III)-complex concentration. In the second step the photodegradation efficiency appears to be the opposite tendency with the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP higher in the presence of lower complex concentration of Fe-complex. This result is due to the fact that at the beginning of the
irradiation, higher concentration of active radicals is formed in the solutions with the higher concentration of complexes. At the same time, the photolysis of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar complexes, will generate some low molecular weight organic substances, at higher concentration when the concentration of Fe(III)-complex is higher. These organic species also consume active radicals and competition reactions is present between 2,4-DCP and organic substances photogenerated from the Fe(III)-complexes. This competition is more acute in solutions with high concentration of complexes and so less active radicals are available for the degradation of 2,4-DCP.

Figure IV-C-24 a Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP as a function of Fe(III)-D-Tar concentration in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. \([2,4-DCP]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).

Figure IV-C-24 b Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP as a function of Fe(III)-L-Tar concentration in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. \([2,4-DCP]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).
The concentration of Fe(II) was detected during the same reaction. Figure IV-C-25 presents the results about the determination of Fe(II). After 45 min of irradiation, the concentration of Fe(II) corresponded approximately to 100% of initial concentration of total iron added in the solutions. However, the concentration of Fe(II) decreased to 70%–75% of total iron after 8 h of irradiation in the solutions with concentrations in complex equal to 0.2 and 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹. It also indicated that the photoreaction intermediates interfere in the photocatalytic cycle Fe(III)/Fe(II) in the solution.

![Graph](image)

Figure IV-C-25 Photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar concentration in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0, [2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.

Since the concentration of Fe(III)-D-Tar has a complicate effect on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP. Experiments were performed with a group of low concentrations in complex from 0.01 mmol.L⁻¹ to 0.05 mmol.L⁻¹, which are all lower than the concentration of 2,4-DCP 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹. The pH of the solution is 3.0 in all the experiments. Results about the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP are presented in Figure IV-C-26. According to the results, at lower concentration of complex (≤ 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹) the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP increased with the increase of complex concentration over the value used in this experiment. The photodegradation
of 2,4-DCP and formation of Fe(II) proceed rapidly at the beginning of the irradiation. After 1 h of irradiation 75% of 2,4-DCP has disappeared in the presence of 0.05 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-D or L-Tar complex. As shown in Figure IV-C-27, the concentration of Fe(II) reach 80% of the total iron in the solutions after 10 min of irradiation.

---

Figure IV-C-26 Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP as a function of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar concentration with an initial pH = 3.0. \([2,4-DCP]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).

---

Figure IV-C-27 Photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar concentration in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. \([2,4-DCP]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).
**C-3-2-2-2 Effect of pH**

pH effect was investigated in the solution with 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$ Fe(III)-D or L-Tar complex and 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ 2,4-DCP under irradiation at 365 nm. pH was adjusted to the desired value with perchloric acid (1N). Results about the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP are presented in Figure IV-C-28. pH has a strong effect on the reaction. The optimal photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP was observed at pH 3.0. After 2 h of irradiation, 70% of 2,4-DCP was degraded at pH 3.0, 57% at pH 3.6 and only 11% at pH 5.0 or 6.0. After 6 h, 2,4-DCP was totally degraded at pH 3.0. Acidic conditions is favorable for the degradation of 2,4-DCP.

![Figure IV-C-28 pH effect on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP. \([\text{Fe(III)}]/[\text{D or L-Tar}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}/0.6 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, [2,4-\text{DCP}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}.\)](image)

Fe(II) species was also determined at the same time. Results are presented in Figure IV-C-29, pH presents a strong effect on the formation of Fe(II) species. Low pH is favorable for the formation of Fe(II). At pH 3.0 and 3.6, total irons are almost totally and quickly transformed to Fe(II).after 10 min of irradiation. But for the relative higher pH value, the transformation of iron species was slower. The results are presented in the Figure IV-C-29, the evolution of Fe(II) seems to have three reaction steps. First step, before 2 h of irradiation the concentration of Fe(II) was increased until a plateau value, 80% of total iron concentration for pH 5.0 and 70%
for pH 6.0. In the second step, the concentration of Fe(II) was stable from 2 h to 5 h of irradiation. And then in the third step concentration of Fe(II) gradually decreased to a final value corresponding to 73% of total iron for pH 3.0, 60% for pH 5.0 and 43% for pH 6.0.

Figure IV-C-29 Photogeneration of Fe(II) as function of pH value. $[\text{Fe(III)}]/[\text{D or L-Tar}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}/0.6 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, $[\text{2,4-DCP}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$.

Figure IV-C-30 a and b presents the UV-visible spectra of the solutions with 0.2 and 0.01 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of Fe(III)-D-Tar complex and 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of 2,4-DCP as a function of the irradiation time. Irradiation was carried out at 365 nm and the starting pH of the solution is equal to 3.0. From the variation of the spectrum, the absorbance of 2,4-DCP at 284 nm was apparently decreased according to the time in the two conditions. This decrease was very fats at the beginning of the irradiation at higher concentration in iron complex. The absorbance of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar complex from 300 to 500 nm was also apparently decreased according to the irradiation time. After 8 h of irradiation and at higher concentration of complex, the pH value of solution increased from 3.0 to 3.4. It is well established that the oxidative degradation of the pollutant consumes H$^+$. At lower concentration of complex, the variation of UV-vis spectrum is very interesting and in Figure IV-C-30 b two isosbestic points appear very clearly. This evolution shows very well the simultaneous disappearance of 2,4-DCP
and the complex and the formation of a new product with higher absorption near 250 nm.

![Graph showing UV-visible spectra variation](image)

Figure IV-C-30 Variation of the UV-visible spectra of the reaction solutions as a function of the irradiation time. (a) $[\text{Fe(III)}]/[\text{D or L-Tar}] = 0.2 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}/0.4 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$ (b) $[\text{Fe(III)}]/[\text{D or L-Tar}] = 0.01 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}/0.02 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$. $\lambda_{irr} = 365 \text{ nm}$; Initial pH value was 3.0.

C-3-2-2-3 **Effect of oxygen**
Oxygen effect on the photoreaction was studied in the solution with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-D or L-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) 2,4-DCP at pH = 3.0. Deoxygenated condition was obtained by bubbling argon into the solutions before irradiation. Figure IV-C-31 presents the results. The curves show that oxygen is an important parameter for the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP. In the oxygen rich condition, the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP has reached 63% after 1h irradiation, and only 11% 2,4-DCP was degraded in the deaerated condition. Oxygen is a crucial parameter for the formation of reactive species in the aqueous solutions. The degradation observed in deaerated condition can be attributed to primary radicals photogenerated in the photoredox process or due to a not complete deoxygenation of the solutions.

Determination of Fe(II) was performed at the same time. Results are presented in the Figure IV-C-32. The formation of Fe(II) is very fast at the beginning of the reaction in both conditions. The concentration of Fe(II) has reached over 90% of the total iron in the solutions after 10 min of irradiation. Iron was almost totally reduced to Fe(II) species after 10 min of irradiation. Oxygen effect present the same tendency as in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit complex. Fe(II) species was stable in the absence of oxygen all along the experiment. However, the concentration of Fe(II) decreased to the final value of 70% of total iron in the air saturated solution after 8 h of irradiation.

![Figure IV-C-31](image_url)

**Figure IV-C-31** Effects of oxygen on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP in the presence of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar complexes. [Fe(III)-D or L-Tar] = 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), pH = 3.0
Figure IV-C-32 Effects of oxygen on the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the presence of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar complexes. [Fe(III)-D or L-Tar] = 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹, pH = 3.0

C-3-2-3 Degradation of 2,4-DCP photoinduced by Fe(III)-Pyr complex

Fe(III)-Pyr complex was also used to study the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP.

C-3-2-3-1 Effect of Fe(III)-Pyr concentration

Effect of Fe(III)-Pyr concentration on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP was studied. The initial concentration of Fe(III)-Pyr complex used in the work are 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹. The reaction solutions contain 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-DCP with an initial pH value equal to 3.0. Figure IV-C-33 presents the experimental results about the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP. The photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP was increased with the increase of Fe(III)-Pyr concentration all along the experiments. It is different from the results obtained in the presence of Fe(III)-Tar complexes for long irradiation time where the degradation of 2,4-DCP decreased for higher concentration of Fe(III)-Tar complexes.
Figure IV-C-33 Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP as a function of Fe-Pyr concentration in solution with an initial pH = 3.0. \([2,4-\text{DCP}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).

The formation of Fe(II) species was determined at the same time. Results are presented in Figure IV-C-34. In the presence of 0.1 and 0.2 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-Pyr complexes, the concentration of Fe(II) was over 94% of the total iron after 10 min of irradiation. In the presence of 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Pyr, the iron was totally transformed to Fe(II) after 45 min of irradiation. The concentrations of Fe(II) are all stable according to the irradiation time. These results are similar to those obtained with the author iron complexes (Fe-Cit and Fe-Tar).

Figure IV-C-34 Photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe-Pyr concentration in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. \([2,4-\text{DCP}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).
C-3-2-3-2 Effect of pH

pH as an important parameter was studied in the solution with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-Pyr complex and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) 2,4-DCP under irradiation at 365 nm. The pH was adjusted to the desired value by perchloric acid (1 N). Figure IV-C-35 presents the experiment results. The pH plays an important role in the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP. More than 80% of the 2,4-DCP disappeared at pH 3.0 and 4.0 after 8 h of irradiation. The photodegradation was slow in the relative high pH. Only 42% of 2,4-DCP disappeared at pH 5.35 after 8 h of irradiation. Acidic conditions is favorable for the formation of active species, which is the main reason for the degradation of 2,4-DCP.

![Figure IV-C-35 pH effect on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP. [Fe(III)]/[Pyr] = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)/0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), [2,4-DCP]\(_0\) = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\).]

Detection of Fe(II) species was also performed at the same time. Results are presented in Figure IV-C-36, pH presents a strong effect on the formation of Fe(II) species. Lower pH is favorable for the formation of Fe(II). At pH 3.0 the concentration of Fe(II) corresponded to approximately 100% of the total iron concentration after 10 min of irradiation. However, at relative high pH, the reduction
of Fe(III) species was slower but around 100% of the total iron concentration was also obtained after 1 h of irradiation at pH 4.0. However, at higher pH (5.35) only 68% of the total iron concentration is reduced into Fe(II) after 1h of irradiation. For longer irradiation times, there is an apparent decrease of Fe(II) concentration at pH 4.0 and the concentration of Fe(II) gradually decreased to reach a final value of 85% of the total iron after 8 h of irradiation.

![Graph showing photogeneration of Fe(II) as function of pH value.](image)

**Figure IV-C-36 Photogeneration of Fe(II) as function of pH value. [Fe(III)]/[Pyr] = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)/0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), [2,4-DCP]\(_0\) = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\).**

**C-3-2-3-3 Effect of oxygen**

Oxygen effect on the photodegradation of pollutants was performed in the solutions with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-Pyr complex and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) 2,4-DCP at pH = 3.0. Results are presented in Figure IV-C-37. Oxygen can enhance the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr complex. In the air saturated condition, the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP is higher than in the deaerated conditions. After 8 h of irradiation, 2,4-DCP has been totally degraded in the air saturated condition, and only 28% of the 2,4-DCP was degraded in the deaerated solution. In deaerated condition the rate of the degradation of 2,4-DCP seems to be faster after 350 min of irradiation. It is difficult to explain this observation.
and it can be due to enter of oxygen in the solution during the experiment.

As shown in the Figure IV-C-38, the formation of Fe(II) is very fast at the beginning of the reaction in both conditions. Iron was almost totally reduced to Fe(II) species after 30 min of irradiation. The same conclusions as before for the author iron complexes were obtained. The concentration of Fe(II) species is stable in the deaerated solution and there is a small decrease in the aerated solution with a final value of 94% of total iron concentration after 8 h of irradiation.

Figure IV-C-37 Effect of oxygen on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr complexes. \([\text{Fe(III)-Pyr}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, [2,4-\text{DCP}] = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{pH} = 3.0\)

Figure IV-C-38 Effect of oxygen on the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr complexes. \([\text{Fe(III)-Pyr}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, [2,4-\text{DCP}] = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{pH} = 3.0\)

C-3-2-4 Comparing of the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP in different systems
The photochemical properties of Fe(III) and Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes have been systematically studied in this work. The studied complexes are comparable in the photoreaction efficiency of Fe(II) formation and 2,4-DCP degradation. Results about the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP are presented in the Figure IV-C-39. However, a slight difference is observed and the efficiency of Fe(III) complexes to degrade 2,4-DCP is in the order of Fe(OH)²⁺ < Fe(III)-Pyr < Fe(III)-Tar < Fe(III)-Cit after 2 h of irradiation. After 3 h of irradiation an for longer times, the photodegradation efficiency is changed into an opposite order that is Fe(III)-Cit < Fe(III)-Tar < Fe(III)-Pyr < Fe(OH)²⁺. This very important observation indicates that there is a competition between organic compounds in the solutions. After 2h~3h of irradiation, iron was totally reduced to Fe(II) and as a consequence Fe(III) complexes was completely disappeared at the same time. Many organic substances simultaneously exist in the solutions. Hydroxyl radical is an unselective active species for the degradation of pollutants. That is why the OH radical is less available for the degradation of 2.4-DCP in the aqueous solution in the presence of acid ligands and for longer irradiation time the aquacomplexes of iron become more efficient for the degradation of the pollutant (2,4-DCP).

![Figure IV-C-39 Photodegradation of 2,4- DCP in different systems.](image)

\[\text{[2,4-DCP]} = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{ pH} = 3.0\]
Figure IV-C-40 presents the formation of Fe(II) species. Whatever Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes, Fe(III) is reduced very fast. After 30 min of irradiation approximately all Fe(III) species are reduced. 2,4-DCP is rapidly degraded in the initial period which correspond to the fast Fe(II) formation. Results demonstrate that all of the iron systems can effectively induce the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP.

The Fe(II) concentration starts to decrease for longer irradiation time, different as a function of the Fe(III) complexes used. This decrease of Fe(II) can be explain by the reactivity of OH radical on Fe(II). This reaction becomes significant when the concentration of starting pollutant or organic species in general decreases. This phenomenon has been already described in the literature (Mestankova et al, 2004).

C-3-3 Mineralization analysis by TOC

Total organic carbon (TOC) experiments were undertaken in order to make evidence for the mineralization of pollutants. The mixture of 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-DCP and 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes was analysed as a function of irradiation time by TOC analyser. Results are presented in the Figure IV-C-41. The concentration of TOC decreased according to the irradiation time. The decrease can
be partly attributed to the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP and partly to the photoredox process in the iron complexes. During the irradiation process, the Fe(III) complexes was decomposed under irradiation accompanied by the formation of active radicals, which directly induced the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP. Many experiments presented above also indicated that 2,4-DCP completely disappeared after 8 h of irradiation. The second reason for the TOC concentration decrease is the photodegradation of organic acids used as a complexing agent of iron. It is well known and presented in the bibliography that in the first step of the photochemical process of iron-carboxylic acid complexes, a decarboxylation is systematically observed.
Systemically with the three iron-complexes a decrease of TOC concentration is observed. However, this decrease is much more important in the presence of Fe(III)-Tar complex. This difference can be attributed to the structure of the different carboxylic acid. But this more important mineralization observed with Fe(III)-tar complex is surprising when we know that the quantum yield of 2,4-DCP degradation is the smallest of the four iron complexes. Anyway, it is difficult to go further in the discussion. Indeed, more experiments will be necessary to know if the mineralization observed is mainly due to the organic ligand degradation or to the 2,4-DCP degradation.
Conclusions

Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP was investigated in the presence of different Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes, including Fe-D or L-Tar, Fe-Pyr and Fe-Cit complexes. Irradiation experiments were carried out separately under monochromatic irradiation for short times and under polychromatic irradiation (between 300 and 500 nm) for longer times. The results indicate that irradiation wavelength, pH, oxygen, Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes and 2,4-D concentrations, all have an impact on the quantum yields of Fe(II) formation and 2,4-DCP disappearance and also on the kinetic of 2,4-DCP degradation.

However, the first important remark is that evolution of quantum yields of Fe(II) formation and 2,4-DCP disappearance as a function of the different Fe(III) complexes have a different tendency. For the $\Phi_{Fe(II)}$, the tendency is Fe(III)-Pyr > Fe(III)-Cit > Fe(III)-Tar > Fe(OH)$^{2+}$, and for the $\Phi_{2,4-DCP}$, the tendency is Fe(III)-Pyr~Fe(OH)$^{2+}$ > Fe(III)-Cit > Fe(III)-Tar. The main difference is due to the fact that Fe(OH)$^{2+}$ is the most photoactive complex for the degradation of 2,4-DCP and the less photoactive complex for the formation of Fe(II). These results indicate that at the beginning of the reaction, organic acids can enhance photoformation of Fe(II) as well as active species, however, they also quench active radicals at the same time. Thus, competition reactions coexist in the reaction solutions, less radicals is available for the degradation of 2,4-DCP.

Longer irradiation times results indicate that Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes can effectively induced the degradation of 2,4-DCP. However, the photodegradation processes are more complicated in the presence of organic ligands. Competition reactions exist in the aqueous solutions. After 3 h of irradiation, many kinds of intermediate products originated from 2,4-DCP and from Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes coexist in the aqueous solutions. That is why total mineralization is hard to obtain in comparison with iron aquacomplexes.

Results indicate that both lower pH and higher concentration of oxygen are
favorable for the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP and are very important parameter for the efficiency of the pollutant degradation. The effect of iron-complexes concentration is more complicated. Indeed, at higher concentrations of Fe-Carboxylate complexes the degradation of 2,4-DCP is increase in many cases. But, in the case of Fe-Tar complex and at higher concentration (> 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹), the degradation of 2,4-DCP is strongly reduced after 20 min of irradiation and as a conclusion the total efficiency is lower than for lower Fe(III)-Tar concentration (< 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹). This phenomenon very sensitive, in our experimental condition ([Fe(III)-complexes] < 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹) with tartaric acid is attributed to the competition between organic ligand and pollutant for the reactivity of hydroxyl radicals. The difference of concentration, between the Fe(III)-complexes, to reach the competition for the hydroxyl radical can be attributed to the difference of reactivity of ·OH radical on the different organic acids. This observation is in agreement with the rate constant of different organic acids with hydroxyl radicals:

Table IV-C-5 Rate constants of ·OH radicals on the different organic acids (Buxton et al, 1988).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organic acids</th>
<th>Rate constants (L.mol⁻¹.s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tartaric acid (pH = 2.0)</td>
<td>7×10⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tartrate ion (pH = 9.0)</td>
<td>6.8×10⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric acid (pH = 1.0)</td>
<td>5.0×10⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate ion (pH = 9.0)</td>
<td>3.1×10⁷</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

·OH radicals have a higher reactivity on the tartaric acid, that is why we observed a competition for ·OH reaction at lower concentration for this acid.
IV-D Degradation of 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) photoinduced by the Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes

Experiments were carried out to study the photodegradation of 2,4-D induced by the Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes, such as Fe(III)-Cit, Fe(III)-Tar and Fe(III)-Pyr complexes, which have been used in the previous part of this thesis. Parameters such as wavelength irradiation, complexes concentration, pH and oxygen, were all studied in the work.

D-1-Properties of 2,4-D in aqueous solution

The UV-visible spectrum of the solutions with different concentrations of 2,4-D is presented in Figure IV-D-1. It has two bands with maximum absorption at 230 nm and 284 nm. The molar absorption coefficients ($\varepsilon$) are 8725 L.mol$^{-1}$.cm$^{-1}$ at 230 nm and 1920 L.mol$^{-1}$.cm$^{-1}$ at 284 nm (determined with the figure IV-D-2). The pKa of 2,4-D is equal to 2.64.

![UV-visible spectra of 2,4-D](image.png)

Figure IV-D-1 UV-visible spectra of 2,4-D at different concentrations.
Experiments were performed to study UV-visible spectra of different aqueous solutions: a) 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ Fe(III); b) 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ 2,4-D; c) mixture of 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ Fe(III) and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ 2,4-D. Figure IV-D-3 shows the spectra of the solutions 3 min after the preparation.

The spectrum of the mixture (Fe(III) + 2,4-D) correspond to the sum of the spectra of the both components. This result shows that there is no interaction
(complexation) between 2,4-D and Fe(III) in the aqueous solution.

D-2-Quantum yields of 2, 4-D degradation and Fe(II) formation

The quantum yield has been evaluated in the method that for 2,4-DCP (< at 10% of the reaction progress).

D-2-1 Influence of the irradiation wavelength on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-D in different Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes systems

The quantum yields of Fe(II) formation and 2,4-D disappearance has been evaluated at different wavelength (296, 313 and 365 nm) in the aqueous solutions with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-D and 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes. All of the experiments were carried out at pH 3.0. Table IV-D-1 presents the results. Wavelength has a strong effect on the quantum yields. Φ_{Fe(II)} and Φ_{2,4-D} all increased with the decrease of the wavelength in different reaction systems. However if we compare the quantum yields as a function of iron-complex used, quantum yields of Fe(II) formation and 2,4-D disappearance have an opposite tendency excepted in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr where the quantum yields the highest for both, Fe(II) and 2,4-D. For the Φ_{Fe(II)}, the tendency is Fe(III)-Pyr > Fe(III)-Tar > Fe(III)-Cit > Fe(OH)^2⁺, but for the Φ_{2,4-D}, the tendency is Fe(III)-Pyr > Fe(OH)^2⁺ > Fe(III)-Cit >Fe(III)-Tar. The photoreduction of Fe(III) is enhanced in the presence of ligands. So the Φ_{Fe(II)} are much higher in the presence of acid carboxylic as ligands than in the presence of water or hydroxide group as ligands (Fe(OH)^2⁺). This difference can be attributed to the fact that in the case of organic ligands Fe(II) formed is less reoxidized, and radical species formed under irradiation attack pollutants as well. However, in the case of Fe(III)-Tar and Fe(III)-Cit, the Φ_{2,4-D} is lower in the presence than with Fe(OH)^2⁺. This surprising result, if you take into account Φ_{Fe(II)}, can be due to the competition between organic substrates and less radicals are available for the 2,4-D degradation at the beginning of the irradiation.
Table IV-D-1 Quantum yields of disappearance of 2,4-D and generation of Fe(II) as a function of the irradiation wavelength.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>λ</th>
<th>$I_0$, $10^{14}$ photons s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$</th>
<th>$\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}$ $\Delta t=40s$</th>
<th>$\Phi_{2,4-D}$ $\Delta t=10\text{min}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Fe(III) = 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$, pH = 3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Fe(III)-Pyr = 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$:0.9 mmol.L$^{-1}$, pH = 3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Fe(III)-Tar = 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$:0.6 mmol.L$^{-1}$, pH = 3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Fe(III)-Cit = 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$, pH = 3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>296</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D-2-2 Influence of oxygen on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-D with different Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes systems ($\lambda_{\text{irr}}=365\text{nm}$)**

Oxygen plays a very important role in the photochemical process. Its effects on the quantum yield of Fe(II) formation and on the quantum yield of 2,4-D degradation were studied in this work. Different gas medium of reaction solutions were obtained by bubbling oxygen or argon 10 min into the solutions before irradiation. All the experiments were carried out in the presence of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes (Fe(III)-Tar, Fe(III)-Pyr and Fe(III)-Cit) at pH = 3.0 with monochromatic irradiation at 365 nm. Results are collected in Table IV-D-2. In deaerated solution, the quantum yield of 2,4-D is negligible, especially in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit and Fe(III)-Tar complexes. The quantum yield of Fe(II) formation in oxygenated solution is almost five times higher that those obtained in the absence of oxygen. In these experiments, the quantum yields of Fe(II) formation and 2,4-D disappearance were higher in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr than with other complexes.
Table IV-D-2 Quantum yields of disappearance of 2,4-D and generation of Fe(II) as a function of oxygen. The initial pH value was 3.0. (λirr=365nm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Different reaction systems</th>
<th>( \Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}} )</th>
<th>( \Phi_{2,4-\text{D}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deaerated</td>
<td>Aerated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>solution</td>
<td>solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(III)-Cit] = 0.3</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(III)-Pyr] = 0.3:0.9</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(III)-Tar] = 0.3:0.6</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be concluded that oxygen has a strong effect on the reaction. Oxygen enhance the photoredox process involving Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes, thus, more Fe(II) and reactive species (\( \cdot \)OH radicals) are generated in the reaction. Moreover, in the absence of oxygen, necessary to form the reactive species, 2,4-D degradation is strongly inhibited.

**D-2-3 Influence of pH on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-D in different Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes systems (λirr= 365 nm)**

As we have seen before, the pH is also a very important parameter in the photochemical reaction with Fe(III)-complexes. All of the reactions were carried out under monochromatic irradiation at 365 nm. In the experiments, the concentration of Fe(III)-Cit was 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), Fe(III)/Tar was 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)/0.6 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), Fe(III)/Pyr was 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)/0.9 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) and 2,4-D was 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\). The pH values of the solutions were changed from 2.0 to 5.0. Results about the quantum yields of Fe(II) are presented in Figure IV-D-4. In these cases, the higher quantum yields of Fe(II) were obtained at pH 3.0 in all the systems. Fe(III)-Pyr presents the higher quantum yield of Fe(II) formation \( \Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}} = 0.295 \).
The pH effect on the quantum yields of 2,4-D disappearance was also studied. Results are shown in Table IV-D-3. According to the values in Table IV-D-3, the quantum yields of 2,4-D disappearance were decreased with the increase of the pH value. It can be concluded that acidic condition is more favourable for the degradation of 2,4-D in the presence of Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes. The quantum yields of 2,4-D disappearance were optimal at pH 3.0 in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr complexes ($\Phi_{2,4-D} = 0.046$).

![Figure IV-D-4 pH effect on the quantum yields of Fe(II) formation in the presence of 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ of 2,4-D. ($\lambda_{irr} = 365$ nm)](image)

Table IV-D-3 Quantum yields of disappearance of 2,4-D as a function of pH. In the aqueous solutions with 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ 2,4-D. ($\lambda_{irr} = 365$ nm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>$\Phi_{2,4-D}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe(III)-Cit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D-2-4 Influence of 2, 4-D concentration on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-D with different Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes (λ_{ir}= 365 nm)

Effects of 2,4-D concentration on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-D was studied in the solutions with 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes (Fe(III)-Cit, Fe(III)-Pyr and Fe(III)-Tar). Monochromatic irradiation was 365 nm. The solutions were adjusted to pH 3.0. The concentration of 2,4-D were 0, 0.02, 0.04, 0.08 and 0.10 mmol.L⁻¹. Quantum yields of Fe(II) formation are presented in Figure IV-D-5, which shows a tendency that with the increase of the 2,4-D concentration, the $\Phi_{Fe(II)}$ increases. The presence of 2,4-D enhanced the quantum yields of Fe(II) in all the systems. The values of $\Phi_{Fe(II)}$ are in the following order Fe(III)-Pyr > Fe(III)-Cit ~ Fe(III)-Tar. Photolysis of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes can form Fe(II) and generate reactive species, which quickly can react with the pollutant. Thus, in the low concentration of pollutant ·OH radicals can react on the Fe(II) formed giving rise Fe(III). This reaction can explain the fact that the quantum yield of Fe(II) increases with the increase of the 2,4-D concentration. This phenomenon is more evident with Fe(III) aquacomplexes where no other organic compounds are present in solution (Christensen and Sehested, 1988 and Mestankova et al., 2004).

$$Fe(II) + gOH \rightarrow Fe(III) + OH^- \quad (k= 4 \times 10^8 \text{ L.mol}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1})$$

As evidenced by the values in Figure IV-D-6, the quantum yields of 2,4-D disappearance increases with the increase of 2,4-D concentration in all the cases. The value of $\Phi_{2,4-D}$ are also in the following order Fe(III)-Pyr > Fe(III)-Cit ~ Fe(III)-Tar, identical to that of $\Phi_{Fe(II)}$. High concentrations of 2,4-D can increase the probability of reaction with the radical species photogenerated in the reaction.
These results show that in such system there a competition for the reactivity of OH radicals between the pollutant (2,4-D), the organic ligands of the complex (Citric, tartaric and pyruvic acids) and Fe(II).
D-3-Photodegradation of 2,4-D at 365 nm in the presence of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes

D-3-1 Degradation of 2,4-D photoinduced by Fe(III)-Cit complex

The photodegradation of 2,4-D was studied in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit complexes. Irradiation was with polychromatic tubes emitting between 300 and 500 nm. Concentration of complex, pH and oxygen were all studied in this work.

D-3-1-1 Effect of Fe(III)-Cit concentration

Fe(III)-Cit concentration effect on the photodegradation of 2,4-D was studied in the aqueous solution with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of 2,4-D at pH 3.0. The initial concentration of Fe(III)-Cit complex used in the work were 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\). Figure IV-D-7 presents the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D. The disappearance of 2,4-D increase with the increase of Fe-Cit complex concentration. The reaction is very fast in the initial period, After 1 h of irradiation, 60% of the 2,4-D has been degraded in the solution with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Cit complex, 45% with 0.2 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), and only 30% with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Cit complex. Then the systems keep the ability to degrade 2,4-D with slower reaction rate. After 8 h of irradiation, the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D have reached 80%, 63% and 38% in the solutions with 0.3, 0.2 and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Cit complexes respectively.
Figure IV-D-7 Degradation of 2,4-D as a function of Fe-Cit concentration in solution with an initial pH = 3.0. \([2,4-D]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).

During the reaction process, Fe(III) was reduced to Fe(II) species. Thus, the concentration of Fe(II) was also detected at the same time. Figure IV-D-8 presents the results, which indicate that the Fe(III) was reduced very fast at the beginning, more than 90% of Fe(III) has been reduced to Fe(II) after 30 min of irradiation. After that the photochemical process continues with a stable concentration of Fe(II) species. There is no significant variation of the concentration of Fe(II) from 1 h to 8 h of irradiation in all the conditions. The concentration of Fe(II) represents between 89% to 97% of the total iron concentration after 8 h of irradiation.

Figure IV-D-8 Photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe(III)-Cit concentration in solution with an initial pH = 3.0. \([2,4-D]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).
**D-3-1-2 Effect of oxygen**

Oxygen is a very important parameter in the reaction. A lot of experiments have proved its effects on the photodegradation of pollutants in the previous study. Experiments were performed in the solutions with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Cit complex and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of 2,4-D at pH 3.0. Different reaction medium was obtained by bubbling oxygen or argon into the solutions before irradiation. Results are presented in Figure IV-D-9. Oxygen has again a strong effect on the photodegradation of 2,4-D. In the oxygen saturated condition, the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D is higher than in the air saturated and deaerated conditions. At the beginning of the reaction, the degradation rate is also faster in the oxygen saturated condition than in the other conditions. The photodegradation efficiencies of 2,4-D after 7 h of irradiation are 70% in the oxygen saturated solution, 40% in the air saturated solution and 25% in the deaerated solution.

Like with 2,4-DCP, 2,4-D is slightly degraded in the absence of oxygen. The first radical species are capable to react with 2,4-D to induced is degradation without the interaction of oxygen.

![Figure IV-D-9 Degradation of 2,4-D as function of oxygen in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. [Fe-Cit]\(_0\) = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), [2,4-D]\(_0\) = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\).](image)
Figure IV-D-10 Photogeneration of Fe(II) as function of oxygen in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. \([\text{Fe-Cit}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, [2,4-\text{D}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).

Oxygen effects on the formation of Fe(II) was studied in the same reaction. Figure IV-D-10 presents the results. The formation of Fe(II) is very fast at the beginning of the reaction. Oxygen presents a slight negative effect on the formation of Fe(II) before 60 min of irradiation. However we can conclude that it does not appear any significant effect of oxygen on Fe(II) formation.

**D-3-1-3 Effect of pH**

Experiments were carried in the solution with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Cit complex and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of 2,4-D. With the increase of pH, the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D decreased. The optimal photodegradation process of 2,4-D was obtained at pH 3.0. As shown in Figure IV-D-11, the photodegradation rate is fast before 1 h of irradiation in all pH values and then the degradation continues with a slower rate. At pH 5.7, no further degradation of 2,4-D occurred and only 26% of 2,4-D was degraded after 8 h of irradiation. The photodegradation efficiency is about 80% at pH 3.0 and 56% at pH 3.65 after 8 h of irradiation.
Fe(II) formation was strongly affected by the pH (Figure IV-D-12). Especially at relative higher pH 5.7, the formation of Fe(II) was obviously lower, the maximum concentration of Fe(II) corresponded to only 50% of total Fe in the aqueous solution after 60 min of irradiation. This value decreased to 30% after 6 h of irradiation. The lower the concentration of Fe(II) formed, the lower the formation of radicals species was and thus, the photodegradation ratio of 2,4-DCP was low at higher pH.
D-3-2 Degradation of 2,4-D photoinduced by Fe(III)-Pyr complex

D-3-2-1 Effect of Fe(III)-Pyr concentration

Fe(III)-Pyr concentration effect on the photodegradation of 2,4-D in the aqueous solution was studied with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-D at pH 3.0 under polychromatic irradiation (300-500 nm). Three different concentrations of Fe(III)-Pyr complex were tested (0.1; 0.2 and 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹). Irradiation time was 8 h in all the experiments. From the results in Figure IV-D-13, the same conclusion was obtained; the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D increased with the increase of Fe(III)-Pyr complex concentration. The reaction is fast in the initial period, After 1 h of irradiation, 74% of the 2,4-D has been degraded in the solution with 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ in Fe(III)-Pyr complex, 60% in the presence of 0.2 mmol.L⁻¹ of Fe(III)-Pyr, 47% and for 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ in Fe(III)-Pyr solution. Then the reaction becomes slow. After 8 h of irradiation, the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D have reached 98%, 90% and 75% with 0.3, 0.2 and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ Fe(III)-Pyr complexes respectively.

Figure IV-D-13 Degradation of 2,4-D as a function of Fe(III)-Pyr concentration with an initial pH = 3.0. [2,4-D]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.

The concentration of Fe(II) was also detected in the these experiments. Results are presented in Figure IV-D-14. Fe(III) was reduced very fast and it has been
approximately totally reduced to Fe(II) after 30 min of irradiation. After that the reaction continues and the concentration of Fe(II) species keep stable. There is no apparent variation of the concentration of Fe(II) from 1 h to 8 h of irradiation whatever the concentration in Fe(III)-pyruvate complex.

![Graph showing photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe(III)-Pyr concentration](image)

**Figure IV-D-14 Photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe(III)-Pyr concentration with an initial pH = 3.0. [2,4-D]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.**

The spectra of the solutions as a function of the irradiation times are presented in the Figure IV-D-15. The solution is a mixture of 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-D and (a) 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of Fe(III)-Pyr or (b) 0.2 mmol.L⁻¹ Fe(III)-Pyr, the pH = 3.0. There is a sharp decrease after 5 min of irradiation in both conditions. This observation is due to the very efficient photoredox process taking place in the Fe(III)–complex under irradiation. The absorbance of complex from 300 to 500 nm decreased. It is in agreement with the fact that the Fe(II) formation, resulting from the photoredox process, is very fast at the beginning of the irradiation.
Figure IV-D-15 UV-visible spectrum of the solutions as a function of the irradiation time. (a) $[\text{Fe(III)}] = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, $[\text{Pyr}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, $[2,4\text{-D}] = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, (b) $[\text{Fe(III)}] = 0.2 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, $[\text{Pyr}] = 0.6 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$

**D-3-2-2 Effect of oxygen**

Oxygen effects on the photodegradation of pollutants was performed in the solutions with $0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$ of Fe(III)-Pyr complex and $0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$ of 2,4-D at pH = 3.0. Different reaction medium was obtained by bubbling oxygen or argon into the
solutions before irradiation. Figure IV-D-16 shows the experiment results. Oxygen can enhance the photodegradation of 2,4-D in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr complex. In the oxygen rich condition, the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D is higher than in the air saturated and deaerated conditions. The oxygen also accelerated the photoreaction rate. At the beginning of the reaction, the degradation rate is faster in the oxygen rich condition than the other conditions. The photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D are 68% in the oxygen saturated solution, 47% in the air saturated solution and 22% in the deaerated solution after 1 h of irradiation.

![Figure IV-D-16 Degradation of 2,4-D as function of oxygen in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. [Fe(III)-Pyr]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹, [2,4-D]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.](image)

Oxygen effects on the formation of Fe(II) was also studied at the same time. The same results, than for Fe(III)-Cit complex are observed. No significant effect of oxygen is observed on the formation of Fe(II).

**D-3-2-3 Effect of pH**

Experiments were carried to study the pH effect in the solution with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of Fe(III)-Pyr complex and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-D. Results are presented in Figure IV-D-18. As always, pH presents a strong effect on the photodegradation of 2,4-D and the optimal photodegradation ratio of 2,4-D was obtained at pH = 3.0. 74%
of 2,4-D was degraded after 8 h of irradiation. As shown in Figure IV-D-18, the photodegradation rate is faster at low pH values. As I mentioned before, acidic condition is favorable for the reaction. The photodegradation efficiency is very low at pH 5.6 and 4.5 and only 10% to 15% of 2,4-D are degraded after 8 h of irradiation.

As shown in Figure IV-D-18, the formation rate and the concentration of Fe(II) are all obviously affected by the pH. At lower pH, Fe(III) species are very fast reduced to Fe(II). At pH 3.0, Fe(III) is approximately totally reduced to Fe(II) after 5 min of irradiation. The reduction efficiency represents only 80% at pH 4.5 and 56% at pH 5.6 after 1 h of irradiation. From 1 h to 8 h of irradiation, there is no further significant evolution of Fe(II) concentration.
Figure IV-D-18 Influence of pH on the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr complexes. \([\text{Fe(III)-Pyr}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \ [\text{2,4-D}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).

Figure IV-D-19 presents the UV-visible spectra of the solutions at different complex concentrations and pH as a function of the irradiation time. Figure IV-D-19 presents the spectra with higher concentration of Fe(III)-Pyr complexes (0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)) at pH 3.9. The absorbance of the solution decreases with the increase of the irradiation time. The pH was increased to 5.8 after 437 min of irradiation. H\(^+\) is consumed during the photoredox process; hydroxyl radicals and other radical species are formed at the same time and attack the pollutant making its absorption decreasing.

Figure IV-D-19 UV-visible spectrum as a function of the irradiation time. \([\text{Fe(III)-Pyr}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol. L}^{-1}/0.9 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \ pH = 3.9\).
D-3-3 Degradation of 2,4-D photoinduced by Fe(III)-Tar complex

D-3-3-1 Effects of Fe(III)-Tar concentration

Fe(III)-Tar concentration effect was studied in the solution with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of 2,4-D, with an initial pH value at 3.0 and under polychromatic irradiation (300-500 nm). The concentrations of Fe(III)-Tar complex are 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\). The results presented Figure IV-D-20, show that the degradation of 2,4-D is not strongly affected by the concentration of Fe(III)-Tar complex, a slight better efficiency is observed at higher concentration. After 6 h of irradiation, the 2,4-D has been totally degraded in the presence of 0.2 and 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of iron-complexes and more than 90% is degraded with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of this complex. With the other Fe(III)-complexes (Cit and Pyr) the effect of Fe(III)-complex concentration is much more important.

![Figure IV-D-20](image-url)

Figure IV-D-20 Degradation of 2,4-D as a function of Fe(III)-Tar concentration with an initial pH = 3.0. \([2,4-D]_0 = 0.1\) mmol.L\(^{-1}\).

Figure IV-D-21 presents the results about the photogeneration of Fe(II) in these experiments. Fe(III) has been totally reduced to Fe(II) after 10 min of the irradiation. The concentration of Fe(II) keeps stable in the following reaction. The important
formation of Fe(II) can be a good parameter for the Fenton reaction. This point will be discussed later in the conclusion/discussion part.

![Graph](image-url)

**Figure IV-D-21 Photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe-Tar concentration in solution with an initial pH = 3.0. \([2,4-D]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).**

The UV-visible spectra of the solution with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of 2,4-D at pH 3.0 as a function of irradiation time are presented in Figure IV-D-22. There is a sharp decrease in 5 min of irradiation. It means that as we mentioned before, the photoredox of the complex is very fast at pH = 3.0. It can be seen from the decrease of the absorbance from 300 to 500 nm. This decrease of absorbance is attributed both to the degradation of the complex and of the pollutant 2,4-D.
D-3-3-2 Effect of pH

pH effect on the reaction was studied in the solution with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of Fe(III)-Tar complex and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-D. The results presented in Figure IV-D-23 show that the pH has a strong effect on the photodegradation reaction. The optimal photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D is obtained at pH 3.0. After 8 h of irradiation, 94% 2,4-D is degraded at pH 3.0, 82% at pH 3.5, but only 15% at pH 5.8.

Figure IV-D-23 Influence of pH on the photodegradation of 2,4-D in the presence of Fe-Tar complexes. [Fe(III)-Tar]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹, [2,4-D]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.
At low pH 3.0 and 3.5, Fe(III) is totally reduced to Fe(II) species very quickly (after 10 min of irradiation). However at higher pH 5.8, the maximum concentration of Fe(II) represents only 38% of total iron in the aqueous solution after 80 min of irradiation. The Fe(II) represents only 27% of total iron after 8 h of irradiation.

The UV-visible spectra of the solution with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of 2,4-D at pH 3.0 as a function of irradiation time are presented in Figure IV-D-25. There is a sharp decrease of the absorbance, all along the spectrum, after 5 min of irradiation already observed with the Fe(III)-Tar complexes. These important decrease reflects that the photoredox process in the Fe(III)-Tar complex is very fast at the beginning. As a consequence the degradation of 2,4-D is also very fast at the beginning of the irradiation as shown in figure IV-D-20.
D-3-3 Comparison of the photodegradation of 2,4-D with different complexes

The photochemical properties of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes have been systematically studied in the presence of 2,4-D. It is necessary to compare the photoreaction efficiency of Fe(II) formation and 2,4-D degradation in the presence of the different iron-complexes. Results about the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D are presented in the Figure IV-D-26. The efficiency of Fe(III) complexes to degrade 2,4-D is in the order of Fe(III)-Cit < Fe(III)-Tar < Fe(III)-Pyr before 45 min of irradiation. After 1 h of irradiation, the photodegradation efficiency is changed into another order that is Fe(III)-Cit < Fe(III)-Pyr < Fe(III)-Tar.
Figure IV-D-26 Photodegradation of 2,4-D with different complexes. 
$[2,4-D] = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, pH = 3.0

Figure IV-D-27 presents the formation of Fe(II) species with different complexes. Fe(III) is reduced very fast in the solutions with Fe(III)-Tar or Fe(III)-Pyr, and after 10 min of irradiation approximately all Fe(III) species are reduced. However, in the solution with Fe(III)-Cit, 94% of Fe(III) are reduced to Fe(II) after 1 h of irradiation. This result is correlated with a relative low photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit complexes.

Figure IV-D-27 Photogeneration of Fe(II) with different iron-complexes. 
$[2,4-D] = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, pH = 3.0
D-3-4 Total organic carbon analysis

One of the aims of the work is study the efficiency of 2,4-D disappearance in the solution, when the degradation was photoinduced by Fe(III) complexes. Total organic carbon experiments were undertaken in order to make evidence for the mineralization of the pollutants. From the results in Figure IV-D-28, the concentration of TOC decreases when the irradiation times increases. It appears that oxygen favors the mineralization process like the degradation of the starting pollutant. These observation are in agreement with the previous results obtained with 2,4-DCP.

Figure IV-D-28 Evolution of total organic carbon as function of oxygen concentration. (a) Mixture of 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ in Fe(III)-Cit and 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ in 2,4-D, (b) mixture of 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$ in Fe(III)-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ in 2,4-D, pH = 3.0.
Total organic carbon experiments were also undertaken in the solutions with different pH. As shown in Figure IV-D-29, the higher mineralization efficiency of pollutant was obtained at lower pH = 3.0. It is correlated with the result that the optimal photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D is also at pH = 3.0.

![Figure IV-D-29 Evolution of total organic carbon in the solution with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ in Fe(III)-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ in 2,4-D as function of pH.](image)

In the solutions and after the photoredox process, different kind of organic substances are present. The decrease of the TOC concentration can be partly attributed to the degradation of 2,4-D but also to the transformation of the organic ligands. During the irradiation process, high reactivity radicals were generated by the photoredox process of the Fe(III) complexes and these radicals can attack 2,4-D and its degradation products but also Fe(III)-complexes and the transformation products of the organic ligands.
Conclusions

Degradation of 2,4-D photoinduced by Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes were investigated in this study. Results indicate that irradiation wavelength, pH, oxygen, concentrations of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes and 2,4-D, all have effect on the quantum yields of Fe(II) formation and 2,4-D degradation.

Irradiation wavelength has a great effect on the quantum yields, both $\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}$ and $\Phi_{\text{2,4-D}}$ increase with the decrease of wavelength of irradiation in the different reaction systems. The low pH and high concentration of oxygen are all favorable for the photodegradation of 2,4-D. In the presence of high concentration in oxygen and at pH 3.0 the total degradation of 2,4-D is observed after 8 h of irradiation. Oxygen is necessary for an efficient degradation of 2,4-D.

In the different reaction systems, quantum yields of Fe(II) formation and 2,4-D degradation have not the same tendency. For the $\Phi_{\text{Fe(II)}}$, the tendency is Fe(III)-Pyr > Fe(III)-Tar > Fe(III)-Cit > Fe(OH)$^{2+}$, but for the $\Phi_{\text{2,4-D}}$, the tendency is Fe(III)-Pyr > Fe(OH)$^{2+}$ > Fe(III)-Cit > Fe(III)-Tar. For Fe(III)-Pyr complexes the quantum yields of Fe(II) formation and 2,4-D disappearance are the highest. The charge transfer of the photoredox process in this complex seems to be very efficient. Other Fe(III) complexes have an opposite tendency for the both quantum yields. This observation is due to the fact that for the degradation of 2,4-D and in the presence of organic ligands there is a competition for the reactivity of ·OH radicals. The quantum yield of 2,4-D degradation becomes higher with Fe(III) aquacomplexes than with Fe(III)-Cit and than with Fe(III)-Tar. This is in agreement with the higher rate constant of ·OH radical with tartaric acid. The same results were observed with the case of 2,4-DCP.

In terms of kinetics of 2,4-D degradation, the best efficiency is found for the Fe(III)-Tar complexes (figure IV-D-28). This is the opposite of the quantum yield. In the system with tartaric acid we suppose that some organic compounds formed by oxidation of tartrate ligand enhance the reoxidation of Fe(II) into Fe(III). This step is the rate limiting in photochemical process with Fe(III) species (Catastini et al, 2002).
The better oxidation of Fe(II) leads to higher concentration of radical species and as a consequence to higher degradation of organic pollutants present in the solution.
IV-E-Photodegradation mechanism

IV-E-1-Study of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes by ESR (electron spin resonance) spectroscopy in aqueous solution under irradiation

In order to understand the photochemical processes in the aqueous solutions with iron carboxylate complexes under irradiation, experiments were carried out by ESR spin trapping techniques. DMPO (1mg.mL⁻¹) was used as a scavenger for different species radicals formed in solution. The irradiations were carried out directly in the cavity ESR using a xenon lamp and appropriate filters to select the wavelengths.

However, in the ESR measurements, we did not found good signals about the DMPO-OH EPR spectrum.

\[ \text{DMPO} + \cdot \text{R} \rightarrow \text{adduct stable} \]

In our experiments, the four-line EPR spectrum (1:2:2:1) of the DMPO-OH spin adduct was only observed in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit after 50 min of irradiation (\( \lambda \geq 280\text{nm} \)) (Figure IV-E-1). The four-line signal with the intensity of 1:2:2:1 is due to the same value of coupling constant between the hydrogen and the nitrogen with the radical centred on the oxygen (\( a_N = a_H = 14.9 \text{ G} \)). These coupling constants depend on the nature of R. The fact that this signal is not systematically observed could be explained by oxidation of the DMPO-OH adduct by iron complexes. A study of Burkitt (1993) demonstrated that both EDTA/Fe(II) and EDTA/Fe(III) are capable to degrade the DMPO-OH adduct.
In each experiment, the ESR spectrum was recorded after the same number of scans. We present in detail the various signals obtained by ESR during irradiation by changing parameters such as iron-carboxylate complexes, wavelength excitation, as well as the pH of the solution.

Irradiation experiments were carried out at two different wavelengths $\lambda \geq 280$ nm and $\lambda \geq 350$ nm in the solution with Fe(III)-Cit complexes. The ESR signals observed are different as a function of irradiation wavelength (Figure IV-E-2). At shorter wavelengths OH radicals are trapped at the beginning of irradiation and for longer irradiation time a more complicated signal is observed. This signal was attributed before to the combination of the classical 1:2:2:1 signal of ·OH adduct and of the CO$_3$" adduct (Abida et al, 2006). At longer irradiation wavelength, a nice signal corresponding to dedoublet triplet is observed. According to the literature this signal correspond to CO$_2$" or RCO$_2$· adduct (Abida et al, 2006).
As shown in Figure IV-E-3 and IV-E-4, the same ESR spectra signals were obtained in the presence of Fe(III)-Tar and Fe(III)-Pyr complexes. Results are presented in the following figures. These signals correspond to a mixture of radical types, $\cdot$OH, CO$_3^{2-}$, CO$_2^{2-}$ and RCO$_2^{2-}$ trapped by the DMPO.

Figure IV-E-3 ESR spectra of the aqueous solution with 1 mg.mL$^{-1}$ in DMPO and 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$ in Fe(III)-Tar complex at pH = 3.0 during irradiation
(a) $\lambda \geq 280$ nm, (b) $\lambda \geq 350$ nm

(a) $\lambda \geq 280$ nm
(b) $\lambda \geq 350$ nm
However, in the present study, there is no ESR signals indicated the formation of radicals for a starting pH = 6.0 in the aqueous solutions with Fe(III)-Tar and Fe(III)-Pyr complex under irradiation at $\lambda \geq 280$ nm (Figure IV-E-5). This is in agreement with the results obtained at pH 6.0 where no degradation of pollutant is observed.
Figure IV-E-5 ESR spectra of the aqueous solution with 1mg.mL⁻¹ in DMPO and (a) 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ in Fe(III)-Tar complex, (b) 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ in Fe(III)-Pyr complex at pH 6.0 under irradiation at λ ≥ 280 nm.

The DMPO/·OH adduct can be produced not only by ·OH, but also by the decomposition of the DMPO adduct with superoxide radicals (Finkelstein et al., 1979). However, if the DMPO/·OH signal observed is due to trapping of ·OH by DMPO, then addition of ·OH scavengers will diminish the signal and leads to new DMPO adducts. In the present work, both iron-carboxylate complexes and organic ligands can effectively quench the DMPO/·OH. In such systems with carboxylate complexes the detection of all radicals which can be produced are very complicated. To elucidate in details the primary mechanisms of the different radical formation, the use of more
specific radical scavenger will be useful. Therefore, detection of the corresponding DMPO spin adducts is a strong indicator for \( \cdot \)OH formation. Moreover, in our previous work the formation of hydroxyl radicals have been confirmed and determined by using benzene as a scavenger in the aqueous solutions with iron-carboxylate complexes under irradiation.

**IV-E-2-Identification of photoproducts and degradation mechanism**

**2,4-D**

The photodegradation of 2,4-DCP and 2,4-D in the presence of three kinds of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes have been studied in the previous parts of this work. Results indicate that hydroxyl radicals are the origin of the degradation of pollutants. However, the photodegradation processes are very complicated in the presence of organic ligands. Competition reactions exist in the aqueous solutions. Here we identify the photoproducts generated after irradiation and propose the degradation mechanism. 2,4-DCP is the most prevalent primary photoproduct during the photodegradation of 2,4-D in the presence of Fe(III)-complexes, no hydroxylated products of 2,4-D were observed by mass spectrometry. The same major photoproduct was found also in systems utilising different advanced oxidation processes (AOT’s are the technologies based on producing of hydroxyl radicals). \( \cdot \)OH first attacks on carbon 1 of the aromatic ring followed by the loss of an alkoxyl group. The formation of this major intermediate implies a high degree of selectivity for \( \cdot \)OH addition to the 2,4-D ring. (Peller et al., 2004).
Figure IV-E-6 presents the photodegradation efficiency of 2,4-D in three different complexes systems. At the initial reaction period (45 min), the efficiency of Fe(III) complexes to degrade 2,4-D is in the order of Fe(III)-Cit < Fe(III)-Tar < Fe(III)-Pyr. After 1 h of irradiation, the photodegradation efficiency is changed into another order that is Fe(III)-Cit < Fe(III)-Pyr < Fe(III)-Tar. From these results, it can be concluded that Fe(III)-Pyr has high activity to degrade 2,4-D under irradiation, however, Fe(III)-Cit always presents a relative slow degradation efficiency of 2,4-D. Fe(III)-Tar also presents a relative higher activity to degrade 2,4-D.
On the figure IV-E-7 the kinetics of 2,4-DCP formation, the major photoproduct of 2,4-D, are presented in the three different systems, Fe(III)-Pyr, Fe(III)-Tar and Fe(III)-Cit. In the presence of Fe-Pyr complex the concentration of 2,4-DCP is higher than with other complexes. The concentration of 2,4-DCP is maximum after 5 h of irradiation. This observation can be explained by the higher quantum yield of 2,4-D disappearance (0.046) than the quantum yield of 2,4-DCP disappearance (0.027) in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr. Opposite efficiency is observed for the other complexes Fe(III)-Tar and Fe(III)-Cit. In the presence of Fe(III)-Tar a maximum concentration of 2,4-DCP is reached after 3 h of irradiation and after a fast decrease takes place. This is consist with the above 2,4-D degradation results that the 2,4-D have almost disappeared after 3 h of irradiation. In the presence of Fe(III)-Cit a surprising constant concentration is reached after approximately 1 h of irradiation. From the results in Figure IV-E-6, the 2,4-D degradation efficiency is relative low in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit than they other two Fe complexes. So less 2,4-D was degraded to 2,4-DCP during the reaction procedure and with a low degradation efficiency of 2,4-DCP. Thus, the concentration of intermediate 2,4-DCP was stable after 1 h of irradiation.
2,4-DCP

In order to study the photodegradation process of 2,4-DCP, long time irradiation were performed in the aqueous solution of Fe(III)-Tar complex at pH 3.0. Figure IV-E-8 presents the HPLC chromatogram of the reaction solution according to irradiation time. 2,4-DCP (retention time = 5.6 min) was degraded and totally disappeared after 24 h of irradiation. The aromatic intermediate products also disappeared after 24 h of irradiation.
Figure IV-E-8 Evolution of the HPLC chromatograms of solution as a function of the irradiation time with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) in Fe(III)-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) in 2,4-DCP at pH = 3.0.

The same photoproducts are observed in the system with Fe(III)-Tar at higher pH = 3.9, after 8h of irradiation (Figure IV-E-9).

Figure IV-E-9 HPLC chromatogram of solution of 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) 2,4-DCP at pH = 3.9, after 8 h of irradiation.

Figure IV-E-10 presents the HPLC chromatograms of the solutions with Fe(III)-Pyr complex (0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)) and 2,4-DCP (0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)) at pH 3.0 in 8 h of irradiation. The main photoproducts detected in our experimental conditions were P1, P2, P3 and P4. The same photoproducts were detected in the previous experiments with Fe(III)-Tar. The difference of the retention time, observed between these two experiments, is due to the different HPLC instruments used. For Fe(III)-Cit the same photoproducts are obtained.
The evolutions of the concentration of the 4 different photoproducts as a function of irradiation time are presented in Figure IV-E-11. The photoproduc P1 with a shorter retention time can be attributed to different Fe(II) or Fe(III) complexes formed with oxidized products of organic ligands or of 2,4-DCP.

**Figure IV-E-10** Evolution of HPLC spectrum of reaction solution with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-Pyr and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) 2,4-DCP at pH = 3.0

**Figure IV-E-11** Time evolution of HPLC area of photoproducts generated in the solutions of 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) 2,4-DCP at pH = 3.9
For the identification of the primary photoproducts experiments of HPLC/ES/MS were carried out at the centre of chemical analysis of the chemistry department at the Blaise Pascal University. The electro-spray (ES) mode with negative ionization was used for our analysis. First of all, in figure IV-E-12 total ions chromatogram and mass spectrum of a solution of 2,4-DCP are presented. The masses observed at 161, 163 and 165 m/z correspond to the 2,4-DCP with two chlorine atoms.

(a) Total ion chromatogram
Figure IV-E-12 LC-MS spectra of 2,4-DCP (0.1 mmol.L⁻¹)

Figure IV-E-13-a Total ion chromatogram
Figure IV-E-13-a presents the total ions chromatogram of reaction solution of 2,4-DCP and Fe complexes after 3h irradiation.

The mass spectra of the three main photoproducts are presented in figure IV-E-13-b. P3 and P4 are hydroxylated products with the substitution of one chlorine atom for P3 and the addition of ·OH group for P4. The photoproduct P2 corresponds to an elimination of a chlorine atom.
Figure IV-E-13-b LC-MS spectra of photoproducts
After these identifications of products we can propose a photodegradation mechanism of 2,4-DCP in the presence Fe(III)-complexes. The reactivity with hydroxyl radicals, the main reactive species photogenerated from Fe(III)-complexes is presented in the following scheme.

![Proposed photodegradation scheme of 2,4-DCP](image)

**Figure IV-E-14 Proposed photodegradation scheme of 2,4-DCP**

For longer irradiation time the primary photoproducts disappeared and small organic acids molecules are formed. The degradation can continue until the total mineralization of the 2,4-DCP.
V

GENERAL CONCLUSIONS
V-General conclusions

In the present study the photodegradation of the herbicide 2,4-D (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid) and its main photoproduct (2,4-DCP) in the presence of three Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes (citrate, pyruvate and tartrate) have been investigated. This type of complexes can be formed in the natural aquatic environment due to the presence of such acids and iron in water. Thus, such complexes can influence the fate of pollutants spread in the environment. 2,4-D belongs to the class of herbicide heavily used in the worldwide area.

The experiments carried out with benzene used as a trap of hydroxyl radicals clearly show that hydroxyl radicals are the reactive species photogenerated from Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes under solar light. These radicals are well known to be very reactive on the big majority of organic pollutants and they can oxidize the pollutants until its complete mineralization.

In order to estimate the photochemical efficiency of the different Fe(III)-complexes a detailed work has been performed on the evaluation of the quantum yields. The quantum yields of 2,4-D disappearance increases in the following order of Fe(III)-complexes: Fe(III)-Tar < Fe(III)-Cit < Fe(OH)$^{2+}$ < Fe(III)-Pyr. In these systems, for the quantum yields of Fe(II) formation an opposite tendency is observed excepted for the Fe(III)-Pyr which has also the highest quantum yield of Fe(II) formation. It is important to mention that the quantum yields of Fe(II) formation is much higher with the three Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes than with Fe(III) aquacomplexes (Fe(OH)$^{2+}$). This high difference could be attributed to a better delocalisation of the electron, after the charge transfer, on the carboxylate group in comparison with hydroxide group. Thus the photoredox process is more efficient in Fe(II)-carboxylate complexes than in Fe(III) aquacomplexes. These tendencies can be also explained by the competition between 2,4-D and carboxylic ligand for the reaction of ·OH radicals. So in the presence of other organic compounds the degradation of 2,4-D will be reduced. On the contrary Fe(II) formation, in the
presence of higher organic compounds concentration, will be increased. Indeed, in the presence of organic substrate the reaction of \( \cdot \)OH radical on Fe(II) will be less important. So, concentration of Fe(II) and as a consequence quantum yield will be higher. The same tendencies for the quantum yields of Fe(II) formation and the pollutant degradation were found in the systems with 2,4-dichlorophenol (2,4-DCP) as the pollutant. The particularity or singularity of Fe(III)-Pyr is confirmed also for 2,4-DCP. The quantum yield of 2,4-DCP degradation and Fe(II) formation are the highest than for the other complexes. We can explain this particularity by the lower reactivity of hydroxyl radicals with pyruvate or pyruvic acid than with another substrate. This lower reactivity can be also considered for oxidised ligand of pyruvate obtained after the photoredox process. Moreover, the intramolecular charge transfer is favoured by the delocalisation of electron present in the pyruvic acid, and therefore the efficiency of photoredox processes is higher than in other complexes.

On the basis of the quantum yields measurements, the efficiency of photodegradation of 2,4-D and 2,4-DCP in the systems with Fe(III) complexes can be compared. In general, the quantum yields of 2,4-DCP degradation are higher than in the case of 2,4-D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH = 3.0</th>
<th>[Fe(III)-complex] = 0.3 mmol.L(^{-1})</th>
<th>( \Phi )(_{2,4-D} )</th>
<th>( \Phi )(_{2,4-DCP} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_{exc} = 365 \text{ nm} )</td>
<td>Fe(III)-Cit</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe(III)-Tar</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe(III)-Pyr</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once more, we observe the singularity for Fe(III)-pyruvate, the efficiency of the photodegradation is higher for 2,4-D than for 2,4-DCP.

The effect of different parameters on the efficiency of 2,4-D and 2,4-DCP degradation was studied in details in system with monochromatic light for primary reaction or for longer irradiation time in photoreactor with polychromatic light (300-500nm). The presence of oxygen is primordial for the reactive species formation;
in the absence of oxygen the degradation of our pollutants is negligible. The other important parameter is the pH. The distribution of species in the solution of Fe(III) complexes strongly depends on pH. The reactivity of each species is highly different, thus the efficiency of the photoredox process is influenced by pH. pH plays also an important role in Fenton reaction; reaction supposed taking place in such system. The optimum pH for 2,4-D and 2,4-DCP degradation is around at pH 3.0, increase of pH decreases the efficiency of the pollutant photodegradation. Unfortunately, the degradation rate of our pollutant at pH higher than 5.0 is very slow. The influence of Fe(III) complex concentration on the degradation of our pollutants was studied in detail. At first, the phorodegradation of our pollutants increases with the concentration of Fe(III)-complexes. But the degradation rate reach a maximum value and additional increase of Fe(III)-complex concentration decreases the degradation rate. The maximum degradation rate is obtained then the concentration of Fe(III) complexes is optimal for the formation of the reactive species and it is not too high to act as a scavenger of hydroxyl radicals formed. The optimal concentration depends on the nature of the ligand. Our results show an optimal concentration equal to 0.3 mmmol.L⁻¹ (in iron) for the Fe(III)-Cit complex and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ for the Fe(III)-Tar complex. For Fe(III)-Pyr complex the optimal concentration is not really determined but ≥ 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹. The difference between tartrate and citrate ligand is due to the higher capacity of tartrate ligand to react with hydroxyl radical and to act as a scavenger of these radicals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Pyruvate</th>
<th>Citrate</th>
<th>Tartrate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate constant with ·OH radical (L.mol⁻¹.s⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.1×10⁷</td>
<td>5.0×10⁷</td>
<td>6.9×10⁸</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our system with Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes we observe the same mechanism of 2,4-D degradation for all complexes and it corresponds totally to the mechanism described in the previous work on the degradation of 2,4-D by Advanced Oxidation Processes (generating ·OH radicals). 2,4-D is selectively degraded to
2,4-DCP, which through different photodegradation products could be mineralized into H₂O, Cl⁻ and CO₂. The formation of hydroxyl radicals in the solutions of Fe(III) complexes is confirmed by ESR spectroscopy measurements. Further experiments with another type of radical scavenger could be helpful for elucidate the mechanism of the radical formation via detection of different radicals in our system. Some additional theoretical work could explain the particularity of Fe(III)-pyruvate complex.

Our work shows that the presence of Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes could have a considerable impact on the fate of organic pollutant in aquatic environment. In this work we studied three different carboxylic acid, one tri-carboxylic (citric), one di-carboxylic (tartaric) and one mono-carboxylic (pyruvic). The reactivity of chosen complexes reflect some similarities in photochemical properties, the most distinguished complex is Fe(III)-pyruvate. The particularity of pyruvic acid can be due to the fact that it is a mono-carboxylic acid and that the charge transfer is easier in such iron complexes than with complexes with di- or tri-carboxylic acids. A study of other carboxylic acid will be necessary to conclude on this aspect of reactivity as a function of the number of carboxylic group.
VI

APPENDIX
## VII-1-List of tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table II-A-1:</th>
<th>Concentrations of the total iron and dissolved ion in the rain, snow, fog or seawater systems in different locations.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Table II-A-2:</td>
<td>Reactions and rate constants in (S=35) sea water at 298 k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table II-B-1:</td>
<td>Monocarboxylic acids and Dicarboxylic acids investigated in aerosols at different sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table II-B-2:</td>
<td>The effect of chelation on the rate of Fe(II) oxidation. ([\text{Fe(II)}] = 110 \text{ μmol.L}^{-1}, [\text{chelator}] = 550 \text{ μmol.L}^{-1}, 50 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1} \text{ Tris buffer, pH 7.0} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table II-C-1:</td>
<td>Structures of common chlorophenols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table II-C-2:</td>
<td>Degradation of 2,4-Dichlorophenol by means of advanced oxidation processes (Pera-Titus, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table II-D-1:</td>
<td>Structures of 2, 4-D and chemically related phenoxy herbicides.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table III-C-1:</td>
<td>The photon flux at 365nm, 313nm and 296nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table III-C-2:</td>
<td>Light intensity distribution of the metal halide lamp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table IV-A-1:</td>
<td>pKa of organic acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table IV-A-2:</td>
<td>Forms of Tartaric Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table IV-C-1:</td>
<td>Quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP as a function of wavelength in different systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table IV-C-2:</td>
<td>Oxygen effect on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP. In the aqueous solutions with 0.1 mmol.L(^{-1}) 2,4-DCP and the initial pH value was 3.0. ((\lambda_{\text{irr}}=365\text{nm}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table IV-C-3:</td>
<td>pH effects on the quantum yields of 2,4-DCP, with 0.1 mmol.L(^{-1}) of 2,4-DCP. ((\lambda_{\text{irr}} = 365\text{nm}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table IV-C-4:</td>
<td>Effects of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes concentration on the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP. The initial pH value was 3.0. ($\lambda_{\text{irr}}=365\text{nm}$)

Table IV-C-5: Rate constants of $\cdot$OH radicals on the different organic acids (Buxton et al, 1988).

Table IV-D-1: Quantum yields of disappearance of 2,4-D and generation of Fe(II) as a function of the irradiation wavelength.

Table IV-D-2: Quantum yields of disappearance of 2,4-D and generation of Fe(II) as a function of oxygen. The initial pH value was 3.0. ($\lambda_{\text{irr}}=365\text{nm}$)

Table IV-D-3: Quantum yields of disappearance of 2,4-D as a function of pH. In the aqueous solutions with 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ 2,4-D. ($\lambda_{\text{irr}}=365\text{nm}$)
### VII-2-List of Figures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure II-A-1:</th>
<th>Speciation of Fe(III) in seawater as a function of pH</th>
<th>8 (Millero et al., 1995)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Figure II-A-2:</td>
<td>Transformation of iron in different aqueous phases in the remote marine aerosols</td>
<td>10 (Zhuang et al., 1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure II-A-3:</td>
<td>The scheme of iron recycling in the atmospheric water</td>
<td>14 (Behra and Sigg, 1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure II-A-4:</td>
<td>Photochemical transformation of iron</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure II-A-5:</td>
<td>Structure of octahedral $[\text{Fe(H}_2\text{O)}_6]^{3+}$</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure II-A-6:</td>
<td>Proposed structure of dimer species Fe(III) by Sommer et Margerum (1970)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure II-A-7:</td>
<td>Proposed structure of trimeric species Fe(III) by Sommer et Margerum (1970)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure II-A-8:</td>
<td>The distribution of Fe(III) complexes as function of pH $[\text{Fe(III)}] = 0.03 \text{ mol.L}^{-1}$, $T = 298 \text{ k}$ (Mestankova, 2004)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure II-A-9:</td>
<td>Approximate predominance-area pH vs log$C_{\text{Fe(III)}}$ (M) diagram, 25°C, ionic strength 0. The dashed lines labeled a, b, and c are the saturation lines for goethite, aged amorphous hydrous oxide, and fresh amorphous hydrous oxide, respectively.</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure II-A-10:</td>
<td>UV-visible spectra of Fe(III) aqueous complexes</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure II-B-1:</td>
<td>The mechanism of photochemical redox cycling of iron in the aqueous solution. $\text{Fe(II)}$-L and $\text{Fe(III)}$-L represent Fe(II) and Fe(III) complexed with Ligand. (Abida, 2005)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure II-B-2:</td>
<td>Reaction scheme for the photolysis of Fe(III)-polycarboxylate complexes</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figure II-B-3:</td>
<td>Logarithm of the reaction rate constant ($M^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$) of oxidation of Fe(II) species by O2, as a function of the redox potential, $\text{EH}_0$ (vs. NHE), for the corresponding Fe(III)/Fe(II) redox</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
couples (Sulzberger et al., 1995)

Figure II-B-4: Light-induced iron cycling, and surface (--) and solution (s) reactions in heterogeneous photo-Fenton systems. (Mazellierp and Sulzberger, 2001)

Figure II-B-5: Possible reactions in illuminated solution containing Cr(VI), Oxalate, and Fe(II, III) (Hug et al., 1997).

Figure II-B-6: Oxidation and hydrolysis of tridentate Fe(II)-Citrate complex to bidentate Fe(III)-Citrate complex.

Figure II-B-7: Diagram of distribution of complexes in the system Fe(NO3)3-Tartaric acid (1:1): 1) Feaq; 2) FeH3tar; 3) Fe2-(H3Tar)(H2Tar); 4) FeH2Tar; 5) Fe2(H2Tar)2; 6) FeHTar; 7) Fe2(HTar)2; 8) FeTar; 9) Fe2(Tar)2.(Kostromina et al., 1988)

Figure II-B-8: Dimer complexes

Figure II-B-9: Proposed monomer complexes

Figure II-B-10: Figure II-B-10 Distribution of complexes in Fe(III)-meso-dl tartaric acid systems. [Fe(III)] : [meso-Tar] : [DL-Tar] = 2.458 : 2.484 : 2.493×10-3 mol.L-1. X represent DL-Tar and Y represent meso-Tar.

Figure II-C-1: Advanced oxidation technologies

Figure II-D-1: Market share in the agriculture phenoxy broadleaf weed herbicides market in Canada. Phenoxy market share of 25.9 million acres treated.

Figure II-D-2: Proposed pathway for the degradation of 2,4-D (Carla B et al., 2006)

Figure III-C-1: Monochromatic irradiation device

Figure III-C-2: Emission spectra of lamp Philips, TLD 15W/05.

Figure III-C-3: Home-made photoreactor with four lamps (Philips TLD 15W / 05) 1: reactor 100 mL; 2: lamps 15W× 4; 3: magnetic bar
Figure III-C-4: Emission spectra of the metal halide lamp 250 W. 56
Figure III-C-5: Photochemical reactor with metal halide lamp 250W 57
Figure III-D-1: 5, 5-Dimethylylpyrroline-N-oxyde (DMPO) 58
Figure III-D-2: (a) and (b) UV-visible spectra of the filters used during ESR spectroscopy experiments 58
Figure III-D-3: Calibration curve of TC (a) and IC (b). 60
Figure III-D-4: Calibration curve of Fe(II) concentration 61
Figure III-D-5: Formation of phenol in different conditions after 160min irradiation. 62
Figure III-D-6: HPLC chromatogram of solutions with 7 mmol.L⁻¹ benzene in 160min irradiation. 63
Figure III-D-7: Calibration curve of phenol 63
Figure III-D-8: Measurements of the absorbance as a function of the composition of the complex n=C_L/C_M 64
Figure IV-A-1: Chemical structure of Tartaric acid 68
Figure IV-A-2: UV-Visible absorption spectra of tartaric acid. (a) [L-Tar] = 1 mmol.L⁻¹; (b) [D-Tar] = 1 mmol.L⁻¹ 69
Figure IV-A-3: UV-Visible absorption spectra of L-Tar and D-Tar acid as function of pH. [L-Tar]=0.3mmol.L⁻¹, [D-Tar]=0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ 69
Figure IV-A-4: Absorbance at 212 nm as function of aqueous solution pH value. [L-Tar]=0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, [D-Tar]=0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ 70
Figure IV-A-5: Chemical structure of Pyruvic acid 70
Figure IV-A-6: The UV-visible spectra of 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ Pyruvic acid solution as a function of pH. (a) UV-visible spectra; (b) Absorbance at 250nm 71
Figure IV-A-7: Chemical structure of Citric acid 71
Figure IV-A-8: UV-Visible absorption spectra of different aqueous solution. (a) measurement in 1cm cell; (b) measurement in 1mm cell

Figure IV-A-9: UV-Visible absorption spectra of different aqueous solutions. [Fe(III)]=0.3mmol.L⁻¹, pH=2.0.

Figure IV-A-10: Absorbance at 340 nm as a function of tartaric acid concentration. [Fe(III)]=0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, pH=2.0.

Figure IV-A-11: UV-Visible absorption spectra of different aqueous solutions. [Fe(III)]=0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, pH=2.0.

Figure IV-A-12: Absorbance at 340 nm as a function of tartaric acid concentration. [Fe(III)]= 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, pH = 2.0.

Figure IV-A-13: UV-Visible absorption spectra of different aqueous solutions. [Fe(III)]=0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, pH=2.0.

Figure IV-A-14: Absorbance at 340 nm as a function of pyruvic acid concentration. [Fe(III)]=0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, pH=2.0.

Figure IV-A-15: Stability of the Fe(III)-D-Tar complexes as a function of time, in the dark and at room temperature.

Figure IV-A-16: Stability of the Fe(III)-L-Tar complexes as a function of time, in the dark and at room temperature.

Figure IV-A-17: UV-Visible absorption spectra of Fe-D-Tar complex solution as function of pH. [Fe(III)]/[D-Tar] = 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹/0.6 mmol.L⁻¹ (a) UV-Visible spectra of Fe(III)-D-Tar complex; (b) Absorbance at 340 nm.

Figure IV-A-18: UV-Visible absorption spectra of Fe(III)-L-Tar complex solution as function of pH. [Fe(III)]/[L-Tar] = 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹/0.6 mmol.L⁻¹ (a) UV-Visible spectra of Fe(III)-L-Tar complex (b) Absorbance at 340 nm.

Figure IV-A-19: UV-Visible absorption spectra of Fe(III)-Pyr complex solution as function of pH. [Fe(III)]/[Pyr] = 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹/0.9 mmol.L⁻¹ (a) UV-Visible spectra of Fe(III)-Pyr complex (b) Absorbance at 340 nm.

Figure IV-A-20: UV-visible spectra of Fe(III)-Cit complexes (0.3 mmol.L⁻¹) as function of pH. (a) UV-Visible spectra of Fe(III)-Cit
complex; (b) Absorbance at 270 nm (Abida, 2005)

Figure IV-A-21: Variation of UV-visible spectra of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes (0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)) under irradiation (a) Fe(III)-Cit; (b) Fe(III)-Tar; (c) Fe(III)-Pyr.

Figure IV-B-1: UV-Visible spectrum of solutions with \(C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 100\ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\) and with or without \(C_{\text{Pyr}} = 300\ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\). The length of the cell was 1 cm. Initial pH of the aqueous solution was 3.0. The spectrum of the metal halide light used in this work is also shown.

Figure IV-B-2: Photoreaction mechanism

Figure IV-B-3: Comparison of \(\cdot\text{OH}\) formation under different conditions for an aqueous solution with \(C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 10\ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\), \(C_{\text{Pyr}} = 90\ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\). Initial pH of the aqueous solution was 3.0.

Figure IV-B-4: Effect of the initial pH value on the total \(\cdot\text{OH}\) concentration for an aqueous solution with \(C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 30\ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\), \(C_{\text{Pyr}} = 60\ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\).

Figure IV-B-5: Effect of the Pyr concentration on the \(\cdot\text{OH}\) total yield for aqueous solutions with \(C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 10\ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\). Initial pH of the aqueous solution was 3.0.

Figure IV-B-6: Effect of the Fe(III) concentration on the \(\cdot\text{OH}\) total yield for aqueous solutions with \(C_{\text{Pyr}} = 30\ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\). Initial pH of the aqueous solution was 3.0.

Figure IV-B-7: Effect of temperature on the \(\cdot\text{OH}\) total yield for an aqueous solution with \(C_{\text{Fe(III)}} = 10\ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\), and \(C_{\text{Pyr}} = 30\ \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\). Initial pH of the aqueous solution was 3.0.

Figure IV-B-8: Control experiments in different systems \(C_{\text{Fe(III)}}=10.0\mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\), \(C_{\text{Cit}}=120.0\mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}\), pH=3.0

Figure IV-B-9: UV-Vis absorption spectra of the solutions with or without citrate
a: without Citrate, b: with Citrate \((C_{\text{Fe(III)}}=100.0\mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}, C_{\text{Cit}}=300.0\mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}, C_{\text{benzene}}=7\ \text{mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{pH}=3.0)\)

Figure IV-B-10: Initial pH value effects on the photoproduction of \(\cdot\text{OH}\) \((C_{\text{Fe(III)}}=30.0\mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}, C_{\text{Cit}}=30.0\mu\text{mol.L}^{-1})\)
Figure IV-B-11: pH effects on the distribution of Fe(III) species (C_{Fe(III)}=30.0 \mu mol.L^{-1}, C_{Cit}=30.0 \mu mol.L^{-1}, Ionic strength= 0, 25^\circ C)

Figure IV-B-12: Effect of citrate concentration on the photoproduction of \cdot OH radical (pH = 3.0, C_{Cit} = 15.0 to 120.0 \mu mol.L^{-1}, C_{Fe(III)} = 10.0 \mu mol.L^{-1})

Figure IV-B-13: Effect of ferric concentration on photoproduction of \cdot OH radicals (pH = 3.0, C_{Cit} = 30.0 \mu mol.L^{-1}, C_{Fe(III)} = 10.0 to 60.0 \mu mol.L^{-1})

Figure IV-B-14: Photochemical reaction mechanism

Figure IV-B-15: HPLC chromatogram of the phenol photogeneration as a function of irradiation time (C_{Fe(III)} = 10.0 \mu mol.L^{-1}, C_{Tar} = 150.0 \mu mol.L^{-1} and C_{benzene} = 7 mmol.L^{-1}). The initial pH value of the aqueous solution was 3.0. \lambda_{det} = 270 nm.

Figure IV-B-16: Comparing of \cdot OH radicals yield in different conditions. In an aqueous solution of C_{Fe(III)} = 10.0 \mu mol.L^{-1} and C_{Tar} = 150.0 \mu mol.L^{-1}. The initial pH value of the aqueous solution was 3.0.

Figure IV-B-17: Effect of initial pH value on the \cdot OH radicals yield (C_{Fe(III)}= 30.0 \mu mol.L^{-1} and C_{Tar} = 60.0 \mu mol.L^{-1}).

Figure IV-B-18: Distribution of iron and Tartrate species as a function of pH in a system with C_{Fe(III)} = 10.0 \mu mol.L^{-1} and C_{Tar} = 30.0 \mu mol.L^{-1}. Ionic strength= 0. Calculations were done at 25^\circ C with MINTEQ computer program.

Figure IV-B-19: Effects of tartaric acid concentration on the \cdot OH radicals concentration. The initial pH value of the aqueous solution was 3.0.

Figure IV-B-20: Effect of the Fe(III) concentration on the \cdot OH radicals formation. The initial pH value of the aqueous solution was 3.0.

Figure IV-B-21: Effect of temperature on the \cdot OH radicals concentration (C_{Fe(III)} = 10.0 \mu mol.L^{-1}, and C_{Tar} = 30.0 \mu mol.L^{-1}). The initial pH value of the aqueous solution was 3.0. (a) Without cooling water the temperature is about 328 K, (b) with
cooling water the temperature is about 298 K.
solutions with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) 2,4-DCP. (\(\lambda_{\text{irr}} = 365 \text{ nm}\))

Figure IV-C-13-b: pH effect on the quantum yields of Fe(II). In the aqueous solutions with 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of Fe(III)-Cit and 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) of 2,4-DCP. (\(\lambda_{\text{irr}} = 365 \text{ nm}\))

Figure IV-C-14: Effects of 2,4-DCP concentration on the quantum yields of Fe(II) and 2,4-DCP. The initial pH value was 3.0. (\(\lambda_{\text{irr}} = 365 \text{ nm}\))

Figure IV-C-15: UV-Visible spectra of aqueous solution with 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) Fe(III)-Cit

Figure IV-C-16: Structure of Fe(III)-Cit. (Abida, 2005)

Figure IV-C-17: Comparing of the UV-visible spectra of different aqueous solutions.

Figure IV-C-18: Degradation of 2,4-DCP as a function of Fe(III)-Cit concentration in solution with an initial pH = 3.0. [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\)

Figure IV-C-19: The photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe(III)-Cit concentration in solution with an initial pH = 3.0. [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\).

Figure IV-C-20: Influence of pH on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit complexes. [Fe(III)-Cit] = 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\).

Figure IV-C-21: Influence of pH on the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit complexes. [Fe(III)-Cit] = 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\).

Figure IV-C-22: Effects of oxygen on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit complexes. [Fe(III)-Cit] = 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), pH=3.0

Figure IV-C-23: Effects of oxygen on the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit complexes. [Fe(III)-Cit] = 0.3 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\), pH=3.0

Figure IV-C-24a: Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP as a function of Fe(III)-D-Tar concentration in solutions with an initial pH=3.0.
[2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.

Figure IV-C-24: Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP as a function of Fe(III)-L-Tar concentration in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. [2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.

Figure IV-C-25: Photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar concentration in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. [2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.

Figure IV-C-26: Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP as a function of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar concentration with an initial pH = 3.0. [2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.

Figure IV-C-27: Photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar concentration in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. [2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.

Figure IV-C-28: pH effect on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP. [Fe(III)]/[D or L-Tar] = 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹/0.6 mmol.L⁻¹, [2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.

Figure IV-C-29: Photogeneration of Fe(II) as function of pH value. [Fe(III)]/[D or L-Tar] = 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹/0.6 mmol.L⁻¹, [2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.

Figure IV-C-30: Variation of the UV-visible spectra of the reaction solutions as a function of the irradiation time. (a) [Fe(III)]/[D or L-Tar] = 0.2 mmol.L⁻¹/0.4 mmol.L⁻¹ (b) [Fe(III)]/[D or L-Tar] = 0.01 mmol.L⁻¹/0.02 mmol.L⁻¹. λIRR = 365nm; Initial pH value was 3.0.

Figure IV-C-31: Effects of oxygen on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP in the presence of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar complexes. [Fe(III)-D or L-Tar] = 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹, pH = 3.0.

Figure IV-C-32: Effects of oxygen on the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the presence of Fe(III)-D or L-Tar complexes. [Fe(III)-D or L-Tar] = 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹, pH = 3.0.

Figure IV-C-33: Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP as a function of Fe-Pyr concentration in solution with an initial pH = 3.0. [2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.
Figure IV-C-34: Photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe-Pyr concentration in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. [2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.

Figure IV-C-35: pH effect on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP. [Fe(III)]/[Pyr] = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹/0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, [2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.

Figure IV-C-36: Photogeneration of Fe(II) as function of pH value. [Fe(III)]/[Pyr] = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹/0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, [2,4-DCP]₀ = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹.

Figure IV-C-37: Effect of oxygen on the photodegradation of 2,4-DCP in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr complexes. [Fe(III)-Pyr] = 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹, pH = 3.0

Figure IV-C-38: Effect of oxygen on the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr complexes. [Fe(III)-Pyr] = 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹, [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹, pH = 3.0

Figure IV-C-39: Photodegradation of 2,4-DCP in different systems. [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹, pH = 3.0

Figure IV-C-40: Photogeneration of Fe(II) in different systems. [2,4-DCP] = 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹, pH = 3.0

Figure IV-C-41: Time courses of TOC values during irradiation of mixtures of 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-DCP and 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ of Fe(III)-Carboxylate complexes. (a) Fe(III)-Tar; (b) Fe(III)-Pyr; (c) Fe(III)-Cit, λ_irr = 365 nm

Figure IV-D-1: The UV-visible spectra of 2,4-D at different concentrations.

Figure IV-D-2: Molar absorption coefficients at different wavelength. (a) 230nm, (b) 284nm.

Figure IV-D-3: UV-Visible absorption spectra of aqueous solution 3 min after the preparation with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of Fe(III) and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-D

Figure IV-D-4: pH effect on the quantum yields of Fe(II) formation in the presence of 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-D. (λ_irr = 365 nm)

Figure IV-D-5: Effects of 2,4-D concentration on the quantum yields of
Fe(II) formation. The initial pH of the aqueous solutions is 3.0. ($\lambda_{\text{irr}}=365\text{nm}$)

Figure IV-D-6: Effects of 2,4-D concentration on the quantum yields of 2,4-D disappearance. The initial pH of the aqueous solutions is 3.0. ($\lambda_{\text{irr}} = 365 \text{ nm}$)

Figure IV-D-7: Degradation of 2,4-D as a function of Fe-Cit concentration in solution with an initial pH = 3.0. $[2,4-\text{D}]_0= 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$.

Figure IV-D-8: Photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe(III)-Cit concentration in solution with an initial pH = 3.0. $[2,4-\text{D}]_0= 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$.

Figure IV-D-9: Degradation of 2,4-D as function of oxygen in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. $[\text{Fe-Cit}]_0= 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, $[2,4-\text{D}]_0= 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$.

Figure IV-D-10: Photogeneration of Fe(II) as function of oxygen in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. $[\text{Fe-Cit}]_0= 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, $[2,4-\text{D}]_0= 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$.

Figure IV-D-11: Influence of pH on the photodegradation of 2,4-D in the presence of Fe(III)-Cit complexes. $[\text{Fe(III)-Cit}]_0= 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, $[2,4-\text{D}]_0= 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$.

Figure IV-D-12: Influence of pH on the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the presence of Fe-Cit complexes. $[\text{Fe(III)-Cit}]_0= 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, $[2,4-\text{D}]_0= 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$.

Figure IV-D-13: Degradation of 2,4-D as a function of Fe(III)-Pyr concentration with an initial pH = 3.0. $[2,4-\text{D}]_0= 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$.

Figure IV-D-14: Photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe(III)-Pyr concentration with an initial pH = 3.0. $[2,4-\text{D}]_0= 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$.

Figure IV-D-15: UV-visible spectrum of the solutions as a function of the irradiation time. (a) $[\text{Fe(III)-Pyr}] = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}/0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, (b) $[\text{Fe(III)-Pyr}] = 0.2 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}/0.6 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$

Figure IV-D-16: Degradation of 2,4-D as function of oxygen in solutions with an initial pH = 3.0. $[\text{Fe(III)-Pyr}]_0= 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$, $[2,4-\text{D}]_0= 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}$.
Figure IV-C-17: Influence of pH on the photodegradation of 2,4-D in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr complexes. \([\text{Fe(III)-Pyr}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, [2,4-\text{D}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).

Figure IV-D-18: Influence of pH on the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the presence of Fe(III)-Pyr complexes. \([\text{Fe(III)-Pyr}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, [2,4-\text{D}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).

Figure IV-D-19: UV-visible spectrum as a function of the irradiation time. \([\text{Fe(III)-Pyr}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}/0.9 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{pH} = 3.84\).

Figure IV-D-20: Degradation of 2,4-D as a function of Fe(III)-Tar concentration with an initial pH = 3.0. \([2,4-\text{D}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).

Figure IV-D-21: Photogeneration of Fe(II) as a function of Fe-Tar concentration in solution with an initial pH = 3.0. \([2,4-\text{D}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).

Figure IV-D-22: UV-visible spectra of the solutions as a function of the irradiation time. \([\text{Fe(III)-Tar}] = 0.3 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}/0.6 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{pH} = 3.0\).

Figure IV-D-23: Influence of pH on the photodegradation of 2,4-D in the presence of Fe-Tar complexes. \([\text{Fe(III)-Tar}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, [2,4-\text{D}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).

Figure IV-D-24: Influence of pH on the photogeneration of Fe(II) in the presence of Fe(III)-Tar complexes. \([\text{Fe(III)-Tar}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, [2,4-\text{D}]_0 = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}\).

Figure IV-D-25: UV-visible spectrum of the solutions according to the time. \([\text{Fe(III)-Tar}] = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}/0.2 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{pH} = 3.5\).

Figure IV-D-26: Photodegradation of 2,4-D with different complexes. \([2,4-\text{D}] = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{pH} = 3.0\).

Figure IV-D-27: Photogeneration of Fe(II) with different iron-complexes. \([2,4-\text{D}] = 0.1 \text{ mmol.L}^{-1}, \text{pH} = 3.0\).

Figure IV-D-28: Evolution of total organic carbon as function of oxygen concentration. (a) Mixture of 0.1 mmol.L\(^{-1}\) in Fe(III)-Cit and
0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ in 2,4-D, (b) mixture of 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ in Fe(III)-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ in 2,4-D, pH = 3.0.

Figure IV-D-29: Evolution of total organic carbon in the solution with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ in Fe(III)-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ in 2,4-D as function of pH.

Figure IV-E-1: ESR spectra of the aqueous solution with 1 mg.mL⁻¹ DMPO and 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ Fe(III)-Cit complex at pH 3.0 after 50min irradiation λ ≥ 280 nm. Cell quartz has 1 mm path length.

Figure IV-E-2: ESR spectra of the aqueous solution with 1 mg.mL⁻¹ DMPO and 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ Fe(III)-Cit complex at pH 3.0 during irradiation(a)λ ≥ 280 nm, (b)λ ≥ 350 nm

Figure IV-E-3: ESR spectra of the aqueous solution with 1 mg.mL⁻¹ in DMPO and 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ in Fe(III)-Tar complex at pH = 3.0 during irradiation (a) λ ≥ 280 nm, (b) λ ≥ 350 nm

Figure IV-E-4: ESR spectra of the aqueous solution with 1 mg.mL⁻¹ in DMPO and 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ in Fe(III)-Pyr complex at pH = 3.0 during irradiation (a)λ ≥ 280 nm, (b)λ ≥ 350 nm

Figure IV-E-5: ESR spectra of the aqueous solution with 1mg.mL⁻¹ in DMPO and (a) 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ in Fe(III)-Tar complex, (b) 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ in Fe(III)-Pyr complex at pH 6.0 under irradiation at λ ≥ 280 nm.

Figure IV-E-6 Photodegradation of 2,4-D with different complexes in different systems with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ of 2,4-D at pH = 3.0.

Figure IV-E-7: Evolution of HPLC area of product 2,4-DCP in different systems with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ 2,4-D at pH = 3.0.

Figure IV-E-8: Evolution of the HPLC chromatograms of solution as a function of the irradiation time with 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ in Fe(III)-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ in 2,4-DCP at pH = 3.0.

Figure IV-E-9: HPLC chromatogram of solution of 0.3 mmol.L⁻¹ Fe(III)-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ 2,4-DCP at pH = 3.9, after 8 h of irradiation.

Figure IV-E-10: Evolution of HPLC spectrum of reaction solution with 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ Fe(III)-Pyr and 0.1 mmol.L⁻¹ 2,4-DCP at pH = 2.5
Figure IV-E-11: Time evolution of HPLC area of photoproducts generated in the solutions of 0.3 mmol.L$^{-1}$ Fe(III)-Tar and 0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$ 2,4-DCP at pH = 3.9

Figure IV-E-12: LC-MS spectra of 2,4-DCP (0.1 mmol.L$^{-1}$)

Figure IV-E-13-a: Total ion chromatogram

Figure IV-E-13-b: LC-MS spectra of photoproducts

Figure IV-E-14: Proposed photodegradation scheme of 2,4-DCP
VII
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La photodégradation de l’herbicide 2,4-D (acide 2,4-dichlorophénylxyacétique) et de son principal photoproduit (2,4-DCP) en présence de trois complexes Fe(III)-carboxylate (citrate, pyruvate, tartrate) a été étudiée. Les rendements quantiques de disparition du 2,4-D augmentent dans cet ordre : Fe(III)-Tar < Fe(III)-Cit < Fe(OH)²⁺ < Fe(III)-Pyr. Le même mécanisme de dégradation du 2,4-D est observé pour les trois complexes de fer et correspond à celui déjà décrit avec des processus générant des radicaux hydroxyle. Le 2,4-D est dégradé sélectivement en 2,4-DCP, qui après formation de différents photoproduits peut être minéralisé complètement en H₂O, Cl⁻ et CO₂. La formation de radicaux hydroxyles, obtenue sous irradiation des solutions de complexes de Fe(III), a été confirmée par spectroscopie RPE. Ce travail montre que la présence de complexes Fe(III)-carboxylate peut avoir un impact considérable sur le devenir de polluants organiques présents dans les compartiments aquatiques naturels.
In this study the photodegradation of the herbicide 2,4-D (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid) and its main photoproduct (2,4-DCP) in the presence of three Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes (citrate, pyruvate and tartrate) have been investigated. The quantum yields of 2,4-D disappearance increases in the following order of Fe(III)-complexes: Fe(III)-Tar < Fe(III)-Cit < Fe(OH)\(^{2+}\) < Fe(III)-Pyr. We observe the same mechanism of 2,4-D degradation for all complexes corresponding to the mechanism described in the previous work on the degradation of 2,4-D by processes (generating ·OH radicals). 2,4-D is selectively degraded to 2,4-DCP, which through different photodegradation products could be mineralized into H\(_2\)O, Cl\(^-\) and CO\(_2\). The formation of hydroxyl radicals in the solutions of Fe(III) complexes is confirmed by ESR spectroscopy measurements. Our work shows that the presence of Fe(III)-carboxylate complexes could have a considerable impact on the fate of organic pollutant in aquatic environment.